



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



City of Los Altos: 2017 Community Survey

January 2018

The City of Los Altos commissioned Godbe Research to conduct a survey of registered voters with the following research objectives:

- Gauge satisfaction with the quality of life and City's provision of services;
- Determine opinions on most pressing issues facing Los Altos;
- Gauge importance of and satisfaction with City services, programs and facilities;
- Assess frequency of participation in Recreation Department activities;
- Determine preferred transportation choices;
- Assess opinions on Downtown vibrancy and parking availability;
- Assess support for multi-story mixed-use buildings with parking Downtown;
- Gauge opinions on storm water issues
- Determine shopping locations and incentives for shopping in Los Altos;
- Gauge opinion on the development of more housing in Los Altos and preferred density levels;
- Determine preferred sources for City information;
- Assess opinions on the local housing crisis and potential remedies; and
- Identify any differences in opinions due to demographic and/or voter behavioral characteristics.

- Data Collection Landline (n=78), cell phone (n=68), text to online (n=161), and email to online (n=139) interviewing
- Universe 19,637 registered voters in the City of Los Altos
- Fielding Dates December 2 through December 9, 2017
- Interview Length 22.5 minutes
- Sample Size 446
- Margin of Error $\pm 4.59\%$




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Key Findings

Q1. Satisfaction with Quality of Life (n=446)




Total Satisfied = 95.4%
Total Dissatisfied = 4.3%
Ratio Sat to Dissat = 22.4 to 1

Q1. Satisfaction with Quality of Life


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
Very satisfied	280 62.8%	150 70.3%	130 56.4%	0 0.0%	38 64.4%	20 58.7%	48 59.1%	82 58.4%	86 69.0%	6 90.6%
Somewhat satisfied	146 32.6%	57 26.7%	86 37.5%	2 100.0%	19 32.3%	14 41.3%	29 36.1%	52 37.4%	31 24.6%	0 0.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	15 3.4%	3 1.4%	12 5.2%	0 0.0%	2 3.3%	0 0.0%	1 1.5%	4 2.7%	7 5.9%	1 9.4%
Very dissatisfied	4 0.9%	2 0.9%	2 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	2 1.5%	1 0.5%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	1 0.3%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%


Q2. Primary Reason for Living in Los Altos (n=446)



Q3. Most Important Issues Facing Los Altos (n=446)



Q4. Satisfaction With City's Provision of Services (n=446)




Total Satisfied = 82.0%
Total Dissatisfied = 12.0%
Ratio Sat to Dissat = 6.9 to 1

Q4. Satisfaction With City's Provision of Services

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
Very satisfied	157 35.2%	90 42.0%	67 29.3%	0 0.0%	25 42.7%	11 31.6%	22 27.4%	47 33.2%	48 38.3%	5 66.0%
Somewhat satisfied	209 46.8%	97 45.3%	111 48.1%	1 58.7%	21 35.8%	19 53.7%	38 47.1%	69 49.1%	62 49.9%	0 0.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	46 10.3%	17 8.0%	28 12.1%	1 41.3%	8 13.1%	3 8.5%	12 14.5%	15 10.4%	9 7.1%	0 0.0%
Very dissatisfied	8 1.7%	1 0.6%	6 2.7%	0 0.0%	2 3.2%	0 0.0%	3 3.2%	2 1.7%	1 0.7%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	27 6.0%	9 4.0%	18 7.9%	0 0.0%	3 5.2%	2 6.2%	6 7.9%	8 5.6%	5 4.0%	2 34.0%

Q5. Importance of City Services, Programs and Facilities (n=446)




Note: The above rating questions have been abbreviated for charting purposes, and responses were recoded to calculate mean scores: "Extremely Important" = +3, "Very Important" = +2, "Somewhat Important" = +1, and "Not At All Important" = 0.

Q5. Importance of City Services, Programs and Facilities

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
5A. Police services	2.40	2.32	2.47	3.00	2.07	2.23	2.56	2.36	2.52	2.88
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.20	2.37	2.00	2.36	2.24	2.23	2.27	2.31	2.56
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.70	1.86	2.59	1.67	1.76	1.69	1.83	1.86	1.85
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	2.03	1.93	1.00	1.80	1.87	1.93	2.03	2.04	2.18
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.53	1.56	1.41	1.67	1.48	1.53	1.43	1.64	1.62
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.24	2.40	3.00	2.10	2.12	2.50	2.35	2.35	2.37
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.55	1.75	0.41	1.79	1.83	1.64	1.59	1.58	1.70
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.51	1.55	0.83	1.40	1.43	1.83	1.60	1.35	1.39
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.03	2.21	2.59	2.08	1.81	2.18	2.14	2.18	2.35
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.40	2.50	3.00	2.27	2.27	2.43	2.51	2.52	2.80
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.70	2.02	0.41	2.40	1.95	1.70	1.74	1.82	1.92
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.28	1.69	1.00	1.69	1.13	1.18	1.47	1.69	2.01
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.32	1.62	1.00	1.73	1.32	1.04	1.47	1.64	1.97
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.51	1.72	0.41	1.62	1.61	1.78	1.64	1.47	1.78
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.59	1.78	0.83	1.79	1.78	1.74	1.66	1.59	2.02

Q6. Satisfaction with City Services, Programs and Facilities (n=446)



Note: The above rating questions have been abbreviated for charting purposes, and responses were recoded to calculate mean scores: "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1, and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2.

Q6. Satisfaction with City Services, Programs and Facilities


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6A. Police services	1.57	1.63	1.51	1.00	1.65	1.60	1.33	1.57	1.65	1.87
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.68	1.61	0.76	1.37	1.67	1.57	1.68	1.76	1.67
6C. Street tree maintenance	0.93	0.94	0.93	-0.17	1.27	1.14	0.88	0.84	0.82	1.31
6D. Street pavement maintenance	0.77	0.73	0.81	1.00	1.09	0.72	0.58	0.64	0.90	0.80
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.37	1.20	1.59	1.39	1.27	1.11	1.32	1.33	1.37
6F. Traffic safety	0.70	0.80	0.61	-2.00	1.09	0.96	0.21	0.45	0.96	1.88
6G. Economic development efforts	0.31	0.51	0.12	-1.00	0.50	0.41	0.22	0.17	0.40	0.55
6H. Sports fields	1.03	1.14	0.91	2.00	0.94	1.06	0.88	1.08	1.12	1.27
6I. Managing land use	0.21	0.35	0.10	-2.00	0.45	0.21	0.31	-0.05	0.30	0.01
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.64	1.72	2.00	1.79	1.35	1.73	1.63	1.72	2.00
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	1.09	1.01	1.00	1.20	1.01	1.08	0.89	1.14	0.66
6L. Senior facilities	0.77	0.91	0.65		1.08	1.07	1.25	0.41	0.70	0.92
6M. Senior programs	1.02	1.11	0.95		1.18	1.08	1.27	0.85	1.01	0.73
6N. Youth facilities	0.75	0.88	0.63	2.00	0.67	0.69	0.67	0.79	0.87	0.17
6O. Youth programs	0.91	1.03	0.80	2.00	0.84	0.94	0.86	0.87	1.04	1.64

Important – Satisfaction Matrix

	Importance	Satisfaction
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	1.68
5A. Police services	2.40	1.57
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	0.70
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	1.64
5I. Managing land use	2.13	0.21
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	0.77
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.05
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	0.93
5O. Youth programs	1.69	0.91
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	0.31
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	0.75
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.29
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.03
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	0.77
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.02

Q7. Frequency of Participating in Recreation Department Activities (n=446)




Q7. Frequency of Participating in Recreation Department Activities


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
More than once a week	6 1.4%	4 1.7%	3 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	1 0.5%	4 3.0%	1 9.4%
Once a week	24 5.4%	6 3.0%	17 7.6%	0 0.0%	2 3.2%	0 0.0%	5 5.6%	6 4.1%	11 8.8%	1 12.9%
A few times a month	33 7.5%	11 5.2%	22 9.7%	0 0.0%	3 5.6%	5 15.3%	12 15.2%	7 5.3%	5 4.1%	0 0.0%
Once a month	15 3.3%	7 3.1%	8 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 17.2%	5 6.2%	2 1.1%	2 1.8%	0 0.0%
A few times a year	111 24.9%	56 26.1%	54 23.6%	1 41.3%	13 22.3%	9 27.4%	29 36.5%	29 20.9%	27 22.0%	2 32.1%
Once a year	44 9.9%	27 12.8%	17 7.3%	0 0.0%	13 21.2%	4 10.4%	7 8.3%	15 11.0%	6 4.7%	0 0.0%
Less than once a year	63 14.1%	23 10.9%	40 17.1%	0 0.0%	4 6.2%	1 2.6%	10 12.0%	32 22.8%	16 12.6%	1 12.2%
Never	137 30.7%	74 34.4%	62 26.9%	1 58.7%	25 41.4%	9 27.1%	9 11.7%	45 31.8%	47 37.4%	2 33.4%
DK/NA	13 2.9%	6 2.8%	7 3.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.9%	4 2.5%	7 5.6%	0 0.0%

Q8. Type of Transportation Used to Go to Work/School (n=446)



Q9. Frequency of Visiting Downtown (n=446)




Q9. Frequency of Visiting Downtown

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
Daily	102 22.8%	52 24.4%	49 21.2%	1 41.3%	4 6.0%	3 9.5%	10 12.4%	43 30.4%	41 32.9%	1 20.2%
Once a week	105 23.6%	44 20.8%	61 26.3%	0 0.0%	9 15.5%	11 32.5%	27 34.1%	27 19.6%	28 22.3%	2 29.9%
Weekly	72 16.1%	36 16.9%	36 15.4%	0 0.0%	11 18.5%	9 24.6%	13 16.3%	20 14.5%	19 15.0%	0 0.0%
A few times a month	75 16.9%	36 16.7%	39 16.7%	1 58.7%	18 31.0%	9 24.8%	12 14.4%	23 16.4%	13 10.4%	1 12.5%
Once a month	28 6.4%	13 6.1%	15 6.7%	0 0.0%	4 6.0%	2 6.1%	7 8.8%	7 5.3%	7 5.9%	1 12.9%
A few times a year	53 12.0%	29 13.6%	24 10.6%	0 0.0%	12 20.1%	1 2.5%	10 12.4%	15 11.0%	14 11.5%	1 12.9%
Once a year	2 0.4%	2 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.5%	0 0.0%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%
Less than once a year	5 1.2%	1 0.4%	4 1.9%	0 0.0%	2 3.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 1.9%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%
Never	3 0.7%	0 0.2%	3 1.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.9%	1 0.8%	1 11.6%

Q10. Transportation Used to Go Downtown (n=443)




Q10. Transportation Used to Go Downtown

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	443	213	228	2	59	35	81	139	123	6
Drive alone	331 74.8%	156 73.2%	173 76.1%	2 100.0%	40 66.6%	27 76.8%	58 72.4%	107 76.9%	95 76.8%	5 85.8%
Bicycle	14 3.2%	7 3.4%	7 3.1%	0 0.0%	2 3.0%	0 0.0%	7 8.1%	4 2.7%	2 1.8%	0 0.0%
Bus	6 1.3%	3 1.4%	3 1.3%	0 0.0%	3 5.1%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	0 0.0%	2 1.3%	0 0.0%
Motorcycle	1 0.2%	1 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Vanpool	1 0.3%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Walk	73 16.5%	33 15.3%	41 17.8%	0 0.0%	11 19.2%	7 20.3%	11 13.1%	26 18.5%	19 15.1%	0 0.0%
Other	14 3.2%	10 4.9%	4 1.7%	0 0.0%	4 6.1%	1 2.9%	2 3.1%	2 1.2%	5 3.7%	1 14.2%
DK/NA	2 0.4%	2 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.3%	0 0.0%

Q11. Rating of Current Vibrancy of Downtown (n=446)




Q11. Rating of Current Vibrancy of Downtown

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
Not at all vibrant	24	7	17	0	5	1	3	9	7	0
	5.5%	3.5%	7.4%	0.0%	8.8%	2.1%	3.1%	6.6%	5.4%	0.0%
02	58	30	27	1	2	3	19	23	10	1
	13.0%	13.9%	11.7%	58.7%	3.0%	8.2%	23.9%	16.3%	8.4%	9.4%
03	74	41	32	1	6	7	17	26	17	1
	16.6%	19.1%	14.1%	41.3%	9.7%	19.8%	21.2%	18.5%	14.0%	11.6%
04	111	52	59	0	10	11	26	34	28	2
	24.9%	24.3%	25.6%	0.0%	16.7%	32.4%	31.8%	24.1%	22.8%	28.5%
05	89	38	51	0	9	8	9	25	37	2
	20.0%	17.8%	22.3%	0.0%	15.2%	22.5%	10.7%	18.1%	29.5%	25.8%
06	51	27	25	0	12	5	6	14	13	2
	11.5%	12.5%	10.7%	0.0%	20.6%	15.1%	7.4%	9.8%	10.1%	24.7%
Extremely vibrant	28	13	15	0	15	0	2	6	4	0
	6.2%	6.2%	6.4%	0.0%	26.0%	0.0%	1.9%	4.5%	3.6%	0.0%
DK/NA	10	6	4	0	0	0	0	3	8	0
	2.3%	2.8%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	6.1%	0.0%

Q12. Preference for Future Vibrancy of Los Altos (n=446)




Q12. Preference for Future Vibrancy of Downtown

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
Not at all vibrant	4 1.0%	4 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.9%	0 0.0%	3 2.2%	0 0.0%
02	4 0.9%	0 0.0%	4 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.8%	1 0.6%	1 9.4%
03	18 4.0%	12 5.7%	6 2.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 9.1%	1 1.3%	5 3.8%	7 6.0%	1 12.9%
04	44 9.9%	26 12.3%	17 7.3%	1 58.7%	4 6.7%	3 8.8%	12 14.3%	10 7.2%	15 12.1%	1 8.9%
05	107 24.1%	53 24.7%	54 23.4%	1 41.3%	10 16.8%	5 15.5%	18 22.6%	45 32.0%	29 23.4%	0 0.0%
06	147 33.0%	66 31.1%	81 34.9%	0 0.0%	24 40.3%	11 32.0%	28 34.7%	46 32.6%	35 28.0%	4 49.2%
Extremely vibrant	114 25.6%	50 23.5%	64 27.7%	0 0.0%	21 36.2%	12 34.7%	20 25.1%	30 21.4%	30 24.4%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	7 1.6%	2 0.8%	6 2.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.2%	4 3.3%	1 19.6%

Q13. Willingness to Park in Proposed Venues (n=446)




Q13. Willingness to Park in Proposed Venues

Gender and Age Comparisons

		Respondent's Gender				Age					
		Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352 78.8%	172 80.8%	177 76.8%	2 100.0%	52 87.5%	27 78.3%	68 83.9%	109 78.2%	92 73.9%	4 51.4%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61 13.7%	29 13.5%	32 13.9%	0 0.0%	7 12.5%	5 15.7%	9 11.5%	16 11.6%	23 18.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	33 7.5%	12 5.7%	21 9.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 6.0%	4 4.5%	14 10.2%	10 8.0%	3 48.6%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357 80.1%	177 83.2%	178 77.2%	2 100.0%	53 88.6%	32 91.8%	74 92.1%	110 78.9%	86 68.8%	3 39.2%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58 13.1%	23 10.7%	36 15.5%	0 0.0%	7 11.4%	2 5.7%	4 4.8%	15 11.0%	28 22.4%	3 36.6%
	DK/NA	30 6.8%	13 6.2%	17 7.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.5%	2 3.1%	14 10.1%	11 8.8%	2 24.1%
13C. On the street	Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389 87.2%	185 86.6%	202 87.7%	2 100.0%	54 90.7%	29 84.9%	73 91.1%	121 86.4%	106 85.4%	5 75.9%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30 6.8%	16 7.6%	14 6.2%	0 0.0%	6 9.3%	5 15.1%	5 5.9%	7 5.1%	7 5.6%	1 11.6%
	DK/NA	26 5.9%	12 5.8%	14 6.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 3.0%	12 8.6%	11 9.0%	1 12.5%

Q14. Ability to Find Parking Downtown in Reasonable Time (n=446)




Q14. Ability to Find Parking Downtown in Reasonable Time

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
Always	123 27.6%	73 34.0%	51 22.0%	0 0.0%	26 43.0%	8 22.9%	17 21.4%	36 25.5%	35 28.5%	1 20.5%
Most of the time	207 46.4%	97 45.6%	109 47.4%	0 0.0%	22 36.3%	15 43.1%	44 54.1%	65 46.3%	58 47.1%	3 46.0%
Some of the time	102 22.9%	37 17.1%	64 27.6%	2 100.0%	12 20.6%	9 26.9%	17 21.4%	37 26.4%	26 20.7%	1 9.4%
None of the time	5 1.1%	3 1.3%	2 1.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 7.0%	1 1.5%	0 0.0%	1 1.2%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	9 1.9%	4 2.0%	4 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	3 1.8%	3 2.5%	2 24.1%

Q15. Support for 3-Story, Above Ground Parking Structure with Residential/Retail Space (n=446)




Q15. Support for 3-Story, Above Ground Parking Structure with Residential/Retail Space

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
Strongly support	148 33.2%	76 35.8%	71 31.0%	0 0.0%	17 28.6%	12 34.5%	40 49.2%	38 27.2%	41 32.7%	1 9.4%
Somewhat support	126 28.2%	59 27.7%	65 28.1%	2 100.0%	24 39.7%	9 24.9%	16 20.3%	48 34.2%	29 23.6%	0 0.0%
Somewhat oppose	61 13.7%	28 13.3%	33 14.2%	0 0.0%	8 13.1%	7 19.6%	12 15.1%	15 11.0%	16 13.2%	3 37.6%
Strongly oppose	93 20.8%	44 20.8%	48 20.9%	0 0.0%	9 15.2%	7 18.8%	11 14.1%	33 23.6%	31 25.1%	1 20.5%
DK/NA	18 4.1%	5 2.4%	13 5.8%	0 0.0%	2 3.4%	1 2.1%	1 1.4%	6 3.9%	7 5.4%	2 32.5%

Q16. Support for 2-Story, Above Ground Parking Structure with Residential/Retail Space (n=446)



Q16. Support for 2-Story, Above Ground Parking Structure with Residential/Retail Space

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
Strongly support	206 46.2%	96 44.9%	110 47.8%	0 0.0%	26 44.3%	16 46.6%	48 60.2%	57 41.0%	57 45.8%	1 9.4%
Somewhat support	129 28.9%	66 30.7%	62 26.7%	2 100.0%	20 33.8%	14 39.6%	19 24.1%	43 31.0%	31 24.8%	2 23.8%
Somewhat oppose	38 8.5%	17 7.9%	21 9.2%	0 0.0%	6 9.8%	1 2.7%	6 7.9%	13 9.1%	10 8.4%	2 25.4%
Strongly oppose	48 10.7%	29 13.4%	19 8.3%	0 0.0%	5 8.7%	3 8.9%	5 6.5%	18 12.6%	16 12.9%	1 8.9%
DK/NA	25 5.6%	7 3.1%	18 8.0%	0 0.0%	2 3.4%	1 2.1%	1 1.4%	9 6.3%	10 8.1%	2 32.5%

Q17. Agreement with Statements on Storm Water Issues (n=446)

C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay

A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable

B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos




Q17. Agreement with Statements on Storm Water Issues

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.44	1.55	-1.00	1.52	1.43	1.47	1.40	1.59	1.50
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	0.83	1.25	-2.00	1.20	1.19	1.17	0.82	1.10	0.80
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.49	1.75		1.81	1.54	1.74	1.53	1.62	1.38

Q18. Location for Shopping (n=446)



Q18. Location for Shopping Gender and Age Comparisons I

		Respondent's Gender				Age					
		Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
18A. Groceries	Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Los Altos	341 76.5%	165 77.2%	175 76.0%	1 41.3%	47 78.7%	31 89.1%	53 65.4%	110 78.3%	96 77.5%	5 65.7%
	Other Communities	94 21.1%	43 20.4%	49 21.4%	1 58.7%	9 14.7%	3 7.9%	24 30.3%	29 21.0%	26 21.0%	2 34.3%
	Online	8 1.9%	3 1.5%	5 2.3%	0 0.0%	4 6.5%	1 3.1%	3 4.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	OTHER	1 0.2%	1 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	1 0.6%	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.7%	1 0.9%	0 0.0%
18B. Clothing	Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Los Altos	66 14.7%	22 10.4%	43 18.8%	0 0.0%	6 9.9%	1 2.1%	8 9.5%	19 13.4%	29 23.6%	3 46.1%
	Other Communities	250 56.1%	127 59.7%	121 52.4%	2 100.0%	29 48.8%	16 46.5%	54 66.6%	82 58.3%	66 53.0%	4 53.9%
	Online	113 25.4%	54 25.1%	60 25.9%	0 0.0%	23 38.4%	17 48.8%	18 22.4%	34 24.5%	21 17.0%	0 0.0%
	OTHER	12 2.8%	7 3.4%	5 2.3%	0 0.0%	2 2.9%	1 2.6%	0 0.0%	4 3.1%	6 4.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	4 1.0%	3 1.4%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.5%	1 0.7%	2 1.8%	0 0.0%

Q18. Location for Shopping II

Gender and Age Comparisons II

		Respondent's Gender				Age					
		Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
18C. Electronics	Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Los Altos	26 5.9%	12 5.5%	15 6.3%	0 0.0%	5 8.5%	2 7.0%	3 3.1%	5 3.9%	10 7.7%	1 21.0%
	Other Communities	166 37.2%	70 32.9%	94 41.0%	1 58.7%	22 37.7%	8 23.3%	18 22.1%	58 41.1%	57 45.5%	4 49.2%
	Online	225 50.5%	122 57.2%	102 44.4%	1 41.3%	30 51.0%	23 67.2%	54 67.5%	68 48.4%	49 39.3%	1 8.0%
	OTHER	17 3.9%	6 3.0%	11 4.7%	0 0.0%	2 2.9%	1 2.5%	4 4.5%	6 4.4%	5 3.8%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	11 2.5%	3 1.4%	8 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.8%	3 2.1%	5 3.7%	2 21.8%
18D. Furniture	Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Los Altos	29 6.4%	15 6.9%	14 6.0%	0 0.0%	10 17.1%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	7 4.8%	9 7.2%	1 21.0%
	Other Communities	306 68.6%	154 72.1%	151 65.6%	1 41.3%	30 50.3%	24 70.0%	67 82.8%	99 70.6%	82 66.1%	4 58.5%
	Online	41 9.2%	18 8.6%	23 9.9%	0 0.0%	8 13.3%	8 21.8%	10 12.3%	10 7.4%	5 4.4%	0 0.0%
	OTHER	29 6.5%	10 4.5%	18 7.9%	1 58.7%	4 6.2%	2 5.1%	3 3.2%	12 8.5%	8 6.2%	1 20.5%
	DK/NA	41 9.2%	17 7.8%	24 10.6%	0 0.0%	8 13.1%	1 3.1%	0 0.0%	12 8.7%	20 16.2%	0 0.0%

Q18. Location for Shopping Gender and Age Comparisons III


		Respondent's Gender				Age					
		Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
18E. Autos	Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Los Altos	37	22	15	0	8	4	4	13	8	1
		8.3%	10.2%	6.6%	0.0%	13.7%	12.0%	4.6%	9.0%	6.1%	11.6%
	Other Communities	319	159	158	2	37	27	56	102	92	5
		71.5%	74.6%	68.4%	100.0%	62.0%	76.8%	69.9%	73.2%	73.9%	67.9%
	Online	25	17	8	0	6	2	7	6	4	0
	5.6%	8.2%	3.3%	0.0%	10.1%	5.0%	9.2%	4.4%	3.0%	0.0%	
	OTHER	31	7	25	0	2	2	7	9	10	1
		7.0%	3.1%	10.7%	0.0%	2.9%	6.2%	9.1%	6.5%	7.7%	20.5%
	DK/NA	34	8	25	0	7	0	6	10	12	0
		7.5%	3.9%	10.9%	0.0%	11.2%	0.0%	7.1%	6.9%	9.3%	0.0%
18F. Housewares	Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Los Altos	97	41	56	0	16	6	7	23	42	3
		21.8%	19.3%	24.3%	0.0%	27.6%	17.0%	9.0%	16.6%	33.4%	41.2%
	Other Communities	202	101	100	1	22	9	45	72	50	4
		45.3%	47.5%	43.4%	41.3%	37.5%	27.2%	55.9%	51.3%	39.9%	58.8%
	Online	121	58	61	1	17	15	26	39	23	0
	27.0%	27.1%	26.7%	58.7%	29.0%	44.5%	32.1%	27.7%	18.7%	0.0%	
	OTHER	15	5	10	0	2	4	0	4	5	0
		3.4%	2.2%	4.5%	0.0%	2.9%	11.3%	0.0%	3.2%	4.1%	0.0%
	DK/NA	11	8	3	0	2	0	2	2	5	0
		2.4%	3.8%	1.2%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	3.1%	1.2%	3.9%	0.0%

Q18. Location for Shopping


Gender and Age Comparisons IV

		Respondent's Gender				Age					
		Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
18G. Children's toys	Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Los Altos	118 26.4%	46 21.4%	71 30.8%	1 58.7%	14 23.1%	7 19.9%	25 31.5%	34 24.6%	36 28.9%	2 21.6%
	Other Communities	96 21.5%	47 22.2%	49 21.1%	0 0.0%	12 20.5%	6 17.9%	16 20.2%	35 24.8%	25 19.9%	2 25.4%
	Online	137 30.7%	69 32.2%	68 29.5%	0 0.0%	23 38.5%	17 49.5%	35 43.8%	39 27.7%	22 17.7%	1 11.6%
	OTHER	20 4.4%	9 4.0%	11 4.8%	0 0.0%	4 6.1%	1 2.6%	0 0.0%	7 5.4%	8 6.2%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	76 16.9%	43 20.2%	32 13.7%	1 41.3%	7 11.7%	4 10.1%	4 4.5%	25 17.6%	34 27.2%	3 41.4%

Q19. What Would Encourage Shopping in Los Altos More Often (n=442)



Q20. Support for Development of More Housing in Los Altos (n=446)




Q20. Support for Development of More Housing in Los Altos

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
Strongly support	114 25.5%	56 26.0%	58 25.3%	0 0.0%	17 28.1%	18 51.6%	14 17.8%	37 26.5%	26 21.1%	2 21.6%
Somewhat support	134 30.1%	59 27.4%	76 32.9%	0 0.0%	24 40.0%	6 16.0%	23 29.0%	41 29.2%	37 29.6%	4 56.6%
Somewhat oppose	73 16.3%	36 16.8%	37 15.9%	0 0.0%	7 12.6%	5 13.8%	15 19.0%	21 15.3%	23 18.2%	1 12.9%
Strongly oppose	98 21.9%	54 25.1%	42 18.3%	2 100.0%	6 9.8%	6 16.5%	24 29.9%	34 24.3%	28 22.1%	1 8.9%
DK/NA	28 6.2%	10 4.7%	18 7.6%	0 0.0%	6 9.5%	1 2.1%	4 4.4%	7 4.7%	11 9.0%	0 0.0%

Q21. Highest Density Housing Supported (n=248)




Q21. Highest Density Housing Supported


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender			Age					
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	248	114	134	40	23	38	78	63	6
4 story buildings	80 32.4%	48 42.3%	32 24.0%	17 42.0%	9 37.6%	12 33.1%	27 34.4%	15 23.3%	1 12.1%
3 story buildings	79 31.9%	24 20.8%	55 41.3%	10 25.6%	7 29.5%	9 24.1%	27 35.0%	25 40.2%	0 0.0%
2 story buildings	51 20.5%	24 21.2%	27 19.8%	7 16.3%	3 14.8%	9 24.5%	17 21.4%	13 20.8%	2 30.4%
1 story buildings	5 2.0%	4 3.6%	1 0.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.3%	2 3.7%	1 16.0%
Single family homes	19 7.7%	5 4.7%	14 10.2%	2 4.3%	2 9.1%	5 14.0%	4 4.8%	5 8.5%	1 14.8%
DK/NA	14 5.6%	9 7.5%	5 4.0%	5 11.9%	2 9.0%	2 4.3%	2 2.1%	2 3.5%	1 26.7%


Q22. Preferred Online News and Information Sources (n=446)



Q23. Preferred Printed News and Information Sources (n=446)



Q24. Visited www.losaltosca.gov (n=446)




Q24. Visited www.losaltosca.gov

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	213	231	2	59	35	81	140	124	7
Yes	307 68.9%	145 67.9%	161 70.0%	1 58.7%	27 46.2%	30 85.2%	74 91.3%	106 75.7%	70 56.5%	1 11.6%
No	121 27.1%	62 28.9%	59 25.7%	0 0.0%	27 45.2%	5 14.8%	7 8.7%	29 20.8%	48 38.2%	5 75.5%
DK/NA	18 3.9%	7 3.2%	10 4.3%	1 41.3%	5 8.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 3.5%	7 5.3%	1 12.9%

Q25. Preferred Sources for City Info (n=446)



- An overwhelming 95.4 percent of residents are satisfied with the quality of life in Los Altos, with 62.8 saying they are very satisfied.
- In an open-end format, residents' top concerns are:
 - Affordable housing (28.5%)
 - Traffic congestion (24.4%)
 - Controlling growth (19.8%)
- 82 percent of residents are satisfied with the job the City is doing to provide municipal services.
 - 35.2 percent of respondents indicated that they are “very satisfied” and 46.8 percent are “somewhat satisfied” with the job the City is doing to provide services.
- The most important municipal services are:
 - Fire protection services
 - Police services
 - Traffic safety
 - Garbage collection and recycling program

- Residents are most satisfied with:
 - Fire protection services
 - Garbage collection and recycling program
 - Police services
- 42.5 percent indicated they participate in Los Altos recreation activities a few times a year.
- 85.7 percent of residents visit downtown at least once a month, and 74.8 percent drive alone to get there.
- On a scale of 1 to 7, respondents gave downtown an average vibrancy rating of 4.0.
- On a scale of 1 to 7, respondents indicated they would like Los Altos to be a little more vibrant, suggesting rating of 5.61.
- Respondents are willing to park in a variety of downtown facilities:
 - 78.8 percent would be willing to park in an “above ground parking structure”
 - 80.1 percent would be willing to park in an “unground garage”
 - 87.2 percent would be willing to park “on the street”

- 74 percent are able to find parking in a “reasonable amount of time” “always” or “most of the time”.
- 61.4 percent support a 3-story above ground parking structure on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos, and 75.1 percent support a 2-story above ground parking structure.
- There is strong support for complying with clean water requirements, investing in storm drain infrastructure, and improving the storm drains to prevent flooding.
- Respondents various propensities for shopping locally depending on the item:
 - Groceries 76.5%
 - Children’s toys 26.4%
 - Housewares 21.8%
 - Clothing 14.7%
 - Autos 8.3%
 - Furniture 6.4%
 - Electronics 5.9%

- 35.3 percent of the respondents indicated that a “better variety of stores/shops/retail” would encourage them to shop in Los Altos more often.
- 55.6 percent support encouraging more housing development in Los Altos.
- Among those that support more housing in Los Altos, 64.3 percent of the respondents would support a housing density of 3 stories, with 32.4 percent willing to support 4 stories.
- In terms of local news sources:
 - 58.5 percent indicated the Los Altos Town Crier was their preferred online source, followed by Next Door (28%) and the San Jose Mercury (23.4%).
 - 77 percent indicated the Los Altos Town Crier was their preferred print news source, followed by the San Jose Mercury (28.3%).
 - 68.9 percent have visited the City of Los Altos website.
- 51.1 percent indicated email was their preferred mode of receiving information from the city, followed by brochures or flyers in the mail (26.1%), the City website (17.6%), and Next Door (16.5%).




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight




Appendix A: Additional Demographic Information


QA. Gender




QB. Years Lived in Los Altos




QC. Home Ownership




QD. Employment Status




QE. County Where You Work




QF. Ethnicity







QH. Individual Party




Q1. Household Party Type




QJ. Registration Date




QK. Voting History




QL. Times Voted in Last Elections




QM. Times Voted Absentee




QN. Permanent Absentee Voter




QO. Likely Absentee Voter




QQ. Zip Code



QS. Language of Interview



QT. Interview Type





GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix B: Detailed Methodology

Survey Parameters

Godbe Research conducted a total of 446 interviews representing 19,637 registered voters in the City of Los Altos. The error rate is plus or minus 4.59% for the sample of 446 registered voters. Interviews were conducted from December 2 through December 9, 2017. The average interview time was approximately 22.5 minutes.

Sample and Weighting

Once collected, the sample of voters was compared with the respective voter population in the City to examine possible differences between the demographics of the sample and the actual universe of voters. The data were weighted to correct these differences, and the results presented are representative of the voter characteristics of the City of Los Altos in terms of gender, age, political party type, and election timing.

Questionnaire Methodology

To avoid the problem of systematic position bias, where the order in which a series of questions is asked systematically influences the answers, several questions in the survey were randomized such that the respondents were not consistently asked the questions in the same order. The series of items in Questions 5, 6, 13, 17, and 18 were randomized to avoid such position bias. Questions 3, 8, 19, 22, 23, and 25 allowed the voters surveyed to mention multiple responses and may sum to more than 100.

Because a survey typically involves a limited number of people who are part of a larger population group, by mere chance alone there will almost always be some differences between a sample and the population from which it was drawn. These differences are known as “sampling error” and they are expected to occur regardless of how scientifically the sample has been selected. The advantage of a scientific sample is that we are able to calculate the sampling error. Sampling error is determined by four factors: the population size, the sample size, a confidence level, and the dispersion of responses.

For example, the following table shows the possible sampling variation that applies to a percent result reported from a probability type sample. Because the sample of 446 adult residents was drawn from the estimated population of the City of Los Altos of approximately 19,637 adult residents, one can be 95% confident that the margin of error due to sampling will not vary, plus or minus, by more than the indicated number of percent points from the result that would have been obtained if the interviews had been conducted with all persons in the universe. As the table on the following page indicates, the margin of error for all aggregate responses is between 2.75 and 4.59% for the survey.

This means that, for a given question with dichotomous response options (e.g., Yes/No) answered by 446 respondents, one can be 95% confident that the difference between the percent breakdowns of the sample and those of the total population is no greater than 4.50%. The percent margin of error applies to both sides of the answer, so that for a question in which 50% of respondents said yes, one can be 95% confident that the actual percent of the population that would say yes is between 45% (50 minus 4.59) and 55% (50 plus 4.59).

The margin of error for a given question also depends on the distribution of responses to the question. The 4.59% refers to dichotomous questions where opinions are evenly split in the sample with 50% of respondents saying yes and 50% saying no. If that same question were to receive a response in which 10% of the respondents say yes and 90% say no, then the margin of error would be no greater than plus or minus 2.75%. As the number of respondents in a particular subgroup (e.g., age) is smaller than the number of total respondents, the margin of error associated with estimating a given subgroup’s response will be higher. Due to the high margin of error, Godbe Research cautions against generalizing the results for subgroups that are comprised of 25 or fewer respondents.

Margin of Error II

<i>n</i>	Distribution of Responses				
	90% / 10%	80% / 20%	70% / 30%	60% / 40%	50% / 50%
1000	1.81%	2.42%	2.77%	2.96%	3.02%
900	1.91%	2.55%	2.92%	3.13%	3.19%
800	2.04%	2.71%	3.11%	3.33%	3.39%
600	2.36%	3.15%	3.61%	3.86%	3.94%
500	2.60%	3.46%	3.97%	4.24%	4.33%
446	2.75%	3.67%	4.20%	4.49%	4.59%
300	3.37%	4.49%	5.15%	5.50%	5.61%
200	4.14%	5.52%	6.32%	6.76%	6.89%
150	4.78%	6.38%	7.31%	7.81%	7.97%
100	5.87%	7.82%	8.96%	9.58%	9.78%

Reading Crosstabulation Tables

The questions discussed and analyzed in this report comprise a subset of various crosstabulation tables available for each question. Only those subgroups that are of particular interest or that illustrate particular insights are included in the discussion. Should readers wish to conduct a closer analysis of subgroups for a given question, the complete breakdowns appear in Appendix E. These crosstabulation tables provide detailed information on the responses to each question by demographic and behavioral groups that were assessed in the survey. A typical crosstabulation table is shown here.

A short description of the item appears on the left-hand side of the table. The item sample size (n = 600) is presented in the first column of data under “Total.”

The results to each possible answer choice of all respondents are presented in the first column of data under “Total.” The aggregate number of respondents in each answer category is presented as a whole number, and the percent of the entire sample that this number represents is just below the whole number. In this example, among the total respondents, 268 residents reported their “Yes” response, and this number of respondents equals 44.7% of the total sample size of 600. Next to the “Total” column are the other columns representing responses men and women. The data from these columns are read in exactly the same fashion as the data in the “Total” column, although each group makes up a smaller percent of the entire sample.

EXAMPLE OF DATA CROSSTABULATION TABLE		Total	Male	Female
Have you contacted a City department in the last 12 months?	Total	600	273	327
	Yes	268	114	154
		44.7%	41.8%	47.1%
	No	331	159	172
		55.2%	58.2%	52.6%
	DK/NA	1	0	1
0.2%		0.0%	0.3%	

Subgroup Comparisons

To test whether or not the differences found in percent results among subgroups are likely due to actual differences in opinions or behaviors – rather than the results of chance due to the random nature of the sampling design – a “z-test” was performed. In the headings of each column are labels, “A,” “B,” “C,” etc. along with a description of the variable. The “z-test” is performed by comparing the percent in each cell with all other cells in the same row within a given variable (within Gender in the pictured table, for example).

The results from the “z-test” are displayed in a separate table below the crosstabulation table. If the percent in one cell is statistically different from the percent in another, the column label will be displayed in the cell from which it varies significantly. For instance, in the adjacent table, a significantly higher percent of women (47.1%) reported “Yes” than men (41.8%). Hence, the letter “A,” which stands for men, appears under Column “B,” which stands for women. The letters in the table indicate the differences where one can be 95% confident that the results are due to actual differences in opinions or behaviors reported by subgroups of respondents.

It is important to note that the percent difference among subgroups is just one piece in the equation to determine whether or not two percentage figures are significantly different from each other. The variance and sample size associated with each data point is integral to determining significance.

Therefore, two calculations may be different from each other, yet the difference may not be statistically significant according to the “z” statistic.

EXAMPLE OF DATA CROSSTABULATION TABLE		Total	Male	Female
Have you contacted a City department in the last 12 months?	Total	600	273	327
	Yes	268	114	154
		44.7%	41.8%	47.1%
	No	331	159	172
		55.2%	58.2%	52.6%
	DK/NA	1	0	1
0.2%		0.0%	0.3%	

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR Z-TEST		Total	Male (A)	Female (B)
Have you contacted a City department in the last 12 months?	Total	600	273	327
	Yes			A
	No			
	DK/NA			

Understanding a Mean

In addition to the analysis of the percent of the responses, some results are discussed with respect to an average score. To derive the overall importance of a city service, Q5 for example, a number value was assigned to each response category – in this case +3.0 = “Extremely Important” = +3.0, “Very Important” = +2.0, “Somewhat Important” = +1.0, “Not At All Important” = 0.0.

The number values that correspond to respondents’ answers were then averaged to produce a final score that reflects the overall importance of an issue. The resulting mean score makes the interpretation of the data considerably easier.

In the crosstabulation tables for Questions 5, 6 and 17 of the survey, the reader will find mean scores. These mean scores represent the average response of each group. The table to the right shows the scales for each corresponding question. Responses of “DK/NA” were not included in the calculations of the means for any question.

Question	Measure	Scale	Values
Q5	Importance	+3 to 0	+3.0 = “Extremely Important” +2.0 = “Very Important” +1.0 = “Somewhat Important” 0.0 = “Not At All Important”
Q6	Satisfaction Ratings	-2 to +2	+2 = “Very Satisfied” +1 = “Somewhat Satisfied” -1 = “Somewhat Dissatisfied” -2 = “Very Dissatisfied”
Q17	Agreement	-2 to +2	+2 = “Strongly Agree” +1 = “Somewhat Agree” -1 = “Somewhat Disagree” -2 = “Strongly Disagree”

Means Comparisons

A typical crosstabulation table of mean scores is shown in the adjacent table. All subgroups of interest concerning questions 5, 6 and 17 are included in Appendix E.

The aggregate mean score for each item in the question series is presented in the first column of the data under “Total.” For example, among all the survey respondents, the feature A, “Overall appearance of City,” earned a mean score of 1.8. Next to the “Total” column are other columns representing the mean scores assigned by the respondents grouped by Gender.

The data from these columns are read in the same fashion as the data in the “Total” column. To test whether two mean scores are statistically different, a “t-test” is performed. As in the case of the “z-test” for percentage figures, a statistically significant result is indicated by the letter representing the data column.

