



Les Poltrack <lap164@gmail.com>

---

## 148 Doud Drive - Tree #12

---

**Richard Gessner** <rick@monarcharborist.com>

Thu, Oct 29, 2020 at 9:49 AM

To: Les Poltrack <lap164@gmail.com>

Cc: Chapman Design Associates <info@wjcda.com>, Walter Chapman <walter@wjcda.com>, "W. Jeffrey Heid" <wjheidsla@gmail.com>

It would be difficult to keep this tree and plant more trees underneath.

The roots will be ubiquitous in the landscape making new planting difficult.  
The litter and shade will cover and prevent new plants from being established.

Regarding the other issues. It may be possible to argue the plant has significant allergens. This is a risk factor. The tree being on the boundary with roots on both sides can be a nuisance to the owners with no real alternative other than removal.

Rick

Richard Gessner - Consulting Arborist  
Monarch Consulting Arborists LLC  
PO Box 1010  
Felton CA, 95018  
[www.monarcharborist.com](http://www.monarcharborist.com)  
831.331.8982



Les Poltrack <lap164@gmail.com>

---

## 148 Doud Drive - Tree #12

---

**W Jeffrey Heid** <wjheidasla@gmail.com>

Thu, Oct 29, 2020 at 12:38 PM

To: Les Poltrack <lap164@gmail.com>

Cc: Chapman Design Associates <info@wjcda.com>, Walter Chapman <walter@wjcda.com>, Richard Gessner <rick@monarcharborist.com>

Hi Les,

I agree with Rick's comments. If the cedar remains, then I would remove the proposed screening under the drip line of the tree.

Jeff

[Quoted text hidden]