

## City of Los Altos

Civic Center Master Plan Council Meeting

September 23, 2014


## Agenda

- Process Overview
$\square$ Community Input
- Key Concepts
- Budget Framework
- Finance Commission's Recommendations
- Conclusion


## Process Oxeryiew



## Council Approyal Needed Tonight

$\square$ Approve a financial methodology for funding the project
$\square$ Approve the budget framework for the elements to be included in the project

- Prioritize the exterior program components for Phase 1 of the Hillview Park and Community Center redevelopment
$\square$ Approve scheduling and/or phasing assumptions for the Hillview Park and Community Center redevelopment


## Public Input

Focus Group \#3
Community Workshop \#2

## Focus Group \#3 Work

Scenario 1
Scenario 2
Scenario 3


## SCENARIO 1



## SCENARIO 1 CONCEPT




## SCENARIO 2



## SGENARIO 2 CONCEPT




## SCENARIO 3



## SCENARIO 3 CONCEPT



## Community Workshop \#2 Work

Scenario 1
Scenario 2
Scenario 3

## Scenario 1



## Scenario 1-A OPT 1
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## Scenario 1-A OPT 1 Parking
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## Scenario 1-A OPT 2
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## Scenario 1-A OPT 2 Parking



## Scenario 1-A Refined
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## Scenario 1-A Refined - Parking



## Scenario 1-B OPT 1
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## Scenario 1-B OPT 1 - Parking
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## Scenario 1-B OPT 2
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## Scenario 1-B OPT 2 - Parking



## Scenario 1-B Refined
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## Scenario 1-B Refined - Parking



## Scenario 2



## Scenario 2-A OPT, A



[^8]
## Scenario 2-A OPT, A - Parking



## Scenario 2-A Refined
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## Scenario 2-A Refined - Parking
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## Scenario 2-B OPT, A
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## Scenario 2-B OPT, A - Parking



## Scenario 2-B Refined
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## Scenario 2-B Refined - Parking



## Scenario 3-A
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## Scenario 3-A Opt, A
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## Scenario 3-A Opt, A - Parking
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## Scenario 3-A Opt, B
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## Scenario 3-A Opt, B - Parking



## Scenario 3-A Opt, C
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## Scenario 3-A Opt, C - Parking
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## Scenario 3-A Refined A
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## Scenario 3-A Refined A - Parking



## Scenario 3-A Refined B
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## Scenario 3-A Refined B - Parking



## Scenario 3-B



## Scenario 3-B OPT, A
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## Scenario 3-B OPT, A - Parking
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## Scenario 3-B OPT, Refined
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## Scenario 3-B OPT, Refined - Parking



## Key Background Concepts

Development Area Possible Locations Buffer Residential Pedestrian Connection Clusters


## Community Center Building Rexelopment Areas



## Community Center - Posssible Location



## Buffer Residential Neighbors w/ Green Space



## Connect Pedestrians through Site



## Cluster Uses



SERV\|CE
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## Budget Framework

## Budget Framework

- The following costs are for conceptual purposes only and are not based on a specific design solution.
- This information will be helpful in providing context and a basis for comparison to support decisions by Council.
- This Budget Framework does not include:
- Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment
- Cost of Financing
- Moving Expenses
- Temporary Operational Expenses
- Regulatory Fees (could be waived)
- City Staff Time (could be waived)


## Cost Model Components

$\square$ Hard Costs - The direct costs to construct a building or structure, otherwise known as "brick and mortar" costs.

- Building and Site Costs
- Design Contingency - Different numbers are held at different phases of the project, and as more detail is developed and a more accurate cost estimate can be made, contingency percentages can be reduced.
- General Conditions / Overhead -The first section in the construction specifications and deals with the cost to conduct and administer construction
- Profit
- Change Order Contingency (Typically 5\% for new construction, $10 \%$ for renovation) - Held during construction for unforeseen issues on the site or in buildings that are being renovated.


## Cost Model Components

$\square$ Soft Costs (Typical Range 35-40\%) - Expenses, other than hard costs, incurred in developing a project

- Design Fees, Permits, Testing and Inspections
$\square$ Escalation (Current Range 3-5\% )
- Assumption of Project Time Frame
- Estimated from Historic Data
$\square$ Contingencies
- Project Contingency (Typical Range 10-15\%)
- Held by the client for unforeseen items such as infrastructure issues, etc.