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR MEANS COMPARISON	Gender		
	Total	Male	Female
Overall appearance of the City	1.8	1.7	1.9
Recreational opportunities	1.6	1.5	1.6
Access to quality affordable housing	-0.4	-0.3	-0.5
Overall image and reputation of City	1.4	1.3	1.4

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR T-TEST	Gender	
	Male	Female
	(A)	(B)
Overall appearance of the City		A
Recreational opportunities		
Access to quality affordable housing		
Overall image and reputation of City		



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix C: Topline Report



CITY OF LOS ALTOS

2017 Community Survey

Topline Report
 n=446
 22.5-minutes
 Sample: All Registered Voters

December 21, 2017

www.godberesearch.com

Northern California and Corporate Offices
 1575 Old Bayshore Highway, Suite 102
 Burlingame, CA 94010

Nevada
 59 Damonte Ranch Parkway, Suite B309
 Reno, NV 89521

Pacific Northwest
 601 108th Avenue NE, Suite 1900
 Bellevue, WA 98004

METHODOLOGY

Sample Universe:
 - 19,637 Registered Voters
Sample Size:
 n=446 Registered Voters
Data Collection Methodology:
 n=78 Landline
 n=68 Cell Phone
 n=139 Online from email invitation
 n=161 Online from text invitation
Margin of Error:
 - Registered Voters ± 4.59%
Interview Dates: December 2 to December 9, 2017

OVERALL PERCEPTIONS OF LIVING IN LOS ALTOS

		Total		
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	62.8%	280	
	Somewhat satisfied	32.6%	146	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.4%	15	
	Very dissatisfied	0.9%	4	
	DK/NA	0.3%	1	
	- Total Satisfied	95.4%		
	- Total Dissatisfied	4.3%		
	- Ratio Sat to Dissat	22.4		
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?	School system	25.2%	112	
	Small town atmosphere	13.1%	59	
	Close to work	11.1%	49	
	Grew up here	10.1%	45	
	Friends/family here	9.4%	42	
	I could afford a house/Big lots	7.8%	35	
	Quality of life	6.5%	29	
	Safety/low crime	4.5%	20	
	Enjoy/like the City	4.2%	19	
	Location	3.5%	15	
	Downtown	1.3%	6	
	Job	1.1%	5	
	Retirement	0.8%	3	
	Other mention - Positive	0.0%	0	
	Other mention - Negative	0.7%	3	
Other mention	0.7%	3		
DK/NA	0.0%	0		

		Total			
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean	
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?	Affordable housing	28.5%	127		
	Traffic	24.4%	109		
	Controlling growth	19.8%	88		
	Education	13.7%	61		
	Downtown needs revitalization	10.8%	48		
	Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	7.1%	32		
	Condition of civic buildings	6.1%	27		
	Parking	5.6%	25		
	Need store/Restaurants	4.9%	22		
	City's economic health	4.6%	20		
	Quality of life	4.4%	20		
	Taxes	3.7%	16		
	Crime	3.2%	14		
	School site issue	3.1%	14		
	Government/Listen to voters	2.6%	12		
	Public transportation	2.0%	9		
	Protection of open space	2.0%	9		
	Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	1.9%	9		
	Teen programs	1.8%	8		
	Neighborhood preservation	1.3%	6		
	Race relations	1.1%	5		
	Environmental health	0.4%	2		
	Poor cell coverage	0.3%	1		
	Inconvenient library hours	0.0%	0		
	Other mention - Positive	0.0%	0		
	Other mention - Negative	0.9%	4		
	None/Nothing	0.8%	4		
	Other mention	3.3%	15		
	DK/NA	4.4%	20		
	4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	35.2%	157	
		Somewhat satisfied	46.8%	209	
Somewhat dissatisfied		10.3%	46		
Very dissatisfied		1.7%	8		
DK/NA		6.0%	27		
- Total Satisfied		82.0%			
- Total Dissatisfied		12.0%			
- Ratio Sat to Dissat	6.9				

IMPORTANCE OF CITY SERVICES, PROGRAMS AND FACILITIES

		Total		
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
5A. Police services	Extremely important	52.3%	233	88.6%
	Very important	36.3%	162	
	Somewhat important	9.6%	43	
	Not at all important	1.3%	6	
	DK/NA	0.5%	2	
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Extremely important	41.8%	186	87.3%
	Very important	45.5%	203	
	Somewhat important	12.2%	54	
	Not at all important	0.5%	2	
5C. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	0.0%	0	
	Extremely important	18.4%	82	61.9%
	Very important	43.5%	194	
	Somewhat important	35.8%	160	
	Not at all important	1.9%	8	
5D. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	0.4%	2	
	Extremely important	25.9%	116	71.4%
	Very important	45.5%	203	
	Somewhat important	27.9%	124	
	Not at all important	0.3%	1	
5E. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	0.4%	2	
	Extremely important	12.0%	54	47.7%
	Very important	35.7%	159	
	Somewhat important	47.0%	210	
	Not at all important	5.2%	23	
5F. Traffic safety	DK/NA	0.1%	0	
	Extremely important	48.8%	218	85.6%
	Very important	36.7%	164	
	Somewhat important	12.5%	56	
	Not at all important	1.8%	8	
5G. Economic development efforts	DK/NA	0.2%	1	
	Extremely important	18.1%	81	53.6%
	Very important	35.5%	158	
	Somewhat important	32.1%	143	
	Not at all important	10.1%	45	
5H. Sports fields	DK/NA	4.1%	18	
	Extremely important	14.3%	64	50.5%
	Very important	36.2%	161	
	Somewhat important	35.2%	157	
	Not at all important	12.7%	57	
5I. Managing land use	DK/NA	1.6%	7	
	Extremely important	35.2%	157	78.3%
	Very important	43.1%	192	
	Somewhat important	15.3%	68	
	Not at all important	3.7%	17	
DK/NA	2.7%	12		

		Total		
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	53.2%	237	91.6%
	Very important	38.4%	171	
	Somewhat important	8.0%	35	
	Not at all important	0.0%	0	
	DK/NA	0.4%	2	
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	28.6%	128	62.8%
	Very important	34.1%	152	
	Somewhat important	27.7%	123	
	Not at all important	7.2%	32	
	DK/NA	2.3%	10	
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	14.8%	66	47.8%
	Very important	33.0%	147	
	Somewhat important	34.4%	154	
	Not at all important	14.8%	66	
	DK/NA	2.9%	13	
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	14.2%	63	47.6%
	Very important	33.5%	149	
	Somewhat important	33.4%	149	
	Not at all important	15.9%	71	
	DK/NA	3.0%	13	
5N. Youth facilities	Extremely important	15.2%	68	54.2%
	Very important	39.1%	174	
	Somewhat important	34.0%	152	
	Not at all important	9.3%	41	
	DK/NA	2.5%	11	
5O. Youth programs	Extremely important	17.3%	77	59.1%
	Very important	41.9%	187	
	Somewhat important	29.4%	131	
	Not at all important	9.3%	42	
	DK/NA	2.1%	10	

IMPORTANCE OF CITY SERVICES, PROGRAMS AND FACILITIES -- MEAN

	Total		
	Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
5J. Fire protection services			2.45
5A. Police services			2.40
5F. Traffic safety			2.33
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program			2.29
5I. Managing land use			2.13
5D. Street pavement maintenance			1.97
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs			1.86
5C. Street tree maintenance			1.79
5O. Youth programs			1.69
5G. Economic development efforts			1.64
5N. Youth facilities			1.62
5E. Street sweeping services			1.55
5H. Sports fields			1.53
5L. Senior facilities			1.49
5M. Senior programs			1.47

SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES, PROGRAMS AND FACILITIES

		Total		
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	60.4%	269	91.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	31.4%	140	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2.7%	12	
	Very dissatisfied	0.4%	2	
	DK/NA	5.1%	23	
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very satisfied	66.4%	296	96.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	29.8%	133	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1.9%	8	
	Very dissatisfied	0.0%	0	
	DK/NA	1.9%	9	
6C. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	29.1%	130	77.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	48.4%	216	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8.7%	39	
	Very dissatisfied	6.0%	27	
	DK/NA	7.8%	35	
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	27.3%	122	73.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	46.0%	205	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18.0%	80	
	Very dissatisfied	4.4%	20	
	DK/NA	4.3%	19	
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	45.6%	203	85.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	40.3%	180	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.6%	25	
	Very dissatisfied	2.4%	11	
	DK/NA	6.1%	27	
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	25.6%	114	73.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	47.8%	213	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15.9%	71	
	Very dissatisfied	7.8%	35	
	DK/NA	3.0%	13	
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	13.3%	59	45.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	32.3%	144	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19.2%	86	
	Very dissatisfied	8.6%	38	
	DK/NA	26.7%	119	
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	26.1%	116	63.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	37.6%	168	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.9%	35	
	Very dissatisfied	2.7%	12	
	DK/NA	25.7%	115	
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	13.2%	59	46.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	33.4%	149	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24.7%	110	
	Very dissatisfied	9.2%	41	
	DK/NA	19.5%	87	

		Total		
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	62.5%	279	85.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	22.6%	101	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1.5%	7	
	Very dissatisfied	0.2%	1	
	DK/NA	13.2%	59	
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	22.2%	99	65.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	42.9%	191	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6.7%	30	
	Very dissatisfied	1.8%	8	
	DK/NA	26.4%	118	
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	13.9%	62	45.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	31.1%	139	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.7%	34	
	Very dissatisfied	3.8%	17	
	DK/NA	43.5%	194	
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	18.7%	83	50.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	31.3%	140	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	23	
	Very dissatisfied	2.3%	10	
	DK/NA	42.5%	189	
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	15.2%	68	51.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	36.1%	161	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.6%	56	
	Very dissatisfied	2.1%	9	
	DK/NA	34.1%	152	
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	15.4%	69	54.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	39.3%	175	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8.0%	36	
	Very dissatisfied	1.6%	7	
	DK/NA	35.8%	159	

SATISFACTION WITH CITY SVS. PROGRAMS AND FACILITIES -- MEAN

	Total		
	Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
6J. Fire protection services			1.68
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program			1.64
6A. Police services			1.57
6E. Street sweeping services			1.29
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs			1.05
6H. Sports fields			1.03
6M. Senior programs			1.02
6C. Street tree maintenance			0.93
6O. Youth programs			0.91
6L. Senior facilities			0.77
6D. Street pavement maintenance			0.77
6N. Youth facilities			0.75
6F. Traffic safety			0.70
6G. Economic development efforts			0.31
6I. Managing land use			0.21

RECREATION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

	Total		
	Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	1.4%	6
	Once a week	5.4%	24
	A few times a month	7.5%	33
	Once a month	3.3%	15
	A few times a year	24.9%	111
	Once a year	9.9%	44
	Less than once a year	14.1%	63
	Never	30.7%	137
	DK/NA	2.9%	13
	- Once a month or more	17.6%	

TRANSPORTATION CHOICES

	Total		
	Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	90.0%	401
	Walk	29.6%	132
	Bicycle	17.6%	79
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	12.3%	55
	Train	4.9%	22
	Bus	2.3%	10
	Lightrail	2.0%	9
	Other	0.6%	3
	Not sure / DK/ NA	0.0%	0

DOWNTOWN

		Total		
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily	22.8%	102	
	Once a week	39.6%	177	
	A few times a month	16.9%	75	
	Once a month	6.4%	28	
	A few times a year	12.0%	53	
	Once a year	0.4%	2	
	Less than once a year	1.2%	5	
	Never	0.7%	3	
	DK/NA	0.0%	0	
	- Once a month or more	85.7%		
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone	74.8%	331	
	Walk	16.5%	73	
	Bicycle	3.2%	14	
	Bus	1.3%	6	
	Vanpool	0.3%	1	
	Motorcycle	0.2%	1	
	Employer shuttle	0.0%	0	
	Other	3.2%	14	
	DK/NA	0.4%	2	
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant	5.5%	24	4.0
	02	13.0%	58	
	03	16.6%	74	
	04	24.9%	111	
	05	20.0%	89	
	06	11.5%	51	
	Extremely vibrant	6.2%	28	
	DK/NA	2.3%	10	
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	Not at all vibrant	1.0%	4	5.61
	02	0.9%	4	
	03	4.0%	18	
	04	9.9%	44	
	05	24.1%	107	
	06	33.0%	147	
	Extremely vibrant	25.6%	114	
	DK/NA	1.6%	7	
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Yes would be willing	78.8%	352	
	No would not be willing	13.7%	61	
	DK/NA	7.5%	33	
13B. In an underground parking garage	Yes would be willing	80.1%	357	
	No would not be willing	13.1%	58	
	DK/NA	6.8%	30	
13C. On the street	Yes would be willing	87.2%	389	
	No would not be willing	6.8%	30	
	DK/NA	5.9%	26	

		Total		
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always	27.6%	123	
	Most of the time	46.4%	207	
	Some of the time	22.9%	102	
	None of the time	1.1%	5	
	DK/NA	1.9%	9	
	15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	33.2%	148
Somewhat support		28.2%	126	
Somewhat oppose		13.7%	61	
Strongly oppose		20.8%	93	
DK/NA		4.1%	18	
- Total Support		61.4%		
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	- Total Oppose	34.5%		
	Strongly support	46.2%	206	
	Somewhat support	28.9%	129	
	Somewhat oppose	8.5%	38	
	Strongly oppose	10.7%	48	
	DK/NA	5.6%	25	
- Total Support	75.1%			
- Total Oppose	19.3%			

PUBLIC WORKS

		Total		
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree	52.7%	235	82.0%
	Somewhat Agree	29.3%	131	
	Somewhat Disagree	2.7%	12	
	Strongly Disagree	1.6%	7	
	DK/NA	13.7%	61	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree	42.3%	189	74.3%
	Somewhat Agree	32.0%	143	
	Somewhat Disagree	10.0%	45	
	Strongly Disagree	6.2%	28	
	DK/NA	9.4%	42	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	68.4%	305	91.4%
	Somewhat Agree	23.0%	102	
	Somewhat Disagree	3.0%	13	
	Strongly Disagree	0.9%	4	
	DK/NA	4.7%	21	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay				1.62
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable				1.49
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos				1.04

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

		Total		
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
18. Now, here is a list of different things we all shop for. For each category, where you do most of your shopping?				
18A. Groceries	Los Altos	76.5%	341	
	Other Communities	21.1%	94	
	Online	1.9%	8	
	OTHER	0.2%	1	
	DK/NA	0.4%	2	
18B. Clothing	Los Altos	14.7%	66	
	Other Communities	56.1%	250	
	Online	25.4%	113	
	OTHER	2.8%	12	
	DK/NA	1.0%	4	
18C. Electronics	Los Altos	5.9%	26	
	Other Communities	37.2%	166	
	Online	50.5%	225	
	OTHER	3.9%	17	
	DK/NA	2.5%	11	
18D. Furniture	Los Altos	6.4%	29	
	Other Communities	68.6%	306	
	Online	9.2%	41	
	OTHER	6.5%	29	
	DK/NA	9.2%	41	
18E. Autos	Los Altos	8.3%	37	
	Other Communities	71.5%	319	
	Online	5.6%	25	
	OTHER	7.0%	31	
	DK/NA	7.5%	34	
18F. Housewares	Los Altos	21.8%	97	
	Other Communities	45.3%	202	
	Online	27.0%	121	
	OTHER	3.4%	15	
	DK/NA	2.4%	11	
18G. Children's toys	Los Altos	26.4%	118	
	Other Communities	21.5%	96	
	Online	30.7%	137	
	OTHER	4.4%	20	
	DK/NA	16.9%	76	

		Total			
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean	
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail	35.3%	156		
	Reasonable prices	15.0%	66		
	Better parking	9.8%	43		
	National names/Big box stores	8.1%	36		
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	6.7%	30		
	Better dining choices	3.5%	15		
	Longer/Later hours	3.0%	13		
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	2.3%	10		
	High end retail/Quality	1.6%	7		
	Book stores	1.3%	6		
	Can't find what I want	1.1%	5		
	Arts/Crafts	0.8%	3		
	Fresh produce	0.2%	1		
	Other mention - Positive	0.1%	1		
	Other mention - Negative	0.5%	2		
	Other mention	0.4%	2		
	Nothing/None	16.5%	73		
	DK/NA	17.0%	75		
	20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	25.5%	114	
		Somewhat support	30.1%	134	
Somewhat oppose		16.3%	73		
Strongly oppose		21.9%	98		
DK/NA		6.2%	28		
- Total Support		55.6%			
- Total Oppose	38.2%				
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	4 story buildings	32.4%	80		
	3 story buildings	31.9%	79		
	2 story buildings	20.5%	51		
	1 story buildings	2.0%	5		
	Single family homes	7.7%	19		
	DK/NA	5.6%	14		

INFORMATION SOURCES

		Total		
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier	58.5%	261	
	Next Door	28.0%	125	
	San Jose Mercury	23.4%	104	
	Internet	20.7%	92	
	Bay Area News Group	16.9%	75	
	City Website	16.2%	72	
	City emails	16.2%	72	
	Community member emails	12.3%	55	
	Facebook	12.1%	54	
	KRON – Channel 4	9.5%	42	
	San Francisco Chronicle	6.6%	29	
	Social media generic	4.1%	18	
	Blogs	3.1%	14	
	Twitter	1.0%	4	
	Instagram	0.7%	3	
	Snapchat	0.2%	1	
	Other	4.6%	20	
Not sure / DK/ NA	8.3%	37		
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	77.0%	343	
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	28.3%	126	
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	9.3%	41	
	Other	6.8%	30	
	Not sure / DK/ NA	6.3%	28	
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	68.9%	307	
	No	27.1%	121	
	DK/NA	3.9%	18	
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Email	51.1%	228	
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	26.1%	116	
	City Website	17.6%	79	
	Next Door	16.5%	74	
	Flyers or posters around town	8.1%	36	
	Text message	7.2%	32	
	Facebook	4.5%	20	
	Los Altos Patch	4.1%	18	
	Twitter	1.4%	6	
	None	2.3%	10	
	Other	6.1%	27	
	Not sure / DK/ NA	2.9%	13	

DEMOGRAPHICS

		Total		
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
A. Respondent's Gender	Male	47.9%	213	
	Female	51.7%	231	
	Other	0.4%	2	
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year	0.8%	3	
	1 to 3 years	6.3%	28	
	4 to 9 years	16.6%	74	
	10 to 15 years	13.0%	58	
	16 to 25 years	24.9%	111	
	26 years or more	37.5%	167	
	DK/NA	0.8%	4	
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	80.0%	357	
	Rent	18.0%	80	
	DK/NA	2.0%	9	
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	45.5%	203	
	Employed part-time	5.0%	22	
	Self-employed	9.2%	41	
	Student	4.3%	19	
	Retired	28.3%	126	
	Don't work out of the home	3.1%	14	
	Not currently employed	3.7%	16	
E. In which county do you work?	DK/NA	0.9%	4	
	Alameda	1.7%	5	
	Contra Costa	0.0%	0	
	Marin	0.8%	2	
	Napa	0.5%	1	
	San Francisco	4.6%	12	
	San Mateo	11.3%	30	
	Santa Clara	77.6%	207	
	Santa Cruz	0.8%	2	
	Solano	0.0%	0	
	Sonoma	0.1%	0	
	Other	1.8%	5	
	DK/NA	0.9%	2	

		Total		
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	African American or Black	0.0%	0	
	America Indian or Alaska Native	0.3%	1	
	Asian - Chinese	5.9%	26	
	Asian - Filipino	1.1%	5	
	Asian - Indian	4.3%	19	
	Asian - Japanese	1.0%	4	
	Asian - Korean	0.3%	1	
	Asian - Laotian	0.0%	0	
	Asian - Vietnamese	0.5%	2	
	Asian - Other	1.0%	5	
	Caucasian or White	73.9%	330	
	Latino or Hispanic	2.4%	11	
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0.0%	0	
	Two or more races	3.7%	16	
Other (Please specify:)	1.1%	5		
DK/NA	4.5%	20		
G. Age	18-29	13.3%	59	
	30-39	7.8%	35	
	40-49	18.1%	81	
	50-64	31.4%	140	
	65+	27.9%	124	
H. Party	Not coded	1.6%	7	
	Democrat	44.1%	197	
	Republican	24.7%	110	
	Other	2.7%	12	
I. Household Party Type	DTS	28.5%	127	
	Dem 1	16.9%	75	
	Dem 2+	15.3%	68	
	Rep 1	7.2%	32	
	Rep 2+	8.9%	40	
	Other 1	14.0%	62	
	Other 2+	6.2%	28	
	Dem & Rep	7.5%	33	
	Dem & Other	15.3%	68	
	Rep & Other	6.9%	31	
Dem, Rep & Other	1.7%	8		
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	28.3%	126	
	2009 to 2012	11.5%	51	
	2005 to 2008	13.9%	62	
	2001 to 2004	11.3%	50	
	1997 to 2000	8.1%	36	
	1993 to 1996	8.0%	36	
	1981 to 1992	10.4%	46	
1980 or before	8.6%	38		
Not Coded	0.0%	0		

		Total		
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
K. Voting History		See Detailed Crosstabs		
L. Times Voted in Last Elections	0	11.6%	52	
	1	6.3%	28	
	2	3.6%	16	
	3	5.9%	26	
	4	4.7%	21	
	5	4.1%	18	
	6	2.6%	12	
	7	4.9%	22	
	8	6.0%	27	
	9	4.6%	21	
	10	7.1%	32	
	11	5.7%	26	
	12	6.0%	27	
	13	7.4%	33	
	14	8.8%	39	
	15	10.7%	48	
M. Absentee Voter	0	22.3%	99	
	1	10.3%	46	
	2	6.4%	28	
	3	5.0%	22	
	4	3.8%	17	
	5	4.8%	21	
	6	3.4%	15	
	7	4.5%	20	
	8	4.8%	21	
	9	2.4%	11	
	10	5.2%	23	
	11	5.2%	23	
	12	7.4%	33	
	13	6.4%	29	
	14	4.2%	19	
	15	4.1%	18	
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	78.2%	349	
	No	21.8%	97	
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	63.3%	282	
	No	36.7%	164	
P. Precinct		See Raw Data File		

		Total		
		Column N %	Count	Σ or Mean
Q. Zip Code	94022	45.5%	203	
	94024	54.5%	243	
R. Date of Interview		See Detailed Crosstabs		
S. Language of Interview	Chinese	4.6%	20	
	English	94.7%	422	
	Other	0.7%	3	
T. Interview Type	Land	14.4%	64	
	Cell	20.3%	90	
	Online	27.6%	123	
	Text	37.7%	168	



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix D: Questionnaire



CITY OF LOS ALTOS

2017 Community Survey

Questionnaire
n=400
22.5-minutes (current)
Voter Sample

January 15, 2018

FINAL

www.godberesearch.com

Northern California and Corporate Offices
1575 Old Bayshore Highway, Suite 102
Burlingame, CA 94010

Nevada
59 Damonte Ranch Parkway, Suite B309
Reno, NV 89521

Pacific Northwest
601 108th Avenue NE, Suite 1900
Bellevue, WA 98004

Godbe Research
2017 Los Altos Resident Satisfaction Survey

GENERAL EMAIL INVITATION

From: City.Manager@losaltosca.gov

Reply to: City.Manager@losaltosca.gov

Subject: Participate in this important study about our community

Dear [insert name],

The City of Los Altos has commissioned GRA and McGuire Research, independent research firms, to conduct research on important issues in your area.

Your individual responses are entirely confidential and will be used for research purposes only. Your data will not be sold or provided to anyone. You will not be approached for any other reason - we are only interested in your opinions.

For the individual named above, you can access the survey by simply clicking on the link below. If your email does not support links, cut and paste the entire link into your browser.

<[survey link with unique voter file id](#)>

We ask that you please complete the survey on or before _____, 2017, after which it will be closed.

Thank you in advance for your participation.

Regards,

Chris Jordan
City Manager
City of Los Altos

Technical Issues: If you have technical issues or questions with the survey link, password or completing the survey form please contact [Technical Assistance \(pwood@mcguire-research.com\)](mailto:pwood@mcguire-research.com).

Questions about the City or this Survey: If you have questions about the City of Los Altos, or the purpose of this survey please visit <https://www.losaltosca.gov/citymanager/page/community-survey> or contact City.Manager@losaltosca.gov.

Note: Email addresses for this survey were obtained from public records at the Registrar of Voters in Santa Clara County, the City of Los Altos and opt-in third party sources. If you no longer wish to receive invitations or reminders for this research, please click [HERE](#) to unsubscribe.

EMAIL & AOL OPT-IN EMAIL INVITATION

From: City.Manager@losaltosca.gov

Reply to: City.Manager@losaltosca.gov

Subject: Participate in this important study about our community

Dear [insert name],

The City of Los Altos has commissioned GRA and McGuire Research, independent research firms, to conduct research on important issues in your area.

Your individual responses will be entirely confidential and will be used for research purposes only. We are not selling anything or asking you to donate anything and the data from these surveys will not be sold or provided to anyone. You will not be approached for any other reason - we are only interested in your opinions on these important community issues.

For the individual named above, if you would like to be included in this email list to receive and be able to participate in important community surveys such as this and future ones, then please click on this link below.

<[survey link with unique voter file id](#)>

If you click on the link above, then an email invite for this specific survey will be sent to you shortly. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Jordan
City Manager
City of Los Altos

Questions about the City or this Survey: If you have questions about the City of Los Altos, or the purpose of this survey please visit <https://www.losaltosca.gov/citymanager/page/community-survey> or contact City.Manager@losaltosca.gov.

Note: Email addresses for this survey were obtained from public records at the Registrar of Voters in Santa Clara County, the City of Los Altos and opt-in third party sources. If you no longer wish to receive invitations or reminders for this research, please click [HERE](#) to

unsubscribe.

TEXT MESSAGE INVITATION

The City of Los Altos is conducting research on important issues in your area.

Your individual responses are entirely confidential and will be used for research purposes only. Your data will not be sold or provided to anyone. You will not be approached for any other reason. We are only interested in your opinions.

To participate, please click the link below.

<[survey link with unique voter file id](#)>

We ask that you please complete the survey on or before _____, 2017, after which it will be closed.

Thank you in advance for your participation.

Regards,

Chris Jordan
City Manager
City of Los Altos

Please reply REMOVE if you do not wish to be recontacted.

Please reply HELP if you need assistance with the survey

Cell phone numbers for this survey were obtained from public records at the Registrar of Voters in Santa Clara County.

TEXT SOURCING LETTER

January 15, 2018

EZ Texting/Callfire
1410 2nd Street
Suite 200
Santa Monica, CA 90401
Dear Compliance Department:

Los Altos is a General Law city with a City Council/City Manager form of government, and a community-oriented, performance-based management system at the core of decision-making. As its governing body, the Los Altos City Council is comprised of the mayor and four council members.

The source of the sample that Godbe Research and McGuire Research Services are using are publicly available, county voter registration records from Santa Clara County that voters have opted to provide both landline and cell numbers, and email address. The landline or cell number is optional field and is not required to register to vote. Additionally, the survey invitation used by Godbe Research and McGuire Research Services clearly identifies the source of the list and allows participants to opt out of the process and ensures they will not be texted again for this research study.

We would appreciate the opportunity to complete this project which allows us to communicate with our constituents and allows registered voter to participate in the governmental process.

Sincerely,

Chris Jordan
City Manager
City of Los Altos

CLIENT EMAIL SETUP INFORMATION

Step 1

The City of Los Altos will need to create a new email address for use by Godbe Research to send out email invitations. Unless already in use, this new email address should be:

City.Manager@losaltosca.gov

Step 2

After the email has been set up, have your IT Department forward all of the emails sent to the new account to: surveys.gra@gmail.com. We will handle any tech support questions sent to this account and forward any substantive emails that may require a response from a City representative.

Step 3

Provide Godbe Research with City email lists for matching with the voter file. The data needs to include separate fields for first name, last name, street address, and email address. However, if cell and landlines phones are available that is also very useful. The format in an excel files should be:

First Name	Last Name	Email Address	Street Address	City	Zip	Work Phone	Cell Phone	Home Phone
Bryan	Godbe	wbgodbe@godberesearch.com	1660 So Amphlett Blvd	San Mateo	94402	650-288-3027	650-520-9150	
Leslie	Godbe	leslie@godberesearch.com	1660 So Amphlett Blvd	San Mateo	94402	650-288-3041	650-533-2321	

Client Check List

- Set up email address.
- Auto forward all email from new account to surveys.gra@gmail.com.
- Notify Godbe Research on completion of above so the email can be tested.
- Send email list as discussed to Godbe Research.
- Print "Text" compliance letter on City Stationary, sign and scan or fax to Godbe Research.

SCREENERS

[ONLINE INTRODUCTION]

Thank you for your interest in taking our survey to help understand issues in the City of Los Altos. All of your answers to the survey will be kept strictly anonymous and confidential.

Survey Instructions:

Once you have answered all the questions on a page, click the "Next" button to continue. If you have any technical difficulties with the survey, please email: [Technical Assistance \(pwood@mcquiere-research.com\)](mailto:pwood@mcquiere-research.com).

[PHONE INTERVIEW]

Hello, May I speak with _____? Hello, my name is _____ and I'm calling on behalf of GRA, a public opinion research firm. We're conducting a survey concerning some important issues in the City of Los Altos, and we would like to hear your opinions, we really appreciate your time. [IF NEEDED]: This is a study about issues of importance in your area. It is a survey only and I am not selling anything.

[IF THE PERSON ASKS WHY YOU ONLY WANT TO TALK TO THE INDIVIDUAL LISTED ON THE SAMPLE, OR ASKS IF THEY ARE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE INSTEAD OF THE INDIVIDUAL, THEN SAY: "I'm sorry, but for statistical purposes this survey must only be completed by this particular individual."] [IF THE INDIVIDUAL SAYS THEY ARE ON THE NATIONAL DO NOT CALL LIST, RESPOND WITH GUIDELINES FROM THE MARKETING RESEARCH ASSOCIATION. IF THE INDIVIDUAL SAYS: "There's a law that says you can't call me," RESPOND WITH: "Most types of opinion research studies are exempt under the law that congress passed. That law was passed to regulate the activities of the telemarketing industry. This is a legitimate research call. Your opinions count!"]

Before we get started, I'd like to verify that you are eligible to complete the survey.

- i. But first, I need to know if I have reached you on a cell phone, and if so, are you in a place where you can talk safely without endangering yourself or others?
- Yes, cell and can talk safely ----- 1
 - Yes, cell but cannot talk safely ----- 2 [CALL BACK LATER]
 - No, not on cell ----- 3
 - [DON'T READ] DK/NA/REFUSED -----99 [CALL BACK LATER]

[ALL RESPONDENTS]

- ii. Do you work for the City of Los Altos or are you appointed to any City of Los Altos or county board, committee or commission?
- Yes ----- 1 [CONTINUE TO Qiii TEXT]
 - No ----- 2 [GO TO Q1]
 - [ONLINE] Not sure / [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99
- iii. Thank you for your time, but the focus of this survey is on the general public's opinion of local issues. Due to your response to this question, you are not eligible to complete the survey. Thank you again for your time. [TERMINATE]

OVERALL PERCEPTIONS OF LIVING IN LOS ALTOS

To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos.

1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?

[PHONE: GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

Very satisfied ----- 1
Somewhat satisfied ----- 2
Somewhat dissatisfied ----- 3
Very dissatisfied ----- 4
[ONLINE] Not sure / [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos? [ONLINE: DON'T SHOW LIST / PHONE: DO NOT READ LIST. RECORD ONE RESPONSE ONLY]

I could afford a house ----- 1
Close to work ----- 2
Enjoy / like the City ----- 3
Friends / family here ----- 4
Grew up here ----- 5
Job ----- 6
Quality of life ----- 7
Retirement ----- 8
Safety / low crime ----- 9
School system -----10
Small town atmosphere ----- 11
Other (Please specify: _____) -----98
[ONLINE] Not sure / [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos? [ONLINE: DON'T SHOW LIST / PHONE: DO NOT READ LIST. MULTIPLE RESPONSE OK]

Affordable housing ----- 1
City's economic health ----- 2
Condition of civic buildings ----- 3
Condition of streets (roads and streets) ----- 4
Controlling growth ----- 5
Crime ----- 6
Education ----- 7
Environmental health ----- 8
Inconvenient library hours ----- 9
Neighborhood preservation -----10
Poor cell coverage ----- 11
Protection of open space -----12
Public transportation -----13

Quality of life-----	14
Race relations-----	15
Taxes-----	16
Teen programs-----	17
Traffic-----	18
Other (Please specify: _____)-----	98
[ONLINE] Not sure /	
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----	99

4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?

[PHONE: GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Is that very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

Very satisfied -----	1
Somewhat satisfied -----	2
Somewhat dissatisfied -----	3
Very dissatisfied -----	4
[ONLINE] Not sure /	
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----	99

IMPORTANCE OF CITY SERVICES, PROGRAMS AND FACILITIES

5. Now, here is a list of services, programs and facilities provided by the City of Los Altos. For each one, is it extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important to you personally?

[RANDOMIZE]

	Extremely Imp.	Very Imp.	Somewhat. Imp.	Not at All Imp.	[ONLINE: Not Sure] [PHONE: DON'T READ] DK/NA
A. Police services-----	1	2	3	4	99
B. Garbage collection and recycling program-----	1	2	3	4	99
C. Street tree maintenance-----	1	2	3	4	99
D. Street pavement maintenance-----	1	2	3	4	99
E. Street sweeping services-----	1	2	3	4	99
F. Traffic safety-----	1	2	3	4	99
G. Economic development efforts-----	1	2	3	4	99
H. Sports fields-----	1	2	3	4	99
I. Managing land use-----	1	2	3	4	99
J. Fire protection services-----	1	2	3	4	99
K. Environmental and sustainability programs-----	1	2	3	4	99
L. Senior facilities-----	1	2	3	4	99
M. Senior programs-----	1	2	3	4	99
N. Youth facilities-----	1	2	3	4	99
O. Youth programs-----	1	2	3	4	99

SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES, PROGRAMS AND FACILITIES

6. For each one, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City is doing to provide the service?

[PHONE: GET RESPONSE AND THEN ASK] Would that be very <satisfied/dissatisfied> or somewhat <satisfied/dissatisfied>?

[RANDOMIZE]

	Very Sat.	Swt. Sat.	Swt. Dissat.	Very Dissat.	[ONLINE: Not Sure] [PHONE: DON'T READ] DK/NA
A. Police services-----	1	2	3	4	99
B. Garbage collection and recycling program-----	1	2	3	4	99
C. Street tree maintenance-----	1	2	3	4	99
D. Street pavement maintenance-----	1	2	3	4	99
E. Street sweeping services-----	1	2	3	4	99
F. Traffic safety-----	1	2	3	4	99
G. Economic development efforts-----	1	2	3	4	99
H. Sports fields-----	1	2	3	4	99
I. Managing land use-----	1	2	3	4	99
J. Fire protection services-----	1	2	3	4	99
K. Environmental and sustainability programs-----	1	2	3	4	99
L. Senior facilities-----	1	2	3	4	99
M. Senior programs-----	1	2	3	4	99
N. Youth facilities-----	1	2	3	4	99
O. Youth programs-----	1	2	3	4	99

RECREATION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?

- More than once a week ----- 1
- Once a week----- 2
- A few times a month----- 3
- Once a month----- 4
- A few times a year----- 5
- Once a year----- 6
- Less than once a year----- 7
- Never----- 8

[ONLINE] Not sure /

[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

TRANSPORTATION CHOICES

Moving on, focus on your day-to-day transportation choices.

8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently? [ONLINE: SHOW LIST DON'T RANDOMIZE / PHONE: DON'T READ CHOICES. RECORD MULTIPLE RESPONSES]

- Bicycle ----- 1
- Bus ----- 2
- Carpool / vanpool / ride with others ----- 3
- Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter) ----- 4
- Lightrail ----- 5
- Train ----- 6
- Walk ----- 7
- Other (Please specify: _____) ----- 98
- [ONLINE] Not sure / [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA ----- 99

DOWNTOWN

9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?

- Daily ----- 1
- Once a week ----- 2
- Weekly ----- 3
- A few times a month ----- 4
- Once a month ----- 5
- A few times a year ----- 6
- Once a year ----- 7
- Less than once a year ----- 8
- Never ----- 9
- [ONLINE] Not sure / [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA ----- 99

10. [IF Q9 ≠ 9, ASK:] How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?

- Drive alone ----- 1
- Bicycle ----- 2
- Bus ----- 3
- Employer shuttle ----- 4
- Motorcycle ----- 5
- Vanpool ----- 6
- Walk ----- 7
- Other ----- 98
- [ONLINE] Not sure / [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA ----- 99

11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?

Not
At All
Vibrant
[ONLINE:]
Not Sure
[PHONE:
DON'T
READ:]
Extremely
Vibrant DK/NA
 1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7 ----- 99

12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?

Not
At All
Vibrant
[ONLINE:]
Not Sure
[PHONE:
DON'T
READ:]
Extremely
Vibrant DK/NA
 1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 ----- 6 ----- 7 ----- 99

13. If more parking were to be added to Downtown Los Altos in the future, would you be willing to park _____ [READ FROM LIST]?

RANDOMIZE

	<u>Yes</u> <u>Would Be</u> <u>Willing</u>	<u>No</u> <u>Would Not</u> <u>Be Willing</u>	[INTERNET Not sure] [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA
A. In an above ground parking structure -----	1	2	99
B. In an underground parking garage-----	1	2	99
C. On the street -----	1	2	99

14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?

- Always ----- 1
- Most of the time ----- 2
- Some of the time ----- 3
- None of the time ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

15. [ONLINE] Would you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?

[PHONE] Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be strongly (support/oppose) or somewhat (support/oppose)?

- Strongly support ----- 1
- Somewhat support ----- 2
- Somewhat oppose ----- 3
- Strongly oppose ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

16. [IF Q15 = 2, 3, OR 4, ASK:] Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?

[PHONE: GET RESPONSE AND THEN ASK] Would that be strongly <support/oppose> or somewhat < support/oppose >?

- Strongly support ----- 1
- Somewhat support ----- 2
- Somewhat oppose ----- 3
- Strongly oppose ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

PUBLIC WORKS

17. Next are some statements about storm water issues in the City of Los Altos.

[ONLINE:] For each, do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?

[PHONE:] Here's the (first/next) one _____, would you agree or disagree with this statement? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Is that strongly (agree/disagree) or somewhat (agree/disagree)?

[RANDOMIZE]

	<u>Strongly</u> <u>Agree</u>	<u>Sw.</u> <u>Agree</u>	<u>Sw.</u> <u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly</u> <u>Disagree</u>	[INTERNET Not sure] [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA
A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable -----	1	2	3	4	99
B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos -----	1	2	3	4	99
C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay-----	1	2	3	4	99

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

18. Now, here is a list of different things we all shop for. For each category, where you do most of your shopping.

[PHONE] Here's the (first / next) one, do you do most of your shopping for _____ in Los Altos, other communities, or online?

RANDOMIZE	Los Altos	Other Communities	Online	[DON'T READ] Other	[DON'T READ] DK/NA
A. Groceries-----	1	2	3	4	99
B. Clothing-----	1	2	3	4	99
C. Electronics-----	1	2	3	4	99
D. Furniture-----	1	2	3	4	99
E. Autos-----	1	2	3	4	99
F. Housewares-----	1	2	3	4	99
G. Children's toys-----	1	2	3	4	99

19. [IF ANY Q18A TO G = 2, 3, 4OR 99 ASK:] What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

- [SPECIFY:] _____ 97
- [DON'T READ] Nothing-----99
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
- [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?

[PHONE GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be strongly (support/oppose) or somewhat (support/oppose)?

- Strongly support----- 1
- Somewhat support----- 2
- Somewhat oppose----- 3
- Strongly oppose----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
- [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

21. [IF Q20 = 1 OR 2, ASK:] What is the highest density housing that you would support?

- 4 story buildings----- 1
- 3 story buildings----- 2
- 2 story buildings----- 3
- 1 story buildings----- 4
- Single family homes----- 5
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
- [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

INFORMATION SOURCES

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from? [READ IF NECESSARY/ONLINE: SHOW LIST DON'T RANDOMIZE -- ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSES]

- Bay Area News Group----- 1
- Blogs----- 2
- City Website----- 3
- City emails----- 4
- Community member emails----- 5
- Facebook----- 6
- Instagram----- 7
- Internet----- 8
- KRON – Channel 4----- 9
- Next Door----- 10
- Los Altos Town Crier----- 11
- San Francisco Chronicle----- 12
- San Jose Mercury----- 13
- Snapchat----- 14
- Social media generic----- 15
- Twitter----- 16
- Other [SPECIFY: _____]----- 98
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
- [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from? [ONLINE: SHOW LIST DON'T RANDOMIZE -- ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSES]

- Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper----- 1
- San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper----- 2
- San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper----- 3
- Other [SPECIFY: _____]----- 98
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
- [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website – losaltosca.gov?

- Yes----- 1
- No----- 2
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
- [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates? [ONLINE: SHOW LIST DON'T RANDOMIZE / PHONE READ LIST IS

HESITATES / ACCEPT FIRST TWO RESPONSES]

- Brochures or flyers in the mail----- 1
- City Website ----- 2
- Email ----- 3
- Facebook----- 4
- Flyers or posters around town----- 5
- Los Altos Patch ----- 6
- NextDoor ----- 7
- Text message ----- 8
- Twitter----- 9
- None-----10
- Other [SPECIFY:] -----98
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

DEMOGRAPHICS

And now, just some background questions for comparison purposes

A. Gender? [ONLINE: ASK / PHONE: BY OBSERVATION-FROM SAMPLE]

- Male ----- 1
- Female----- 2
- Other ----- 3

B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?

- Less than 1 year----- 1
- 1 to 3 years ----- 2
- 4 to 9 years ----- 3
- 10 to 15 years ----- 4
- 16 to 25 years ----- 5
- 26 years or more ----- 6
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

C. Do you own or rent your home?

- Own----- 1
- Rent----- 2
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

D. What is your current employment status?

[PHONE:] Are you _____:

- Employed full time----- 1
- Employed part-time ----- 2
- Self-employed ----- 3
- Student----- 4
- Retired ----- 5
- Don't work out of the home ----- 6
- Not currently employed ----- 7
- [DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

E. In which county do you work?

- Alameda ----- 1
- Contra Costa ----- 2
- Marin ----- 3
- Napa----- 4
- San Francisco----- 5
- Santa Clara ----- 6

Santa Clara ----- 7
Solano----- 8
Sonoma----- 9
[PHONE INTERVIEW DON'T READ] DK/NA-----99

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? [SINGLE RESPONSE ONLY. PHONE: IF THE RESPONDENT HESITATES, READ THE LIST; ONLINE SHOW LIST]:

African American or Black----- 1
America Indian or Alaska Native----- 2
Asian - Chinese ----- 3
Asian - Filipino----- 4
Asian - Indian ----- 5
Asian - Japanese ----- 6
Asian - Korean----- 7
Asian - Laotian ----- 8
Asian - Vietnamese----- 9
Asian - Other----- 10
Caucasian or White ----- 11
Latino or Hispanic ----- 12
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander----- 13
Two or more races ----- 14
Other (Please specify: _____)-----98
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

Those are all the questions I have for you. Thank you very much for your participation.

Voter Information (FROM VOTER FILE)

G. Age:

18 to 29 years ----- 1
30 to 39 years ----- 2
40 to 49 years ----- 3
50 to 64 years ----- 4
65 years and older ----- 5
Not coded ----- 6

H. Party:

Democrat ----- 1
Republican ----- 2
Other ----- 3
DTS ----- 4

I. Household Party Type:

Democrat (1)----- 1
Democrat (2+)----- 2
Republican (1)----- 3
Republican (2+)----- 4
Other (1)----- 5
Other (2+)----- 6
Democrat & Republican----- 7
Democrat & Other----- 8
Republican & Other ----- 9
Mixed----- 10

J. Registration Date:

2017 ----- 1
2013 to 2016----- 2
2009 to 2012----- 3
2005 to 2008----- 4
2001 to 2004----- 5
1997 to 2000----- 6
1993 to 1996----- 7
1992 and before ----- 8

K. Voting History:

	Poll	Mail	No
Voted November 2005 (if applicable)	1	2	0
Voted June 2006	1	2	0
Voted November 2006	1	2	0
Voted November 2007 (if applicable)	1	2	0
Voted February 2008	1	2	0
Voted June 2008	1	2	0
Voted November 2008	1	2	0
Voted May 2009	1	2	0
Voted November 2009 (if applicable)	1	2	0
Voted June 2010	1	2	0
Voted November 2010	1	2	0
Voted November 2011 (if applicable)	1	2	0
Voted June 2012	1	2	0
Voted November 2012	1	2	0
Voted November 2013 (if applicable)	1	2	0
Voted June 2014	1	2	0
Voted November 2014	1	2	0
Voted November 2015 (if applicable)	1	2	0
Voted June 2016	1	2	0
Voted November 2016	1	2	0

L. [PLEASE COUNT TIMES VOTED IN QK] Times Voted in Past Elections: _____

M. [PLEASE COUNT TIMES VOTED BY MAIL IN QK] Absentee Voter: _____

N. Permanent Absentee Voter

Yes----- 1
No----- 2

O. Likely Absentee Voter

Yes----- 1
No----- 2

P. Precinct Number (REQUIRED): _____

Q. Zip Code (REQUIRED): _____

R. Date of Interview _____

S. Language of Interview:

Chinese----- 1
English----- 2

T. Interview Type:

Landline----- 1
Cell phone----- 2
Email Invite / Online----- 3
Text Invite / Online----- 4



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix E: Crosstabulation Tables

		Total	
		Total	Total
Total		446	446
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	280 62.8%	280 62.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	146 32.6%	146 32.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 3.4%	15 3.4%
	Very dissatisfied	4 0.9%	4 0.9%
	DK/NA	1 0.3%	1 0.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Total		446	213	231	2
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	280 62.8%	150 70.3%	130 56.4%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	146 32.6%	57 26.7%	86 37.5%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 3.4%	3 1.4%	12 5.2%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	4 0.9%	2 0.9%	2 0.9%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.3%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	B	.	a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	A	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	A	a
	Very dissatisfied	.	.	a
	DK/NA	.	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total		446	59	35	81	140	124	7
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	280 62.8%	38 64.4%	20 58.7%	48 59.1%	82 58.4%	86 69.0%	6 90.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	146 32.6%	19 32.3%	14 41.3%	29 36.1%	52 37.4%	31 24.6%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 3.4%	2 3.3%	0 0.0%	1 1.5%	4 2.7%	7 5.9%	1 9.4%
	Very dissatisfied	4 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	2 1.5%	1 0.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	a
	Somewhat satisfied	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	a	.	.	.	a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	.	.	.	a
	DK/NA	a	a	.	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	446	357	80	9
	Very satisfied	280	233	44	3
		62.8%	65.4%	55.1%	30.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	146	107	34	4
		32.6%	30.1%	42.3%	48.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	13	0	2
		3.4%	3.6%	0.0%	21.8%
Very dissatisfied	4	2	2	0	
	0.9%	0.6%	2.6%	0.0%	
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	
	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a		A
	Very dissatisfied			.a
	DK/NA	.a		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Very satisfied	280	121	72	10	77
		62.8%	61.4%	65.6%	85.7%	60.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	146	70	34	2	40
		32.6%	35.7%	30.7%	14.3%	31.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	6	3	0	6
		3.4%	2.9%	3.0%	0.0%	4.6%
Very dissatisfied	4	0	1	0	3	
	0.9%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	2.7%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	1	
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a		.a	
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a	.a	
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	280	45	44	17	26	97	51
		62.8%	60.0%	64.8%	52.3%	65.8%	69.0%	56.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	146	26	23	13	13	41	30
		32.6%	35.0%	33.5%	39.7%	32.2%	29.3%	32.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	4	1	2	1	2	5
		3.4%	5.0%	1.7%	6.0%	2.0%	1.7%	5.5%
Very dissatisfied	4	0	0	1	0	0	3	
	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Very satisfied	280 62.8%	66 52.4%	32 63.0%	41 65.6%	32 62.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	146 32.6%	49 38.9%	18 35.0%	20 32.1%	17 34.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 3.4%	6 4.4%	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	1 2.9%
	Very dissatisfied	4 0.9%	4 3.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.3%	1 1.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	36	36	46	38
	Very satisfied	25 70.2%	25 70.1%	32 69.5%	27 70.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	9 25.0%	10 26.6%	14 30.5%	9 22.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2 4.8%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	3 6.9%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied	
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996 (F)	1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Very satisfied	280 62.8%	56 69.5%	16 73.7%	103 54.0%	23 61.4%	7 56.4%	10 64.9%	45 68.4%	21 88.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	146 32.6%	23 28.8%	6 26.3%	75 39.4%	12 31.6%	5 43.6%	4 29.0%	18 27.1%	3 11.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 3.4%	1 0.9%	0 0.0%	8 4.1%	3 6.9%	0 0.0%	1 6.0%	3 4.5%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	4 0.9%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	3 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2 (A)	Dec. 3 (B)	Dec. 4 (C)	Dec. 5 (D)	Dec. 6 (E)	Dec. 7 (F)	Dec. 8 (G)	Dec. 9 (H)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied								C
	Somewhat satisfied								
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a			a			a
	Very dissatisfied		a			a			a
	DK/NA	a	a			a			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	349	97
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	280 62.8%	215 61.7%	65 66.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	146 32.6%	119 34.2%	26 27.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 3.4%	9 2.6%	6 6.0%
	Very dissatisfied	4 0.9%	4 1.2%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.3%	1 0.4%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		. ^a
	Very dissatisfied		. ^a
	DK/NA		. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	282	164
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	280 62.8%	180 63.9%	100 61.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	146 32.6%	91 32.2%	55 33.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 3.4%	10 3.5%	5 3.1%
	Very dissatisfied	4 0.9%	0 0.0%	4 2.5%
	DK/NA	1 0.3%	1 0.5%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	. ^a	
	Very dissatisfied		. ^a
	DK/NA		. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	Years Lived in Los Altos				
			Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
Total		446	3	28	74	58	111
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	280 62.8%	2 59.6%	14 49.9%	44 58.9%	39 67.2%	71 63.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	146 32.6%	1 40.4%	14 50.1%	27 36.3%	15 26.4%	36 32.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 3.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.3%	4 6.3%	5 4.1%
	Very dissatisfied	4 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total		167	4
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	108 64.3%	3 76.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	51 30.6%	1 23.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 3.5%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3 1.7%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a			
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a	.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a		.a	.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(F)	(G)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.a
	Very dissatisfied		.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Very satisfied	280	121	10	22	15	92
	Somewhat satisfied	146	73	12	17	2	27
	Somewhat dissatisfied	32.6%	36.2%	53.1%	40.9%	12.6%	21.0%
	Very dissatisfied	15	3	0	2	2	7
	DK/NA	3.4%	1.7%	0.0%	6.1%	10.1%	5.7%
		4	3	0	0	0	1
	0.9%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	
	1	1	0	0	0	0	
	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Employment Status		
		Don't work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	14	16	4
	Very satisfied	11	8	1
	Somewhat satisfied	78.9%	50.7%	17.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	8	4
	Very dissatisfied	21.1%	49.3%	82.9%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status				
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied		E			
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.a		.a	
	Very dissatisfied		.a	.a	.a	
	DK/NA		.a	.a	.a	.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status		
		Don't work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied		.a	.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a	.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a	.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work					
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
	Very satisfied	154 57.7%	5 100.0%	1 56.7%	1 100.0%	6 47.6%	19 63.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	102 38.4%	0 0.0%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	6 52.4%	10 33.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 2.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.3%
	Very dissatisfied	3 1.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		County Where Work				
		Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	207	2	0	5	2
	Very satisfied	117 56.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 74.9%	1 54.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	80 38.8%	2 100.0%	0 100.0%	1 25.1%	1 45.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5 2.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	a		a,b			
	Somewhat satisfied	a		a,b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a	a,b	a		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	a,b	a	a	
	DK/NA	a	a	a,b	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	a	a,b		
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a,b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a,b	a	a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a,b	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a,b	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Very satisfied	280 62.8%	1 100.0%	20 76.6%	3 59.2%	11 59.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	146 32.6%	0 0.0%	6 23.4%	2 40.8%	7 34.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 3.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	4 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%
	DK/NA	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	4	1	2	5
	Very satisfied	3 66.3%	1 100.0%	2 100.0%	2 40.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	2 33.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 59.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Very satisfied	216 65.4%	4 35.4%	4 24.3%	4 79.6%	9 44.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	101 30.6%	6 55.8%	8 50.1%	1 20.4%	10 52.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9 2.8%	1 8.8%	4 25.5%	0 0.0%	1 3.3%
	Very dissatisfied	3 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	a,b	K		
	Somewhat satisfied	a,b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	b	b	b
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b	b	l
	DK/NA	a,b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied		a,b	b	
	Somewhat satisfied		a,b	b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	b	a,b	b	b
	Very dissatisfied	b	a,b	b	b
	DK/NA	b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	K				
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			l	b	
	Very dissatisfied		b	b	b	b
	DK/NA		b	b	b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	446	203	243
	Very satisfied	280 62.8%	140 68.8%	140 57.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	146 32.6%	52 25.7%	93 38.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 3.4%	9 4.4%	6 2.5%
	Very dissatisfied	4 0.9%	2 1.0%	2 0.8%
	DK/NA	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	1 0.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	B	
	Somewhat satisfied		A
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	446	20	422	3
	Very satisfied	280 62.8%	9 46.0%	271 64.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	146 32.6%	10 47.9%	133 31.4%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 3.4%	1 6.1%	14 3.2%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	4 0.9%	0 0.0%	4 1.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	1 0.3%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied	a		a
	DK/NA	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Very satisfied	280	68	51	62	98
		62.8%	75.7%	79.9%	50.7%	58.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	146	19	12	57	58
		32.6%	21.1%	18.0%	46.2%	34.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	3	1	2	9
	3.4%	3.2%	2.1%	1.6%	5.2%	
Very dissatisfied	4	0	0	1	3	
	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	2.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	1	0	
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	C D	C D		
	Somewhat satisfied			A B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		
	DK/NA	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Very satisfied	280	30	45	29	45	132
		62.8%	57.3%	63.6%	56.7%	65.2%	64.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	146	18	21	21	22	63
		32.6%	34.2%	30.0%	42.0%	32.3%	30.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	1	3	0	2	9
	3.4%	1.8%	4.5%	0.0%	2.5%	4.5%	
Very dissatisfied	4	3	0	1	0	0	
	0.9%	6.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0	
	0.3%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied			a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a			a
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	280	72	49	35	37	23
		63.1%	59.5%	64.4%	63.4%	69.4%	44.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	144	44	26	18	15	23
		32.3%	36.5%	34.3%	32.9%	27.0%	42.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	5	1	2	1	5
		3.4%	4.0%	1.3%	3.7%	2.4%	9.6%
	Very dissatisfied	4	0	0	0	1	2
	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	4.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total		73	2	10
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	53 72.8%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	17 22.5%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1 1.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied						E
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b			
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	.b	.b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Los Altos. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Los Altos?	Very satisfied	a,b	b
	Somewhat satisfied	a,b	b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	b
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
Total		446	446
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?	I could afford a house/Big lots	35 7.8%	35 7.8%
	Close to work	49 11.1%	49 11.1%
	Enjoy/like the City	19 4.2%	19 4.2%
	Friends/family here	42 9.4%	42 9.4%
	Grew up here	45 10.1%	45 10.1%
	Job	5 1.1%	5 1.1%
	Quality of life	29 6.5%	29 6.5%
	Retirement	3 0.8%	3 0.8%
	Safety/low crime	20 4.5%	20 4.5%
	School system	112 25.2%	112 25.2%
	Small town atmosphere	59 13.1%	59 13.1%
	Location	15 3.5%	15 3.5%
	Downtown	6 1.3%	6 1.3%
	Other mention - Negative	3 0.7%	3 0.7%
	Other mention	3 0.7%	3 0.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
I could afford a house/Big lots	.
Close to work	.
Enjoy/like the City	.
Friends/family here	.
Grew up here	.
Job	.
Quality of life	.
Retirement	.
Safety/low crime	.
School system	.
Small town atmosphere	.
Location	.
Downtown	.
Other mention - Negative	.
Other mention	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	446	213	231	2
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	15	20	0
	7.8%	6.9%	8.8%	0.0%
Close to work	49	21	27	1
	11.1%	9.7%	11.9%	58.7%
Enjoy/like the City	19	8	10	0
	4.2%	3.9%	4.5%	0.0%
Friends/family here	42	17	25	0
	9.4%	8.0%	10.8%	0.0%
Grew up here	45	17	28	0
	10.1%	8.2%	12.0%	0.0%
Job	5	3	2	0
	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%	0.0%
Quality of life	29	17	12	0
	6.5%	8.2%	5.1%	0.0%
Retirement	3	2	2	0
	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Safety/low crime	20	11	9	0
	4.5%	5.3%	3.8%	0.0%
School system	112	54	57	1
	25.2%	25.4%	24.9%	41.3%
Small town atmosphere	59	27	32	0
	13.1%	12.5%	13.8%	0.0%
Location	15	12	4	0
	3.5%	5.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Downtown	6	3	3	0
	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	3	3	0	0
	0.7%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention	3	3	0	0
	0.7%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?			
I could afford a house/Big lots			a
Close to work			.
Enjoy/like the City			a
Friends/family here			a
Grew up here			a
Job			a
Quality of life			a
Retirement			a
Safety/low crime			a
School system			.
Small town atmosphere			a
Location	B		a
Downtown			a
Other mention - Negative			a
Other mention			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	0	3	4	10	18	1
Close to work	49	6	7	11	13	11	1
Enjoy/like the City	19	4	0	1	6	7	0
Friends/family here	42	9	4	6	11	13	1
Grew up here	45	14	4	0	17	10	0
Job	5	0	2	0	2	1	0
Quality of life	29	2	1	5	10	9	2
Retirement	3	0	0	0	0	3	0
Safety/low crime	20	5	0	5	4	6	0
School system	112	10	7	41	38	15	1
Small town atmosphere	59	6	5	5	20	21	2
Location	15	2	1	1	5	6	0
Downtown	6	2	0	2	0	2	0
Other mention - Negative	3	0	0	0	1	2	0
Other mention	3	0	1	0	2	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
I could afford a house/Big lots	a					
Close to work						
Enjoy/like the City		a				a
Friends/family here						
Grew up here			a			a
Job	E		a			a
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?						
Quality of life	a	a	a	a		a
Retirement						
Safety/low crime		a				a
School system			A B D E	E		
Small town atmosphere						
Location						a
Downtown		a		a		a
Other mention - Negative	a	a	a			a
Other mention	a		a		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	446	357	80	9
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	31	3	0
	7.8%	8.8%	4.2%	3.5%
Close to work	49	31	16	3
	11.1%	8.8%	19.4%	28.8%
Enjoy/like the City	19	19	0	0
	4.2%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Friends/family here	42	24	16	2
	9.4%	6.7%	19.8%	24.0%
Grew up here	45	31	12	2
	10.1%	8.6%	15.6%	20.6%
Job	5	3	2	0
	1.1%	0.8%	2.4%	0.0%
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?				
Quality of life	29	27	2	0
	6.5%	7.7%	2.2%	0.0%
Retirement	3	0	3	0
	0.8%	0.0%	4.1%	1.4%
Safety/low crime	20	13	7	0
	4.5%	3.5%	9.1%	2.9%
School system	112	104	7	2
	25.2%	29.2%	8.2%	18.7%
Small town atmosphere	59	51	8	0
	13.1%	14.3%	9.5%	0.0%
Location	15	15	0	0
	3.5%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Downtown	6	4	2	0
	1.3%	1.0%	2.8%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	3	3	0	0
	0.7%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention	3	1	2	0
	0.7%	0.2%	2.9%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
I could afford a house/Big lots			
Close to work		A	a
Enjoy/like the City		a	
Friends/family here		A	
Grew up here			
Job			a
Quality of life			a
Retirement	a		
Safety/low crime			
School system	B		
Small town atmosphere			a
Location		a	a
Downtown			a
Other mention - Negative		a	a
Other mention		A	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	446	197	110	12	127
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	16	11	0	8
Close to work	7.8%	8.2%	9.6%	0.0%	6.4%
Enjoy/like the City	49	23	11	1	14
Friends/family here	11.1%	11.7%	10.1%	8.2%	11.1%
Grew up here	19	6	3	2	7
Job	4.2%	3.1%	2.9%	20.6%	5.4%
Quality of life	42	26	4	1	11
Retirement	9.4%	13.2%	3.6%	6.0%	8.8%
Safety/low crime	45	15	12	2	17
School system	10.1%	7.5%	10.8%	13.9%	13.2%
Small town atmosphere	5	3	2	0	0
Location	1.1%	1.5%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Downtown	29	11	10	1	6
Other mention - Negative	6.5%	5.8%	8.9%	12.0%	5.1%
Other mention	3	3	0	0	0
	0.8%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	20	6	5	0	8
	4.5%	3.3%	5.0%	0.0%	6.5%
	112	50	22	3	37
	25.2%	25.5%	20.3%	21.9%	29.4%
	59	25	24	0	9
	13.1%	12.9%	21.4%	3.6%	7.2%
	15	7	4	2	3
	3.5%	3.5%	3.7%	13.9%	2.3%
	6	4	0	0	2
	1.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
	3	0	1	0	2
	0.7%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	1.3%
	3	0	1	0	2
	0.7%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
I could afford a house/Big lots			a	
Close to work				
Enjoy/like the City			A B	
Friends/family here	B			
Grew up here				
Job			a	a
Quality of life				
Retirement		a	a	a
Safety/low crime			a	
School system				
Small town atmosphere		D		
Location				
Downtown		a	a	
Other mention - Negative	a		a	
Other mention	a		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	7	5	4	5	9	5
Close to work	49	13	5	1	4	15	10
Enjoy/like the City	19	2	2	1	2	6	6
Friends/family here	42	13	9	1	3	5	11
Grew up here	45	3	2	3	7	22	8
Job	5	1	2	1	0	1	0
Quality of life	29	5	5	5	3	8	4
Retirement	3	2	2	0	0	0	0
Safety/low crime	20	4	2	3	1	6	5
School system	112	16	17	7	6	41	25
Small town atmosphere	59	9	11	4	7	20	7
Location	15	1	4	1	2	7	2
Downtown	6	0	3	0	0	0	2
Other mention - Negative	3	0	0	1	0	0	2
Other mention	3	0	0	0	0	1	2

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
I could afford a house/Big lots						
Close to work						
Enjoy/like the City						
Friends/family here	E					
Grew up here						
Job				a		a
Quality of life						
Retirement			a	a	a	a
Safety/low crime						
School system						
Small town atmosphere						
Location						
Downtown			a	a	a	
Other mention - Negative	a	a		a	a	
Other mention	a	a	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	446	126	51	62	50
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	5	7	4	2
Close to work	7.8%	3.8%	14.0%	7.0%	4.5%
Enjoy/like the City	49	19	6	6	4
Friends/family here	11.1%	15.1%	12.7%	8.9%	7.1%
Grew up here	19	8	0	3	0
Job	4.2%	6.5%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%
Quality of life	42	13	3	9	4
Retirement	9.4%	10.4%	6.9%	15.1%	7.3%
Safety/low crime	45	17	6	6	6
School system	10.1%	13.8%	12.2%	9.3%	11.7%
Small town atmosphere	5	2	0	0	1
Location	1.1%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Downtown	29	9	2	1	3
Other mention - Negative	6.5%	6.8%	3.9%	2.2%	6.5%
Other mention	3	2	0	0	2
	0.8%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
	20	7	2	4	3
	4.5%	5.6%	3.6%	6.8%	5.1%
	112	27	18	16	18
	25.2%	21.1%	35.8%	25.7%	35.4%
	59	14	2	5	7
	13.1%	10.9%	4.8%	7.3%	14.1%
	15	3	1	2	1
	3.5%	2.0%	2.3%	3.2%	2.8%
	6	0	2	2	1
	1.3%	0.0%	3.9%	3.6%	1.0%
	3	2	0	1	0
	0.7%	1.3%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
	3	0	0	2	0
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	36	36	46	38
I could afford a house/Big lots	3 7.7%	3 9.3%	6 13.4%	4 10.8%
Close to work	3 8.3%	2 6.5%	5 10.1%	5 12.6%
Enjoy/like the City	0 0.0%	2 6.5%	1 3.1%	4 10.0%
Friends/family here	4 11.6%	3 9.7%	1 2.2%	4 9.7%
Grew up here	0 0.0%	1 2.1%	5 11.4%	4 10.0%
Job	1 3.0%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 1.2%
Quality of life	4 12.4%	2 4.2%	4 7.9%	4 11.3%
Retirement	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.3%
Safety/low crime	1 1.9%	1 3.5%	2 4.5%	0 1.3%
School system	8 23.1%	13 37.7%	7 14.1%	5 14.4%
Small town atmosphere	9 25.8%	3 9.1%	13 28.0%	5 13.5%
Location	1 3.7%	3 8.1%	3 5.4%	1 3.8%
Downtown	0 0.0%	1 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 1.3%
Other mention - Negative	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	1 2.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date			
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
I could afford a house/Big lots				
Close to work				
Enjoy/like the City		a		a
Friends/family here				
Grew up here				
Job		a	a	
Quality of life				
Retirement		a	a	
Safety/low crime				
School system				
Small town atmosphere				
Location				
Downtown	a			
Other mention - Negative		a		a
Other mention	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
I could afford a house/Big lots				
Close to work				
Enjoy/like the City	a			
Friends/family here				
Grew up here	a			
Job			a	
Quality of life				
Retirement	a	a	a	
Safety/low crime				
School system				
Small town atmosphere				
Location				
Downtown	a		a	
Other mention - Negative	a	a	a	a
Other mention		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Date							
	Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8
Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	8	1	18	4	2	0	1
	7.8%	9.9%	6.1%	9.7%	9.9%	18.1%	0.0%	2.0%
Close to work	49	10	1	21	2	1	1	9
	11.1%	12.5%	3.2%	11.0%	5.5%	4.2%	9.0%	14.0%
Enjoy/like the City	19	4	1	7	3	1	2	1
	4.2%	5.4%	5.4%	3.6%	8.7%	5.3%	10.3%	1.5%
Friends/family here	42	5	4	13	3	0	0	14
	9.4%	6.0%	16.5%	6.7%	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21.5%
Grew up here	45	11	1	14	3	1	0	10
	10.1%	13.8%	5.2%	7.6%	7.3%	5.9%	0.0%	14.8%
Job	5	0	1	1	0	0	0	3
	1.1%	0.0%	5.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%
Quality of life	29	8	2	9	2	2	1	1
	6.5%	10.1%	7.8%	4.6%	5.6%	13.9%	8.2%	1.6%
Retirement	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Safety/low crime	20	3	0	9	3	0	2	3
	4.5%	3.5%	0.0%	4.6%	9.1%	0.0%	13.9%	4.8%
School system	112	17	6	48	11	3	4	20
	25.2%	20.6%	28.3%	25.2%	30.4%	21.4%	28.1%	30.3%
Small town atmosphere	59	9	4	28	6	3	4	4
	13.1%	10.9%	19.1%	14.8%	16.5%	20.5%	26.2%	5.6%
Location	15	3	1	10	0	1	1	0
	3.5%	3.9%	3.4%	5.0%	0.0%	10.7%	4.3%	0.0%
Downtown	6	1	0	5	0	0	0	0
	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
	0.7%	2.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Date
	Dec. 9
Total	24
I could afford a house/Big lots	0
	0.0%
Close to work	5
	19.7%
Enjoy/like the City	0
	0.0%
Friends/family here	4
	17.3%
Grew up here	5
	22.7%
Job	0
	0.0%
Quality of life	5
	19.2%
Retirement	0
	0.0%
Safety/low crime	0
	0.0%
School system	4
	16.2%
Small town atmosphere	1
	4.9%
Location	0
	0.0%
Downtown	0
	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	0
	0.0%
Other mention	0
	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date							
	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
I could afford a house/Big lots						a		a
Close to work								
Enjoy/like the City								a
Friends/family here					a	a	C	
Grew up here						a		
Job	a			a	a	a		a
Quality of life								
Retirement	a	a		a	a	a	a	a
Safety/low crime		a			a			a
School system								
Small town atmosphere								
Location				a			a	a
Downtown		a		a	a	a	a	a
Other mention - Negative		a		a	a	a	a	a
Other mention	a	a		a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	349	97
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	26	9
Close to work	49	38	11
Enjoy/like the City	19	11	8
Friends/family here	42	31	11
Grew up here	45	36	9
Job	5	4	1
Quality of life	29	23	7
Retirement	3	3	0
Safety/low crime	20	17	3
School system	112	90	22
Small town atmosphere	59	47	11
Location	15	13	3
Downtown	6	6	0
Other mention - Negative	3	3	0
Other mention	3	1	2

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
I could afford a house/Big lots		
Close to work		
Enjoy/like the City		A
Friends/family here		
Grew up here		
Job		
Quality of life		a
Retirement		.
Safety/low crime		
School system		
Small town atmosphere		
Location		
Downtown		a
Other mention - Negative		a
Other mention		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	282	164
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	28	7
Close to work	49	35	15
Enjoy/like the City	19	10	8
Friends/family here	42	24	18
Grew up here	45	20	25
Job	5	1	4
Quality of life	29	19	10
Retirement	3	3	0
Safety/low crime	20	11	9
School system	112	69	43
Small town atmosphere	59	44	14
Location	15	13	3
Downtown	6	2	4
Other mention - Negative	3	2	1
Other mention	3	1	2

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?		
I could afford a house/Big lots	B	
Close to work		
Enjoy/like the City		
Friends/family here		
Grew up here		A
Job		
Quality of life		
Retirement		
Safety/low crime		
School system		
Small town atmosphere	B	
Location		
Downtown		
Other mention - Negative		
Other mention		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Years Lived in Los Altos				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?					
Total	446	3	28	74	58
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	0	3	6	4
Close to work	7.8%	0.0%	9.9%	7.7%	6.7%
Enjoy/like the City	49	1	5	13	7
Friends/family here	11.1%	40.4%	18.5%	18.0%	11.8%
Grew up here	19	0	0	2	3
Job	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	5.7%
Quality of life	42	0	5	9	9
Retirement	9.4%	0.0%	19.2%	12.3%	14.9%
Safety/low crime	45	0	0	0	1
School system	10.1%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1.5%
Small town atmosphere	5	0	2	0	0
Location	1.1%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Downtown	29	0	2	0	5
Other mention - Negative	6.5%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%	8.9%
Other mention	3	0	0	0	0
	0.8%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	20	0	2	5	4
	4.5%	0.0%	5.7%	7.1%	7.4%
	112	1	8	25	19
	25.2%	30.0%	26.7%	33.6%	33.1%
	59	1	2	8	3
	13.1%	25.9%	6.3%	11.4%	5.5%
	15	0	0	1	2
	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	3.2%
	6	0	0	2	0
	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
	3	0	0	0	1
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
	3	0	0	2	0
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%

	Years Lived in Los Altos		
	16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	111	167	4
I could afford a house/Big lots	6	16	0
	5.3%	9.7%	8.7%
Close to work	7	16	0
	6.3%	9.3%	0.0%
Enjoy/like the City	6	8	0
	5.5%	4.6%	0.0%
Friends/family here	8	9	2
	7.2%	5.5%	44.7%
Grew up here	10	34	0
	9.1%	20.3%	0.0%
Job	2	1	0
	1.6%	0.7%	0.0%
Quality of life	8	15	0
	6.8%	8.7%	0.0%
Retirement	2	2	0
	1.5%	1.0%	0.0%
Safety/low crime	4	5	0
	3.8%	2.8%	0.0%
School system	35	23	2
	31.8%	13.5%	46.6%
Small town atmosphere	17	27	0
	15.5%	16.1%	0.0%
Location	5	8	0
	4.3%	4.6%	0.0%
Downtown	1	3	0
	0.5%	1.8%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	1	1	0
	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Other mention	0	1	0
	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos				
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
I could afford a house/Big lots	a				
Close to work					
Enjoy/like the City	a	a			
Friends/family here	a				
Grew up here	a		a		
Job	a	F		a	
Quality of life	a		a		
Retirement		a	a	a	
Safety/low crime	a				
School system			F	F	F
Small town atmosphere					
Location	a	a			
Downtown	a	a		a	
Other mention - Negative	a	a	a		
Other mention	a	a		a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(F)	(G)
I could afford a house/Big lots		
Close to work		a
Enjoy/like the City		a
Friends/family here		F
Grew up here	D	a
Job		a
Quality of life		a
Retirement		a
Safety/low crime		a
School system		
Small town atmosphere		a
Location		a
Downtown		a
Other mention - Negative		a
Other mention		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Employment Status				
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student
Total	446	203	22	41	19
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	14	2	1	0
	7.8%	6.7%	10.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Close to work	49	30	2	4	0
	11.1%	14.7%	9.2%	8.7%	0.0%
Enjoy/like the City	19	10	1	1	0
	4.2%	4.8%	5.7%	2.2%	0.0%
Friends/family here	42	15	1	4	7
	9.4%	7.5%	4.1%	9.6%	38.1%
Grew up here	45	20	1	6	5
	10.1%	9.7%	4.2%	14.2%	24.4%
Job	5	2	0	0	0
	1.1%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?	29	9	2	2	3
Quality of life	6.5%	4.6%	7.0%	4.4%	16.1%
Retirement	3	0	0	0	0
	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Safety/low crime	20	9	0	0	2
	4.5%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%
School system	112	55	7	17	2
	25.2%	27.0%	29.9%	42.2%	11.7%
Small town atmosphere	59	30	2	6	0
	13.1%	14.6%	8.6%	14.3%	0.0%
Location	15	7	2	1	0
	3.5%	3.5%	10.8%	2.0%	0.0%
Downtown	6	2	2	0	0
	1.3%	1.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	3	1	0	0	0
	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention	3	0	0	0	0
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Employment Status			
	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	126	14	16	4
I could afford a house/Big lots	15	0	3	0
	12.1%	0.0%	18.0%	0.0%
Close to work	11	2	1	0
	8.9%	14.3%	4.2%	0.0%
Enjoy/like the City	5	0	1	0
	4.3%	0.0%	8.2%	0.0%
Friends/family here	12	2	1	0
	9.1%	15.1%	5.7%	0.0%
Grew up here	12	0	2	0
	9.5%	0.0%	12.9%	0.0%
Job	2	0	1	0
	1.4%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?	12	1	0	0
Quality of life	9.7%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Retirement	3	0	0	0
	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Safety/low crime	6	1	0	1
	5.0%	9.9%	0.0%	31.7%
School system	19	5	5	3
	14.8%	35.2%	30.1%	68.3%
Small town atmosphere	19	2	0	0
	15.4%	13.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Location	4	1	0	0
	3.5%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Downtown	2	0	0	0
	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	2	0	0	0
	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention	1	0	2	0
	0.7%	0.0%	14.2%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status				
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?					
I could afford a house/Big lots				a	
Close to work				a	
Enjoy/like the City				a	
Friends/family here				A E	
Grew up here					
Job		a	a	a	
Quality of life					
Retirement	a	a	a	a	
Safety/low crime		a	a		
School system			E		
Small town atmosphere				a	
Location				a	
Downtown		A E	a	a	
Other mention - Negative		a	a	a	
Other mention	a	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(F)	(G)	(H)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?			
I could afford a house/Big lots	a		a
Close to work			a
Enjoy/like the City	a		a
Friends/family here			a
Grew up here	a		a
Job	a		a
Quality of life		a	a
Retirement	a	a	a
Safety/low crime		a	
School system			
Small town atmosphere		a	a
Location		a	a
Downtown	a	a	a
Other mention - Negative	a	a	a
Other mention	a	E	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	County Where Work				
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?						
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
I could afford a house/Big lots	17	0	0	0	1	2
Close to work	35	0	0	1	0	7
Enjoy/like the City	12	1	0	0	0	3
Friends/family here	20	0	1	0	5	2
Grew up here	26	0	0	0	1	3
Job	2	0	0	0	0	0
Quality of life	13	0	0	0	3	1
Retirement	9	0	0	0	0	2
Safety/low crime	79	2	1	0	1	9
School system	10	0	0	0	1	0
Small town atmosphere	37	1	0	0	1	1
Location	4	0	0	0	0	0
Downtown	1	0	0	0	0	0
Other mention - Negative	1	0	0	0	0	0
Other mention	13	0	0	0	3	1