## Cost Model Assumptions

$\square$ Schedule Assumptions (for modeling purposes only)

- 3 months for RFP
- 18 months of design
- 12 months to mid point of construction (24 months total)
- 33 months after funding is secured to mid-point of construction
- 9 months until 2015 June Ballot
- 42 month total / 3.5 years to mid-point of construction
- 26 months until 2016 November Ballot
- 59 month total / 5 years to mid-point of construction
- Construction Delivery
- Competitively Bid, Minimum of 5 Qualified Bids
- Contractor to Pay Prevailing Wages
- Full Site Access for General Contractor During Normal Business Hours


## Budget Framework Base Cost by Component (Phase 1 possibilities only)

| Component | Building Size | 2009 | 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community Center | 55,600 SF | \$ 18.35 M | \$ 20.85 M |
| Swim Center | 39,860 SF | \$ 3.79 M | \$ 4.24 M |
| Soccer | 1 field | \$ 0.75 M | \$ 0.83 M |
| Parking | 369 stalls | \$ 1.29 M | \$ 1.94 M |
| Underground Premiums (Additional Cost) |  |  |  |
| Below Community Center | 79 stalls | - | \$ 3.61 M |
| Below Parking | 50 stalls | - | \$ 1.58 M |
| Below Soccer | 171 stalls | - | \$ 7.67 M |

## Conceptual Cost Model - Option A

| Component | Size | Cost |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Demolition - Community Center |  | $\$ 0.34 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Site Work | 201,400 sf | $\$ 2.19 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Community Center | $55,600 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 20.85 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Swim not included |  |  |
| Soccer to remain | 369 stalls | $\$ 1.94 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Parking (total) | (79 stalls) | $\$ 3.61 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Underground Premium |  | $\$ 28.93 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Subtotal |  | $\$ 6.22 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Mark-up* |  | $\$ 35.15 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Hard Costs Total |  |  |


| Component |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hard Costs T |  | \$ 35.15 M |
| Soft Costs | 35 \% | \$ 12.30 M |
| Subtotal |  | \$ 47.46 M |
| Project Contingency | 10 \% | \$ 4.75 M |
| w/ Escalatio |  |  |
| Today | - | \$ 52.20 M |
| 3.5 years | 14.7\% | \$ 59.88 M |
| 5 years | 21.7\% | \$ 63.51 M |

* Mark-up includes: Change Order Contingency 5\%, General Conditions 8\%, Profit 6\%, Bonds/Insurance 2.5\%


## Conceptual Cost Model - Option B

| Component | Size | Cost |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Demolition - Community Center |  | $\$ 0.34 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Site Work | $331,300 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 3.52 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Community Center | $55,600 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 20.85 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Swim | $39,860 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 4.24 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Soccer | $75,000 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 0.83 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Parking (total) | 369 stalls | $\$ 1.94 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Underground Premium | $(250$ stalls) | $\$ 11.28 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Subtotal |  | $\$ 43.60 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Mark-up* |  | $\$ 9.37 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Hard Costs Total |  | $\$ 52.98 \mathrm{M}$ |


| Component | Cost |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Hard Costs Total | $\$ 52.98 \mathrm{M}$ |  |
| Soft Costs | $35 \%$ | $\$ 18.54 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Subtotal |  | $\$ 71.52 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Project <br> Contingency | $10 \%$ | $\$ 7.15 \mathrm{M}$ |
| w/ Escalation |  |  |
| Today | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 7 8 . 6 7} \mathbf{M}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ years | $\mathbf{2 1 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 0 . 2 5 ~ M}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ years |  |  |

* Mark-up includes: Change Order Contingency 5\%, General Conditions 8\%, Profit 6\%, Bonds/Insurance 2.5\%

Financial Commission's Recommendations

## Financial Commission's Recommendations

$\square$ Maintain the 55,600 square foot facility and related program as proposed in Option A; reducing the scope of the project will not meet the needs of the community and likely dilute public support for the project
$\square$ Proceed with voter approved financing that yields \$40 M (Initial polling identified $\$ 20 \mathrm{M}$ )
$\square$ Utilize approximately $\mathbf{\$ 2 0} \mathbf{~ M}$ in City-designated funds such as the Community Facility Renewal Fund, Real Property Proceeds Fund and Park in-lieu Fund
$\square$ Embark on a capital campaign for private funds focused on specific project amenities in the first and/or subsequent phases of the project (\$X M)

## Financial Commission's Recommendations

$\square$ The Commission did not reach a conclusion on financing a \$90 M effort in a single-phase project.