	County Where Work				
	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	207	2	0	5	2
I could afford a house/Big lots	14 6.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Close to work	22 10.8%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	2 50.8%	0 0.0%
Enjoy/like the City	7 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Friends/family here	12 6.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Grew up here	23 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Job	2 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Quality of life	7 3.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 54.9%
Retirement					
Safety/low crime	7 3.4%	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
School system	64 31.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.6%	1 45.1%
Small town atmosphere	34 16.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 18.2%	0 0.0%
Location	9 4.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.4%	0 0.0%
Downtown	4 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	1 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work					
	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
I could afford a house/Big lots	a	a	a,b			
Close to work	a	a	a,b	a		
Enjoy/like the City	F	a	a,b	a		
Friends/family here	a	a	a,b	F		
Grew up here	a	a	a,b			
Job	a	a	a,b	a	a	
Quality of life	a	a	a,b	F		
Safety/low crime	a	a	a,b	a		
School system			a,b			
Small town atmosphere		a	a,b			
Location	a	a	a,b		a	
Downtown	a	a	a,b	a	a	
Other mention - Negative	a	a	a,b	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work			
	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
I could afford a house/Big lots	a	a,b	a	a
Close to work	a	a,b	F	a
Enjoy/like the City	a	a,b	a	a
Friends/family here	a	a,b	a	a
Grew up here	a	a,b	a	a
Job	a	a,b	a	a
Quality of life	a	a,b	a	E F
Safety/low crime	a	a,b	a	a
School system	a	a,b		
Small town atmosphere	a	a,b		a
Location	a	a,b		a
Downtown	a	a,b	a	a
Other mention - Negative	a	a,b	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group			
	Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
Total	446	1	26	5
I could afford a house/Big lots	35 7.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Close to work	49 11.1%	0 0.0%	1 5.1%	0 0.0%
Enjoy/like the City	19 4.2%	0 0.0%	2 8.2%	0 0.0%
Friends/family here	42 9.4%	0 0.0%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%
Grew up here	45 10.1%	0 0.0%	2 9.0%	1 14.2%
Job	5 1.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Quality of life	29 6.5%	0 0.0%	4 14.5%	0 0.0%
Retirement	3 0.8%	0 0.0%	2 6.3%	0 0.0%
Safety/low crime	20 4.5%	0 0.0%	1 2.9%	3 68.0%
School system	112 25.2%	1 100.0%	8 31.1%	1 17.7%
Small town atmosphere	59 13.1%	0 0.0%	4 14.0%	0 0.0%
Location	15 3.5%	0 0.0%	1 2.1%	0 0.0%
Downtown	6 1.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	3 0.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	3 0.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese
Total	19	4	1	2
I could afford a house/Big lots	4 19.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Close to work	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Enjoy/like the City	0 0.0%	2 51.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Friends/family here	5 26.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Grew up here	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%
Job	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Quality of life	1 4.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Retirement	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Safety/low crime	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
School system	7 38.4%	1 15.7%	1 100.0%	1 52.1%
Small town atmosphere	1 6.7%	1 32.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Location	1 4.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Downtown	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	5	330	11	16
I could afford a house/Big lots	0 0.0%	29 8.8%	1 8.8%	1 7.5%
Close to work	0 0.0%	39 11.7%	0 0.0%	5 32.4%
Enjoy/like the City	1 14.9%	13 4.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Friends/family here	0 0.0%	33 9.9%	2 0.0%	2 14.8%
Grew up here	0 0.0%	40 12.2%	0 0.0%	1 4.5%
Job	0 0.0%	5 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Quality of life	0 0.0%	22 6.8%	0 0.0%	2 11.8%
Retirement	0 0.0%	2 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Safety/low crime	0 0.0%	11 3.3%	3 29.2%	0 0.0%
School system	2 40.6%	73 22.1%	3 31.1%	3 15.6%
Small town atmosphere	2 44.5%	40 12.3%	3 30.9%	2 13.4%
Location	0 0.0%	12 3.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Downtown	0 0.0%	6 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	0 0.0%	3 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	0 0.0%	2 0.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group	
	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	5	20
I could afford a house/Big lots	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Close to work	1 17.5%	3 15.6%
Enjoy/like the City	0 0.0%	1 2.6%
Friends/family here	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Grew up here	0 0.0%	0 1.0%
Job	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Quality of life	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Retirement	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Safety/low crime	0 0.0%	2 10.0%
School system	3 67.4%	8 39.6%
Small town atmosphere	1 15.2%	3 17.0%
Location	0 0.0%	2 9.9%
Downtown	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	0 0.0%	1 4.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?	I could afford a house/Big lots	a,b	b	b	.
	Close to work	a,b	.	b	b
	Enjoy/like the City	a,b	.	b	b
	Friends/family here	a,b	.	b	.
	Grew up here	a,b	.	.	b
	Job	a,b	b	b	b
	Quality of life	a,b	.	b	.
	Retirement	a,b	I	b	b
	Safety/low crime	a,b	.	B I M	b
	School system	a,b	.	.	.
	Small town atmosphere	a,b	.	b	.
	Location	a,b	.	b	.
	Downtown	a,b	b	b	b
	Other mention - Negative	a,b	b	b	b
	Other mention	a,b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?	I could afford a house/Big lots	b	a,b	b	b
	Close to work	b	a,b	b	b
	Enjoy/like the City	I M	a,b	b	.
	Friends/family here	b	a,b	b	b
	Grew up here	b	a,b	.	b
	Job	b	a,b	b	b
	Quality of life	b	a,b	b	b
	Retirement	b	a,b	b	b
	Safety/low crime	b	a,b	b	b
	School system	.	a,b	.	.
	Small town atmosphere	.	a,b	b	.
	Location	b	a,b	b	b
	Downtown	b	a,b	b	b
	Other mention - Negative	b	a,b	b	b
	Other mention	b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?	I could afford a house/Big lots	.	.	.	b
	Close to work	.	b	.	.
	Enjoy/like the City	.	b	b	b
	Friends/family here	.	b	.	b
	Grew up here	.	b	.	b
	Job	.	b	b	b
	Quality of life	.	b	.	b
	Retirement	.	b	b	b
	Safety/low crime	.	I	b	b
	School system
	Small town atmosphere
	Location	.	b	b	b
	Downtown	.	b	b	b
	Other mention - Negative	.	b	b	b
	Other mention	.	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?	I could afford a house/Big lots	b
	Close to work	.
	Enjoy/like the City	.
	Friends/family here	b
	Grew up here	.
	Job	b
	Quality of life	b
	Retirement	b
	Safety/low crime	.
	School system	.
	Small town atmosphere	.
	Location	.
	Downtown	b
	Other mention - Negative	b
	Other mention	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 ^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
Total	446	203	243
I could afford a house/Big lots	35 7.8%	9 4.6%	26 10.6%
Close to work	49 11.1%	23 11.4%	26 10.8%
Enjoy/like the City	19 4.2%	11 5.2%	8 3.4%
Friends/family here	42 9.4%	21 10.5%	21 8.5%
Grew up here	45 10.1%	17 8.2%	29 11.8%
Job	5 1.1%	4 1.8%	1 0.5%
Quality of life	29 6.5%	15 7.2%	15 6.0%
Retirement	3 0.8%	3 1.7%	0 0.0%
Safety/low crime	20 4.5%	7 3.7%	13 5.2%
School system	112 25.2%	55 27.0%	58 23.7%
Small town atmosphere	59 13.1%	27 13.4%	31 12.9%
Location	15 3.5%	5 2.4%	11 4.3%
Downtown	6 1.3%	5 2.6%	0 0.2%
Other mention - Negative	3 0.7%	1 0.4%	2 0.9%
Other mention	3 0.7%	0 0.0%	3 1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Zip Code	
	94022	94024
	(A)	(B)
I could afford a house/Big lots		A
Close to work		
Enjoy/like the City		
Friends/family here		
Grew up here		
Job		
Quality of life		a
Retirement		.
Safety/low crime		
School system		
Small town atmosphere		
Location		
Downtown	B	
Other mention - Negative		
Other mention	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total	446	20	422	3
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	1	34	0
	7.8%	6.1%	8.0%	0.0%
Close to work	49	4	46	0
	11.1%	18.7%	10.8%	0.0%
Enjoy/like the City	19	1	17	0
	4.2%	6.6%	4.1%	0.0%
Friends/family here	42	0	42	0
	9.4%	0.0%	9.9%	0.0%
Grew up here	45	0	45	0
	10.1%	0.0%	10.7%	0.0%
Job	5	0	5	0
	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Quality of life	29	3	27	0
	6.5%	12.4%	6.3%	0.0%
Retirement	3	2	2	0
	0.8%	8.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Safety/low crime	20	2	15	3
	4.5%	10.3%	3.5%	100.0%
School system	112	5	107	0
	25.2%	24.3%	25.4%	0.0%
Small town atmosphere	59	3	56	0
	13.1%	13.6%	13.2%	0.0%
Location	15	0	15	0
	3.5%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%
Downtown	6	0	6	0
	1.3%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	3	0	3	0
	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Other mention	3	0	3	0
	0.7%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
I could afford a house/Big lots			a
Close to work			a
Enjoy/like the City			a
Friends/family here	a		a
Grew up here	a		a
Job	a		a
Quality of life			a
Retirement	B		a
Safety/low crime			a
School system			a
Small town atmosphere			a
Location	a		a
Downtown	a		a
Other mention - Negative	a		a
Other mention	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	446	90	64	123	168
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	1	6	11	17
Close to work	49	12	10	14	14
Enjoy/like the City	19	3	2	7	6
Friends/family here	42	16	7	8	11
Grew up here	45	10	11	10	14
Job	5	3	1	0	1
Quality of life	29	4	13	4	9
Retirement	3	0	2	0	2
Safety/low crime	20	6	1	5	8
School system	112	31	7	36	38
Small town atmosphere	59	5	6	19	29
Location	15	0	0	5	10
Downtown	6	0	0	1	5
Other mention - Negative	3	0	0	2	1
Other mention	3	0	0	0	3
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
I could afford a house/Big lots				A
Close to work				
Enjoy/like the City				
Friends/family here	D			
Grew up here			a	
Job				
Quality of life	a	A C D		
Retirement				
Safety/low crime				
School system	B		B	
Small town atmosphere				A
Location	a	a		
Downtown	a	a		
Other mention - Negative	a	a		
Other mention	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	2	4	5	4	19
	7.8%	3.7%	5.9%	10.7%	6.3%	9.4%
Close to work	49	12	6	3	7	21
	11.1%	23.2%	7.9%	6.7%	10.4%	10.4%
Enjoy/like the City	19	4	3	0	3	8
	4.2%	8.2%	4.3%	0.0%	5.0%	3.9%
Friends/family here	42	8	8	5	5	18
	9.4%	14.9%	10.7%	8.9%	6.6%	8.7%
Grew up here	45	4	11	5	8	17
	10.1%	7.3%	16.3%	9.9%	11.9%	8.1%
Job	5	2	0	0	1	2
	1.1%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.1%
Quality of life	29	5	2	3	5	14
	6.5%	9.1%	2.5%	6.3%	7.6%	7.0%
Retirement	3	0	2	0	0	2
	0.8%	0.2%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Safety/low crime	20	5	1	3	2	10
	4.5%	9.7%	0.9%	5.3%	2.6%	4.9%
School system	112	6	25	17	20	44
	25.2%	12.5%	35.7%	33.0%	28.6%	21.7%
Small town atmosphere	59	2	7	7	7	35
	13.1%	4.2%	9.4%	13.6%	10.8%	17.4%
Location	15	2	1	0	3	10
	3.5%	3.2%	1.3%	0.0%	4.6%	4.7%
Downtown	6	0	2	2	0	2
	1.3%	0.0%	2.8%	4.3%	0.0%	0.7%
Other mention - Negative	3	0	0	1	0	2
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%
Other mention	3	0	0	0	3	0
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
I could afford a house/Big lots					
Close to work					
Enjoy/like the City			a		
Friends/family here					
Grew up here					
Job		a	a		
Quality of life					
Retirement			a	a	
Safety/low crime					
School system		A			
Small town atmosphere					
Location			a		
Downtown	a			a	
Other mention - Negative	a	a		a	
Other mention	a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender					
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
I could afford a house/Big lots	35	10	7	8	3	3
	7.9%	7.9%	8.7%	14.1%	5.3%	5.6%
Close to work	48	14	9	5	5	9
	10.9%	11.7%	11.7%	8.5%	9.8%	16.2%
Enjoy/like the City	19	4	2	2	1	4
	4.2%	3.5%	2.5%	3.2%	2.6%	8.4%
Friends/family here	42	18	8	0	4	6
	9.5%	15.0%	10.4%	0.0%	7.4%	11.5%
Grew up here	45	10	5	6	6	12
	10.2%	8.4%	6.2%	10.2%	11.7%	22.5%
Job	5	1	2	1	1	0
	1.1%	0.5%	3.1%	2.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Quality of life	29	3	8	7	3	1
	6.6%	2.6%	11.0%	12.0%	5.9%	1.8%
Retirement	3	2	2	0	0	0
	0.8%	1.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Safety/low crime	20	4	2	3	2	1
	4.5%	3.4%	3.1%	6.2%	3.9%	2.5%
School system	112	32	18	13	9	12
	25.1%	26.6%	23.6%	24.1%	16.9%	22.6%
Small town atmosphere	59	16	9	11	13	5
	13.2%	13.5%	12.1%	19.8%	23.6%	8.8%
Location	15	4	3	0	4	0
	3.5%	3.2%	3.9%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%
Downtown	6	3	1	0	0	0
	1.3%	2.2%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	3	0	0	0	1	0
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Other mention	3	0	0	0	1	0
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%

	Party by Gender		
	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	73	2	10
I could afford a house/Big lots	5	0	0
	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Close to work	6	0	1
	7.5%	0.0%	9.6%
Enjoy/like the City	2	0	2
	3.3%	0.0%	24.1%
Friends/family here	5	1	0
	7.0%	41.6%	0.0%
Grew up here	5	0	2
	6.6%	0.0%	16.2%
Job	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Quality of life	5	1	0
	7.5%	58.4%	4.2%
Retirement	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Safety/low crime	7	0	0
	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%
School system	24	0	3
	33.4%	0.0%	25.6%
Small town atmosphere	4	0	0
	6.1%	0.0%	4.2%
Location	3	0	2
	3.9%	0.0%	16.2%
Downtown	2	0	0
	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	2	0	0
	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention	2	0	0
	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by Gender				
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?					
I could afford a house/Big lots					
Close to work					
Enjoy/like the City					
Friends/family here			b		
Grew up here					
Job					b
Quality of life					
Retirement			b	b	b
Safety/low crime					
School system					
Small town atmosphere					
Location			b		b
Downtown			b	b	b
Other mention - Negative	b	b	b		b
Other mention	b	b	b		b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by Gender		
	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(F)	(G)	(H)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Los Altos?			
I could afford a house/Big lots		a,b	b
Close to work		a,b	
Enjoy/like the City		a,b	
Friends/family here		a	b
Grew up here		a,b	
Job	b	a,b	b
Quality of life		a	
Retirement	b	a,b	b
Safety/low crime		a,b	b
School system		a,b	
Small town atmosphere		a,b	
Location		a,b	
Downtown		a,b	b
Other mention - Negative		a,b	b
Other mention		a,b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?	446	446
Total	127	127
Affordable housing	28.5%	28.5%
City's economic health	20	20
Condition of civic buildings	4.6%	4.6%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	27	27
Controlling growth	6.1%	6.1%
Crime	32	32
Education	7.1%	7.1%
Environmental health	88	88
Neighborhood preservation	19.8%	19.8%
Poor cell coverage	14	14
Protection of open space	3.2%	3.2%
Public transportation	61	61
Quality of life	2	2
Race relations	13.7%	13.7%
Taxes	2	2
Teen programs	0.4%	0.4%
Traffic	6	6
Downtown needs revitalization	1.3%	1.3%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	1	1
Parking	0.3%	0.3%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	9	9
School site issue	2.0%	2.0%
Need store/Restaurants	9	9
Government/Listen to voters	20	20
Other mention - Negative	4.4%	4.4%
None/Nothing	5	5
Other mention	1.1%	1.1%
DK/NA	16	16
	3.7%	3.7%
	8	8
	1.8%	1.8%
	109	109
	24.4%	24.4%
	48	48
	10.8%	10.8%
	25	25
	5.6%	5.6%
	9	9
	1.9%	1.9%
	14	14
	3.1%	3.1%
	22	22
	4.9%	4.9%
	12	12
	2.6%	2.6%
	4	4
	0.9%	0.9%
	4	4
	0.8%	0.8%
	15	15
	3.3%	3.3%
	20	20
	4.4%	4.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
Affordable housing	.
City's economic health	.
Condition of civic buildings	.
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	.
Controlling growth	.
Crime	.
Education	.
Environmental health	.
Neighborhood preservation	.
Poor cell coverage	.
Protection of open space	.
Public transportation	.
Quality of life	.
Race relations	.
Taxes	.
Teen programs	.
Traffic	.
Downtown needs revitalization	.
Parking	.
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	.
School site issue	.
Need store/Restaurants	.
Government/Listen to voters	.
Other mention - Negative	.
None/Nothing	.
Other mention	.
DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	446	213	231	2
Affordable housing	127	55	73	0
28.5%	25.7%	31.5%	0.0%	
City's economic health	20	13	7	0
4.6%	6.3%	3.0%	0.0%	
Condition of civic buildings	27	13	14	0
6.1%	6.0%	6.2%	0.0%	
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	32	15	17	0
7.1%	7.1%	7.2%	0.0%	
Controlling growth	88	37	52	0
19.8%	17.3%	22.4%	0.0%	
Crime	14	5	9	0
3.2%	2.5%	3.8%	0.0%	
Education	61	36	25	0
13.7%	16.8%	11.0%	0.0%	
Environmental health	2	0	2	0
0.4%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	
Neighborhood preservation	6	2	4	0
1.3%	0.8%	1.7%	0.0%	
Poor cell coverage	1	1	0	0
0.3%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	
Protection of open space	9	5	4	0
2.0%	2.3%	1.8%	0.0%	
Public transportation	9	6	2	1
2.0%	2.6%	1.1%	41.3%	
Quality of life	20	12	8	0
4.4%	5.6%	3.4%	0.0%	
Race relations	5	1	4	0
1.1%	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%	
Taxes	16	11	5	0
3.7%	5.1%	2.4%	0.0%	
Teen programs	8	4	4	0
1.8%	1.8%	1.9%	0.0%	
Traffic	109	55	53	1
24.4%	25.9%	22.8%	58.7%	
Downtown needs revitalization	48	20	28	0
10.8%	9.4%	12.3%	0.0%	
Parking	25	5	19	1
5.6%	2.2%	8.2%	58.7%	
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	9	3	5	0
1.9%	1.6%	2.3%	0.0%	
School site issue	14	6	8	0
3.1%	2.7%	3.5%	0.0%	
Need store/Restaurants	22	7	15	0
4.9%	3.1%	6.6%	0.0%	
Government/Listen to voters	12	6	5	0
2.6%	3.0%	2.3%	0.0%	
Other mention - Negative	4	1	3	0
0.9%	0.6%	1.1%	0.0%	
None/Nothing	4	4	0	0
0.8%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	
Other mention	15	3	12	0
3.3%	1.4%	5.2%	0.0%	
DK/NA	20	13	7	0
4.4%	6.1%	2.9%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
Affordable housing			a
City's economic health			a
Condition of civic buildings			a
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks			a
Controlling growth			a
Crime			a
Education			a
Environmental health	a		a
Neighborhood preservation			a
Poor cell coverage			a
Protection of open space			a
Public transportation			A B
Quality of life			a
Race relations			a
Taxes			a
Teen programs			a
Traffic			a
Downtown needs revitalization			a
Parking		A	A B
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings			a
School site issue			a
Need store/Restaurants			a
Government/Listen to voters			a
Other mention - Negative			a
None/Nothing		a	a
Other mention		A	a
DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
Affordable housing	127	27	16	16	40	27	1
City's economic health	20	0	2	1	7	10	0
Condition of civic buildings	27	0	1	6	12	8	0
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	32	4	2	6	10	9	0
Controlling growth	88	9	2	17	31	27	2
Crime	14	2	1	6	3	2	0
Education	61	9	8	16	19	8	1
Environmental health	0.4%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Neighborhood preservation	6	0	1	1	0	3	0
Poor cell coverage	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Protection of open space	9	2	1	0	4	1	1
Public transportation	2.0%	2.8%	0.0%	1.2%	1.7%	3.2%	0.0%
Quality of life	20	2	4	2	6	6	0
Race relations	5	3	0	0	2	0	0
Taxes	16	5	0	0	5	6	0
Teen programs	8	3	0	1	4	0	0
Traffic	109	8	11	15	39	37	0
Downtown needs revitalization	48	0	1	14	20	13	0
Parking	25	2	1	1	9	11	1
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	9	0	0	2	2	5	1
School site issue	14	2	2	5	4	1	0
Need store/Restaurants	22	6	1	8	6	1	0
Government/Listen to voters	12	0	2	1	4	5	0
Other mention - Negative	4	0	0	2	0	2	0
None/Nothing	4	0	0	0	0	4	0
Other mention	15	3	2	1	5	3	1
DK/NA	20	2	1	5	5	5	2

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?						
Affordable housing	C E	C E				
City's economic health	a					a
Condition of civic buildings	a					a
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks						a
Controlling growth						a
Crime						a
Education						a
Environmental health	a		a	a		a
Neighborhood preservation	a			a		a
Poor cell coverage	a	a	a	a		a
Protection of open space			a			a
Public transportation		a				a
Quality of life						a
Race relations		a	a		a	a
Taxes		a	a			a
Teen programs		a			a	a
Traffic						a
Downtown needs revitalization	a					a
Parking						a
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	a	a				a
School site issue						a
Need store/Restaurants	E		E			a
Government/Listen to voters	a					a
Other mention - Negative	a	a		a		a
None/Nothing	a	a	a	a		a
Other mention						a
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?				
Total	446	357	80	9
Affordable housing	127	75	48	4
City's economic health	28.5%	21.1%	60.2%	42.7%
Condition of civic buildings	20	15	5	0
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	4.6%	4.2%	6.7%	0.0%
Controlling growth	27	24	2	1
Crime	6.1%	6.7%	2.6%	13.5%
Education	32	30	2	0
Environmental health	7.1%	8.5%	2.0%	0.0%
Neighborhood preservation	88	79	10	0
Poor cell coverage	19.8%	22.0%	12.1%	2.1%
Protection of open space	14	10	4	0
Public transportation	3.2%	2.8%	4.9%	2.9%
Quality of life	61	54	7	0
Race relations	13.7%	15.1%	8.6%	3.5%
Taxes	2	2	0	0
Teen programs	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	6	6	0	0
Downtown needs revitalization	1.3%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	1	1	0	0
School site issue	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Need store/Restaurants	9	7	2	0
Government/Listen to voters	2.0%	2.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	9	8	0	1
None/Nothing	2.0%	2.3%	0.0%	9.3%
Other mention	20	16	4	0
DK/NA	4.4%	4.5%	4.4%	0.0%
	5	2	0	3
	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%	37.1%
	16	11	5	0
	3.7%	3.2%	6.3%	0.0%
	8	7	0	2
	1.8%	1.8%	0.0%	18.5%
	109	93	16	0
	24.4%	26.1%	19.4%	2.9%
	48	42	7	0
	10.8%	11.7%	8.3%	0.0%
	25	21	4	0
	5.6%	5.9%	4.8%	0.0%
	9	9	0	0
	1.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
	14	14	0	0
	3.1%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
	22	20	2	0
	4.9%	5.5%	2.9%	0.0%
	12	10	2	0
	2.6%	2.7%	2.6%	0.0%
	4	4	0	0
	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
	4	2	2	0
	0.8%	0.6%	2.1%	0.0%
	15	5	9	0
	3.3%	1.5%	11.5%	2.1%
	20	15	4	1
	4.4%	4.1%	4.9%	10.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?	Affordable housing		A	a
	City's economic health			a
	Condition of civic buildings			
	Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	B		a
	Controlling growth			
	Crime			
	Education			
	Environmental health		a	a
	Neighborhood preservation		a	a
	Poor cell coverage		a	a
	Protection of open space			a
	Public transportation		a	
	Quality of life			a
	Race relations		a	A
	Taxes			a
	Teen programs		a	A
	Traffic			
	Downtown needs revitalization			a
	Parking			a
	Downtown growth/Tall Buildings		a	a
	School site issue		a	a
	Need store/Restaurants			a
	Government/Listen to voters			a
Other mention - Negative		a	a	
None/Nothing			a	
Other mention				
DK/NA		A		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	446	197	110	12	127
Affordable housing	127	72	19	3	34
City's economic health	20	9	7	0	4
Condition of civic buildings	27	11	7	1	7
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	6.1%	5.7%	6.7%	9.1%	5.8%
Controlling growth	32	11	10	1	9
Crime	7.1%	5.8%	9.5%	4.6%	7.3%
Education	88	36	26	1	25
Environmental health	19.8%	18.3%	23.8%	11.8%	19.6%
Neighborhood preservation	14	8	0	0	6
Poor cell coverage	3.2%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%
Protection of open space	61	18	19	3	22
Public transportation	13.7%	9.0%	17.0%	23.8%	17.2%
Quality of life	2	0	1	0	1
Race relations	0.4%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.8%
Taxes	6	1	2	0	2
Teen programs	1.3%	0.5%	2.1%	0.0%	1.8%
Traffic	1	0	1	0	0
Downtown needs revitalization	0.3%	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Parking	9	5	4	0	0
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	2.0%	2.7%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
School site issue	9	3	1	2	3
Need store/Restaurants	2.0%	1.6%	0.8%	13.9%	2.5%
Government/Listen to voters	20	13	3	0	4
Other mention - Negative	4.4%	6.4%	2.7%	0.0%	3.3%
None/Nothing	5	2	0	0	3
Other mention	1.1%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
DK/NA	16	5	3	0	8
	3.7%	2.6%	2.7%	3.6%	6.2%
	8	6	1	0	1
	1.8%	3.1%	0.8%	0.0%	1.0%
	109	47	32	4	26
	24.4%	23.7%	29.5%	34.9%	20.2%
	48	24	12	0	13
	10.8%	12.0%	10.5%	0.0%	10.4%
	25	10	12	0	3
	5.6%	4.8%	11.1%	0.0%	2.4%
	9	2	4	0	2
	1.9%	1.2%	3.6%	0.0%	1.8%
	14	7	2	2	3
	3.1%	3.7%	1.6%	13.9%	2.4%
	22	5	5	1	11
	4.9%	2.6%	4.8%	6.0%	8.5%
	12	3	4	0	5
	2.6%	1.7%	3.3%	0.0%	3.8%
	4	0	2	1	1
	0.9%	0.0%	1.4%	8.4%	1.0%
	4	2	1	0	1
	0.8%	1.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%
	15	11	2	0	1
	3.3%	5.6%	2.2%	0.0%	1.0%
	20	6	5	0	8
	4.4%	3.1%	4.2%	3.6%	6.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?				
Affordable housing	B			
City's economic health			a	
Condition of civic buildings				
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks				
Controlling growth				
Crime		a	a	
Education				
Environmental health	a		a	
Neighborhood preservation			a	
Poor cell coverage			a	a
Protection of open space			a	a
Public transportation			AB	
Quality of life			a	
Race relations		a	a	
Taxes				
Teen programs			a	
Traffic				
Downtown needs revitalization			a	
Parking		D	a	
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings			a	
School site issue				
Need store/Restaurants				
Government/Listen to voters			a	
Other mention - Negative	a			
None/Nothing			a	
Other mention			a	
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?							
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Affordable housing	127	32	18	5	8	41	24
City's economic health	28.5%	42.8%	26.5%	14.6%	19.5%	29.2%	26.1%
Condition of civic buildings	20	6	1	0	2	9	2
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	4.6%	7.8%	1.6%	0.0%	6.2%	6.1%	2.7%
Controlling growth	27	3	6	3	4	8	4
Crime	6.1%	3.5%	8.3%	7.8%	9.0%	6.0%	4.7%
Education	32	5	3	3	5	10	6
Environmental health	7.1%	6.3%	5.0%	8.9%	11.8%	7.1%	6.9%
Neighborhood preservation	88	14	8	8	11	27	21
Poor cell coverage	19.8%	18.9%	11.4%	23.9%	28.0%	19.2%	23.0%
Protection of open space	14	2	5	0	0	4	3
Public transportation	3.2%	2.9%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	3.3%
Quality of life	61	4	11	2	8	25	12
Race relations	13.7%	5.6%	15.4%	6.8%	19.6%	17.5%	13.1%
Taxes	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
Teen programs	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Traffic	6	0	0	0	2	2	1
Downtown needs revitalization	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	1.4%	1.5%
Parking	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
School site issue	9	3	1	1	0	4	0
Need store/Restaurants	2.0%	4.5%	1.6%	2.7%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
Government/Listen to voters	9	3	1	1	0	3	2
Other mention - Negative	2.0%	3.5%	0.7%	2.9%	0.0%	1.8%	2.6%
None/Nothing	20	4	5	0	1	8	2
Other mention	4.4%	5.6%	6.9%	0.0%	2.6%	5.6%	2.1%
DK/NA	5	2	0	0	0	0	3
	1.1%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
	16	1	1	1	2	6	5
	3.7%	1.9%	1.4%	4.3%	4.0%	4.4%	5.4%
	8	2	2	0	0	2	1
	1.8%	2.6%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	1.4%
	109	19	17	11	15	33	14
	24.4%	25.0%	25.1%	34.2%	37.8%	23.2%	16.1%
	48	5	15	4	3	12	9
	10.8%	6.6%	21.6%	13.9%	8.2%	8.3%	10.1%
	25	4	3	3	4	7	3
	5.6%	5.8%	4.1%	8.9%	11.2%	5.2%	3.4%
	9	1	0	3	1	1	2
	1.9%	1.9%	0.0%	9.2%	2.6%	0.7%	2.6%
	14	4	1	1	0	7	1
	3.1%	5.3%	1.9%	2.2%	0.0%	4.8%	1.1%
	22	2	3	1	2	6	9
	4.9%	2.2%	3.8%	3.9%	4.4%	4.1%	9.8%
	12	1	2	1	1	5	3
	2.6%	1.3%	2.2%	2.2%	2.0%	3.3%	3.4%
	4	0	0	0	1	2	1
	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	1.2%	1.4%
	4	0	2	0	1	0	1
	0.8%	0.6%	2.4%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.9%
	15	2	4	0	2	5	1
	3.3%	3.0%	6.2%	0.0%	4.4%	3.7%	1.4%
	20	4	1	1	1	5	6
	4.4%	5.4%	1.8%	4.7%	2.3%	3.9%	7.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Affordable housing						
City's economic health			a			
Condition of civic buildings						
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks						
Controlling growth						
Crime			a	a		
Education						
Environmental health	a	a		a	a	
Neighborhood preservation	a	a	a			
Poor cell coverage		a		a	a	a
Protection of open space				a		a
Public transportation				a		
Quality of life			a			
Race relations		a	a	a	a	
Taxes						
Teen programs			a	a		
Traffic						
Downtown needs revitalization						
Parking						
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings		a	E	a		
School site issue						
Need store/Restaurants						
Government/Listen to voters			a			
Other mention - Negative	a	a	a			
None/Nothing			a		a	
Other mention			a			
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	446	126	51	62	50
Affordable housing	127	43	13	22	15
City's economic health	28.5%	33.8%	26.1%	35.3%	30.7%
Condition of civic buildings	20	1	1	1	3
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	4.6%	1.1%	2.1%	1.5%	6.4%
Controlling growth	27	5	4	4	4
Crime	6.1%	3.8%	7.1%	5.9%	8.2%
Education	32	11	5	2	3
Environmental health	7.1%	8.6%	9.9%	2.8%	5.0%
Neighborhood preservation	88	18	9	13	11
Poor cell coverage	19.8%	14.2%	17.5%	21.2%	22.2%
Protection of open space	14	6	3	1	1
Public transportation	3.2%	4.5%	5.9%	2.1%	1.6%
Quality of life	61	12	10	10	5
Race relations	13.7%	9.6%	19.7%	16.9%	10.7%
Taxes	2	1	0	0	1
Teen programs	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Traffic	6	2	1	0	1
Downtown needs revitalization	1.3%	1.6%	1.5%	0.0%	2.7%
Parking	1	0	0	0	0
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
School site issue	9	4	1	1	0
Need store/Restaurants	2.0%	3.5%	1.7%	0.9%	0.0%
Government/Listen to voters	9	2	0	1	3
Other mention - Negative	2.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	5.4%
None/Nothing	20	5	4	4	3
Other mention	4.4%	3.6%	7.4%	6.1%	6.3%
DK/NA	5	3	1	0	0
	1.1%	2.6%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
	16	5	3	2	1
	3.7%	4.0%	5.9%	3.4%	2.7%
	8	6	0	0	2
	1.8%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%
	109	27	15	14	9
	24.4%	21.7%	30.1%	22.1%	18.7%
	48	8	5	14	7
	10.8%	6.3%	9.2%	22.7%	13.8%
	25	4	0	3	4
	5.6%	3.0%	1.0%	5.2%	8.9%
	9	2	0	1	2
	1.9%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	4.5%
	14	5	2	1	4
	3.1%	3.6%	4.3%	1.6%	7.4%
	22	10	0	3	3
	4.9%	8.3%	0.0%	4.9%	6.3%
	12	4	0	1	0
	2.6%	3.4%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
	4	2	0	0	0
	0.9%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	4	2	0	1	0
	0.8%	1.7%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
	15	7	0	0	0
	3.3%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	20	5	3	4	1
	4.4%	3.8%	6.1%	6.0%	1.6%

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	36	36	46	38
Affordable housing	9 24.3%	6 17.9%	12 25.9%	7 18.0%
City's economic health	5 14.0%	2 6.2%	6 12.2%	1 2.3%
Condition of civic buildings	3 8.3%	2 4.4%	3 5.5%	4 9.8%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	2 5.0%	2 5.0%	3 5.8%	5 14.0%
Controlling growth	7 18.0%	9 26.3%	13 27.9%	8 22.2%
Crime	1 1.8%	2 5.4%	1 1.7%	0 0.0%
Education	7 19.3%	7 19.7%	5 10.7%	4 10.9%
Environmental health	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Neighborhood preservation	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 4.2%
Poor cell coverage	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 1.1%	1 2.4%
Protection of open space	1 1.4%	1 2.2%	1 1.9%	1 2.3%
Public transportation	1 3.8%	0 0.0%	0 1.1%	2 5.0%
Quality of life	1 3.3%	1 3.8%	1 2.7%	1 1.9%
Race relations	1 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Taxes	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 6.0%	2 5.6%
Teen programs	0 0.0%	1 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Traffic	9 25.7%	10 28.8%	13 27.7%	11 28.0%
Downtown needs revitalization	3 9.3%	6 18.0%	4 9.3%	1 1.4%
Parking	3 8.9%	1 1.8%	6 12.1%	3 9.0%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	1 2.1%	0 0.0%	1 1.4%	3 6.7%
School site issue	1 2.0%	1 1.5%	1 2.1%	0 0.0%
Need store/Restaurants	0 0.0%	2 5.6%	3 7.0%	0 0.0%
Government/Listen to voters	3 7.4%	1 4.2%	2 3.9%	1 2.3%
Other mention - Negative	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.5%	1 2.1%
None/Nothing	1 2.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	1 2.7%	3 8.7%	2 4.3%	1 3.3%
DK/NA	1 2.3%	1 3.8%	1 1.7%	4 10.7%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date			
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Affordable housing				
City's economic health				
Condition of civic buildings				
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks				
Controlling growth				
Crime				
Education				
Environmental health		a	a	
Neighborhood preservation			a	
Poor cell coverage	a	a	a	a
Protection of open space				a
Public transportation		a		
Quality of life				
Race relations			a	a
Taxes				
Teen programs		a	a	
Traffic				
Downtown needs revitalization			A	
Parking				
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings		a		
School site issue				
Need store/Restaurants		a		
Government/Listen to voters		a		a
Other mention - Negative		a	a	a
None/Nothing		a		a
Other mention		a	a	a
DK/NA				

3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Affordable housing				
City's economic health	A		A	
Condition of civic buildings				
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks				
Controlling growth				
Crime				a
Education				
Environmental health	a	a	a	a
Neighborhood preservation	a	a	a	
Poor cell coverage	a	a		
Protection of open space				
Public transportation		a		
Quality of life				
Race relations		a	a	a
Taxes	a	a		
Teen programs	a		a	a
Traffic				
Downtown needs revitalization				
Parking				
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings		a		
School site issue				a
Need store/Restaurants	a			a
Government/Listen to voters				
Other mention - Negative	a	a		
None/Nothing		a	a	a
Other mention				
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Date							
	Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8
Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66
Affordable housing	127	18	7	44	10	0	7	33
City's economic health	28.5%	22.4%	32.2%	23.3%	25.9%	0.0%	45.8%	50.6%
Condition of civic buildings	20	3	0	6	1	0	0	7
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	4.6%	3.4%	0.0%	3.1%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	9.9%
Controlling growth	27	7	2	9	2	1	1	4
Crime	6.1%	9.0%	8.6%	4.5%	6.8%	5.9%	9.0%	6.2%
Education	32	6	1	15	7	0	1	1
Environmental health	7.1%	7.8%	3.4%	8.1%	18.3%	0.0%	6.9%	1.4%
Neighborhood preservation	88	18	4	38	7	3	4	12
Poor cell coverage	19.8%	22.1%	19.8%	20.2%	19.4%	27.1%	25.4%	18.8%
Protection of open space	14	2	0	1	1	1	1	5
Public transportation	3.2%	2.8%	0.0%	0.6%	2.6%	6.2%	8.2%	8.1%
Quality of life	61	7	3	25	7	2	1	12
Race relations	13.7%	8.4%	12.3%	13.0%	19.3%	14.8%	6.2%	18.0%
Taxes	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Teen programs	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
Traffic	6	2	0	2	0	0	1	0
Downtown needs revitalization	1.3%	1.9%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	8.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Parking	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
School site issue	9	1	1	5	1	1	0	0
Need store/Restaurants	2.0%	0.7%	3.9%	2.4%	2.2%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Government/Listen to voters	9	0	2	1	0	0	0	4
Other mention - Negative	2.0%	0.0%	9.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%
None/Nothing	20	1	3	7	2	2	1	4
Other mention	4.4%	0.9%	11.7%	3.5%	4.4%	17.3%	6.9%	5.5%
DK/NA	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.0%	4.5%	
	16	5	1	2	0	0	0	7
	3.7%	6.2%	5.7%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.5%
	8	2	0	2	0	0	1	2
	1.8%	3.1%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	3.7%
	109	27	6	48	9	6	1	7
	24.4%	33.5%	28.0%	25.2%	23.7%	47.6%	8.7%	10.6%
	48	8	1	30	5	2	1	1
	10.8%	10.4%	4.6%	15.6%	13.6%	18.6%	7.0%	1.3%
	25	8	0	12	2	1	1	0
	5.6%	9.7%	2.2%	6.3%	6.2%	11.9%	6.1%	0.0%
	9	5	1	2	0	1	0	0
	1.9%	5.9%	4.2%	1.2%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
	14	2	0	10	1	1	1	0
	3.1%	2.1%	0.0%	5.2%	2.7%	4.5%	4.3%	0.0%
	22	4	1	17	0	0	0	0
	4.9%	5.2%	2.5%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	12	1	0	7	1	2	1	0
	2.6%	1.5%	0.0%	3.8%	1.4%	16.5%	5.2%	0.0%
	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	0
	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	0
	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	15	4	0	2	0	0	0	9
	3.3%	4.6%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.3%
	20	2	1	7	3	0	2	2
	4.4%	3.1%	6.6%	3.5%	8.1%	0.0%	13.9%	3.5%

	Date
	Dec. 9
Total	24
Affordable housing	8 34.4%
City's economic health	5 19.6%
Condition of civic buildings	1 2.8%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	1 2.8%
Controlling growth	1 5.7%
Crime	3 11.0%
Education	5 22.7%
Environmental health	0 0.0%
Neighborhood preservation	1 3.3%
Poor cell coverage	1 5.9%
Protection of open space	1 5.9%
Public transportation	2 8.1%
Quality of life	1 5.8%
Race relations	1 2.6%
Taxes	1 6.1%
Teen programs	1 3.0%
Traffic	5 21.7%
Downtown needs revitalization	0 0.0%
Parking	0 0.0%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	0 0.0%
School site issue	0 0.0%
Need store/Restaurants	0 0.0%
Government/Listen to voters	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	0 0.0%
None/Nothing	0 0.0%
Other mention	1 2.2%
DK/NA	2 6.7%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date							
	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Affordable housing					a		A C	
City's economic health		a			a	a		C
Condition of civic buildings								
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks				G	a			
Controlling growth								
Crime		a					C	C
Education								
Environmental health		a	a	a	a	a		a
Neighborhood preservation		a		a	a		a	
Poor cell coverage	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	
Protection of open space								
Public transportation	a	C		a	a	a		C
Quality of life								
Race relations	a	a	a	a	a			
Taxes				a	a	a	C	
Teen programs		a		a	a			
Traffic	G				G			
Downtown needs revitalization			G					a
Parking							a	a
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings				a		a	a	a
School site issue		a					a	a
Need store/Restaurants				a	a	a	a	a
Government/Listen to voters		a					a	a
Other mention - Negative	a	a			a	a	a	a
None/Nothing	a	a			a	a	a	a
Other mention		a		a	a	a		C
DK/NA					a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	349	97
Affordable housing	127	101	26
	28.5%	29.0%	26.8%
City's economic health	20	15	6
	4.6%	4.2%	5.8%
Condition of civic buildings	27	20	7
	6.1%	5.9%	6.8%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	32	22	10
	7.1%	6.3%	10.1%
Controlling growth	88	69	20
	19.8%	19.8%	20.1%
Crime	14	11	3
	3.2%	3.1%	3.5%
Education	61	48	13
	13.7%	13.8%	13.3%
Environmental health	2	2	0
	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Neighborhood preservation	6	5	1
	1.3%	1.4%	1.0%
Poor cell coverage	1	0	1
	0.3%	0.1%	1.0%
Protection of open space	9	8	1
	2.0%	2.3%	0.9%
Public transportation	9	8	1
	2.0%	2.3%	1.0%
Quality of life	20	19	1
	4.4%	5.4%	0.8%
Race relations	5	3	2
	1.1%	0.9%	2.0%
Taxes	16	14	3
	3.7%	3.9%	3.0%
Teen programs	8	8	0
	1.8%	2.4%	0.0%
Traffic	109	84	25
	24.4%	24.1%	25.6%
Downtown needs revitalization	48	38	10
	10.8%	11.0%	10.1%
Parking	25	18	6
	5.6%	5.3%	6.6%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	9	5	3
	1.9%	1.5%	3.4%
School site issue	14	11	2
	3.1%	3.2%	2.5%
Need store/Restaurants	22	12	10
	4.9%	3.5%	10.0%
Government/Listen to voters	12	12	0
	2.6%	3.4%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	4	4	0
	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%
None/Nothing	4	4	0
	0.8%	1.0%	0.0%
Other mention	15	12	2
	3.3%	3.5%	2.5%
DK/NA	20	15	5
	4.4%	4.3%	4.8%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
Affordable housing		
City's economic health		
Condition of civic buildings		
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks		
Controlling growth		
Crime		
Education		
Environmental health		a
Neighborhood preservation		
Poor cell coverage		
Protection of open space		
Public transportation		
Quality of life	B	
Race relations		
Taxes		
Teen programs		a
Traffic		
Downtown needs revitalization		
Parking		
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings		
School site issue		
Need store/Restaurants		A
Government/Listen to voters		a
Other mention - Negative		a
None/Nothing		a
Other mention		
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	282	164
Affordable housing	127	70	57
	28.5%	24.8%	35.0%
City's economic health	20	18	3
	4.6%	6.3%	1.5%
Condition of civic buildings	27	18	9
	6.1%	6.4%	5.5%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	32	22	10
	7.1%	7.8%	5.9%
Controlling growth	88	62	27
	19.8%	21.9%	16.3%
Crime	14	9	5
	3.2%	3.3%	2.9%
Education	61	34	27
	13.7%	12.0%	16.7%
Environmental health	2	1	1
	0.4%	0.2%	0.6%
Neighborhood preservation	6	4	2
	1.3%	1.3%	1.2%
Poor cell coverage	1	1	0
	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%
Protection of open space	9	4	5
	2.0%	1.4%	3.1%
Public transportation	9	7	2
	2.0%	2.4%	1.3%
Quality of life	20	13	6
	4.4%	4.7%	4.0%
Race relations	5	2	3
	1.1%	0.6%	2.0%
Taxes	16	7	10
	3.7%	2.3%	6.0%
Teen programs	8	3	6
	1.8%	1.0%	3.4%
Traffic	109	76	33
	24.4%	26.9%	20.3%
Downtown needs revitalization	48	33	15
	10.8%	11.7%	9.4%
Parking	25	19	5
	5.6%	6.8%	3.4%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	9	6	2
	1.9%	2.3%	1.4%
School site issue	14	9	5
	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%
Need store/Restaurants	22	7	15
	4.9%	2.6%	9.0%
Government/Listen to voters	12	12	0
	2.6%	4.2%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	4	4	0
	0.9%	1.4%	0.0%
None/Nothing	4	3	0
	0.8%	1.1%	0.3%
Other mention	15	6	9
	3.3%	2.1%	5.4%
DK/NA	20	11	9
	4.4%	3.9%	5.3%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
Affordable housing		A
City's economic health	B	
Condition of civic buildings		
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks		
Controlling growth		
Crime		
Education		
Environmental health		
Neighborhood preservation		
Poor cell coverage		a
Protection of open space		.
Public transportation		
Quality of life		
Race relations		
Taxes		A
Teen programs		
Traffic		
Downtown needs revitalization		
Parking		
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings		
School site issue		
Need store/Restaurants		A
Government/Listen to voters		a
Other mention - Negative		a
None/Nothing		.
Other mention		
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Years Lived in Los Altos				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years
Total	446	3	28	74	58
Affordable housing	127 28.5%	1 40.4%	12 43.7%	17 23.1%	24 41.6%
City's economic health	20 4.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 9.3%	1 1.6%
Condition of civic buildings	27 6.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 5.0%	4 7.0%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	32 7.1%	0 0.0%	5 16.0%	4 5.8%	3 5.9%
Controlling growth	88 19.8%	0 0.0%	6 20.4%	8 11.0%	17 29.2%
Crime	14 3.2%	0 0.0%	2 5.6%	3 4.1%	3 5.0%
Education	61 13.7%	0 0.0%	3 8.9%	10 13.7%	13 22.3%
Environmental health	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	1 3.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Neighborhood preservation	6 1.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%	1 1.6%
Poor cell coverage	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Protection of open space	9 2.0%	0 0.0%	2 8.8%	2 2.6%	2 4.0%
Public transportation	9 2.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Quality of life	20 4.4%	0 0.0%	2 6.7%	2 2.4%	5 7.9%
Race relations	5 1.1%	1 40.4%	0 0.0%	1 1.3%	3 4.4%
Taxes	16 3.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Teen programs	8 1.8%	0 0.0%	2 6.2%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%
Traffic	109 24.4%	1 30.0%	6 19.9%	18 23.7%	11 19.6%
Downtown needs revitalization	48 10.8%	1 30.0%	0 0.0%	11 14.5%	6 10.7%
Parking	25 5.6%	0 0.0%	1 2.6%	1 1.0%	2 3.9%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	9 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.7%
School site issue	14 3.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 4.1%	1 1.8%
Need store/Restaurants	22 4.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 11.9%	2 3.7%
Government/Listen to voters	12 2.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.3%	1 1.4%
Other mention - Negative	4 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%
None/Nothing	4 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	15 3.3%	0 0.0%	3 11.0%	1 1.8%	2 4.2%
DK/NA	20 4.4%	1 29.7%	0 0.0%	9 12.2%	0 0.0%