- Another key point made by the Financial Commission is that a professional and well-run marketing campaign is necessary to ensure passage of a bond measure as well as potential private financial support.


## Financial Commission's Recommendations

Summary of Financial Commission Recommendation
$\square$ Voter Approved Financing (Poll @ \$20 M) \$40 M

- City Reserve Funds
\$20 M
$\square$ Capital Fundraising Campaign (specific use)
$\frac{\$ X M}{\$ 60 M^{*}}$
*with option of adding specific elements with Fundraising


## Recommendations

Based on the Financial Commission's recommendation to move forward with a $\$ 60 \mathrm{M}$ budget, do the following:
$\square$ Create a Master Plan that includes both Community Center and Pool adjacent to each other

- Include exterior recreational uses per the approved program (either new or existing)
- Construction of the Pool will be realized based on availability of funds from the Capital Fundraising Campaign
$\square$ The Community Center and Pool will not displace any other permanent civic buildings or trigger other building projects
$\square$ Move forward to Design Charrette based on these assumptions


## Council Approyal Needed Tonight

$\square$ Approve a financial methodology for funding the project
$\square$ Approve the budget framework for the elements to be included in the project

- Prioritize the exterior program components for Phase 1 of the Hillview Park and Community Center redevelopment
$\square$ Approve scheduling and/or phasing assumptions for the Hillview Park and Community Center redevelopment


## Conclusion

$\square$ Next Steps
$\square$ Professional / Community Charette in October

- Feedback


## Council Approval Needed Tonight

$\square$ Approve a financial methodology for funding the project

- Financial Commission Recommendation - Use a combination of financial resources to achieve funding level
- Voter Approved Financing (Poll @ \$20 M)

```
$40 M\$20 M
- City Reserve Funds
- Capital Campaign (specific use)
- Maintain current Program

Approve the budget framework for the elements to be included in the project
- Prioritize the exterior program components for Phase 1 of the Hillview Park and Community Center redevelopment
\(\square\) Approve scheduling and/or phasing assumptions for the Hillview Park and Community Center redevelopment```


[^0]:    S E R V I C
    E
    EXPERIENCE

[^1]:    SERV\|CE $+\mathrm{EN} \mathrm{CVVIRONMENT}=E X P E R \| E N C E$

[^2]:    S E R V I C

[^3]:    S E R V I C E
    EXPERIENCE

[^4]:    SERVICE + ENVIRONMENT $=E X P E R I E N C E$

[^5]:    SERV\|CE $\quad+\quad E N V\|R O N M E N T=E X P E R\| E N C E$

[^6]:    S E R V I C
    E
    E X PERIENCE

[^7]:    S ERVIC
    E
    EXPERIENCE

[^8]:    S E R V I C
    E
    EXPERIENCE

[^9]:    S E R V I C
    C
    EXPERIENCE

[^10]:    SERV\|CE $\quad+\quad E N V \| R O N M E N T=E X P E R I E N C E$

[^11]:    S ERVICE
    E X P ERIENCE

[^12]:    S E R V I C E
    EXPERIENCE

[^13]:    SERV\|CE $\quad+\quad E N V\|R O N M E N T=E X P E R\| E N C E$

[^14]:    S E R V I C E
    EXPERIENCE

[^15]:    SERV|CE $+\mathrm{ENVV} \mathrm{\| RONMENT}=E X P E R \| E N C E$

[^16]:    

[^17]:    S E R V I C
    E
    EXPERIENCE

[^18]:    SERV\|CE $\quad+\quad E N V\|R O N M E N T=E X P E R\| E N C E$

[^19]:    SERVICE + ENVIRONMENT $=E X P E R I E N C E$

[^20]:    S E R V \| C
    E X P ERIENCE

[^21]:    SERVICE + ENVIRONMENT $=E X P E R I E N C E$

[^22]:    $S E R V \mid C E+E N V \| R O N M E N T=E X P E R I E N C E$

[^23]:    S E R V I C E
    EXPERIENCE