	Years Lived in Los Altos		
	16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	111	167	4
Affordable housing	31 27.7%	41 24.6%	0 8.7%
City's economic health	4 3.6%	9 5.1%	0 0.0%
Condition of civic buildings	7 6.2%	12 7.4%	0 0.0%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	7 6.0%	13 7.7%	0 0.0%
Controlling growth	16 14.4%	42 24.9%	0 0.0%
Crime	6 5.3%	1 0.5%	0 0.0%
Education	18 16.4%	17 10.2%	0 8.7%
Environmental health	0 0.0%	1 0.4%	0 0.0%
Neighborhood preservation	0 0.0%	3 2.0%	0 0.0%
Poor cell coverage	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%
Protection of open space	1 0.7%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%
Public transportation	3 2.9%	4 2.4%	1 23.1%
Quality of life	5 4.8%	6 3.7%	0 0.0%
Race relations	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Taxes	5 4.2%	12 7.0%	0 0.0%
Teen programs	4 3.9%	1 0.5%	0 0.0%
Traffic	28 25.2%	45 27.2%	0 0.0%
Downtown needs revitalization	16 14.2%	14 8.7%	0 0.0%
Parking	11 10.0%	10 6.0%	0 0.0%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	4 3.5%	3 1.9%	0 0.0%
School site issue	6 5.3%	4 2.3%	0 0.0%
Need store/Restaurants	3 2.8%	8 4.7%	0 0.0%
Government/Listen to voters	2 1.6%	8 4.9%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	1 0.6%	2 1.1%	0 0.0%
None/Nothing	1 0.7%	3 1.7%	0 0.0%
Other mention	5 4.4%	3 1.8%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	1 1.3%	6 3.3%	2 68.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?	Affordable housing	a	a			
	City's economic health	a	a			
	Condition of civic buildings	a	a			
	Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	a				
	Controlling growth	a				
	Crime	a				
	Education	a				
	Environmental health	a		a	a	a
	Neighborhood preservation	a	a			a
	Poor cell coverage	a	a	a	a	a
	Protection of open space	a				
	Public transportation	a		a	a	
	Quality of life	a				
	Race relations	C D	a			a
	Taxes	a	a	a	a	
	Teen programs	a			a	
	Traffic					
	Downtown needs revitalization		a			
	Parking	a				
	Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	a	a	a		
	School site issue	a	a			
	Need store/Restaurants	a	a			
	Government/Listen to voters	a	a			
	Other mention - Negative	a	a		a	
	None/Nothing	a	a	a	a	
	Other mention	a				
DK/NA	E	a	E	a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(F)	(G)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?	Affordable housing		a
	City's economic health		a
	Condition of civic buildings		a
	Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks		a
	Controlling growth		a
	Crime		a
	Education		
	Environmental health		a
	Neighborhood preservation		a
	Poor cell coverage		a
	Protection of open space		a
	Public transportation		
	Quality of life		a
	Race relations	a	a
	Taxes		a
	Teen programs		a
	Traffic		a
	Downtown needs revitalization		a
	Parking		a
	Downtown growth/Tall Buildings		a
	School site issue		a
	Need store/Restaurants		a
	Government/Listen to voters		a
	Other mention - Negative		a
	None/Nothing		a
	Other mention		a
DK/NA		C E F	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Employment Status				
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student
Total	446	203	22	41	19
Affordable housing	127 28.5%	75 37.1%	6 28.7%	11 27.4%	5 26.9%
City's economic health	20 4.6%	11 5.5%	1 4.1%	1 1.6%	0 0.0%
Condition of civic buildings	27 6.1%	12 6.1%	3 11.6%	2 4.6%	0 0.0%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	32 7.1%	14 6.8%	1 6.2%	5 11.6%	0 0.0%
Controlling growth	88 19.8%	37 18.2%	4 18.5%	6 15.6%	2 11.7%
Crime	14 3.2%	9 4.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 11.3%
Education	61 13.7%	28 13.6%	4 16.9%	11 27.8%	2 9.7%
Environmental health	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Neighborhood preservation	6 1.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.3%	0 0.0%
Poor cell coverage	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Protection of open space	9 2.0%	4 2.2%	0 0.0%	1 2.2%	0 0.0%
Public transportation	9 2.0%	3 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 8.7%
Quality of life	20 4.4%	11 5.4%	0 0.0%	1 2.0%	0 0.0%
Race relations	5 1.1%	2 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 10.1%
Taxes	16 3.7%	6 3.2%	1 3.0%	3 7.4%	0 0.0%
Teen programs	8 1.8%	4 2.2%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	2 9.1%
Traffic	109 24.4%	50 24.7%	5 22.9%	12 28.1%	3 13.5%
Downtown needs revitalization	48 10.8%	17 8.2%	9 41.5%	4 10.3%	2 8.8%
Parking	25 5.6%	7 3.5%	1 3.2%	2 4.1%	0 0.0%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	9 1.9%	3 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
School site issue	14 3.1%	5 2.3%	4 15.9%	3 7.4%	0 0.0%
Need store/Restaurants	22 4.9%	14 6.9%	1 6.6%	2 4.7%	0 0.0%
Government/Listen to voters	12 2.6%	2 0.9%	0 0.0%	2 3.9%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	4 0.9%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
None/Nothing	4 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	15 3.3%	4 2.1%	0 0.0%	4 10.7%	3 15.1%
DK/NA	20 4.4%	6 3.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 11.7%

	Employment Status			
	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	126	14	16	4
Affordable housing	25 19.7%	2 13.0%	1 8.2%	1 31.7%
City's economic health	7 5.5%	0 0.0%	1 4.2%	0 0.0%
Condition of civic buildings	8 6.3%	1 7.2%	1 7.4%	0 0.0%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	9 7.3%	0 0.0%	1 7.5%	1 31.7%
Controlling growth	31 24.5%	2 17.1%	3 17.8%	3 63.4%
Crime	2 1.3%	0 0.0%	1 5.7%	0 0.0%
Education	10 7.8%	3 22.3%	3 18.6%	1 17.1%
Environmental health	1 0.6%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Neighborhood preservation	3 2.7%	0 0.0%	1 8.2%	0 0.0%
Poor cell coverage	1 1.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Protection of open space	3 2.2%	1 5.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Public transportation	3 2.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 19.6%
Quality of life	7 5.5%	1 7.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Race relations	1 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Taxes	6 4.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Teen programs	0 0.0%	1 4.8%	0 0.0%	1 17.1%
Traffic	30 23.7%	5 35.6%	5 29.0%	0 0.0%
Downtown needs revitalization	10 7.6%	2 16.1%	5 28.1%	0 0.0%
Parking	12 9.3%	2 13.5%	2 10.7%	0 0.0%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	6 4.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
School site issue	1 0.6%	2 12.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Need store/Restaurants	1 1.1%	0 0.0%	3 19.8%	0 0.0%
Government/Listen to voters	5 4.2%	0 0.0%	3 17.9%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	3 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
None/Nothing	4 2.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	3 2.2%	0 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	9 7.4%	2 11.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status				
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?					
Affordable housing	E				
City's economic health				.a	
Condition of civic buildings				.a	
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks				.a	
Controlling growth					
Crime		.a	.a		
Education			E		
Environmental health	.a	.a	.a	.a	
Neighborhood preservation	.a	.a	.a	.a	
Poor cell coverage	.a	.a	.a	.a	
Protection of open space		.a	.a	.a	
Public transportation		.a	.a	.a	
Quality of life		.a	.a	.a	
Race relations		.a	.a	A E	
Taxes				.a	
Teen programs		.a			.a
Traffic					
Downtown needs revitalization		A E			
Parking				.a	
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings		.a	.a	.a	A
School site issue		A E		.a	
Need store/Restaurants				.a	
Government/Listen to voters		.a		.a	
Other mention - Negative		.a	.a	.a	
None/Nothing	.a	.a	.a	.a	
Other mention		.a		A	
DK/NA		.a	.a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status		
	Don't work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(F)	(G)	(H)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?			
Affordable housing			
City's economic health	.a		.a
Condition of civic buildings			.a
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	.a		
Controlling growth			
Crime	.a		.a
Education			
Environmental health	E	.a	.a
Neighborhood preservation	.a		.a
Poor cell coverage	.a	.a	.a
Protection of open space		.a	.a
Public transportation	.a	.a	.a
Quality of life		.a	.a
Race relations	.a	.a	.a
Taxes	.a	.a	.a
Teen programs		.a	
Traffic			.a
Downtown needs revitalization			.a
Parking			.a
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	.a	.a	.a
School site issue	E	.a	.a
Need store/Restaurants	.a	E	.a
Government/Listen to voters	.a	A	.a
Other mention - Negative	.a	.a	.a
None/Nothing	.a	.a	.a
Other mention		.a	.a
DK/NA		.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work					
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
Affordable housing	93 34.9%	3 58.2%	1 56.7%	1 100.0%	7 58.1%	15 48.9%
City's economic health	13 4.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 7.6%	1 3.0%
Condition of civic buildings	17 6.3%	2 45.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	20 7.5%	1 12.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.5%	2 6.6%
Controlling growth	47 17.8%	0 0.0%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	4 30.1%	7 22.0%
Crime	9 3.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Education	43 16.0%	1 26.6%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	1 8.0%	6 19.1%
Environmental health						
Neighborhood preservation	1 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Poor cell coverage						
Protection of open space	5 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 14.4%	0 0.0%
Public transportation	3 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.2%
Quality of life	12 4.4%	1 15.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 5.3%
Race relations	2 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.3%
Taxes	10 3.8%	0 0.0%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	1 12.1%	0 0.0%
Teen programs	5 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Traffic	67 25.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 7.7%	7 24.3%
Downtown needs revitalization	30 11.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.4%	2 6.3%
Parking	9 3.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 14.4%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	3 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
School site issue	11 4.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.0%	1 3.4%
Need store/Restaurants	17 6.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%	2 7.7%
Government/Listen to voters	3 1.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	1 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
None/Nothing						
Other mention	9 3.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 14.8%	2 8.0%
DK/NA	6 2.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.9%

	County Where Work				
	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	207	2	0	5	2
Affordable housing	62 29.9%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.6%	1 54.9%
City's economic health	9 4.3%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Condition of civic buildings	14 6.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%	0 0.0%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	16 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.4%	0 0.0%
Controlling growth	35 17.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 18.2%	0 0.0%
Crime	8 3.8%	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 54.9%
Education	34 16.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Environmental health					
Neighborhood preservation	1 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Poor cell coverage					
Protection of open space	4 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Public transportation	2 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 18.2%	0 0.0%
Quality of life	9 4.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Race relations	1 0.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Taxes	7 3.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.4%	0 0.0%
Teen programs	5 2.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Traffic	56 27.3%	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	2 39.7%	0 0.0%
Downtown needs revitalization	28 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Parking	4 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.1%	0 0.0%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	3 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
School site issue	8 4.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 45.1%
Need store/Restaurants	14 6.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Government/Listen to voters	3 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
None/Nothing					
Other mention	4 2.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	5 2.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?	Affordable housing			a,b			
	City's economic health	b	b	a,b			
	Condition of civic buildings	F			b	b	
	Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks		b	a,b			
	Controlling growth	b		a,b			
	Crime	b	b	a,b	b	b	
	Education			a,b			
	Neighborhood preservation	b	b	a,b	b	b	
	Protection of open space	b	b	a,b	F	b	
	Public transportation	b	b	a,b	b		
	Quality of life		b	a,b	b		
	Race relations	b	b	a,b			
	Taxes	b	F	a,b		b	
	Teen programs	b	b	a,b	b	b	
	Traffic						
	Downtown needs revitalization	b	b	a,b			
	Parking	b	b	a,b	b	F	
	Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	b	b	a,b	b	b	
	School site issue	b	b	a,b			
	Need store/Restaurants	b	b	a,b			
	Government/Listen to voters	b	b	a,b	b	b	
	Other mention - Negative	b	b	a,b	b	b	
	Other mention	b	b	a,b	F		
DK/NA	b	b	a,b	b			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?	Affordable housing	b	a,b		
	City's economic health	b	a,b	b	b
	Condition of civic buildings	b	a,b		b
	Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks		a,b		b
	Controlling growth	b	a,b		b
	Crime	b	a,b	b	F
	Education	b	a,b	b	b
	Neighborhood preservation	b	a,b	b	b
	Protection of open space	b	a,b	b	b
	Public transportation	b	a,b	F	b
	Quality of life		a,b	b	b
	Race relations	b	a,b	b	b
	Taxes	b	a,b		b
	Teen programs	b	a,b	b	b
	Traffic	b	a,b		b
	Downtown needs revitalization	b	a,b	b	b
	Parking	b	a,b	F	b
	Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	b	a,b	b	b
	School site issue	b	a,b	b	F
	Need store/Restaurants	b	a,b	b	b
	Government/Listen to voters	b	a,b	b	b
	Other mention - Negative	b	a,b	b	b
	Other mention	b	a,b	b	b
DK/NA	b	a,b	b	b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group			
	Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
Total	446	1	26	5

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese
Total	19	4	1	2

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	5	330	11	16

	Ethnic Group	
	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	5	20

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?	Affordable housing	127 28.5%	1 100.0%	9 34.6%	2 40.8%
	City's economic health	20 4.6%	0 0.0%	1 5.1%	0 0.0%
	Condition of civic buildings	27 6.1%	0 0.0%	1 3.1%	0 0.0%
	Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	32 7.1%	0 0.0%	3 10.2%	0 0.0%
	Controlling growth	88 19.8%	0 0.0%	1 4.8%	1 27.3%
	Crime	14 3.2%	0 0.0%	2 7.9%	0 0.0%
	Education	61 13.7%	1 100.0%	5 18.2%	0 0.0%
	Environmental health	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	1 2.7%	0 0.0%
	Neighborhood preservation	6 1.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Poor cell coverage	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Protection of open space	9 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 17.7%
	Public transportation	9 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Quality of life	20 4.4%	0 0.0%	2 6.9%	0 0.0%
	Race relations	5 1.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Taxes	16 3.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.2%
	Teen programs	8 1.8%	0 0.0%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%
	Traffic	109 24.4%	0 0.0%	5 20.8%	1 27.3%
	Downtown needs revitalization	48 10.8%	0 0.0%	2 6.3%	0 0.0%
	Parking	25 5.6%	0 0.0%	1 3.8%	2 40.8%
	Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	9 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	School site issue	14 3.1%	0 0.0%	3 9.9%	0 0.0%
	Need store/Restaurants	22 4.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Government/Listen to voters	12 2.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Other mention - Negative	4 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	None/Nothing	4 0.8%	0 0.0%	3 12.1%	0 0.0%
	Other mention	15 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	20 4.4%	0 0.0%	1 3.2%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese
Affordable housing	3 12.9%	1 14.7%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%
City's economic health	1 4.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Condition of civic buildings	1 6.3%	1 15.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	3 14.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 52.1%
Controlling growth	0 0.0%	1 32.7%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%
Crime	2 10.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Education	1 5.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%
Environmental health	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Neighborhood preservation	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Poor cell coverage	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Protection of open space	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Public transportation	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Quality of life	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Race relations	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Taxes	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Teen programs	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Traffic	1 7.5%	1 18.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Downtown needs revitalization	3 15.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Parking	0 0.0%	2 51.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	1 4.9%	1 15.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
School site issue	1 5.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Need store/Restaurants	1 6.7%	2 51.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Government/Listen to voters	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
None/Nothing	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	3 15.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	3 15.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Affordable housing	0 0.0%	93 28.2%	5 45.8%	7 43.6%
City's economic health	0 0.0%	16 5.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Condition of civic buildings	1 14.9%	22 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	0 0.0%	20 6.0%	1 8.8%	0 0.0%
Controlling growth	0 0.0%	68 20.6%	2 16.5%	7 42.9%
Crime	0 0.0%	10 3.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Education	0 0.0%	48 14.6%	0 0.0%	2 11.9%
Environmental health	0 0.0%	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Neighborhood preservation	0 0.0%	5 1.4%	1 8.8%	0 0.0%
Poor cell coverage	0 0.0%	1 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Protection of open space	0 0.0%	5 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Public transportation	0 0.0%	7 2.2%	1 9.1%	0 0.0%
Quality of life	1 14.9%	16 4.8%	0 0.0%	1 5.7%
Race relations	0 0.0%	4 1.2%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%
Taxes	0 0.0%	15 4.5%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%
Teen programs	0 0.0%	4 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Traffic	0 0.0%	88 26.6%	2 17.0%	6 37.8%
Downtown needs revitalization	0 0.0%	39 11.9%	1 11.9%	2 13.5%
Parking	0 0.0%	17 5.1%	0 0.0%	1 5.8%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	0 0.0%	6 1.9%	1 6.9%	0 0.0%
School site issue	0 0.0%	8 2.4%	1 11.9%	1 6.0%
Need store/Restaurants	2 44.5%	15 4.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Government/Listen to voters	0 0.0%	7 2.2%	1 7.4%	1 4.3%
Other mention - Negative	0 0.0%	4 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
None/Nothing	0 0.0%	0 0.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	0 0.0%	12 3.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	1 25.7%	11 3.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group	
	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Affordable housing	1 17.5%	4 17.9%
City's economic health	0 0.0%	2 8.7%
Condition of civic buildings	0 0.0%	2 8.6%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	0 0.0%	4 22.3%
Controlling growth	0 0.0%	6 31.9%
Crime	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Education	0 0.0%	3 13.6%
Environmental health	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Neighborhood preservation	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Poor cell coverage	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Protection of open space	1 15.2%	2 9.5%
Public transportation	0 0.0%	1 4.1%
Quality of life	0 0.0%	1 2.6%
Race relations	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Taxes	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Teen programs	0 0.0%	1 6.9%
Traffic	0 0.0%	4 21.7%
Downtown needs revitalization	1 17.5%	0 0.0%
Parking	1 15.2%	1 5.9%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
School site issue	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Need store/Restaurants	0 0.0%	1 6.5%
Government/Listen to voters	1 20.4%	2 10.3%
Other mention - Negative	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
None/Nothing	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	0 0.0%	0 1.0%
DK/NA	2 47.0%	1 3.8%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Affordable housing	a,b				
City's economic health	a,b		b		
Condition of civic buildings	a,b		b		
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	a,b		b		
Controlling growth	a,b			b	
Crime	a,b		b		
Education	a,b		b		
Environmental health	a,b		b	b	
Neighborhood preservation	a,b	b	b	b	
Poor cell coverage	a,b	b	b	b	
Protection of open space	a,b	b	b	b	
Public transportation	a,b	b	b	b	
Quality of life	a,b		b	b	
Race relations	a,b	b	b	b	
Taxes	a,b	b		b	
Teen programs	a,b		b		
Traffic	a,b				
Downtown needs revitalization	a,b		b		
Parking	a,b			b	
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	a,b	b	b		
School site issue	a,b		b		
Need store/Restaurants	a,b	b	b		
Government/Listen to voters	a,b	b	b	b	
Other mention - Negative	a,b	b	b	b	
None/Nothing	a,b		b	b	
Other mention	a,b	b	b		
DK/NA	a,b		b		

3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?	Affordable housing		a,b	b	b
	City's economic health	b	a,b	b	b
	Condition of civic buildings		a,b	b	
	Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	b	a,b		b
	Controlling growth		a,b	b	b
	Crime	b	a,b	b	b
	Education	b	a,b	b	b
	Environmental health	b	a,b	b	b
	Neighborhood preservation	b	a,b	b	b
	Poor cell coverage	b	a,b	b	b
	Protection of open space	b	a,b	b	b
	Public transportation	b	a,b	b	b
	Quality of life	b	a,b	b	
	Race relations	b	a,b	b	b
	Taxes	b	a,b	b	b
	Teen programs	b	a,b	b	b
	Traffic		a,b	b	b
	Downtown needs revitalization	b	a,b	b	b
	Parking		a,b	b	b
	Downtown growth/Tall Buildings		a,b	b	b
	School site issue	b	a,b	b	b
	Need store/Restaurants		a,b	b	
	Government/Listen to voters	b	a,b	b	b
	Other mention - Negative	b	a,b	b	b
	None/Nothing	b	a,b	b	b
	Other mention	b	a,b	b	b
	DK/NA	b	a,b	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?	Affordable housing				
	City's economic health		b	b	b
	Condition of civic buildings		b	b	b
	Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks			b	b
	Controlling growth				b
	Crime		b	b	b
	Education		b		b
	Environmental health		b	b	b
	Neighborhood preservation			b	b
	Poor cell coverage		b	b	b
	Protection of open space		b	b	b
	Public transportation			b	b
	Quality of life		b		b
	Race relations		b		b
	Taxes		b		b
	Teen programs		b	b	b
	Traffic				b
	Downtown needs revitalization				
	Parking		b		
	Downtown growth/Tall Buildings			b	b
	School site issue				b
	Need store/Restaurants		b	b	b
	Government/Listen to voters				
	Other mention - Negative		b	b	b
	None/Nothing		b	b	b
	Other mention		b	b	b
	DK/NA		b	b	B I

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)
Affordable housing	
City's economic health	
Condition of civic buildings	
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	
Controlling growth	
Crime	b
Education	
Environmental health	b
Neighborhood preservation	b
Poor cell coverage	b
Protection of open space	
Public transportation	
Quality of life	
Race relations	b
Taxes	b
Teen programs	
Traffic	
Downtown needs revitalization	b
Parking	
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	b
School site issue	b
Need store/Restaurants	
Government/Listen to voters	
Other mention - Negative	b
None/Nothing	b
Other mention	
DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
Total	446	203	243
Affordable housing	127	56	71
City's economic health	20	13	7
Condition of civic buildings	27	18	9
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	32	8	24
Controlling growth	88	41	47
Crime	14	2	12
Education	61	32	29
Environmental health	2	0	2
Neighborhood preservation	6	1	5
Poor cell coverage	1	1	0
Protection of open space	9	5	4
Public transportation	9	4	5
Quality of life	20	8	11
Race relations	5	4	1
Taxes	16	8	9
Teen programs	8	6	3
Traffic	109	45	64
Downtown needs revitalization	48	23	25
Parking	25	18	7
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	9	4	5
School site issue	14	7	7
Need store/Restaurants	22	7	15
Government/Listen to voters	12	4	8
Other mention - Negative	4	2	2
None/Nothing	4	2	1
Other mention	15	6	8
DK/NA	20	9	11

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Zip Code	
	94022	94024
	(A)	(B)
Affordable housing		
City's economic health		
Condition of civic buildings	B	
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks		A
Controlling growth		
Crime		A
Education		
Environmental health	a	
Neighborhood preservation		
Poor cell coverage		a
Protection of open space		
Public transportation		
Quality of life		
Race relations		
Taxes		
Teen programs		
Traffic		
Downtown needs revitalization		
Parking		
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	B	
School site issue		
Need store/Restaurants		
Government/Listen to voters		
Other mention - Negative		
None/Nothing		
Other mention		
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total	446	20	422	3
Affordable housing	127	8	116	3
City's economic health	20	1	19	0
Condition of civic buildings	27	1	26	0
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	32	1	30	0
Controlling growth	88	4	85	0
Crime	14	1	13	0
Education	61	4	58	0
Environmental health	2	1	1	0
Neighborhood preservation	6	0	6	0
Poor cell coverage	1	0	1	0
Protection of open space	9	0	9	0
Public transportation	9	0	9	0
Quality of life	20	2	18	0
Race relations	5	0	5	0
Taxes	16	0	16	0
Teen programs	8	0	8	0
Traffic	109	3	106	0
Downtown needs revitalization	25	0	25	0
Parking	9	0	9	0
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	14	1	13	0
School site issue	3.1%	5.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Need store/Restaurants	22	1	21	0
Government/Listen to voters	12	0	12	0
Other mention - Negative	4	0	4	0
None/Nothing	4	3	0	0
Other mention	15	0	15	0
DK/NA	20	1	19	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
Affordable housing			a
City's economic health			a
Condition of civic buildings			a
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks			a
Controlling growth			a
Crime			a
Education			a
Environmental health	B		a
Neighborhood preservation	a		a
Poor cell coverage	a		a
Protection of open space	a		a
Public transportation			a
Quality of life			a
Race relations	a		a
Taxes	a		a
Teen programs	a		a
Traffic			a
Downtown needs revitalization			a
Parking	a		a
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	a		a
School site issue			a
Need store/Restaurants			a
Government/Listen to voters	a		a
Other mention - Negative	a		a
None/Nothing	B		a
Other mention	a		a
DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	446	90	64	123	168
Affordable housing	127	45	15	28	40
City's economic health	28.5%	49.4%	23.5%	22.4%	23.7%
Condition of civic buildings	20	8	7	1	5
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	4.6%	8.6%	10.8%	0.5%	3.0%
Controlling growth	27	7	5	8	7
Crime	6.1%	7.2%	8.4%	6.9%	4.0%
Education	32	4	6	7	15
Environmental health	7.1%	4.5%	9.1%	5.3%	9.1%
Neighborhood preservation	88	14	18	25	32
Poor cell coverage	19.8%	15.2%	27.8%	20.5%	18.9%
Protection of open space	14	9	0	3	2
Public transportation	3.2%	10.2%	0.0%	2.6%	1.1%
Quality of life	61	17	12	11	21
Race relations	13.7%	19.0%	18.3%	9.0%	12.6%
Taxes	2	1	1	0	0
Teen programs	0.4%	1.2%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic	6	1	1	2	2
Downtown needs revitalization	1.3%	1.5%	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%
Parking	1	0	1	0	0
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	0.3%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
School site issue	9	0	3	1	4
Need store/Restaurants	2.0%	0.0%	4.8%	1.2%	2.6%
Government/Listen to voters	9	4	3	2	1
Other mention - Negative	2.0%	3.9%	4.9%	1.3%	0.4%
None/Nothing	20	7	4	3	6
Other mention	4.4%	7.7%	6.3%	2.5%	3.3%
DK/NA	5	5	0	0	0
Affordable housing	1.1%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
City's economic health	16	6	9	1	1
Condition of civic buildings	3.7%	6.5%	13.9%	0.6%	0.5%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	8	3	0	4	1
Controlling growth	1.8%	3.5%	0.0%	3.1%	0.8%
Crime	109	10	16	41	43
Education	24.4%	10.7%	25.3%	32.9%	25.3%
Environmental health	48	0	0	18	30
Neighborhood preservation	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%	14.8%	17.9%
Poor cell coverage	25	0	0	12	13
Protection of open space	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	9.9%	7.5%
Public transportation	9	0	0	6	2
Quality of life	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	1.3%
Race relations	14	0	0	8	6
Taxes	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	3.4%
Teen programs	22	0	0	10	12
Traffic	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	7.3%
Downtown needs revitalization	12	0	0	6	6
Parking	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	3.7%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	4	0	0	1	3
School site issue	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.5%
Need store/Restaurants	4	0	0	0	3
Government/Listen to voters	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.9%
Other mention - Negative	15	9	4	0	2
None/Nothing	20	6	2	3	9
Other mention	4.4%	6.5%	3.9%	2.1%	5.1%
DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Affordable housing	B C D			
City's economic health	C	C		
Condition of civic buildings				
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks				
Controlling growth				
Crime	D	a		
Education			a	a
Environmental health			a	a
Neighborhood preservation				
Poor cell coverage	a		a	a
Protection of open space	a			
Public transportation				
Quality of life		a	a	a
Race relations			a	a
Taxes	D	C D		
Teen programs		a		
Traffic			A	A
Downtown needs revitalization	a	a		
Parking	a	a		
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	a	a		
School site issue	a	a		
Need store/Restaurants	a	a		
Government/Listen to voters	a	a		
Other mention - Negative	a	a		
None/Nothing	a	a		
Other mention	D		a	
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
Affordable housing	127	21	17	12	25	52
City's economic health	28.5%	40.9%	24.2%	24.3%	36.3%	25.4%
City's economic health	20	0	2	1	5	12
Condition of civic buildings	4.6%	0.0%	3.2%	2.1%	7.9%	5.7%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	27	1	3	4	7	12
Controlling growth	6.1%	2.3%	3.8%	8.7%	9.6%	5.9%
Crime	32	3	4	5	4	15
Education	7.1%	5.5%	6.3%	10.2%	6.4%	7.3%
Environmental health	88	6	10	9	21	43
Neighborhood preservation	19.8%	11.1%	13.6%	16.7%	30.8%	21.3%
Poor cell coverage	14	1	4	2	2	4
Protection of open space	3.2%	2.5%	6.3%	4.3%	3.0%	2.1%
Public transportation	61	8	13	10	7	23
Quality of life	13.7%	15.1%	19.0%	19.0%	10.0%	11.5%
Race relations	2	0	0	1	1	0
Taxes	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Teen programs	6	2	0	1	0	2
Traffic	1.3%	3.8%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	1.2%
Downtown needs revitalization	1	0	0	0	0	1
Parking	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	9	2	3	0	1	3
School site issue	2.0%	3.4%	4.7%	0.0%	1.1%	1.5%
Need store/Restaurants	9	2	1	0	1	5
Government/Listen to voters	2.0%	3.2%	2.1%	0.0%	1.2%	2.4%
Other mention - Negative	20	2	2	2	2	12
None/Nothing	4.4%	3.7%	2.8%	3.9%	2.6%	5.9%
Other mention	5	1	2	0	0	2
DK/NA	1.1%	2.6%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Affordable housing	16	1	3	5	1	6
City's economic health	3.7%	1.9%	3.9%	10.0%	2.0%	3.0%
Condition of civic buildings	8	3	2	0	0	3
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	1.8%	5.9%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Controlling growth	109	13	12	10	16	59
Crime	24.4%	25.0%	16.5%	18.7%	22.5%	29.2%
Education	48	3	5	8	11	21
Environmental health	10.8%	5.1%	7.4%	15.4%	16.5%	10.4%
Neighborhood preservation	25	2	1	2	1	18
Poor cell coverage	5.6%	4.5%	1.0%	4.7%	2.1%	8.8%
Protection of open space	9	0	0	2	0	6
Public transportation	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	3.1%
Quality of life	14	2	2	3	1	6
Race relations	3.1%	3.2%	2.9%	6.2%	1.9%	2.7%
Taxes	22	6	3	2	5	5
Teen programs	4.9%	10.7%	4.8%	4.9%	7.4%	2.7%
Traffic	12	0	1	0	2	9
Downtown needs revitalization	2.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	2.7%	4.4%
Parking	4	0	1	1	1	1
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	0.9%	0.0%	1.9%	2.0%	1.2%	0.3%
School site issue	4	0	2	0	0	2
Need store/Restaurants	0.8%	0.9%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Government/Listen to voters	15	3	6	0	2	4
Other mention - Negative	3.3%	5.7%	8.3%	0.0%	2.6%	2.1%
None/Nothing	20	3	7	1	1	7
Other mention	4.4%	6.3%	10.0%	1.5%	1.8%	3.5%
DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Affordable housing					
City's economic health	a				
Condition of civic buildings					
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks					
Controlling growth					
Crime					
Education					
Environmental health	a	a			a
Neighborhood preservation		a		a	
Poor cell coverage	a	a	a	a	
Protection of open space			a		
Public transportation			a		
Quality of life					
Race relations			a	a	
Taxes					
Teen programs			a	a	
Traffic					
Downtown needs revitalization					
Parking					
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	a	a		a	
School site issue					
Need store/Restaurants			a		
Government/Listen to voters	a				
Other mention - Negative	a				
None/Nothing			a	a	
Other mention			a		
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender					
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
Affordable housing	127	48	25	8	11	17
City's economic health	28.7%	39.4%	32.4%	13.9%	20.2%	32.6%
Condition of civic buildings	20	3	6	1	7	3
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	4.6%	2.5%	7.8%	1.4%	12.4%	5.8%
Controlling growth	27	9	2	3	5	2
Crime	6.1%	7.6%	2.5%	5.1%	8.5%	4.1%
Education	32	6	6	6	4	5
Environmental health	7.2%	4.6%	7.7%	11.0%	8.2%	9.4%
Neighborhood preservation	88	26	10	13	13	13
Poor cell coverage	19.9%	21.4%	13.3%	23.3%	24.9%	24.4%
Protection of open space	14	7	2	0	0	2
Public transportation	3.2%	5.5%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%
Quality of life	61	9	8	5	14	11
Race relations	13.8%	7.8%	10.9%	8.7%	25.9%	20.9%
Taxes	2	0	0	1	0	1
Teen programs	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	2.0%
Traffic	6	0	1	2	1	2
Downtown needs revitalization	1.3%	0.0%	1.3%	2.9%	1.4%	4.3%
Parking	1	0	0	0	1	0
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
School site issue	9	1	4	3	1	0
Need store/Restaurants	2.0%	1.1%	5.3%	5.1%	1.5%	0.0%
Government/Listen to voters	8	2	1	0	1	1
Other mention - Negative	1.8%	1.3%	2.0%	0.0%	1.7%	1.6%
None/Nothing	20	5	8	2	1	1
Other mention	4.4%	4.2%	9.9%	3.2%	2.2%	1.8%
DK/NA	5	1	1	0	0	3
	1.1%	1.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%
	16	1	4	2	1	2
	3.7%	1.2%	4.9%	4.2%	1.2%	3.4%
	8	4	2	0	1	0
	1.9%	3.6%	2.3%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
	108	28	19	16	15	9
	24.3%	23.3%	24.4%	28.7%	28.7%	16.3%
	48	14	10	8	3	6
	10.9%	11.3%	13.0%	15.1%	6.0%	12.0%
	24	7	2	9	2	2
	5.3%	6.0%	3.0%	17.1%	3.1%	4.3%
	9	2	0	3	1	0
	1.9%	1.9%	0.0%	5.3%	1.9%	0.0%
	14	6	1	2	0	0
	3.1%	5.2%	1.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
	22	4	1	4	1	6
	4.9%	3.5%	1.2%	8.1%	1.5%	11.0%
	12	1	3	1	2	3
	2.7%	0.6%	3.3%	2.7%	4.0%	5.8%
	4	0	0	2	0	0
	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
	4	0	2	0	1	0
	0.8%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
	15	9	2	2	0	0
	3.3%	7.8%	2.1%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%
	20	3	3	2	3	2
	4.4%	2.7%	3.7%	3.2%	5.3%	3.0%

	Party by Gender		
	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	73	2	10
Affordable housing	16 22.4%	0 0.0%	3 27.4%
City's economic health	1 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Condition of civic buildings	5 7.1%	0 0.0%	1 10.6%
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks	4 5.9%	0 0.0%	1 5.4%
Controlling growth	12 16.3%	0 0.0%	1 13.8%
Crime	4 5.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Education	11 14.7%	0 0.0%	3 27.8%
Environmental health	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Neighborhood preservation	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Poor cell coverage	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Protection of open space	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Public transportation	2 2.1%	0 0.0%	2 16.2%
Quality of life	3 4.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Race relations	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Taxes	6 8.4%	0 0.0%	0 4.2%
Teen programs	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Traffic	17 23.3%	0 0.0%	4 40.7%
Downtown needs revitalization	7 9.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Parking	1 1.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings	2 3.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
School site issue	3 4.1%	0 0.0%	2 16.2%
Need store/Restaurants	5 6.8%	1 41.6%	0 0.0%
Government/Listen to voters	2 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	1 1.8%	1 58.4%	0 0.0%
None/Nothing	1 1.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	7 9.3%	0 0.0%	0 4.2%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by Gender				
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Affordable housing	C				
City's economic health					
Condition of civic buildings					
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks					
Controlling growth					
Crime			b	b	
Education				A	
Environmental health	b	b		b	
Neighborhood preservation	b				
Poor cell coverage		b	b		b
Protection of open space					b
Public transportation			b		
Quality of life					
Race relations			b	b	
Taxes					
Teen programs			b		b
Traffic					
Downtown needs revitalization					
Parking			F		
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings		b			b
School site issue				b	b
Need store/Restaurants					
Government/Listen to voters				b	b
Other mention - Negative	b	b		b	b
None/Nothing	b				b
Other mention				b	b
DK/NA					

3. What are the two most important issues facing Los Altos?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by Gender		
	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(F)	(G)	(H)
Affordable housing		a,b	.
City's economic health		a,b	b
Condition of civic buildings		a,b	.
Condition of streets (roads and streets)/Sidewalks		.	.
Controlling growth		a,b	.
Crime		a,b	b
Education		a,b	.
Environmental health	b	a,b	b
Neighborhood preservation	b	a,b	b
Poor cell coverage	b	a,b	b
Protection of open space	b	a,b	b
Public transportation		a,b	.
Quality of life		a,b	b
Race relations	b	a,b	b
Taxes		a,b	.
Teen programs		a,b	b
Traffic		a,b	.
Downtown needs revitalization		a,b	b
Parking		a,b	b
Downtown growth/Tall Buildings		a,b	b
School site issue		a,b	.
Need store/Restaurants		a	b
Government/Listen to voters		a,b	b
Other mention - Negative		a	b
None/Nothing		a,b	b
Other mention		a,b	b
DK/NA		a,b	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	446
	Very satisfied	157	157
	Somewhat satisfied	35.2%	35.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	209	209
	Very dissatisfied	46.8%	46.8%
	DK/NA	46	46
		10.3%	10.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	213	231	2
	Very satisfied	157	90	67	0
	Somewhat satisfied	35.2%	42.0%	29.3%	0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	209	97	111	1
	Very dissatisfied	46.8%	45.3%	48.1%	58.7%
	DK/NA	46	17	28	1
		10.3%	8.0%	12.1%	41.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	B		.a
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.a
	Very dissatisfied			.a
	DK/NA			.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Very satisfied	157	25	11	22	47	48	5
		35.2%	42.7%	31.6%	27.4%	33.2%	38.3%	66.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	209	21	19	38	69	62	0
		46.8%	35.8%	53.7%	47.1%	49.1%	49.9%	0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	46	8	3	12	15	9	0
		10.3%	13.1%	8.5%	14.5%	10.4%	7.1%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	8	2	0	3	2	1	0	
	1.7%	3.2%	0.0%	3.2%	1.7%	0.7%	0.0%	
DK/NA	27	3	2	6	8	5	2	
	6.0%	5.2%	6.2%	7.9%	5.6%	4.0%	34.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied						.a
	Somewhat satisfied						.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied						.a
	Very dissatisfied		.a				.a
	DK/NA						E

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	357	80	9
	Very satisfied	157	117	38	3
		35.2%	32.8%	46.7%	28.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	209	173	33	3
		46.8%	48.5%	41.3%	28.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	46	37	6	2
		10.3%	10.5%	7.3%	27.8%
Very dissatisfied	8	8	0	0	
	1.7%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	27	22	4	1	
	6.0%	6.1%	4.6%	15.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied		.a	.a
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Very satisfied	157	76	31	6	44
		35.2%	38.4%	28.1%	51.9%	34.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	209	81	62	4	62
		46.8%	41.1%	56.4%	34.7%	48.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	46	21	11	1	13
		10.3%	10.5%	10.1%	8.4%	10.2%
	Very dissatisfied	8	2	4	0	1
		1.7%	1.1%	3.7%	0.0%	1.0%
DK/NA	27	17	2	1	7	
	6.0%	8.9%	1.7%	5.0%	5.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied			.a	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	157	29	27	9	13	45	34
	Somewhat satisfied	209	29	24	20	21	74	40
	Somewhat dissatisfied	46	8	11	2	3	12	10
	Very dissatisfied	8	1	1	1	1	3	1
	DK/NA	27	9	5	0	2	7	4
		6.0%	11.7%	7.6%	0.0%	4.6%	4.6%	4.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA			.a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Very satisfied	157	48	14	21	11
	Somewhat satisfied	209	49	30	29	31
	Somewhat dissatisfied	46	17	5	7	3
	Very dissatisfied	8	4	0	1	1
	DK/NA	27	8	2	4	5
			6.0%	6.2%	4.8%	6.5%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	36	36	46	38
	Very satisfied	13	14	17	18
	Somewhat satisfied	13	15	26	16
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	6	2	2
	Very dissatisfied	2	0	0	0
	DK/NA	3	1	1	2
		7.8%	1.9%	3.1%	6.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied		.a			
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a	.a
	DK/NA			

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Very satisfied	157	23	8	52	13	3	8	37	13
		35.2%	28.2%	33.7%	27.5%	36.2%	25.5%	52.6%	56.9%	55.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	209	37	12	103	16	8	5	20	8
		46.8%	46.3%	51.5%	54.1%	43.4%	68.0%	32.3%	30.8%	33.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	46	9	2	20	6	0	1	6	1
	10.3%	11.4%	11.1%	10.4%	17.1%	0.0%	6.0%	8.8%	5.6%	
Very dissatisfied	8	2	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	
	1.7%	2.1%	0.0%	2.1%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	27	10	1	11	0	1	1	2	1	
	6.0%	12.0%	3.7%	5.9%	0.0%	6.6%	9.0%	2.5%	5.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied							A C	
	Somewhat satisfied			G		. <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
	Somewhat dissatisfied					. <td>. <td></td> <td>. </td></td>	. <td></td> <td>. </td>		.
	DK/NA		a		a		a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	349	97
	Very satisfied	157	114	43
		35.2%	32.8%	43.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	209	166	43
		46.8%	47.6%	43.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	46	38	8
	10.3%	10.8%	8.4%	
Very dissatisfied	8	8	0	
	1.7%	2.2%	0.0%	
DK/NA	27	23	4	
	6.0%	6.6%	3.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		a
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	282	164
	Very satisfied	157	85	72
		35.2%	30.1%	44.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	209	147	62
		46.8%	52.0%	37.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	46	29	17
	10.3%	10.1%	10.5%	
Very dissatisfied	8	4	3	
	1.7%	1.6%	2.0%	
DK/NA	27	18	9	
	6.0%	6.3%	5.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied	B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Very satisfied	157	1	10	31	21	27
		35.2%	29.7%	36.6%	42.2%	35.8%	24.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	209	1	11	32	27	55
		46.8%	30.0%	39.7%	42.8%	46.1%	49.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	46	0	4	5	3	20
		10.3%	0.0%	12.9%	6.1%	5.9%	17.9%
Very dissatisfied	8	0	0	3	1	3	
	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	1.1%	2.6%	
DK/NA	27	1	3	3	6	6	
	6.0%	40.4%	10.7%	4.6%	11.1%	5.7%	

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	167	4
	Very satisfied	65	2
		38.6%	68.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	82	0
		49.2%	8.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	1
		8.0%	23.1%
Very dissatisfied	1	0	
	0.5%	0.0%	
DK/NA	6	0	
	3.7%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year (A)	1-3 years (B)	4 to 9 years (C)	10-15 years (D)	16-25 years (E)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a				
	Very dissatisfied	a	a			
	DK/NA	F				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more (F)	Not sure/ DK /NA (G)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a
	Very dissatisfied		a
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Very satisfied	157	60	6	14	13	55
		35.2%	29.4%	26.7%	34.5%	66.1%	43.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	209	99	11	18	5	58
		46.8%	49.0%	51.3%	43.2%	25.2%	45.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	46	25	4	5	1	7
		10.3%	12.1%	16.2%	11.3%	4.4%	5.2%
	Very dissatisfied	8	5	0	1	0	2
		1.7%	2.3%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	1.6%
	DK/NA	27	15	1	4	1	5
	6.0%	7.3%	5.9%	8.5%	4.4%	3.9%	

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total		14	16	4
Very satisfied		6 40.7%	4 26.6%	0 0.0%
Somewhat satisfied		6 42.7%	11 67.0%	1 17.1%
Somewhat dissatisfied		2 16.6%	1 6.4%	2 51.2%
Very dissatisfied		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 31.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status				
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Very satisfied					A	
Somewhat satisfied						
Somewhat dissatisfied			a			a
Very dissatisfied						
DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)
Very satisfied				a
Somewhat satisfied				
Somewhat dissatisfied				E
Very dissatisfied		a	a	a
DK/NA		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work					
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
Total		266	5	2	1	12	30
Very satisfied		80 29.9%	2 45.9%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	1 9.2%	9 29.7%
Somewhat satisfied		129 48.3%	2 39.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	7 60.0%	15 48.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied		33 12.3%	1 15.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 25.3%	4 14.0%
Very dissatisfied		6 2.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA		20 7.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.4%	2 7.7%

		County Where Work				
		Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total		207	2	0	5	2
Very satisfied		60 29.2%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	3 56.7%	1 54.9%
Somewhat satisfied		99 48.0%	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	2 43.3%	1 45.1%
Somewhat dissatisfied		25 12.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Very dissatisfied		6 2.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA		17 8.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Very satisfied			a	a,b			
Somewhat satisfied			a	a,b			
Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a,b			
Very dissatisfied		a	a	a,b	a	a	
DK/NA		a	a	a,b			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
Very satisfied		a	a,b		
Somewhat satisfied		a	a,b		
Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a,b	a	a
Very dissatisfied		a	a,b	a	a
DK/NA		a	a,b	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 ^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group				
	Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
Total	446	1	26	5	19
Very satisfied	157 35.2%	0 0.0%	10 39.0%	0 0.0%	5 28.0%
Somewhat satisfied	209 46.8%	1 100.0%	12 47.2%	5 100.0%	10 51.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied	46 10.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.4%
Very dissatisfied	8 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 10.1%
DK/NA	27 6.0%	0 0.0%	4 13.9%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
Total	4	1	2	5
Very satisfied	1 18.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%
Somewhat satisfied	1 15.7%	1 100.0%	2 100.0%	1 14.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied	2 51.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 59.4%
Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	1 14.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group				
	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	330	11	16	5	20
Very satisfied	126 38.1%	3 28.9%	5 28.0%	3 62.1%	3 15.8%
Somewhat satisfied	152 46.0%	8 71.1%	7 42.8%	1 20.4%	8 39.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied	31 9.3%	0 0.0%	3 18.0%	1 17.5%	6 28.0%
Very dissatisfied	3 0.8%	0 0.0%	1 7.5%	0 0.0%	2 9.5%
DK/NA	19 5.8%	0 0.0%	1 3.7%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	a,b		b	
	Somewhat satisfied	a,b		b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	b	b	
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b	b	I
	DK/NA	a,b		b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		a,b	b	
	Somewhat satisfied		a,b	b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a,b	b	D I
	Very dissatisfied	b	a,b	b	b
	DK/NA		a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied		b			
	Very dissatisfied		b		b	I
DK/NA		b		b		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 ^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	203	243
	Very satisfied	157	74	83
		35.2%	36.6%	34.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	209	88	121
		46.8%	43.2%	49.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	46	23	22
		10.3%	11.5%	9.2%
Very dissatisfied	8	4	3	
	1.7%	2.2%	1.3%	
DK/NA	27	13	14	
	6.0%	6.5%	5.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	20	422	3
	Very satisfied	157	7	150	0
		35.2%	35.3%	35.5%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	209	7	198	3
		46.8%	36.4%	46.9%	100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	46	3	43	0
		10.3%	12.4%	10.2%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	8	0	8	0	
	1.7%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	
DK/NA	27	3	24	0	
	6.0%	15.9%	5.6%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied	a		a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Very satisfied	157	54	28	27	49
		35.2%	59.5%	43.6%	21.6%	29.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	209	26	32	63	88
		46.8%	28.7%	49.1%	51.1%	52.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	46	7	1	18	19
		10.3%	8.1%	2.1%	14.7%	11.3%
Very dissatisfied	8	1	1	1	5	
	1.7%	0.7%	1.5%	0.6%	3.1%	
DK/NA	27	3	2	15	7	
	6.0%	3.0%	3.6%	12.1%	4.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Los Altos is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	C D	C		
	Somewhat satisfied			A	A
	Somewhat dissatisfied			B	
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total		446	52	70	51	69	204
Very satisfied		157	28	29	9	23	68
Somewhat satisfied		35.2%	54.9%	40.7%	18.2%	33.1%	33.4%
Somewhat dissatisfied		209	13	26	34	31	105
Very dissatisfied		46.8%	25.0%	37.6%	65.9%	44.2%	51.6%
DK/NA		46	6	7	7	9	17
		10.3%	11.6%	10.0%	13.3%	13.3%	8.2%
		8	1	3	0	1	2
		1.7%	2.5%	4.5%	0.0%	1.0%	1.2%
		27	3	5	1	6	11
		6.0%	6.1%	7.3%	2.6%	8.4%	5.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
Very satisfied		C	E			
Somewhat satisfied				A	B	A
Somewhat dissatisfied						
Very dissatisfied				.		
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
Total		444	121	76	55	54	53
Very satisfied		157	42	34	13	18	13
Somewhat satisfied		35.4%	34.5%	44.6%	22.8%	34.2%	24.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied		208	49	32	32	28	29
Very dissatisfied		46.7%	40.5%	42.0%	59.1%	52.6%	54.0%
DK/NA		45	14	7	5	6	8
		10.1%	11.5%	9.0%	8.9%	11.4%	15.2%
		8	2	0	4	0	0
		1.7%	1.8%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%
		27	14	3	1	1	3
		6.0%	11.7%	4.4%	1.7%	1.7%	6.0%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total		73	2	10
Very satisfied		31	0	6
Somewhat satisfied		42.6%	0.0%	60.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied		33	1	3
Very dissatisfied		45.0%	41.6%	33.5%
DK/NA		4	1	0
		5.6%	58.4%	0.0%
		1	0	0
		1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
		4	0	1
		5.1%	0.0%	5.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems (A)	Male Dems (B)	Fem Reps (C)	Male Reps (D)	Fem NPP (E)	Male NPP (F)
Very satisfied							
Somewhat satisfied							
Somewhat dissatisfied							
Very dissatisfied			b		b	b	
DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth (G)	Male Oth (H)
Very satisfied		a,b	
Somewhat satisfied		.	
Somewhat dissatisfied		a	b
Very dissatisfied		a,b	b
DK/NA		a,b	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
5A. Police services	Total	446	446
	Extremely important	233	233
	Very important	162	162
	Somewhat important	43	43
	Not at all important	6	6
	DK/NA	2	2
		0.5%	0.5%
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	446
	Extremely important	186	186
	Very important	203	203
	Somewhat important	54	54
	Not at all important	2	2
	DK/NA	0.5%	0.5%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	446
	Extremely important	82	82
	Very important	194	194
	Somewhat important	160	160
	Not at all important	8	8
	DK/NA	2	2
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	446
	Extremely important	116	116
	Very important	203	203
	Somewhat important	124	124
	Not at all important	1	1
	DK/NA	2	2
Total	446	446	

		Total	
		Total	Total
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	54	54
	Very important	159	159
	Somewhat important	210	210
	Not at all important	23	23
	DK/NA	0	0
		0.1%	0.1%
5F. Traffic safety	Total	446	446
	Extremely important	218	218
	Very important	164	164
	Somewhat important	56	56
	Not at all important	8	8
	DK/NA	1	1
5G. Economic development efforts	Total	446	446
	Extremely important	81	81
	Very important	158	158
	Somewhat important	143	143
	Not at all important	45	45
	DK/NA	18	18
5H. Sports fields	Total	446	446
	Extremely important	64	64
	Very important	161	161
	Somewhat important	157	157
	Not at all important	57	57
	DK/NA	7	7
Total	446	446	

		Total	
		Total	Total
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	157 35.2%	157 35.2%
	Very important	192 43.1%	192 43.1%
	Somewhat important	68 15.3%	68 15.3%
	Not at all important	17 3.7%	17 3.7%
	DK/NA	12 2.7%	12 2.7%
	Total	446	446
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	237 53.2%	237 53.2%
	Very important	171 38.4%	171 38.4%
	Somewhat important	35 8.0%	35 8.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	2 0.4%
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	446	446
	Extremely important	128 28.6%	128 28.6%
	Very important	152 34.1%	152 34.1%
	Somewhat important	123 27.7%	123 27.7%
	Not at all important	32 7.2%	32 7.2%
	DK/NA	10 2.3%	10 2.3%
5L. Senior facilities	Total	446	446
	Extremely important	66 14.8%	66 14.8%
	Very important	147 33.0%	147 33.0%
	Somewhat important	154 34.4%	154 34.4%
	Not at all important	66 14.8%	66 14.8%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	13 2.9%
5M. Senior programs	Total	446	446
	Extremely important	63 14.2%	63 14.2%
	Very important	149 33.5%	149 33.5%
	Somewhat important	149 33.4%	149 33.4%
	Not at all important	71 15.9%	71 15.9%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	13 3.0%

		Total	
		Total	Total
5N. Youth facilities	Total	446	446
	Extremely important	68 15.2%	68 15.2%
	Very important	174 39.1%	174 39.1%
	Somewhat important	152 34.0%	152 34.0%
	Not at all important	41 9.3%	41 9.3%
	DK/NA	11 2.5%	11 2.5%
5O. Youth programs	Total	446	446
	Extremely important	77 17.3%	77 17.3%
	Very important	187 41.9%	187 41.9%
	Somewhat important	131 29.4%	131 29.4%
	Not at all important	42 9.3%	42 9.3%
	DK/NA	10 2.1%	10 2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
5A. Police services	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.
	Somewhat important	.
	Not at all important	.
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	DK/NA	.
	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.
	Somewhat important	.
5C. Street tree maintenance	Not at all important	.
	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.
	Somewhat important	.
5D. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	.
	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.
	Somewhat important	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
5E. Street sweeping services	Somewhat important	.
	Not at all important	.
	DK/NA	.
	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.
5F. Traffic safety	Somewhat important	.
	Not at all important	.
	DK/NA	.
	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.
5G. Economic development efforts	Somewhat important	.
	Not at all important	.
	DK/NA	.
	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.
5H. Sports fields	Somewhat important	.
	Not at all important	.
	DK/NA	.
	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.
5I. Managing land use	Somewhat important	.
	Not at all important	.
	DK/NA	.
	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.
5J. Fire protection services	Somewhat important	.
	Not at all important	.
	DK/NA	.
	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Somewhat important	.
	Not at all important	.
	DK/NA	.
	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.
5L. Senior facilities	Somewhat important	.
	Not at all important	.
	DK/NA	.
	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.
5M. Senior programs	Somewhat important	.
	Not at all important	.
	DK/NA	.
	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.
5N. Youth facilities	Somewhat important	.
	Not at all important	.
	DK/NA	.
	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
5O. Youth programs	Extremely important	.
	Very important	.
	Somewhat important	.
	Not at all important	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
5A. Police services	Total	446	213	231	2
	Extremely important	233	96	136	2
		52.3%	44.9%	58.8%	100.0%
	Very important	162	92	69	0
		36.3%	43.2%	30.1%	0.0%
	Somewhat important	43	22	21	0
	9.6%	10.5%	8.9%	0.0%	
Not at all important	6	3	3	0	
	1.3%	1.2%	1.4%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	2	0	
	0.5%	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%	
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	213	231	2
	Extremely important	186	80	106	0
		41.8%	37.4%	46.2%	0.0%
	Very important	203	98	103	2
		45.5%	46.0%	44.6%	100.0%
	Somewhat important	54	34	21	0
	12.2%	15.8%	9.0%	0.0%	
Not at all important	2	2	0	0	
	0.5%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%	
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	213	231	2
	Extremely important	82	34	47	1
		18.4%	15.8%	20.5%	58.7%
	Very important	194	87	106	1
		43.5%	41.0%	45.8%	41.3%
	Somewhat important	160	85	75	0
	35.8%	39.9%	32.4%	0.0%	
Not at all important	8	6	2	0	
	1.9%	2.8%	1.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	1	1	0	
	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	213	231	2
	Extremely important	116	61	55	0
	Very important	203	96	107	0
	Somewhat important	124	55	68	2
	Not at all important	1	0	1	0
	DK/NA	2	2	0	0
		0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
5E. Street sweeping services	Total	446	213	231	2
	Extremely important	54	21	33	0
	Very important	159	80	78	1
	Somewhat important	210	104	105	1
	Not at all important	23	8	15	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
5F. Traffic safety	Total	446	213	231	2
	Extremely important	218	92	124	2
	Very important	164	84	79	0
	Somewhat important	56	32	24	0
	Not at all important	8	4	4	0
	DK/NA	1	1	0	0
		0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
5G. Economic development efforts	Total	446	213	231	2
	Extremely important	81	34	47	0
	Very important	158	74	84	0
	Somewhat important	143	72	70	1
	Not at all important	45	28	16	1
	DK/NA	18	5	13	0
		4.1%	2.4%	5.8%	0.0%
5H. Sports fields	Total	446	213	231	2
	Extremely important	64	27	37	0
	Very important	161	84	77	1
	Somewhat important	157	70	87	0
	Not at all important	57	29	26	1
	DK/NA				

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
5I. Managing land use	Not at all important	12.7%	13.7%	11.3%	58.7%
	DK/NA	7	3	4	0
5J. Fire protection services	Total	446	213	231	2
	Extremely important	157	66	89	1
	Very important	192	93	98	1
	Somewhat important	68	40	29	0
	Not at all important	17	10	6	0
	DK/NA	12	4	8	0
		2.7%	1.8%	3.5%	0.0%
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	446	213	231	2
	Extremely important	237	106	129	2
	Very important	171	86	85	0
	Somewhat important	35	20	15	0
	Not at all important	8.0%	9.4%	6.7%	0.0%
	DK/NA	2	1	1	0
		0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
5L. Senior facilities	Total	446	213	231	2
	Extremely important	128	49	79	0
	Very important	152	74	78	0
	Somewhat important	123	62	60	1
	Not at all important	32	24	7	1
	DK/NA	10	4	7	0
		2.3%	1.8%	2.9%	0.0%
5M. Senior programs	Total	446	213	231	2
	Extremely important	66	21	45	0
	Very important	147	62	85	0
	Somewhat important	154	78	73	2
	Not at all important	66	45	22	0
	DK/NA	13	8	5	0
		2.9%	3.6%	2.2%	0.0%

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Not at all important		71	44	27	0
		15.9%	20.8%	11.6%	0.0%
DK/NA		13	10	4	0
		3.0%	4.5%	1.6%	0.0%
Total		446	213	231	2
5N. Youth facilities	Extremely important	68	25	43	0
		15.2%	11.6%	18.6%	0.0%
	Very important	174	82	93	0
		39.1%	38.2%	40.2%	0.0%
	Somewhat important	152	76	75	1
		34.0%	35.6%	32.5%	41.3%
	Not at all important	41	25	15	1
		9.3%	11.7%	6.6%	58.7%
DK/NA	11	6	5	0	
	2.5%	2.9%	2.1%	0.0%	
Total		446	213	231	2
5O. Youth programs	Extremely important	77	27	50	0
		17.3%	12.5%	21.8%	0.0%
	Very important	187	98	88	1
		41.9%	45.8%	38.2%	41.3%
	Somewhat important	131	58	73	0
		29.4%	27.0%	31.8%	0.0%
	Not at all important	42	27	13	1
		9.3%	12.7%	5.8%	58.7%
DK/NA	10	4	6	0	
	2.1%	1.9%	2.4%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
5A. Police services	Extremely important		A	.a
	Very important	B		.a
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important			.a
	DK/NA			.a
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Extremely important			.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important	B		.a
	Not at all important			.a
	DK/NA			.a
5C. Street tree maintenance	Extremely important			.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important			.a
	DK/NA			.a
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Extremely important			.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important	.a		.a
	Not at all important			.a
	DK/NA		a	.a
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important			.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important			.a
	DK/NA		a	.a
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important		A	.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important			.a
	DK/NA		a	.a
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important			.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important			B
	DK/NA			.a
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important			.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important			.a
	DK/NA			.a
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important			.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important			.a
	DK/NA			.a
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important			.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important			.a
	DK/NA			.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important		A	.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important	B		B
	Not at all important DK/NA			.a .a
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important		A	.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important	B		.a
	Not at all important DK/NA			.a .a
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important		A	.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important	B		.a
	Not at all important DK/NA			.a .a
5N. Youth facilities	Extremely important		A	.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important DK/NA	B		B .a
5O. Youth programs	Extremely important		A	.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important DK/NA	B		B .a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
5A. Police services	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Extremely important	233	20	13	52	71	72	6
	Very important	162	27	17	22	51	44	1
	Somewhat important	9.6%	15.6%	13.8%	8.4%	11.5%	4.9%	0.0%
	Not at all important	6	3	0	0	2	1	0
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
		0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Extremely important	186	27	19	32	55	49	4
	Very important	203	27	6	34	68	64	3
	Somewhat important	45.5%	45.7%	18.5%	42.5%	48.3%	51.8%	44.3%
	Not at all important	54	5	9	14	16	10	0
	DK/NA	12.2%	9.2%	25.1%	17.4%	11.7%	8.0%	0.0%
		2	0	1	0	1	0	0
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Extremely important	82	9	5	16	26	25	1
	Very important	194	23	16	26	66	57	5
	Somewhat important	43.5%	39.5%	47.0%	32.6%	47.2%	46.0%	66.1%
	Not at all important	160	25	13	34	47	38	2
	DK/NA	35.8%	42.4%	38.6%	42.4%	33.7%	30.6%	24.5%
		8	2	0	4	1	2	0
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Extremely important	116	7	8	23	39	37	1
	Very important	203	32	15	29	66	56	6
	Somewhat important	45.5%	54.3%	42.1%	35.8%	47.0%	44.7%	81.6%
	Not at all important	124	18	12	29	35	30	0
	DK/NA	27.9%	30.9%	35.5%	35.8%	24.9%	24.2%	0.0%
		1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7	

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	54 12.0%	9 15.6%	6 18.3%	10 13.0%	10 6.9%	18 14.5%	0 0.0%
	Very important	159 35.7%	21 35.8%	5 14.9%	26 31.6%	53 37.6%	50 40.3%	4 62.1%
	Somewhat important	210 47.0%	29 48.6%	22 63.8%	41 51.3%	66 47.3%	49 39.1%	3 37.9%
	Not at all important	23 5.2%	0 0.0%	1 3.0%	3 4.1%	11 8.2%	7 5.8%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.4%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important	218 48.8%	22 37.5%	11 32.1%	52 64.2%	72 51.2%	58 47.0%	3 36.6%
	Very important	164 36.7%	24 40.8%	19 53.6%	17 21.6%	46 33.2%	53 42.4%	5 63.4%
	Somewhat important	56 12.5%	10 16.3%	3 8.8%	11 14.2%	20 14.0%	12 9.5%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	8 1.8%	3 5.4%	2 5.5%	0 0.0%	2 1.2%	1 1.2%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important	81 18.1%	17 28.5%	7 19.3%	15 18.6%	21 15.2%	20 16.4%	1 9.4%
	Very important	158 35.5%	17 29.2%	13 37.1%	25 31.6%	53 38.1%	47 38.1%	2 24.7%
	Somewhat important	143 32.1%	17 29.1%	12 34.8%	30 37.4%	48 34.2%	34 27.2%	2 28.5%
	Not at all important	45 10.1%	6 9.9%	0 0.0%	6 7.7%	15 10.6%	18 14.7%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	2 3.2%	3 8.7%	4 4.6%	3 1.9%	4 3.6%	3 37.3%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important	64 14.3%	7 11.8%	0 0.0%	25 31.3%	20 14.4%	11 8.6%	1 9.4%
	Very important	161 36.2%	15 26.0%	20 56.4%	22 27.6%	57 40.7%	46 37.4%	1 12.2%
	Somewhat important	157 35.2%	31 52.8%	10 30.2%	28 34.4%	45 32.4%	38 30.6%	4 57.9%
	Not at all important	57 12.7%	6 9.4%	5 13.4%	5 6.8%	15 10.6%	26 20.9%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 1.9%	3 2.5%	1 20.5%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	157 35.2%	20 32.9%	11 32.5%	28 34.6%	47 33.6%	49 39.4%	2 30.5%
	Very important	192 43.1%	25 41.3%	8 21.9%	40 50.1%	68 48.7%	48 38.2%	4 57.9%
	Somewhat important	68 15.3%	12 19.6%	14 39.6%	8 10.5%	17 12.2%	17 14.0%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	17 3.7%	2 2.8%	2 6.0%	3 3.2%	5 3.6%	5 4.2%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	12 2.7%	2 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 1.6%	3 1.9%	5 4.2%	1 11.6%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	237 53.2%	22 36.6%	18 51.8%	42 52.4%	79 56.2%	71 57.1%	6 79.5%
	Very important	171 38.4%	32 53.9%	7 19.9%	31 38.6%	54 38.7%	46 36.7%	1 20.5%
	Somewhat important	35 8.0%	6 9.5%	9 25.4%	7 9.0%	7 5.1%	7 5.3%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	1 3.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	128 28.6%	28 46.6%	13 38.3%	18 22.9%	33 23.3%	34 27.3%	2 25.1%
	Very important	152 34.1%	28 46.4%	4 11.8%	22 27.4%	56 39.7%	41 32.6%	2 30.9%
	Somewhat important	123 27.7%	4 7.0%	11 31.9%	37 46.2%	35 24.9%	34 27.2%	2 32.5%
	Not at all important	32 7.2%	0 0.0%	2 5.5%	3 3.4%	17 12.1%	11 8.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	10 2.3%	0 0.0%	4 12.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 4.2%	1 11.6%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	66 14.8%	8 12.9%	2 6.2%	11 13.2%	16 11.6%	28 22.4%	2 22.3%
	Very important	147 33.0%	29 48.5%	10 28.0%	12 15.5%	50 36.0%	42 33.6%	4 56.8%
	Somewhat important	154 34.4%	20 33.2%	11 32.7%	37 46.1%	44 31.5%	40 32.0%	1 20.9%
	Not at all important	66 14.8%	3 5.4%	10 27.6%	19 23.7%	21 15.3%	13 10.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	0 0.0%	2 5.6%	1 1.6%	8 5.6%	2 1.5%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	63 14.2%	7 12.5%	5 13.1%	8 10.2%	16 11.4%	26 20.8%	1 18.4%
	Very important	149 33.5%	31 52.9%	7 20.0%	11 13.7%	52 37.0%	44 35.2%	4 60.8%
	Somewhat important	149 33.4%	17 29.2%	12 33.8%	34 42.3%	51 36.2%	34 27.3%	1 20.9%
	Not at all important	71 15.9%	3 5.4%	7 19.1%	25 30.6%	19 13.3%	18 14.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	0 0.0%	5 14.0%	3 3.2%	3 2.2%	3 2.3%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
5N. Youth facilities	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Extremely important	68	7	4	20	22	14	1
	Very important	174	28	13	26	55	50	3
	Somewhat important	152	20	15	33	49	33	2
	Not at all important	41	5	1	2	11	22	0
	DK/NA	11	0	1	0	4	5	1
		2.5%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	2.8%	3.8%	20.5%
5O. Youth programs	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Extremely important	77	9	8	15	27	16	2
	Very important	187	34	13	33	50	54	3
	Somewhat important	131	11	12	26	48	33	1
	Not at all important	42	5	2	5	13	16	0
	DK/NA	10	0	0	1	1	6	1
		2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.6%	4.8%	20.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5A. Police services	Extremely important			A		A	
	Very important						a
	Somewhat important		a	a			a
	Not at all important	a	a	a	a		a
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Extremely important						a
	Very important				B	B	a
	Somewhat important	a		a			a
	Not at all important						a
5C. Street tree maintenance	Extremely important						a
	Very important						a
	Somewhat important	a	a	a	a		a
	Not at all important						a
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Extremely important						a
	Very important						a
	Somewhat important	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Not at all important						a
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important						a
	Very important						a
	Somewhat important						a
	Not at all important						a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5E. Street sweeping services	Somewhat important						a
	Not at all important	a					a
	DK/NA	a					a
	Extremely important			A B			
5F. Traffic safety	Very important		C			C	
	Somewhat important						a
	Not at all important						a
	DK/NA	a	a	a		a	a
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						a
	Not at all important		a				a
5H. Sports fields	DK/NA						A C D E
	Extremely important			D E			
	Very important		A C				
	Somewhat important						a
5I. Managing land use	Not at all important						a
	DK/NA						a
	Extremely important						
	Very important		C D E				a
5J. Fire protection services	Somewhat important						a
	DK/NA						a
	Extremely important	C D					
	Very important	B					
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Somewhat important						a
	Not at all important	a					a
	DK/NA	a					a
	Extremely important						
5L. Senior facilities	Very important					C	
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important		A	A			a
	DK/NA	a					a
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important						
	Very important	B C				C	C
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important				A D		a
5N. Youth facilities	DK/NA	a					a
	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
5O. Youth programs	Not at all important						a
	DK/NA						
	Extremely important						
	Very important						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
50. Youth programs	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important						a
	DK/NA	a	a				C D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
5A. Police services	Total	446	357	80	9
	Extremely important	233	203	24	6
	Very important	162	118	41	3
	Somewhat important	43	32	11	0
	Not at all important	6	3	3	0
	DK/NA	1.3%	0.7%	4.0%	0.0%
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	357	80	9
	Extremely important	186	148	33	6
	Very important	203	163	37	3
	Somewhat important	54	44	10	0
	Not at all important	2	2	0	0
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	357	80	9
	Extremely important	82	64	14	4
	Very important	194	155	36	3
	Somewhat important	160	127	30	2
	Not at all important	8	8	0	0
	DK/NA	1.9%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	357	80	9
	Extremely important	116	102	11	3
	Very important	203	153	47	2
	Somewhat important	124	98	22	4
	Not at all important	1	1	0	0
	DK/NA	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	2	2	0	0
5E. Street sweeping services	Total	446	357	80	9
	Extremely important	54	43	6	5
	Very important	159	132	25	2
	Somewhat important	210	160	48	2
	Not at all important	23	21	2	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
5F. Traffic safety	Total	446	357	80	9
	Extremely important	218	185	26	7
	Very important	164	124	38	2
	Somewhat important	56	44	12	0
	Not at all important	8	3	5	0
	DK/NA	1	1	0	0
5G. Economic development efforts	Total	446	357	80	9
	Extremely important	81	60	18	4
	Very important	158	122	34	2
	Somewhat important	143	117	23	3
	Not at all important	45	42	4	0
5H. Sports fields	Total	446	357	80	9
	Extremely important	64	55	7	1
	Very important	161	129	27	5
	Somewhat important	157	121	34	2
	DK/NA	14.3%	15.5%	8.9%	13.5%

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	Not at all important	57 12.7%	46 12.9%	10 12.9%	0 2.1%
	DK/NA	7 1.6%	5 1.5%	2 2.2%	0 0.0%
Total		446	357	80	9
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	157 35.2%	136 38.2%	19 24.0%	1 14.5%
	Very important	192 43.1%	149 41.8%	38 46.8%	6 63.7%
	Somewhat important	68 15.3%	54 15.2%	14 17.6%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	17 3.7%	9 2.5%	8 9.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	12 2.7%	8 2.3%	2 2.0%	2 21.8%
	Total		446	357	80
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	237 53.2%	201 56.3%	29 36.4%	7 81.1%
	Very important	171 38.4%	126 35.2%	44 54.6%	2 18.9%
	Somewhat important	35 8.0%	28 7.9%	7 9.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	2 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Total		446	357	80	9
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	128 28.6%	95 26.6%	30 37.2%	3 34.8%
	Very important	152 34.1%	126 35.3%	22 27.8%	4 42.4%
	Somewhat important	123 27.7%	99 27.8%	22 27.6%	2 22.8%
	Not at all important	32 7.2%	32 9.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	10 2.3%	4 1.2%	6 7.4%	0 0.0%
Total		446	357	80	9
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	66 14.8%	57 15.9%	7 9.2%	2 21.8%
	Very important	147 33.0%	111 31.2%	32 39.6%	4 48.7%
	Somewhat important	154 34.4%	125 35.2%	26 31.8%	3 29.4%
	Not at all important	66 14.8%	55 15.3%	11 14.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	9 2.4%	4 5.2%	0 0.0%
Total		446	357	80	9
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	63 14.2%	50 14.0%	11 14.1%	2 21.8%
	Very important	149 33.5%	115 32.2%	31 38.3%	4 40.2%

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
5M. Senior programs	Somewhat important	149 33.4%	125 35.1%	21 25.6%	3 35.8%
	Not at all important	71 15.9%	57 16.0%	14 17.0%	0 2.1%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	9 2.6%	4 5.0%	0 0.0%
Total		446	357	80	9
5N. Youth facilities	Extremely important	68 15.2%	54 15.2%	9 10.7%	5 53.8%
	Very important	174 39.1%	135 37.9%	36 44.6%	3 34.7%
	Somewhat important	152 34.0%	129 36.0%	22 27.5%	1 11.4%
	Not at all important	41 9.3%	30 8.3%	12 14.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	11 2.5%	9 2.5%	2 2.6%	0 0.0%
Total		446	357	80	9
5O. Youth programs	Extremely important	77 17.3%	57 16.0%	15 18.3%	5 57.4%
	Very important	187 41.9%	145 40.7%	38 47.5%	4 40.5%
	Somewhat important	131 29.4%	110 30.8%	21 26.0%	0 2.1%
	Not at all important	42 9.3%	35 9.8%	7 8.2%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	10 2.1%	10 2.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
5A. Police services	Extremely important	B		B
	Very important		A	
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important		A	.a
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	DK/NA		A	.a
	Extremely important			
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important			.a
5C. Street tree maintenance	Not at all important		.a	.a
	Extremely important			.a
	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important		.a	.a
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Not at all important		.a	.a
	DK/NA		.a	.a
	Extremely important	B		
	Very important		A	
5E. Street sweeping services	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important			.a
	DK/NA		.a	.a
	Extremely important	B		B
5F. Traffic safety	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important		A	.a
	DK/NA		.a	.a
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important			
	Very important			
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important			.a
5H. Sports fields	DK/NA			.a
	Extremely important			
	Very important			
	Somewhat important			.a
5I. Managing land use	Not at all important			.a
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important		A	.a
	DK/NA			A B
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	B		B
	Very important		A	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important			.a
	Somewhat important			.a
	DK/NA		.a	.a
	Extremely important			.a
5L. Senior facilities	Very important			.a
	Somewhat important		.a	.a
	Not at all important		.a	.a
	DK/NA		A	.a
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important			
	Very important			
	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important			.a
5N. Youth facilities	DK/NA			A B
	Extremely important			
	Very important			
	Somewhat important			.a
5O. Youth programs	Not at all important			.a
	DK/NA			.a
	Extremely important			A B
	Very important			
5P. Fire protection services	Somewhat important			.a
	Not at all important			.a
	DK/NA		.a	.a
	Extremely important			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
5A. Police services	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Extremely important	233	107	57	5	64
		52.3%	54.6%	52.2%	37.6%	50.4%
	Very important	162	61	42	8	51
		36.3%	31.2%	38.1%	62.4%	40.1%
	Somewhat important	43	23	9	0	11
		9.6%	11.5%	8.3%	0.0%	8.8%
Not at all important	6	3	2	0	1	
	1.3%	1.6%	1.5%	0.0%	0.7%	
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	
	0.5%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Extremely important	186	86	42	6	52
		41.8%	43.7%	38.6%	46.5%	41.1%
	Very important	203	94	54	2	54
		45.5%	47.6%	48.7%	16.9%	42.4%
	Somewhat important	54	17	13	4	20
		12.2%	8.5%	12.0%	36.7%	15.8%
Not at all important	2	0	1	0	1	
	0.5%	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.6%	
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Extremely important	82	37	23	0	22
		18.4%	18.8%	21.0%	0.0%	17.3%
	Very important	194	85	50	4	54
		43.5%	43.4%	45.4%	36.2%	42.7%
	Somewhat important	160	70	34	6	50
		35.8%	35.4%	31.3%	49.9%	39.0%
Not at all important	8	3	2	2	1	
	1.9%	1.7%	1.8%	13.9%	1.0%	
DK/NA	2	1	1	0	0	
	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Extremely important	116	53	35	0	27
		25.9%	26.8%	32.0%	3.6%	21.5%
	Very important	203	87	50	2	64
		45.5%	44.3%	45.9%	13.0%	50.1%
	Somewhat important	124	57	23	8	36
		27.9%	29.0%	20.9%	69.6%	28.4%
Not at all important	1	0	1	0	0	
	0.3%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	2	0	
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	13.9%	0.0%	
Total	446	197	110	12	127	

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	54	24	11	1	18
		12.0%	12.3%	9.6%	8.2%	14.1%
	Very important	159	64	42	5	48
		35.7%	32.8%	38.1%	38.2%	37.8%
	Somewhat important	210	98	49	6	57
		47.0%	49.6%	44.6%	53.5%	44.5%
	Not at all important	23	10	8	0	5
	5.2%	5.1%	7.7%	0.0%	3.6%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
5F. Traffic safety	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Extremely important	218	97	50	6	66
		48.8%	49.2%	45.1%	46.5%	51.6%
	Very important	164	65	46	3	50
		36.7%	33.2%	41.5%	25.6%	39.2%
	Somewhat important	56	28	12	3	12
		12.5%	14.3%	11.3%	28.0%	9.2%
Not at all important	8	6	2	0	0	
	1.8%	3.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	0	
	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
5G. Economic development efforts	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Extremely important	81	32	26	0	23
		18.1%	16.4%	23.8%	0.0%	17.7%
	Very important	158	75	35	6	42
		35.5%	38.1%	31.7%	51.8%	33.1%
	Somewhat important	143	61	28	5	49
		32.1%	30.8%	25.4%	44.6%	38.7%
Not at all important	45	19	16	0	10	
	10.1%	9.7%	14.1%	3.6%	7.9%	
DK/NA	18	10	6	0	3	
	4.1%	4.9%	5.1%	0.0%	2.6%	
5H. Sports fields	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Extremely important	64	26	14	3	20
		14.3%	13.3%	13.0%	24.4%	15.9%
	Very important	161	76	43	3	40
		36.2%	38.7%	38.7%	22.1%	31.5%
	Somewhat important	157	68	37	3	50
		35.2%	34.5%	33.5%	21.9%	39.1%
Not at all important	57	22	15	3	17	
	12.7%	10.9%	13.3%	27.0%	13.5%	
DK/NA	7	5	2	1	0	
	1.6%	2.6%	1.5%	4.6%	0.0%	
Total	446	197	110	12	127	

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	157 35.2%	70 35.8%	40 36.2%	2 16.0%	45 35.1%
	Very important	192 43.1%	89 45.0%	45 41.1%	4 37.1%	54 42.6%
	Somewhat important	68 15.3%	26 13.3%	20 18.0%	3 24.8%	19 15.2%
	Not at all important	17 3.7%	7 3.4%	3 2.6%	3 22.1%	4 3.5%
	DK/NA	12 2.7%	5 2.5%	2 2.2%	0 0.0%	5 3.6%
	Total	446	197	110	12	127
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	237 53.2%	107 54.6%	64 58.1%	6 46.7%	60 47.4%
	Very important	171 38.4%	74 37.6%	39 35.7%	4 31.6%	54 42.7%
	Somewhat important	35 8.0%	14 7.3%	6 5.3%	3 21.7%	13 9.9%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	1 0.5%	1 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	197	110	12	127
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	128 28.6%	75 38.0%	15 13.6%	1 8.4%	37 29.2%
	Very important	152 34.1%	69 35.2%	32 29.5%	8 66.1%	42 33.4%
	Somewhat important	123 27.7%	41 20.8%	39 35.7%	2 20.5%	41 32.0%
	Not at all important	32 7.2%	5 2.3%	20 18.5%	1 5.0%	7 5.4%
	DK/NA	10 2.3%	7 3.8%	3 2.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	197	110	12	127
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	66 14.8%	29 14.5%	20 17.7%	3 25.1%	15 11.7%
	Very important	147 33.0%	82 41.9%	30 27.4%	4 34.0%	31 24.1%
	Somewhat important	154 34.4%	57 28.9%	37 34.0%	4 33.1%	55 43.5%
	Not at all important	66 14.8%	26 13.1%	21 19.4%	1 7.8%	18 14.3%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	3 1.6%	2 1.5%	0 0.0%	8 6.4%
	Total	446	197	110	12	127
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	63 14.2%	32 16.3%	17 15.7%	2 16.0%	12 9.3%
	Very important	149 33.5%	74 37.4%	36 32.8%	5 45.5%	34 26.9%
	Somewhat important	149 33.4%	63 32.1%	30 27.0%	4 30.7%	52 41.3%
	Not at all important	71 15.9%	24 12.0%	25 23.0%	1 7.8%	21 16.7%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	4 2.2%	2 1.5%	0 0.0%	7 5.8%
	Total	446	197	110	12	127

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
5N. Youth facilities	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Extremely important	68 15.2%	34 17.1%	14 13.0%	0 0.0%	20 15.4%
	Very important	174 39.1%	84 42.9%	40 36.2%	4 29.4%	46 36.5%
	Somewhat important	152 34.0%	57 29.1%	36 33.1%	8 62.4%	51 39.7%
	Not at all important	41 9.3%	18 9.2%	17 15.3%	0 3.6%	6 4.8%
	DK/NA	11 2.5%	3 1.7%	3 2.4%	1 4.6%	5 3.6%
5O. Youth programs	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Extremely important	77 17.3%	42 21.2%	14 12.7%	2 16.0%	19 15.2%
	Very important	187 41.9%	82 41.7%	46 41.4%	4 36.1%	55 43.1%
	Somewhat important	131 29.4%	55 27.7%	35 31.5%	3 28.3%	38 30.2%
	Not at all important	42 9.3%	13 6.7%	13 12.1%	2 15.0%	13 10.4%
	DK/NA	10 2.1%	5 2.6%	2 2.2%	1 4.6%	1 1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
5A. Police services	Extremely important				
	Very important				
	Somewhat important			a	
	Not at all important			a	
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Extremely important		a		a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important			A	
	Not at all important			a	
5C. Street tree maintenance	Extremely important				
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important			A D	
5D. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA			a	a
	Extremely important				
	Very important				
	Somewhat important			A B D	
	Not at all important	a		a	a
5E. Street lighting	DK/NA	a			a
	Extremely important				
5F. Street cleaning	Very important				
	Extremely important				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
5E. Street sweeping services	Somewhat important			a	
	Not at all important			a	
	DK/NA		a	a	a
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important				
	Very important				
	Somewhat important			a	a
5G. Economic development efforts	Not at all important			a	
	DK/NA				
	Extremely important			a	
5H. Sports fields	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important				a
5I. Managing land use	DK/NA				
	Extremely important				
	Very important				
5J. Fire protection services	Somewhat important			A B D	
	DK/NA			a	
	Extremely important				a
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very important	B			B
	Somewhat important		A		
	Not at all important		A D		
5L. Senior facilities	DK/NA			a	
	Extremely important				
	Very important	D			
5M. Senior programs	Somewhat important				A
	Not at all important				
	DK/NA			a	
5N. Youth facilities	Extremely important			a	
	Very important				
	Somewhat important		D		
	Not at all important				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
5O. Youth programs	Extremely important				
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
5A. Police services	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Extremely important	233	44	38	17	23	65	46
	Very important	52.3%	58.0%	56.2%	52.6%	58.3%	46.6%	50.9%
	Somewhat important	162	24	18	12	13	61	34
	Not at all important	36.3%	31.4%	26.2%	39.0%	32.0%	43.4%	37.9%
	DK/NA	43	6	12	3	3	10	9
		9.6%	7.9%	17.6%	8.4%	7.8%	7.2%	10.2%
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Extremely important	186	29	30	13	17	65	32
	Very important	41.8%	38.7%	43.6%	42.2%	43.3%	46.0%	35.5%
	Somewhat important	203	38	31	14	22	56	42
	Not at all important	45.5%	51.0%	45.2%	43.8%	54.7%	39.9%	46.7%
	DK/NA	54	7	8	4	1	18	16
		12.2%	9.7%	11.2%	14.0%	2.0%	12.9%	17.9%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Extremely important	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Very important	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
	Somewhat important	160	24	28	12	8	53	35
	Not at all important	35.8%	32.2%	40.8%	37.6%	19.2%	37.5%	39.1%
	DK/NA	8	2	2	0	2	2	1
		1.9%	2.3%	2.3%	0.0%	5.0%	1.2%	1.4%
	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	
	0.4%	0.6%	1.2%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Extremely important	116	18	18	9	13	39	19
	Very important	203	34	27	12	18	63	48
	Somewhat important	124	24	23	10	9	36	23
	Not at all important	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
5E. Street sweeping services	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Extremely important	54	8	7	1	5	16	16
	Very important	159	27	23	16	13	51	29
	Somewhat important	210	37	34	12	19	65	43
	Not at all important	23	3	4	3	4	8	2
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5F. Traffic safety	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Extremely important	218	39	31	13	20	70	44
	Very important	164	26	23	16	17	47	36
	Somewhat important	56	10	12	3	3	17	10
	Not at all important	8	0	2	0	0	6	0
	DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
		0.2%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5G. Economic development efforts	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Extremely important	81	10	8	8	8	33	14
	Very important	158	34	27	10	11	43	32
	Somewhat important	143	20	26	8	13	41	35
	Not at all important	45	8	3	3	6	18	7
	DK/NA	18	4	4	3	2	5	1
		4.1%	5.0%	5.4%	8.9%	4.6%	3.6%	1.5%
5H. Sports fields	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Extremely important	64	10	10	2	6	21	15
	Very important	161	34	22	11	17	54	24
	Somewhat important	157	17	28	13	12	49	37
	Not at all important	57	11	7	6	4	16	14
	DK/NA							

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Not at all important	Total	12.7%	14.8%	9.7%	18.1%	9.4%	11.2%	15.0%
	DK/NA	7	3	1	1	1	1	1
		1.6%	4.0%	1.7%	2.6%	2.0%	0.6%	0.6%
5I. Managing land use	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Extremely important	157	35	16	9	18	49	29
	Very important	192	26	35	13	18	59	40
	Somewhat important	68	8	14	7	2	23	14
	Not at all important	17	3	2	1	1	6	3
	DK/NA	12	3	1	2	0	3	3
		2.7%	3.3%	1.6%	7.6%	0.0%	2.1%	3.2%
5J. Fire protection services	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Extremely important	237	42	34	17	24	73	46
	Very important	171	29	24	14	12	53	38
	Somewhat important	35	4	10	1	2	14	5
	Not at all important	8.0%	4.7%	14.5%	2.2%	5.3%	9.8%	6.1%
	DK/NA	2	0	1	0	1	0	0
		0.4%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Extremely important	128	33	17	4	6	46	21
	Very important	152	24	28	10	13	43	34
	Somewhat important	123	15	20	7	14	36	32
	Not at all important	32	0	1	9	6	13	4
	DK/NA	10	3	2	2	1	2	0
		2.3%	3.4%	3.5%	6.7%	2.0%	1.7%	0.0%
5L. Senior facilities	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Extremely important	66	14	9	4	9	18	12
	Very important	147	29	27	9	12	49	20
	Somewhat important	154	21	22	10	13	51	37
	Not at all important	66	11	8	9	5	20	13
	DK/NA	13	0	3	0	1	2	8
		2.9%	0.6%	3.8%	0.0%	1.9%	1.1%	8.4%
5M. Senior programs	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Extremely important	63	14	11	4	7	18	8
	Very important	149	25	23	12	13	50	27
	Somewhat important	149	25	25	4	15	49	32
	Not at all important	33.4%	32.7%	36.6%	13.1%	36.5%	34.8%	35.4%
	DK/NA							

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Not at all important	71 15.9%	11 14.8%	5 7.5%	11 35.5%	5 11.8%	23 16.2%	16 17.7%
DK/NA	13 3.0%	0 0.6%	4 5.7%	0 0.0%	1 1.9%	2 1.1%	7 7.5%
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Extremely important	68 15.2%	14 18.9%	12 18.1%	3 9.5%	6 14.7%	15 10.7%	17 18.9%
Very important	174 39.1%	34 44.6%	29 43.0%	11 34.5%	14 34.0%	58 41.1%	29 32.1%
Somewhat important	152 34.0%	18 23.5%	21 30.4%	9 29.2%	14 35.1%	55 39.0%	35 38.9%
Not at all important	41 9.3%	9 11.4%	4 5.2%	8 24.3%	5 11.8%	11 8.1%	5 6.0%
DK/NA	11 2.5%	1 1.6%	2 3.2%	1 2.6%	2 4.4%	1 1.0%	4 4.1%
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Extremely important	77 17.3%	17 22.7%	15 22.1%	2 4.9%	6 15.9%	20 14.3%	17 18.5%
Very important	187 41.9%	31 41.6%	32 47.1%	13 41.7%	14 34.3%	62 44.1%	34 38.2%
Somewhat important	131 29.4%	19 24.8%	18 26.6%	13 40.6%	12 29.8%	42 29.8%	27 30.5%
Not at all important	42 9.3%	6 8.1%	1 1.8%	3 10.1%	6 16.0%	14 9.8%	11 12.2%
DK/NA	10 2.1%	2 2.8%	2 2.5%	1 2.6%	2 4.0%	3 2.0%	1 0.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5A. Police services	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
5C. Street tree maintenance	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	C D					
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important			A B F	A B		a
	DK/NA						
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important			a			
	DK/NA						
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important			B			
	DK/NA			a			
5N. Youth facilities	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important						
	DK/NA						
5O. Youth programs	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
5A. Police services	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Extremely important	233	61	25	28	23
	Very important	162	49	20	22	21
	Somewhat important	36.3%	38.5%	39.2%	36.3%	42.2%
	Not at all important	43	14	6	9	5
	DK/NA	9.6%	11.1%	10.9%	13.8%	9.8%
	DK/NA	6	2	1	3	0
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Extremely important	186	55	22	23	17
	Very important	41.8%	43.8%	42.5%	36.7%	32.8%
	Somewhat important	203	59	21	25	25
	Not at all important	45.5%	47.1%	41.1%	40.3%	48.9%
	DK/NA	54	12	8	14	8
	DK/NA	12.2%	9.2%	16.4%	23.0%	16.8%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Extremely important	82	22	8	10	11
	Very important	18.4%	17.3%	15.8%	16.8%	21.3%
	Somewhat important	194	53	23	21	26
	Not at all important	43.5%	42.3%	45.8%	33.9%	51.3%
	DK/NA	160	47	20	29	14
	DK/NA	35.8%	36.9%	38.4%	47.2%	27.4%
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Extremely important	116	28	9	19	7
	Very important	25.9%	22.1%	17.3%	30.1%	13.5%
	Somewhat important	203	56	33	25	24
	Not at all important	45.5%	44.5%	64.0%	40.4%	47.8%
	DK/NA	124	40	10	18	19
	DK/NA	27.9%	32.0%	18.7%	29.5%	38.7%
5E. Street lighting	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Extremely important	1	0	0	0	0
	Very important	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Somewhat important	2	2	0	0	0
	Not at all important	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
5A. Police services	Total	36	36	46	38
	Extremely important	22	20	28	28
	Very important	60.3%	54.6%	60.2%	73.0%
	Somewhat important	14	13	13	9
	Not at all important	39.7%	37.0%	28.2%	22.9%
	DK/NA	0	3	5	2
	DK/NA	0.0%	8.4%	11.6%	4.0%
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	36	36	46	38
	Extremely important	16	12	22	20
	Very important	44.7%	33.2%	47.7%	52.4%
	Somewhat important	17	20	21	15
	Not at all important	46.5%	56.0%	45.5%	39.8%
	DK/NA	2	4	3	3
	DK/NA	5.0%	10.8%	6.9%	7.8%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	36	36	46	38
	Extremely important	10	4	9	8
	Very important	26.4%	12.4%	19.1%	21.8%
	Somewhat important	15	17	20	19
	Not at all important	41.0%	46.8%	42.6%	49.9%
	DK/NA	10	15	17	9
	DK/NA	26.3%	40.8%	36.8%	24.5%
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	36	36	46	38
	Extremely important	15	8	16	14
	Very important	41.0%	23.5%	35.5%	35.9%
	Somewhat important	12	14	21	18
	Not at all important	34.4%	38.2%	45.5%	46.5%
	DK/NA	9	14	8	6
	DK/NA	24.6%	38.3%	17.6%	15.7%
Total	36	36	46	38	

		Total	Registration Date			
			2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	54	18	3	6	4
	Very important	12.0%	14.2%	5.9%	8.9%	8.3%
	Somewhat important	159	43	22	16	21
	Not at all important	35.7%	33.9%	42.6%	25.9%	42.0%
	DK/NA	210	62	23	36	23
	DK/NA	47.0%	48.8%	44.2%	58.9%	45.3%
	DK/NA	23	3	4	4	2
5F. Traffic safety	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Extremely important	218	61	24	26	28
	Very important	48.8%	47.9%	47.6%	41.3%	56.3%
	Somewhat important	164	46	23	18	19
	Not at all important	36.7%	36.6%	45.6%	29.7%	37.1%
	DK/NA	56	17	4	15	3
	DK/NA	12.5%	13.3%	6.9%	23.8%	5.2%
5G. Economic development efforts	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Extremely important	81	26	12	9	6
	Very important	18.1%	20.2%	22.7%	14.9%	12.7%
	Somewhat important	158	43	13	25	22
	Not at all important	35.5%	33.7%	25.3%	39.7%	43.9%
	DK/NA	143	44	20	18	14
	DK/NA	32.1%	35.1%	38.4%	29.5%	27.2%
5H. Sports fields	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Extremely important	64	18	4	16	9
	Very important	14.3%	14.5%	8.3%	25.6%	17.0%
	Somewhat important	161	32	25	18	23
	Not at all important	36.2%	25.3%	49.0%	29.1%	46.5%
	DK/NA	157	60	15	18	13
	DK/NA	35.2%	47.2%	29.7%	29.1%	25.9%
Total	446	126	51	62	50	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	7 20.6%	3 9.1%	7 15.2%	5 13.8%
	Very important	13 36.1%	8 23.3%	18 38.1%	18 47.8%
	Somewhat important	15 40.6%	21 58.6%	17 37.8%	13 34.4%
	Not at all important	1 2.7%	3 9.1%	4 8.9%	2 3.9%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	36	36	46	38
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important	18 50.0%	21 58.4%	21 44.5%	19 50.9%
	Very important	14 38.9%	11 30.5%	18 38.3%	15 38.1%
	Somewhat important	4 11.1%	3 8.9%	7 15.8%	3 8.9%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	1 2.2%	1 1.4%	1 2.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	36	36	46	38
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important	11 30.3%	3 8.5%	8 18.1%	6 15.3%
	Very important	9 24.6%	15 42.8%	17 37.0%	15 38.3%
	Somewhat important	9 25.9%	14 38.4%	13 28.0%	11 29.6%
	Not at all important	5 13.1%	4 10.3%	7 15.3%	3 7.3%
	DK/NA	2 6.1%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	4 9.4%
	Total	36	36	46	38
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important	5 12.6%	3 9.3%	5 10.0%	4 11.2%
	Very important	12 33.1%	15 42.5%	20 42.3%	16 42.3%
	Somewhat important	14 39.5%	11 30.7%	16 35.0%	10 25.7%
	Not at all important	5 13.4%	4 10.3%	6 12.7%	6 15.5%
	DK/NA	1 1.4%	3 7.2%	0 0.0%	2 5.3%
	Total	36	36	46	38

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	157 35.2%	40 31.4%	22 42.3%	22 36.2%	14 28.6%
	Very important	192 43.1%	54 42.9%	21 41.0%	24 39.1%	26 51.6%
	Somewhat important	68 15.3%	25 20.0%	8 15.5%	12 18.8%	5 9.2%
	Not at all important	17 3.7%	3 2.7%	0 0.0%	4 5.9%	3 5.4%
	DK/NA	12 2.7%	4 2.9%	1 1.1%	0 0.0%	3 5.2%
	Total	446	126	51	62	50
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	237 53.2%	67 53.3%	24 46.9%	27 43.9%	17 33.5%
	Very important	171 38.4%	49 39.1%	22 43.5%	25 40.4%	29 57.1%
	Somewhat important	35 8.0%	10 7.5%	4 7.6%	10 15.7%	5 9.4%
	Not at all important	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	1 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	1 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	126	51	62	50
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	128 28.6%	35 28.0%	14 27.9%	20 32.5%	17 34.3%
	Very important	152 34.1%	49 39.1%	21 41.6%	21 34.4%	12 23.6%
	Somewhat important	123 27.7%	33 25.9%	13 24.9%	17 28.3%	14 28.4%
	Not at all important	32 7.2%	4 3.2%	3 5.5%	3 4.8%	5 10.6%
	DK/NA	10 2.3%	5 3.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 3.2%
	Total	446	126	51	62	50
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	66 14.8%	18 14.3%	5 9.3%	9 15.2%	9 18.1%
	Very important	147 33.0%	51 40.8%	9 17.0%	15 24.0%	18 35.7%
	Somewhat important	154 34.4%	40 31.8%	26 50.4%	19 30.5%	17 33.8%
	Not at all important	66 14.8%	13 10.0%	11 21.2%	15 24.8%	5 10.5%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	4 3.1%	1 2.1%	3 5.5%	1 1.9%
	Total	446	126	51	62	50
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	63 14.2%	20 16.2%	3 6.0%	9 15.3%	7 13.6%
	Very important	149 33.5%	44 35.1%	16 31.2%	20 31.8%	16 31.6%
	Somewhat important	149 33.4%	41 32.2%	21 41.6%	16 25.2%	18 36.7%
	Not at all important	71 15.9%	13 10.0%	9 18.1%	16 25.5%	9 18.1%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	8 6.5%	2 3.1%	1 2.1%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	126	51	62	50

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	12 32.1%	10 28.1%	21 45.8%	16 41.5%
	Very important	19 52.9%	19 53.3%	17 36.0%	12 31.7%
	Somewhat important	2 4.5%	3 8.9%	7 14.6%	7 19.0%
	Not at all important	3 8.0%	3 7.5%	1 1.1%	1 2.0%
	DK/NA	1 2.4%	1 2.3%	1 2.5%	2 5.8%
	Total	36	36	46	38
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	24 66.3%	18 50.0%	33 71.2%	27 71.1%
	Very important	12 33.7%	14 38.4%	11 24.8%	9 22.3%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	4 11.6%	2 4.0%	2 4.1%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.5%
	Total	36	36	46	38
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	10 28.7%	7 20.6%	10 22.3%	13 33.3%
	Very important	9 25.2%	14 39.4%	16 34.0%	9 24.5%
	Somewhat important	12 34.0%	11 31.7%	13 27.2%	10 26.2%
	Not at all important	4 10.6%	3 8.3%	6 14.0%	4 10.0%
	DK/NA	1 1.4%	0 0.0%	1 2.5%	2 6.0%
	Total	36	36	46	38
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	2 4.7%	6 15.4%	9 18.6%	9 23.3%
	Very important	14 39.2%	12 32.4%	19 40.1%	10 26.0%
	Somewhat important	11 31.0%	14 40.0%	14 31.3%	12 30.9%
	Not at all important	7 20.0%	3 7.5%	5 10.0%	8 19.8%
	DK/NA	2 5.0%	2 4.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	36	36	46	38
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	3 9.0%	5 13.7%	9 19.2%	6 16.5%
	Very important	11 31.6%	13 35.5%	18 38.2%	12 30.2%
	Somewhat important	13 37.0%	15 42.2%	14 30.8%	10 27.1%
	Not at all important	6 16.0%	3 8.5%	5 11.8%	10 26.2%
	DK/NA	2 6.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	36	36	46	38

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
5N. Youth facilities	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Extremely important	68 15.2%	21 16.5%	6 10.8%	12 18.8%	8 16.7%
	Very important	174 39.1%	51 40.6%	23 45.9%	18 29.1%	18 36.1%
	Somewhat important	152 34.0%	43 34.4%	17 34.2%	20 32.7%	21 42.3%
	Not at all important	41 9.3%	10 7.6%	3 5.9%	9 14.8%	2 3.3%
	DK/NA	11 2.5%	1 0.9%	2 3.1%	3 4.6%	1 1.6%
5O. Youth programs	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Extremely important	77 17.3%	31 24.4%	6 10.9%	11 17.5%	7 14.5%
	Very important	187 41.9%	56 44.5%	26 51.3%	22 35.1%	18 36.0%
	Somewhat important	131 29.4%	30 23.6%	13 26.0%	17 27.7%	21 42.6%
	Not at all important	42 9.3%	7 5.3%	6 10.8%	11 18.4%	3 5.3%
	DK/NA	10 2.1%	3 2.3%	1 1.1%	1 1.2%	1 1.6%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
5N. Youth facilities	Total	36	36	46	38
	Extremely important	6 16.8%	6 17.5%	4 7.9%	5 13.6%
	Very important	14 37.9%	13 36.3%	23 50.0%	13 35.0%
	Somewhat important	9 24.7%	15 42.5%	15 32.8%	10 25.8%
	Not at all important	7 18.0%	0 0.0%	4 9.3%	7 18.9%
	DK/NA	1 2.7%	1 3.6%	0 0.0%	3 6.6%
5O. Youth programs	Total	36	36	46	38
	Extremely important	5 13.7%	5 14.1%	4 9.0%	8 22.0%
	Very important	16 43.6%	13 37.7%	19 41.2%	16 42.6%
	Somewhat important	10 28.3%	14 40.3%	18 39.4%	6 16.9%
	Not at all important	5 14.4%	2 4.5%	4 8.7%	5 11.9%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	1 3.5%	1 1.7%	3 6.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5A. Police services	Extremely important					
	Very important					a
	Somewhat important				a	a
	Not at all important					a
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	DK/NA		a	a		a
	Extremely important					
	Very important					
	Somewhat important	a	a	a		
5C. Street tree maintenance	Not at all important					
	Extremely important					
	Very important					
	Somewhat important		a		a	
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Not at all important		a	a	a	
	DK/NA		a	a	a	
	Extremely important					
	Very important					
5E. Street sweeping services	Somewhat important					
	Not at all important					
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a
	Extremely important					
5F. Traffic safety	Very important					
	Somewhat important		a		a	a
	Not at all important					
	DK/NA	a	a	a		a
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important					
	Very important					
	Somewhat important					
	Not at all important					
5H. Sports fields	DK/NA					
	Extremely important					
	Very important					
	Somewhat important		a		a	
5I. Managing land use	Not at all important					
	DK/NA					
	Extremely important					
	Very important		a			
5J. Fire protection services	Somewhat important					
	Not at all important					
	DK/NA					
	Extremely important					
5J. Fire protection services	Very important				G H	
	Somewhat important					
	DK/NA	a				a
	Extremely important					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
5A. Police services	Extremely important			
	Very important			
	Somewhat important			
	Not at all important	a	a	a
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	DK/NA	a	a	a
	Extremely important			
	Very important			
	Somewhat important			
5C. Street tree maintenance	Not at all important	a	a	a
	Extremely important			
	Very important			
	Somewhat important			
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Not at all important	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a		a
	Extremely important			
	Very important			
5E. Street sweeping services	Somewhat important			
	Not at all important			
	DK/NA	a	a	a
	Extremely important			
5F. Traffic safety	Very important			
	Somewhat important			
	Not at all important			
	DK/NA	a	a	a
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important			
	Very important			
	Somewhat important			
	Not at all important			
5H. Sports fields	DK/NA			
	Extremely important			
	Very important			
	Somewhat important		a	
5I. Managing land use	Not at all important			
	DK/NA			
	Extremely important			
	Very important			
5J. Fire protection services	Somewhat important			
	Not at all important			
	DK/NA			
	Extremely important		D	D
5J. Fire protection services	Very important			
	Somewhat important			
	DK/NA	a	a	
	Extremely important			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important					
	Very important					
	Somewhat important					
	Not at all important					
5L. Senior facilities	DK/NA		a	a		
	Extremely important					
	Very important					
	Somewhat important					
5M. Senior programs	Not at all important					
	DK/NA					
	Extremely important					
	Very important					
5N. Youth facilities	Somewhat important					
	Not at all important					
	DK/NA					
	Extremely important					
5O. Youth programs	Very important					
	Somewhat important					
	Not at all important					
	DK/NA					a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996 (F)	1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important			
	Very important			
	Somewhat important			
	Not at all important			
5L. Senior facilities	DK/NA	a		
	Extremely important			
	Very important			
	Somewhat important			
5M. Senior programs	Not at all important			
	DK/NA		a	a
	Extremely important			
	Very important			
5N. Youth facilities	Somewhat important			
	Not at all important			
	DK/NA			
	Extremely important			
5O. Youth programs	Very important			
	Somewhat important			
	Not at all important			
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
5A. Police services	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Extremely important	233	48	9	114	18	11	9	15	10
		52.3%	60.1%	39.0%	60.0%	50.0%	85.8%	62.3%	22.2%	40.6%
	Very important	162	22	11	53	15	2	5	42	12
		36.3%	27.6%	49.5%	27.8%	39.8%	14.2%	30.8%	64.2%	52.5%
	Somewhat important	43	8	3	17	4	0	1	9	2
		9.6%	10.3%	11.5%	8.9%	10.2%	0.0%	6.9%	13.6%	6.9%
Not at all important	6	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	
	1.3%	2.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Extremely important	186	35	4	82	17	4	8	28	9
		41.8%	43.2%	17.0%	43.1%	46.6%	29.7%	53.0%	42.7%	38.8%
	Very important	203	37	15	80	15	7	7	32	10
		45.5%	46.3%	66.8%	42.3%	42.1%	53.3%	47.0%	48.3%	41.9%
	Somewhat important	54	8	4	26	4	2	0	6	5
		12.2%	10.5%	16.2%	13.5%	11.2%	17.0%	0.0%	9.0%	19.3%
Not at all important	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Extremely important	82	12	3	42	6	2	3	10	4
		18.4%	15.0%	13.4%	22.3%	16.4%	17.6%	17.5%	14.5%	18.1%
	Very important	194	35	7	84	14	8	7	29	10
		43.5%	44.2%	29.1%	44.5%	37.9%	64.8%	43.8%	43.4%	44.0%
	Somewhat important	160	31	12	61	16	1	5	25	8
		35.8%	38.3%	55.5%	32.2%	43.8%	11.1%	31.8%	37.5%	35.7%
Not at all important	8	2	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	
	1.9%	2.5%	2.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	4.5%	2.2%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	1.8%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Extremely important	116	28	2	53	8	5	5	10	5
		25.9%	35.5%	9.9%	27.8%	21.5%	37.2%	31.3%	14.7%	22.4%
	Very important	203	33	12	88	20	3	6	30	9
		45.5%	41.7%	55.3%	46.4%	55.8%	23.7%	38.6%	46.0%	39.7%
	Somewhat important	124	18	8	49	8	5	5	23	9
		27.9%	22.0%	34.8%	25.8%	22.7%	39.2%	30.1%	35.7%	37.9%
Not at all important	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	
Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24	

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	54	6	0	30	5	1	2	6	4
		12.0%	7.2%	0.0%	15.9%	14.1%	5.9%	15.6%	8.9%	14.9%
	Very important	159	26	7	70	15	8	5	22	6
		35.7%	32.7%	31.5%	36.9%	39.7%	62.4%	32.5%	33.9%	26.8%
	Somewhat important	210	42	14	80	16	3	8	35	12
		47.0%	51.9%	62.7%	42.0%	43.2%	24.7%	52.0%	53.5%	52.2%
	Not at all important	23	7	1	9	1	1	0	2	1
	5.2%	8.2%	5.8%	4.9%	3.0%	6.9%	0.0%	3.7%	6.2%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
5F. Traffic safety	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Extremely important	218	39	9	110	20	5	9	17	7
		48.8%	49.3%	40.7%	58.0%	55.6%	41.0%	61.9%	25.4%	31.5%
	Very important	164	27	9	56	13	6	3	33	16
		36.7%	33.8%	39.8%	29.7%	35.0%	52.3%	21.2%	50.3%	66.6%
	Somewhat important	56	11	4	19	3	1	3	14	0
		12.5%	14.1%	19.5%	10.2%	7.3%	6.6%	16.9%	21.4%	1.9%
Not at all important	8	2	0	4	1	0	0	2	0	
	1.8%	1.9%	0.0%	2.1%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
5G. Economic development efforts	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Extremely important	81	11	3	37	7	2	3	15	3
		18.1%	14.0%	13.0%	19.3%	19.8%	12.3%	22.4%	22.9%	12.1%
	Very important	158	27	5	67	12	4	6	28	9
		35.5%	34.1%	23.4%	35.0%	33.5%	30.1%	40.0%	42.9%	37.3%
	Somewhat important	143	28	9	59	12	4	5	18	8
		32.1%	34.9%	40.1%	30.9%	33.5%	36.5%	32.2%	28.0%	31.7%
Not at all important	45	11	5	19	2	2	1	4	2	
	10.1%	13.2%	21.5%	10.0%	6.6%	14.5%	5.4%	6.2%	7.4%	
DK/NA	18	3	0	9	2	1	0	0	3	
	4.1%	3.8%	2.0%	4.8%	6.6%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	11.6%	
5H. Sports fields	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Extremely important	64	8	2	35	5	1	4	4	4
		14.3%	9.9%	8.9%	18.6%	14.5%	7.8%	25.1%	6.2%	18.2%
	Very important	161	30	7	68	12	7	8	23	7
		36.2%	36.9%	30.5%	35.8%	32.1%	54.0%	53.9%	35.7%	29.2%
	Somewhat important	157	26	9	60	16	5	2	32	8
		35.2%	32.3%	39.2%	31.8%	42.9%	38.2%	11.5%	48.1%	35.2%
Not at all important	57	13	5	24	4	0	1	6	4	
	12.7%	16.4%	21.4%	12.6%	10.5%	0.0%	9.5%	8.8%	15.2%	
DK/NA	7	4	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	
	1.6%	4.6%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	2.2%	
Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24	

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	157 35.2%	36 44.3%	5 21.3%	78 40.8%	14 38.7%	4 34.9%	9 59.1%	7 10.0%	5 20.9%
	Very important	192 43.1%	27 34.1%	13 59.2%	86 45.4%	14 38.9%	6 49.0%	5 31.3%	32 48.3%	9 36.7%
	Somewhat important	68 15.3%	13 16.5%	3 14.5%	20 10.3%	8 20.6%	1 11.9%	1 4.2%	19 28.9%	4 15.5%
	Not at all important	17 3.7%	2 2.2%	1 3.0%	4 2.3%	0 0.0%	1 4.2%	0 0.0%	6 8.6%	4 15.4%
	DK/NA	12 2.7%	2 2.9%	0 2.0%	2 1.1%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	1 5.4%	3 4.3%	3 11.6%
	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	237 53.2%	47 58.8%	8 36.9%	110 57.8%	18 48.4%	10 79.6%	7 44.5%	30 46.2%	7 31.5%
	Very important	171 38.4%	28 35.1%	12 51.5%	65 34.2%	17 46.7%	3 20.4%	7 48.6%	29 43.8%	11 45.9%
	Somewhat important	35 8.0%	4 5.5%	2 9.7%	15 8.0%	2 4.9%	0 0.0%	1 6.9%	6 8.4%	5 22.6%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	1 0.6%	0 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	0 0.0%
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Extremely important	128 28.6%	23 28.2%	2 10.0%	58 30.5%	8 21.1%	3 26.6%	7 48.0%	20 29.7%	7 30.5%
	Very important	152 34.1%	24 30.4%	11 49.3%	59 31.0%	13 36.0%	4 35.9%	5 29.8%	28 43.1%	7 31.1%
	Somewhat important	123 27.7%	25 30.8%	8 34.8%	53 28.1%	14 38.9%	3 25.6%	3 16.8%	12 18.9%	5 22.4%
	Not at all important	32 7.2%	8 9.6%	1 3.7%	18 9.6%	1 2.2%	1 11.8%	1 5.4%	1 1.8%	1 5.8%
	DK/NA	10 2.3%	1 1.0%	0 2.2%	2 0.9%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 6.6%	2 10.3%
5L. Senior facilities	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Extremely important	66 14.8%	13 16.7%	3 13.2%	30 16.0%	3 9.3%	2 18.9%	4 24.4%	6 9.1%	4 16.6%
	Very important	147 33.0%	25 31.0%	9 38.5%	53 28.1%	13 36.7%	2 19.8%	7 46.6%	30 45.2%	8 32.8%
	Somewhat important	154 34.4%	30 37.4%	6 28.6%	73 38.2%	13 35.5%	6 46.8%	2 14.0%	16 24.1%	8 33.7%
	Not at all important	66 14.8%	11 14.1%	4 16.6%	27 14.3%	7 18.4%	1 6.6%	2 15.1%	10 15.4%	4 16.9%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	1 0.8%	1 3.1%	6 3.4%	0 0.0%	1 7.9%	0 0.0%	4 6.2%	0 0.0%
5M. Senior programs	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Extremely important	63 14.2%	11 14.1%	3 11.8%	24 12.5%	4 11.0%	2 18.9%	4 24.4%	8 11.7%	8 32.3%
	Very important	149 33.5%	26 32.7%	10 46.6%	60 31.6%	11 31.0%	3 26.0%	7 48.4%	26 39.7%	5 19.7%
	Somewhat important	149 33.4%	30 37.0%	5 22.9%	67 35.2%	14 38.7%	5 40.2%	2 12.2%	20 30.2%	7 27.7%
	Not at all important	71 15.9%	11 13.5%	4 18.6%	32 17.1%	7 19.3%	2 14.9%	2 15.1%	8 12.4%	4 18.1%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	2 2.8%	0 0.0%	7 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 5.9%	1 2.2%

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
5N. Youth facilities	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Extremely important	68 15.2%	15 18.5%	3 12.6%	25 13.4%	4 12.0%	2 13.8%	3 22.4%	12 18.7%	3 11.8%
	Very important	174 39.1%	27 34.2%	4 18.9%	84 44.1%	12 31.4%	5 39.4%	5 30.9%	29 43.5%	9 38.3%
	Somewhat important	152 34.0%	24 30.5%	10 45.4%	65 34.3%	16 43.1%	6 46.9%	6 42.5%	19 29.1%	5 19.9%
	Not at all important	41 9.3%	7 9.3%	4 19.3%	15 8.0%	5 13.5%	0 0.0%	1 4.2%	2 2.6%	7 30.0%
	DK/NA	11 2.5%	6 7.4%	1 3.7%	0 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 6.0%	0 0.0%
5O. Youth programs	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Extremely important	77 17.3%	15 19.1%	2 9.0%	29 15.1%	5 13.3%	2 13.8%	4 26.0%	15 22.6%	6 23.5%
	Very important	187 41.9%	30 37.1%	7 31.9%	81 42.9%	14 36.8%	3 28.3%	5 32.5%	38 57.3%	9 37.7%
	Somewhat important	131 29.4%	23 28.9%	9 39.6%	60 31.5%	12 33.7%	6 51.3%	4 26.6%	12 17.8%	5 20.5%
	Not at all important	42 9.3%	7 8.4%	3 13.7%	19 10.0%	6 16.2%	1 6.6%	2 14.8%	1 1.2%	3 12.8%
	DK/NA	10 2.1%	5 6.6%	1 5.8%	1 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.2%	1 5.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2 (A)	Dec. 3 (B)	Dec. 4 (C)	Dec. 5 (D)	Dec. 6 (E)	Dec. 7 (F)	Dec. 8 (G)	Dec. 9 (H)
5A. Police services	Extremely important	G		G		G			
	Very important							A C E	
	Somewhat important		a		a	a	a	a	a
	Not at all important		a		a	a	a	a	a
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Extremely important								
	Very important						a		
	Somewhat important	a	a		a	a	a	a	a
	Not at all important								
5C. Street tree maintenance	Extremely important								
	Very important								
	Somewhat important				a	a	a	a	a
	Not at all important	a	a			C	a	a	a
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Extremely important								
	Very important								
	Somewhat important		a	a	a	a	a		a
	Not at all important	a	a	a	a	a	a		a
DK/NA	Extremely important								
	Very important								

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
5E. Street sweeping services	Somewhat important								
	Not at all important								
	DK/NA	a	a		a	a	a	a	a
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important			G					
	Very important								C
	Somewhat important								
5G. Economic development efforts	Not at all important		a			a	a	a	a
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Extremely important								
5H. Sports fields	Very important								
	Somewhat important								
	Not at all important						a	a	
5I. Managing land use	DK/NA		a						
	Extremely important	G		G	G				G
	Very important								
5J. Fire protection services	Somewhat important								C
	Not at all important				a	a	a		C
	DK/NA					a			C
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important								
	Very important								
	Somewhat important								
5L. Senior facilities	Not at all important								
	DK/NA				a	a			a
	Extremely important								
5M. Senior programs	Very important								
	Somewhat important								
	Not at all important								
5N. Youth facilities	DK/NA		a		a	a	a		
	Extremely important								
	Very important								
5O. Youth programs	Somewhat important								
	Not at all important								
	DK/NA	C						a	a
5P. Youth programs	Extremely important								
	Very important								
	Somewhat important								
5Q. Youth programs	Not at all important								
	DK/NA								
	Extremely important								

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
5O. Youth programs	Extremely important								
	Very important								
	Somewhat important								
5P. Youth programs	Not at all important								
	DK/NA	C						a	a
	Extremely important								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5A. Police services	Total	446	349	97
	Extremely important	233	180	53
		52.3%	51.7%	54.6%
	Very important	162	127	34
		36.3%	36.5%	35.3%
	Somewhat important	43	33	10
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Not at all important	6	6	0
		1.3%	1.6%	0.0%
	DK/NA	2	2	0
		0.5%	0.6%	0.0%
	Total	446	349	97
	Extremely important	186	135	52
	41.8%	38.6%	53.2%	
Very important	203	164	39	
	45.5%	47.2%	39.7%	
Somewhat important	54	47	7	
	12.2%	13.6%	7.1%	
Not at all important	2	2	0	
	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	349	97
	Extremely important	82	61	21
		18.4%	17.5%	21.7%
	Very important	194	148	46
		43.5%	42.5%	47.0%
	Somewhat important	160	132	27
	35.8%	38.0%	28.1%	
Not at all important	8	6	2	
	1.9%	1.7%	2.4%	
DK/NA	2	1	1	
	0.4%	0.3%	0.8%	
Total	446	349	97	

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Extremely important	116 25.9%	86 24.7%	30 30.5%
	Very important	203 45.5%	165 47.4%	37 38.4%
	Somewhat important	124 27.9%	94 27.0%	30 31.1%
	Not at all important	1 0.3%	1 0.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	2 0.5%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	349	97
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	54 12.0%	44 12.6%	10 9.9%
	Very important	159 35.7%	125 35.8%	34 35.3%
	Somewhat important	210 47.0%	159 45.7%	51 51.9%
	Not at all important	23 5.2%	20 5.8%	3 2.9%
	DK/NA	0 0.1%	0 0.1%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	349	97
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important	218 48.8%	165 47.2%	53 54.5%
	Very important	164 36.7%	130 37.3%	34 34.7%
	Somewhat important	56 12.5%	45 12.9%	11 10.8%
	Not at all important	8 1.8%	8 2.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.2%	1 0.2%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	349	97
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important	81 18.1%	57 16.4%	24 24.4%
	Very important	158 35.5%	123 35.2%	36 36.5%
	Somewhat important	143 32.1%	121 34.7%	22 23.0%
	Not at all important	45 10.1%	35 10.1%	10 10.3%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	13 3.7%	6 5.9%
	Total	446	349	97

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important	64 14.3%	48 13.9%	15 15.8%
	Very important	161 36.2%	126 36.0%	36 36.7%
	Somewhat important	157 35.2%	122 35.1%	35 35.6%
	Not at all important	57 12.7%	46 13.1%	11 11.1%
	DK/NA	7 1.6%	6 1.9%	1 0.8%
	Total	446	349	97
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	157 35.2%	124 35.5%	33 34.0%
	Very important	192 43.1%	152 43.7%	40 40.9%
	Somewhat important	68 15.3%	51 14.7%	17 17.4%
	Not at all important	17 3.7%	12 3.5%	5 4.7%
	DK/NA	12 2.7%	9 2.6%	3 2.9%
	Total	446	349	97
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	237 53.2%	184 52.8%	53 54.6%
	Very important	171 38.4%	135 38.6%	37 37.6%
	Somewhat important	35 8.0%	28 8.0%	8 7.7%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	2 0.6%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	349	97
	5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	128 28.6%	94 27.0%
Very important		152 34.1%	123 35.4%	29 29.5%
Somewhat important		123 27.7%	100 28.7%	23 24.0%
Not at all important		32 7.2%	23 6.7%	9 9.4%
DK/NA		10 2.3%	8 2.3%	2 2.5%
Total		446	349	97
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	66 14.8%	49 14.1%	17 17.3%
	Very important	147 33.0%	112 32.0%	36 36.6%
	Somewhat important	154 34.4%	127 36.4%	27 27.5%
	Not at all important	66 14.8%	50 14.3%	16 16.6%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	11 3.1%	2 2.0%
	Total	446	349	97

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5M. Senior programs	Total	446	349	97
	Extremely important	63	43	20
	Very important	149	121	28
	Somewhat important	149	119	30
	Not at all important	71	53	18
	DK/NA	13	12	1
		3.0%	3.6%	1.0%
5N. Youth facilities	Total	446	349	97
	Extremely important	68	43	25
	Very important	174	136	38
	Somewhat important	152	123	28
	Not at all important	41	37	5
	DK/NA	11	10	1
		2.5%	3.0%	0.8%
5O. Youth programs	Total	446	349	97
	Extremely important	77	48	29
	Very important	187	150	36
	Somewhat important	131	105	26
	Not at all important	42	36	6
	DK/NA	10	9	1
		2.1%	2.5%	0.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
5A. Police services	Extremely important		
	Very important		
	Somewhat important		a
	Not at all important		a
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Extremely important		A
	Very important		
	Somewhat important		
	Not at all important		a
5C. Street tree maintenance	Extremely important		
	Very important		
	Somewhat important		
	Not at all important		
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Extremely important		
	Very important		
	Somewhat important		
	Not at all important		a
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important		
	Very important		
	Somewhat important		
	Not at all important		
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important		
	Very important		
	Somewhat important		
	Not at all important		a
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important		
	Very important		
	Somewhat important	B	
	Not at all important		
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important		
	Very important		
	Somewhat important		
	Not at all important		
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important		
	Very important		
	Somewhat important		
	Not at all important		
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important		
	Very important		
	Somewhat important		
	DK/NA		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important		
	Very important		
	Somewhat important		
	Not at all important		
	DK/NA		
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important		
	Very important		
	Somewhat important		
	Not at all important		
	DK/NA		
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important		A
	Very important		
	Somewhat important		
	Not at all important		
	DK/NA		
5N. Youth facilities	Extremely important		A
	Very important		
	Somewhat important		
	Not at all important		
	DK/NA		
5O. Youth programs	Extremely important		A
	Very important		
	Somewhat important		
	Not at all important		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5A. Police services	Total	446	282	164
	Extremely important	233	154	79
	Very important	162	101	61
	Somewhat important	36.3%	35.9%	37.0%
	Not at all important	43	20	23
	DK/NA	9.6%	7.2%	13.9%
		6	5	1
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	282	164
	Extremely important	186	107	79
	Very important	41.8%	37.8%	48.6%
	Somewhat important	203	138	65
	Not at all important	45.5%	49.0%	39.6%
	DK/NA	54	35	19
		12.2%	12.4%	11.8%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	282	164
	Extremely important	82	48	34
	Very important	18.4%	17.2%	20.6%
	Somewhat important	194	127	67
	Not at all important	43.5%	45.0%	40.9%
	DK/NA	160	102	58
		35.8%	36.0%	35.5%
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	282	164
	Extremely important	116	77	38
	Very important	25.9%	27.4%	23.3%
	Somewhat important	203	130	73
	Not at all important	45.5%	45.9%	44.8%
	DK/NA	124	74	50
		27.9%	26.2%	30.9%
Total	Total	446	282	164
	Extremely important	116	77	38
	Very important	203	130	73
	Somewhat important	124	74	50
	Not at all important	27.9%	26.2%	30.9%
	DK/NA	1	1	0
		0.3%	0.5%	0.0%
Total	Total	446	282	164
	Extremely important	2	0	2
	Very important	0.4%	0.0%	1.0%
	Somewhat important	446	282	164
	Not at all important	446	282	164
	DK/NA	446	282	164
		446	282	164

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	54 12.0%	27 9.6%	27 16.2%
	Very important	159 35.7%	104 36.8%	55 33.8%
	Somewhat important	210 47.0%	128 45.5%	81 49.7%
	Not at all important	23 5.2%	23 8.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.3%
	Total	446	282	164
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important	218 48.8%	139 49.3%	78 48.0%
	Very important	164 36.7%	105 37.3%	59 35.8%
	Somewhat important	56 12.5%	32 11.3%	24 14.5%
	Not at all important	8 1.8%	5 1.9%	3 1.7%
	DK/NA	1 0.2%	1 0.2%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	282	164
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important	81 18.1%	40 14.3%	40 24.8%
	Very important	158 35.5%	102 36.2%	56 34.1%
	Somewhat important	143 32.1%	100 35.4%	43 26.4%
	Not at all important	45 10.1%	29 10.4%	16 9.6%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	10 3.6%	8 5.1%
	Total	446	282	164
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important	64 14.3%	38 13.4%	26 15.9%
	Very important	161 36.2%	107 37.7%	55 33.6%
	Somewhat important	157 35.2%	92 32.4%	66 40.0%
	Not at all important	57 12.7%	40 14.3%	16 10.0%
	DK/NA	7 1.6%	6 2.2%	1 0.6%
	Total	446	282	164

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	157 35.2%	105 37.3%	52 31.6%
	Very important	192 43.1%	124 44.0%	68 41.6%
	Somewhat important	68 15.3%	38 13.4%	31 18.7%
	Not at all important	17 3.7%	8 2.8%	9 5.4%
	DK/NA	12 2.7%	7 2.6%	5 2.8%
	Total	446	282	164
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	237 53.2%	157 55.4%	81 49.3%
	Very important	171 38.4%	107 37.7%	65 39.5%
	Somewhat important	35 8.0%	17 6.1%	18 11.1%
	Not at all important	2 0.4%	2 0.7%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	2 0.7%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	282	164
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	128 28.6%	76 26.8%	52 31.9%
	Very important	152 34.1%	95 33.8%	57 34.6%
	Somewhat important	123 27.7%	84 29.6%	40 24.3%
	Not at all important	32 7.2%	22 7.8%	10 6.2%
	DK/NA	10 2.3%	6 2.0%	5 2.9%
	Total	446	282	164
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	66 14.8%	43 15.1%	23 14.3%
	Very important	147 33.0%	93 32.8%	55 33.4%
	Somewhat important	154 34.4%	94 33.4%	59 36.3%
	Not at all important	66 14.8%	46 16.3%	20 12.3%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	7 2.4%	6 3.8%
	Total	446	282	164
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	63 14.2%	39 13.9%	24 14.6%
	Very important	149 33.5%	92 32.4%	58 35.3%
	Somewhat important	149 33.4%	97 34.3%	52 32.0%
	Not at all important	71 15.9%	48 17.0%	23 14.1%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	7 2.4%	7 4.0%
	Total	446	282	164

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5N. Youth facilities	Total	446	282	164
	Extremely important	68	36	32
	Very important	174	106	68
	Somewhat important	152	96	56
	Not at all important	41	37	5
	DK/NA	11	8	3
		2.5%	2.8%	1.9%
5O. Youth programs	Total	446	282	164
	Extremely important	77	40	37
	Very important	187	113	74
	Somewhat important	131	88	43
	Not at all important	42	34	8
	DK/NA	10	7	2
		2.1%	2.5%	1.5%

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
5A. Police services	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Extremely important	233	1	18	38	31	53
	Very important	162	1	6	31	21	47
	Somewhat important	43	1	5	5	6	10
	Not at all important	6	0	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	2
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Extremely important	186	0	12	27	21	50
	Very important	203	1	15	30	32	56
	Somewhat important	54	2	1	17	5	4
	Not at all important	2	0	0	0	0	1
	DK/NA	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Extremely important	82	0	7	19	6	19
	Very important	194	1	11	23	20	50

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
5A. Police services	Total	167	4
	Extremely important	90	3
	Very important	53.5%	91.3%
	Somewhat important	56	0
	Not at all important	33.2%	8.7%
	DK/NA	16	0
		9.7%	0.0%
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	167	4
	Extremely important	73	2
	Very important	43.8%	68.2%
	Somewhat important	68	1
	Not at all important	40.6%	31.8%
	DK/NA	25	0
		14.8%	0.0%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	167	4
	Extremely important	29	2
	Very important	87	1

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
5C. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat important	160 35.8%	2 55.9%	10 34.9%	30 41.0%	31 54.1%	39 34.9%
	Not at all important	8 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 3.2%	1 2.2%	3 2.4%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Extremely important	116 25.9%	0 0.0%	8 29.4%	20 26.5%	11 19.3%	25 22.2%
	Very important	203 45.5%	1 44.1%	6 20.1%	35 47.2%	29 50.1%	49 44.2%
	Somewhat important	124 27.9%	2 55.9%	14 50.5%	20 26.3%	18 30.6%	36 32.1%
	Not at all important	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.5%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	54 12.0%	0 0.0%	4 13.1%	9 11.8%	6 10.2%	15 13.1%
	Very important	159 35.7%	1 40.4%	5 19.3%	23 30.8%	25 43.0%	36 32.0%
	Somewhat important	210 47.0%	2 59.6%	19 67.6%	41 54.7%	24 42.2%	51 46.1%
	Not at all important	23 5.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.7%	3 4.6%	10 8.8%
	DK/NA	0 0.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important	218 48.8%	0 0.0%	14 51.0%	34 46.2%	30 51.0%	56 50.4%
	Very important	164 36.7%	3 100.0%	8 29.3%	31 41.3%	17 29.9%	42 38.1%
	Somewhat important	56 12.5%	0 0.0%	4 13.0%	9 12.5%	10 18.0%	12 10.8%
	Not at all important	8 1.8%	0 0.0%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.7%
	DK/NA	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.2%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important	81 18.1%	0 0.0%	4 14.4%	9 11.9%	10 16.4%	27 24.4%
	Very important	158 35.5%	1 44.1%	13 47.6%	25 33.5%	19 33.0%	40 35.6%
	Somewhat important	143 32.1%	2 55.9%	9 33.3%	33 45.1%	17 29.0%	31 28.0%
	Not at all important	45 10.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 6.6%	10 17.3%	11 10.3%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	0 0.0%	1 4.6%	2 2.9%	2 4.2%	2 1.6%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
5C. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat important	47 28.3%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	2 1.2%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 1.1%	0 0.0%
	Total	167	4
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Extremely important	49 29.5%	2 68.2%
	Very important	82 49.0%	0 8.7%
	Somewhat important	35 20.6%	1 23.1%
	Not at all important	1 0.8%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	167	4
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	18 11.0%	2 68.2%
	Very important	68 40.6%	1 23.1%
	Somewhat important	72 43.0%	0 8.7%
	Not at all important	9 5.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.3%	0 0.0%
	Total	167	4
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important	80 47.9%	3 91.3%
	Very important	62 36.8%	0 8.7%
	Somewhat important	20 12.1%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	5 3.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	167	4
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important	29 17.3%	2 68.2%
	Very important	59 35.4%	0 8.7%
	Somewhat important	50 29.6%	1 23.1%
	Not at all important	19 11.2%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	11 6.5%	0 0.0%
	Total	167	4

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important	64 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 18.2%	9 16.3%	17 15.3%
	Very important	161 36.2%	2 70.0%	12 44.1%	23 30.8%	17 30.1%	44 39.6%
	Somewhat important	157 35.2%	1 30.0%	16 55.9%	28 37.8%	20 35.2%	37 33.6%
	Not at all important	57 12.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 13.2%	10 16.9%	10 8.7%
	DK/NA	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	3 2.8%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	157 35.2%	0 0.0%	10 35.3%	19 25.5%	14 23.6%	42 38.2%
	Very important	192 43.1%	1 44.1%	7 26.0%	37 49.9%	26 44.4%	50 45.2%
	Somewhat important	68 15.3%	2 55.9%	10 34.1%	12 16.7%	14 24.8%	11 9.4%
	Not at all important	17 3.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 6.6%	2 3.8%	5 4.2%
	DK/NA	12 2.7%	0 0.0%	1 4.6%	1 1.3%	2 3.4%	3 3.0%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	237 53.2%	0 0.0%	16 57.0%	30 41.0%	31 53.8%	58 52.5%
	Very important	171 38.4%	1 44.1%	9 33.0%	39 53.2%	21 36.6%	44 39.9%
	Somewhat important	35 8.0%	2 55.9%	3 10.0%	4 5.8%	6 9.5%	8 6.7%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.9%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	128 28.6%	0 3.7%	11 40.1%	24 32.3%	15 25.2%	30 27.2%
	Very important	152 34.1%	1 40.4%	9 31.8%	22 29.1%	21 36.4%	35 31.1%
	Somewhat important	123 27.7%	2 55.9%	6 21.4%	27 37.0%	14 24.5%	33 30.1%
	Not at all important	32 7.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	6 9.7%	10 9.4%
	DK/NA	10 2.3%	0 0.0%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	2 4.2%	2 2.1%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	66 14.8%	0 0.0%	5 18.4%	3 4.2%	11 18.3%	18 15.8%
	Very important	147 33.0%	1 44.1%	8 29.9%	18 24.4%	19 33.4%	41 36.7%
	Somewhat important	154 34.4%	1 30.0%	10 36.1%	34 45.4%	17 28.9%	36 32.8%
	Not at all important	66 14.8%	1 25.9%	4 15.6%	16 21.8%	11 19.4%	14 12.3%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 4.2%	0 0.0%	3 2.4%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important	22 13.2%	2 44.7%
	Very important	60 36.0%	2 55.3%
	Somewhat important	54 32.5%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	27 16.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	3 1.9%	0 0.0%
	Total	167	4
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	69 41.4%	2 68.2%
	Very important	69 41.4%	1 31.8%
	Somewhat important	19 11.6%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	5 2.9%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	4 2.6%	0 0.0%
	Total	167	4
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	98 58.3%	4 100.0%
	Very important	55 33.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat important	13 8.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.6%	0 0.0%
	Total	167	4
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	45 26.9%	2 68.2%
	Very important	64 38.3%	0 8.7%
	Somewhat important	40 23.6%	1 23.1%
	Not at all important	15 9.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	4 2.3%	0 0.0%
	Total	167	4
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	28 16.7%	2 44.7%
	Very important	59 35.3%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat important	53 31.9%	2 55.3%
	Not at all important	20 11.8%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	7 4.2%	0 0.0%
	Total	167	4

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
5M. Senior programs	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Extremely important	63	0	5	6	10	13
		14.2%	0.0%	18.4%	8.5%	17.3%	12.1%
	Very important	149	1	10	12	17	40
		33.5%	40.4%	36.3%	16.0%	29.6%	36.4%
	Somewhat important	149	1	6	35	18	43
		33.4%	33.7%	21.0%	47.7%	30.3%	38.7%
Not at all important	71	1	5	15	13	14	
	15.9%	25.9%	17.7%	20.4%	22.8%	12.8%	
DK/NA	13	0	2	5	0	0	
	3.0%	0.0%	6.7%	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
5N. Youth facilities	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Extremely important	68	0	8	15	8	14
		15.2%	0.0%	28.0%	19.6%	13.6%	12.5%
	Very important	174	2	12	26	19	48
		39.1%	70.0%	43.4%	34.7%	33.2%	43.0%
	Somewhat important	152	1	8	24	24	41
		34.0%	30.0%	28.7%	32.3%	41.1%	37.0%
Not at all important	41	0	0	9	6	6	
	9.3%	0.0%	0.0%	11.9%	10.7%	5.0%	
DK/NA	11	0	0	1	1	3	
	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	2.5%	
5O. Youth programs	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Extremely important	77	0	8	13	13	14
		17.3%	3.7%	28.6%	17.6%	22.3%	12.9%
	Very important	187	2	14	30	22	44
		41.9%	66.3%	51.0%	40.2%	38.5%	40.0%
	Somewhat important	131	1	3	28	13	41
		29.4%	30.0%	12.4%	37.2%	21.7%	36.7%
Not at all important	42	0	1	4	9	10	
	9.3%	0.0%	3.3%	5.1%	16.1%	8.8%	
DK/NA	10	0	1	0	1	2	
	2.1%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	1.4%	1.6%	

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
5M. Senior programs	Total	167	4
	Extremely important	27	2
		15.9%	44.7%
	Very important	68	0
		40.5%	8.7%
	Somewhat important	44	2
		26.5%	46.6%
Not at all important	23	0	
	13.4%	0.0%	
DK/NA	6	0	
	3.6%	0.0%	
5N. Youth facilities	Total	167	4
	Extremely important	22	2
		13.0%	44.7%
	Very important	66	1
		39.2%	32.2%
	Somewhat important	53	1
		31.5%	23.1%
Not at all important	21	0	
	12.4%	0.0%	
DK/NA	6	0	
	3.9%	0.0%	
5O. Youth programs	Total	167	4
	Extremely important	27	2
		16.0%	44.7%
	Very important	72	2
		42.8%	55.3%
	Somewhat important	45	0
		27.2%	0.0%
Not at all important	18	0	
	10.7%	0.0%	
DK/NA	6	0	
	3.4%	0.0%	

		Employment Status					Retired
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	
5A. Police services	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Extremely important	233	99	8	23	4	75
		52.3%	49.0%	35.7%	55.1%	23.2%	59.7%
	Very important	162	74	10	15	10	43
		36.3%	36.5%	45.8%	35.6%	50.0%	33.7%
	Somewhat important	43	25	4	4	5	5
		9.6%	12.5%	18.6%	9.3%	26.9%	3.6%
Not at all important	6	4	0	0	0	2	
	1.3%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	2	
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Extremely important	186	74	10	22	8	58
		41.8%	36.5%	44.1%	52.9%	39.1%	46.0%
	Very important	203	90	9	14	12	59
		45.5%	44.4%	42.4%	34.7%	60.9%	47.2%
Somewhat important	54	37	3	5	0	8	
	12.2%	18.4%	13.5%	12.4%	0.0%	6.1%	
Not at all important	2	1	0	0	0	1	
	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Extremely important	82	39	1	8	0	23
		18.4%	19.3%	4.2%	18.4%	0.0%	18.4%
	Very important	194	82	12	19	8	59
		43.5%	40.5%	54.1%	45.2%	39.0%	46.8%
	Somewhat important	160	78	9	14	10	40
		35.8%	38.4%	41.6%	34.1%	52.3%	31.7%
Not at all important	8	4	0	1	2	2	
	1.9%	1.8%	0.0%	2.4%	8.7%	1.6%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	2	
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Extremely important	116	48	5	12	0	38
		25.9%	23.8%	24.2%	29.2%	0.0%	30.3%
	Very important	203	94	12	20	6	58
		45.5%	46.4%	52.8%	48.3%	32.2%	45.8%
	Somewhat important	124	60	5	9	11	29
	27.9%	29.8%	23.0%	22.5%	59.1%	22.8%	
Not at all important	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	2	0	
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%	0.0%	
5E. Street sweeping services	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Extremely important	54	22	0	6	2	20
		12.0%	10.9%	0.0%	14.0%	10.1%	15.7%
	Very important	159	65	8	14	6	53
		35.7%	32.2%	36.3%	34.6%	33.0%	41.8%
Somewhat important	210	108	12	19	11	43	
	47.0%	53.4%	54.4%	46.2%	57.0%	34.3%	
Not at all important	23	7	2	2	0	10	
	5.2%	3.5%	9.3%	5.2%	0.0%	7.8%	

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
5A. Police services	Total	14	16	4
	Extremely important	9	11	4
		64.4%	69.4%	82.9%
	Very important	5	5	1
		35.6%	30.6%	17.1%
	Somewhat important	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Not at all important	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	14	16	4
	Extremely important	6	9	0
		43.4%	55.2%	0.0%
	Very important	8	7	3
		56.6%	44.8%	68.3%
Somewhat important	0	0	1	
	0.0%	0.0%	31.7%	
Not at all important	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	14	16	4
	Extremely important	4	7	0
		30.3%	43.6%	0.0%
	Very important	7	6	2
		54.4%	34.1%	36.6%
	Somewhat important	2	4	3
		15.2%	22.2%	63.4%
Not at all important	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	14	16	4
	Extremely important	4	7	0
		32.1%	44.6%	0.0%
	Very important	4	7	2
		28.5%	43.8%	48.8%
	Somewhat important	5	2	2
	39.5%	11.6%	51.2%	
Not at all important	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
5E. Street sweeping services	Total	14	16	4
	Extremely important	2	2	0
		15.1%	12.2%	0.0%
	Very important	4	6	2
		32.5%	34.7%	51.2%
Somewhat important	5	9	2	
	38.5%	53.1%	48.8%	
Not at all important	2	0	0	
	13.9%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Employment Status					Retired
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	
	DK/NA	0 0.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.4%
5F. Traffic safety	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Extremely important	218 48.8%	95 46.8%	11 48.5%	25 60.1%	4 19.1%	61 48.6%
	Very important	164 36.7%	76 37.5%	5 21.5%	8 18.8%	10 53.3%	54 42.5%
	Somewhat important	56 12.5%	24 12.0%	7 30.0%	8 19.4%	5 27.6%	11 8.4%
	Not at all important	8 1.8%	7 3.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.5%
	DK/NA	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important	81 18.1%	45 22.4%	2 10.1%	8 19.9%	3 13.5%	19 14.8%
	Very important	158 35.5%	68 33.7%	7 33.1%	17 42.1%	9 44.8%	41 32.7%
	Somewhat important	143 32.1%	65 32.0%	11 47.6%	10 24.4%	8 41.8%	38 29.7%
	Not at all important	45 10.1%	19 9.6%	1 4.4%	4 9.0%	0 0.0%	20 15.9%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	5 2.2%	1 4.9%	2 4.7%	0 0.0%	9 6.9%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important	64 14.3%	31 15.1%	3 14.4%	9 20.9%	0 0.0%	11 8.9%
	Very important	161 36.2%	82 40.5%	11 49.2%	14 35.2%	2 8.2%	42 33.1%
	Somewhat important	157 35.2%	71 34.8%	6 27.7%	13 30.6%	15 78.8%	42 33.3%
	Not at all important	57 12.7%	17 8.5%	2 8.7%	5 13.2%	3 13.0%	26 20.8%
	DK/NA	7 1.6%	2 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 3.9%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	157 35.2%	66 32.3%	5 21.3%	18 44.6%	0 0.0%	51 40.5%
	Very important	192 43.1%	94 46.5%	15 66.6%	17 42.0%	9 45.3%	45 35.8%
	Somewhat important	68 15.3%	33 16.1%	3 12.1%	5 13.4%	7 35.9%	18 14.0%
	Not at all important	17 3.7%	7 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 8.7%	5 4.2%
	DK/NA	12 2.7%	3 1.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 10.1%	7 5.4%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	237 53.2%	97 48.0%	10 45.1%	28 68.1%	5 23.5%	77 61.4%
	Very important	171 38.4%	82 40.4%	12 54.9%	10 23.6%	15 76.5%	42 33.1%

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5F. Traffic safety	Total	14	16	4
	Extremely important	7 55.0%	11 68.7%	4 82.9%
	Very important	6 45.0%	4 27.2%	1 17.1%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	1 4.1%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	14	16	4
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important	4 27.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very important	6 46.7%	8 49.2%	1 17.1%
	Somewhat important	3 25.4%	5 30.4%	4 82.9%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	2 13.7%	0 0.0%
	Total	14	16	4
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important	4 32.6%	5 30.6%	1 17.1%
	Very important	5 35.9%	5 28.5%	1 19.6%
	Somewhat important	3 22.9%	5 28.0%	3 63.4%
	Not at all important	1 8.6%	2 12.9%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	14	16	4
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	7 50.5%	9 53.8%	1 31.7%
	Very important	5 33.1%	5 28.0%	3 68.3%
	Somewhat important	2 16.4%	1 4.0%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	2 14.2%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	14	16	4
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	9 64.9%	9 53.8%	2 51.2%
	Very important	4 27.5%	6 38.7%	1 17.1%

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
	Somewhat important	35 8.0%	23 11.6%	0 0.0%	3 8.3%	0 0.0%	6 4.8%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	128 28.6%	53 26.1%	8 37.2%	13 31.3%	7 34.2%	33 26.0%
	Very important	152 34.1%	70 34.5%	7 33.2%	14 34.3%	8 40.0%	43 34.3%
	Somewhat important	123 27.7%	62 30.6%	6 26.7%	9 22.7%	5 25.8%	32 25.0%
	Not at all important	32 7.2%	13 6.6%	0 0.0%	5 11.7%	0 0.0%	13 10.3%
	DK/NA	10 2.3%	4 2.1%	1 3.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 4.3%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	66 14.8%	17 8.4%	4 15.9%	3 6.3%	4 19.1%	33 26.0%
	Very important	147 33.0%	60 29.7%	5 20.4%	13 32.5%	15 77.1%	43 33.9%
	Somewhat important	154 34.4%	85 42.1%	8 36.5%	16 38.8%	1 3.8%	33 26.3%
	Not at all important	66 14.8%	34 16.8%	5 23.8%	7 17.3%	0 0.0%	14 10.8%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	6 3.0%	1 3.4%	2 5.1%	0 0.0%	4 3.1%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	63 14.2%	17 8.2%	3 12.3%	4 8.7%	4 19.1%	31 24.3%
	Very important	149 33.5%	67 33.1%	4 17.9%	16 37.8%	9 44.3%	48 37.7%
	Somewhat important	149 33.4%	76 37.5%	8 35.8%	15 35.4%	7 36.6%	27 21.1%
	Not at all important	71 15.9%	34 17.0%	7 30.5%	7 18.2%	0 0.0%	17 13.8%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	9 4.3%	1 3.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 3.2%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
5N. Youth facilities	Extremely important	68 15.2%	32 15.6%	6 28.4%	6 14.7%	4 19.1%	12 9.3%
	Very important	174 39.1%	77 38.2%	6 26.0%	19 46.9%	11 58.7%	49 38.8%
	Somewhat important	152 34.0%	80 39.5%	9 40.4%	11 25.8%	4 22.2%	35 27.9%
	Not at all important	41 9.3%	11 5.6%	1 5.3%	2 5.3%	0 0.0%	25 19.6%
	DK/NA	11 2.5%	2 1.2%	0 0.0%	3 7.4%	0 0.0%	6 4.5%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Extremely important	77 17.3%	36 17.6%	5 21.5%	9 20.7%	4 19.1%	13 10.4%

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	1 7.4%	1 31.7%
	DK/NA	1 7.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	14	16	4
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	6 45.3%	8 48.6%	0 0.0%
	Very important	2 16.3%	7 44.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat important	4 30.3%	1 6.7%	4 100.0%
	Not at all important	1 8.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	14	16	4
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	3 18.9%	4 23.8%	0 0.0%
	Very important	3 25.2%	7 44.5%	1 17.1%
	Somewhat important	6 41.8%	1 6.7%	4 82.9%
	Not at all important	2 14.1%	4 25.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	14	16	4
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	3 18.9%	3 19.7%	0 0.0%
	Very important	2 17.9%	4 25.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat important	8 56.7%	5 30.2%	4 100.0%
	Not at all important	1 6.5%	4 25.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	14	16	4
5N. Youth facilities	Extremely important	3 24.3%	5 29.9%	0 0.0%
	Very important	5 33.9%	6 37.0%	1 17.1%
	Somewhat important	5 33.2%	4 27.3%	4 82.9%
	Not at all important	1 8.6%	1 5.7%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	14	16	4
	Extremely important	3 24.3%	7 42.8%	1 17.1%

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
5O. Youth programs	Very important	187 41.9%	83 41.1%	9 39.6%	18 43.7%	14 72.7%	53 42.2%
	Somewhat important	131 29.4%	68 33.5%	7 29.2%	12 28.0%	2 8.2%	32 25.3%
	Not at all important	42 9.3%	13 6.5%	2 9.7%	3 7.5%	0 0.0%	21 16.7%
	DK/NA	10 2.1%	3 1.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 5.5%

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
5O. Youth programs	Very important	5 33.9%	4 24.2%	1 19.6%
	Somewhat important	5 38.5%	4 22.3%	3 63.4%
	Not at all important	0 3.3%	2 10.7%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		County Where Work						
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
5A. Police services	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
	Extremely important	130 48.8%	1 27.5%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	6 47.6%	15 49.1%	104 50.2%
	Very important	99 37.2%	3 72.5%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5 44.4%	11 35.4%	73 35.1%
	Somewhat important	33 12.5%	0 0.0%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	1 8.0%	5 15.5%	26 12.7%
	Not at all important	4 1.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 1.9%
	DK/NA							
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
	Extremely important	106 39.7%	3 58.2%	1 43.3%	1 100.0%	4 29.0%	7 23.0%	89 43.0%
	Very important	114 42.8%	1 15.1%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	5 43.9%	19 62.9%	81 39.0%
	Somewhat important	45 17.0%	1 26.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 27.1%	4 14.1%	36 17.4%
	Not at all important	1 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.6%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
5A. Police services	Total	2	0	5	2
	Extremely important	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	3 67.2%	0 0.0%
	Very important	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	2 32.8%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA				
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	2	0	5	2
	Extremely important	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	1 25.7%	0 0.0%
	Very important	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	3 57.9%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.4%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	2	0	5	2

		County Where Work						
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
5C. Street tree maintenance	Extremely important	48 17.9%	2 45.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 14.4%	1 3.7%	38 18.4%
	Very important	113 42.4%	1 12.3%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	6 50.2%	15 49.2%	88 42.3%
	Somewhat important	101 38.0%	2 41.8%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	4 35.4%	14 47.2%	78 37.7%
	Not at all important	5 1.7%	0 0.0%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 1.6%
	DK/NA							
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Extremely important	66 24.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	2 14.3%	6 20.2%	54 25.9%
	Very important	126 47.2%	2 54.1%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	7 60.0%	14 48.2%	98 47.4%
	Somewhat important	75 28.1%	2 45.9%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	3 25.8%	9 31.5%	55 26.7%
	Not at all important							
	DK/NA							
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	28 10.5%	1 12.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 14.3%	0 0.0%	25 12.3%
	Very important	88 32.9%	0 0.0%	1 43.3%	1 100.0%	3 22.4%	12 41.2%	66 31.8%
	Somewhat important	139 52.4%	4 87.7%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	8 63.3%	17 56.6%	105 50.9%
	Not at all important	11 4.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.2%	11 5.1%
	DK/NA							
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important	131 49.0%	1 27.5%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	6 50.5%	8 27.6%	108 52.4%
	Very important	89 33.3%	3 72.5%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4 33.8%	14 48.1%	65 31.7%
	Somewhat important	39 14.6%	0 0.0%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	2 15.8%	7 24.3%	26 12.7%
	Not at all important	7 2.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 3.3%
	DK/NA	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
5C. Street tree maintenance	Extremely important	2 100.0%	0 100.0%	2 50.8%	0 0.0%
	Very important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 32.8%	1 45.1%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.4%	1 54.9%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA				
	Total	2	0	5	2
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Extremely important	2 100.0%	0 100.0%	1 16.4%	0 0.0%
	Very important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 32.8%	1 45.1%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 50.8%	1 54.9%
	Not at all important				
	DK/NA				
	Total	2	0	5	2
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very important	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	2 34.6%	1 45.1%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 65.4%	1 54.9%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA				
	Total	2	0	5	2
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important	2 100.0%	0 100.0%	3 69.0%	0 0.0%
	Very important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.6%	0 0.0%
	Total	2	0	5	2

		County Where Work						
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important	56 21.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.6%	8 25.8%	46 22.3%
	Very important	93 35.0%	2 39.0%	1 43.3%	1 100.0%	6 49.6%	8 27.6%	69 33.5%
	Somewhat important	86 32.2%	2 45.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 43.8%	10 34.7%	67 32.2%
	Not at all important	24 9.0%	1 15.1%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 11.9%	17 8.4%
	DK/NA	8 2.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 3.6%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important	42 15.9%	1 15.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.6%	1 2.1%	39 18.9%
	Very important	108 40.4%	0 0.0%	1 43.3%	1 100.0%	6 49.9%	11 35.4%	84 40.6%
	Somewhat important	89 33.6%	3 72.5%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	2 15.8%	16 52.9%	66 31.8%
	Not at all important	25 9.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 12.9%	3 9.7%	18 8.8%
	DK/NA	2 0.9%	1 12.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 14.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	89 33.3%	0 0.0%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	7 54.4%	6 18.5%	73 35.5%
	Very important	126 47.5%	3 73.4%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3 26.6%	13 44.9%	100 48.1%
	Somewhat important	41 15.3%	1 26.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 19.0%	10 33.4%	27 13.2%
	Not at all important	7 2.7%	0 0.0%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 2.5%
	DK/NA	3 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.3%	1 0.6%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	135 50.9%	1 12.3%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	6 47.6%	14 47.2%	107 51.8%
	Very important	104 39.0%	3 72.5%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5 44.4%	15 49.5%	77 37.2%
	Somewhat important	27 10.1%	1 15.1%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	1 8.0%	1 3.4%	23 11.1%
	DK/NA							
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	74 27.9%	2 45.9%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3 21.1%	5 16.3%	61 29.3%
	Very important	92 34.4%	1 12.3%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	4 35.3%	11 36.9%	74 35.6%
	Somewhat important	77 29.1%	2 41.8%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	4 30.5%	9 30.9%	58 27.9%
	Not at all important	18 6.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 7.6%	2 7.9%	13 6.3%
	DK/NA	5 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.5%	2 8.0%	2 0.9%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%	0 0.0%
	Very important	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	2 49.2%	1 54.9%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 45.1%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	2	0	5	2
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%	0 0.0%
	Very important	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	2 32.8%	1 54.9%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 45.1%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 41.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	2	0	5	2
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	2 39.7%	0 0.0%
	Very important	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 18.2%	0 0.0%
	Total	2	0	5	2
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	2 100.0%	0 100.0%	5 100.0%	0 0.0%
	Very important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA				
	Total	2	0	5	2
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.6%	0 0.0%
	Very important	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	1 18.2%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%	2 100.0%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 41.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	2	0	5	2

		County Where Work						
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
5L. Senior facilities	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
	Extremely important	23 8.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 19.8%	1 2.2%	20 9.7%
	Very important	78 29.4%	3 58.2%	1 43.3%	1 100.0%	5 37.2%	11 36.9%	52 25.1%
	Somewhat important	109 41.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 21.9%	14 47.7%	91 44.0%
	Not at all important	47 17.5%	1 26.6%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	3 21.1%	4 13.2%	36 17.2%
	DK/NA	9 3.4%	1 15.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 4.0%
	5M. Senior programs	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
Extremely important	23 8.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.6%	2 8.0%	20 9.6%	
Very important	87 32.5%	3 58.2%	1 43.3%	1 100.0%	6 50.8%	9 28.7%	64 30.9%	
Somewhat important	99 37.0%	1 15.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 19.9%	12 41.6%	79 38.1%	
Not at all important	49 18.3%	1 26.6%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	3 22.7%	6 18.4%	36 17.4%	
DK/NA	9 3.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.4%	8 4.1%	
5N. Youth facilities	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
	Extremely important	44 16.5%	2 45.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.6%	1 2.6%	36 17.5%
	Very important	103 38.5%	0 0.0%	1 43.3%	1 100.0%	6 50.6%	12 41.4%	80 38.5%
	Somewhat important	100 37.4%	1 15.1%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	4 29.7%	17 56.0%	76 36.8%
	Not at all important	15 5.5%	1 26.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 13.1%	0 0.0%	10 4.8%
	DK/NA	5 2.1%	1 12.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 2.4%
	5O. Youth programs	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
Extremely important		49 18.4%	0 0.0%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	1 6.6%	2 8.0%	40 19.4%
Very important		110 41.4%	3 61.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4 31.4%	12 41.1%	89 42.9%
Somewhat important		86 32.3%	0 0.0%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	5 43.4%	15 50.9%	63 30.4%
Not at all important		18 6.9%	1 26.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 18.5%	0 0.0%	13 6.3%
DK/NA		3 1.0%	1 12.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.0%

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
5L. Senior facilities	Total	2	0	5	2
	Extremely important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very important	2 100.0%	0 100.0%	3 58.5%	1 45.1%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	1 25.1%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.4%	1 54.9%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	5M. Senior programs	Total	2	0	5
Extremely important		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Very important		2 100.0%	0 100.0%	1 14.6%	0 0.0%
Somewhat important		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 69.0%	1 45.1%
Not at all important		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.4%	1 54.9%
DK/NA		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5N. Youth facilities		Total	2	0	5
	Extremely important	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	2 43.9%	0 0.0%
	Very important	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	1 14.6%	1 45.1%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 54.9%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 41.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	5O. Youth programs	Total	2	0	5
Extremely important		2 100.0%	0 0.0%	3 58.5%	0 0.0%
Very important		0 0.0%	0 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 45.1%
Somewhat important		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 54.9%
Not at all important		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 41.5%	0 0.0%
DK/NA		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
5A. Police services	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Extremely important	233	0	17	3	9
	Very important	162	0	8	0	4
	Somewhat important	43	1	1	2	6
	Not at all important	6	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0
			0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Extremely important	186	0	14	1	7
	Very important	203	1	9	4	11
	Somewhat important	54	0	2	0	1
	Not at all important	2	0	1	0	0
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0
			0.5%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Extremely important	82	0	6	2	4
	Very important	194	0	11	2	11
	Somewhat important	160	0	8	1	4
	Not at all important	8	1	0	0	0
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0
			0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Extremely important	116	0	11	3	8
	Very important	203	0	6	2	6
	Somewhat important	124	1	8	0	6
	Not at all important	1	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0
			0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5E. Street sweeping services	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Extremely important	54	0	5	1	6
	Very important	159	0	9	4	3
	Somewhat important	210	1	11	0	10
	Not at all important	23	0	1	0	0
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
5A. Police services	Total	4	1	2	5
	Extremely important	1	0	1	2
	Very important	3	0	0	1
	Somewhat important	0	1	1	2
	Not at all important	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	4	1	2	5
	Extremely important	1	0	1	1
	Very important	4	1	1	3
	Somewhat important	0	0	0	0
	Not at all important	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	4	1	2	5
	Extremely important	1	0	1	0
	Very important	4	0	0	3
	Somewhat important	0	1	1	2
	Not at all important	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	4	1	2	5
	Extremely important	0	0	0	0
	Very important	4	1	1	1
	Somewhat important	0	0	1	3
	Not at all important	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5E. Street sweeping services	Total	4	1	2	5
	Extremely important	1	0	0	0
	Very important	1	1	1	4
	Somewhat important	2	0	1	1
	Not at all important	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
5A. Police services	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Extremely important	168	8	12	2	11
	Very important	127	3	4	3	8
	Somewhat important	27	0	1	0	1
	Not at all important	6	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0
			50.9%	70.8%	71.7%	32.6%
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Extremely important	145	4	10	0	3
	Very important	141	6	5	5	11
	Somewhat important	43	1	2	0	5
	Not at all important	0	0	0	0	1
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
			43.8%	37.3%	58.8%	0.0%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Extremely important	51	4	5	3	5
	Very important	150	3	4	0	6
	Somewhat important	120	4	7	2	9
	Not at all important	7	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0
			15.5%	35.8%	30.5%	53.0%
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Extremely important	73	4	6	2	9
	Very important	162	6	4	2	7
	Somewhat important	92	1	7	1	5
	Not at all important	1	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0
			22.3%	35.5%	35.0%	37.9%
5E. Street sweeping services	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Extremely important	35	2	2	0	3
	Very important	117	3	7	3	5
	Somewhat important	157	6	5	2	12
	Not at all important	20	0	2	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
			10.5%	16.6%	12.9%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
5F. Traffic safety	Not at all important	5.2%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
5G. Economic development efforts	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Extremely important	218	0	15	2	9
	Very important	164	0	9	3	4
	Somewhat important	56	1	2	0	6
	Not at all important	8	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0
			48.8%	0.0%	55.6%	41.5%
5H. Sports fields	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Extremely important	81	0	6	1	4
	Very important	158	0	11	3	9
	Somewhat important	143	0	8	1	5
	Not at all important	45	1	1	0	0
	DK/NA	18	0	1	0	1
			18.1%	0.0%	21.1%	14.2%
5I. Managing land use	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Extremely important	64	0	5	1	3
	Very important	161	0	12	0	5
	Somewhat important	157	1	8	4	11
	Not at all important	57	0	1	0	1
	DK/NA	7	0	0	0	0
			14.3%	0.0%	19.3%	14.2%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
Not at all important	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	4	1	2	5
5F. Traffic safety				
Extremely important	2	1	1	2
	48.4%	100.0%	52.1%	40.6%
Very important	2	0	0	1
	51.6%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%
Somewhat important	0	0	1	2
	0.0%	0.0%	47.9%	44.5%
Not at all important	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	4	1	2	5
5G. Economic development efforts				
Extremely important	2	0	0	1
	51.6%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%
Very important	2	1	2	1
	48.4%	100.0%	100.0%	25.7%
Somewhat important	0	0	0	2
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	44.5%
Not at all important	0	0	0	1
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	4	1	2	5
5H. Sports fields				
Extremely important	0	1	0	1
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	29.8%
Very important	1	0	1	0
	30.4%	0.0%	52.1%	0.0%
Somewhat important	3	0	0	3
	69.6%	0.0%	0.0%	70.2%
Not at all important	0	0	1	0
	0.0%	0.0%	47.9%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	4	1	2	5
5I. Managing land use				
Extremely important	4	0	1	0
	100.0%	0.0%	47.9%	0.0%
Very important	0	0	0	3
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	74.3%
Somewhat important	0	1	1	1
	0.0%	100.0%	52.1%	25.7%
Not at all important	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	4	1	2	5
Extremely important	1	0	0	2
	30.4%	0.0%	0.0%	40.6%

	Ethnic Group				
	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Not at all important	6.2%	0.0%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	330	11	16	5	20
5F. Traffic safety					
Extremely important	157	4	11	1	13
	47.5%	38.1%	66.2%	17.5%	64.4%
Very important	125	7	3	4	6
	37.8%	61.9%	21.0%	82.5%	30.4%
Somewhat important	40	0	2	0	1
	12.0%	0.0%	12.8%	0.0%	5.3%
Not at all important	8	0	0	0	0
	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	330	11	16	5	20
5G. Economic development efforts					
Extremely important	61	0	5	1	1
	18.6%	0.0%	28.0%	20.4%	5.0%
Very important	111	5	6	2	5
	33.6%	46.5%	37.1%	47.0%	24.6%
Somewhat important	107	6	5	2	8
	32.3%	53.5%	29.1%	32.6%	40.4%
Not at all important	37	0	1	0	4
	11.4%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%	20.1%
DK/NA	14	0	0	0	2
	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.9%
Total	330	11	16	5	20
5H. Sports fields					
Extremely important	43	2	1	2	4
	13.0%	20.7%	7.5%	37.9%	22.3%
Very important	128	1	5	0	8
	38.8%	9.1%	31.8%	0.0%	38.4%
Somewhat important	104	5	9	3	5
	31.6%	45.8%	56.2%	62.1%	25.3%
Not at all important	48	2	1	0	3
	14.7%	17.4%	4.4%	0.0%	14.1%
DK/NA	6	1	0	0	0
	2.0%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	330	11	16	5	20
5I. Managing land use					
Extremely important	114	5	8	1	8
	34.5%	42.2%	51.3%	20.4%	38.8%
Very important	148	6	5	1	8
	45.0%	57.8%	31.4%	17.5%	38.8%
Somewhat important	43	0	2	3	4
	13.1%	0.0%	11.3%	62.1%	19.8%
Not at all important	15	0	0	0	1
	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%
DK/NA	10	0	1	0	0
	2.9%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	330	11	16	5	20
Extremely important	176	7	12	2	11
	53.5%	60.8%	71.3%	32.6%	55.2%

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
5J. Fire protection services	Very important	171 38.4%	0 0.0%	11 42.0%	2 40.8%	9 44.1%
	Somewhat important	35 8.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 11.3%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Extremely important	128 28.6%	0 0.0%	8 28.9%	1 14.2%	5 28.3%
	Very important	152 34.1%	0 0.0%	12 46.7%	1 17.7%	10 51.8%
	Somewhat important	123 27.7%	1 100.0%	6 24.3%	3 68.0%	3 16.5%
	Not at all important	32 7.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.4%
	DK/NA	10 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5L. Senior facilities	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Extremely important	66 14.8%	0 0.0%	5 21.0%	2 32.0%	3 15.0%
	Very important	147 33.0%	0 0.0%	11 43.5%	0 0.0%	9 48.8%
	Somewhat important	154 34.4%	0 0.0%	6 24.5%	3 68.0%	5 27.8%
	Not at all important	66 14.8%	1 100.0%	1 4.8%	0 0.0%	2 8.4%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	0 0.0%	2 6.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5M. Senior programs	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Extremely important	63 14.2%	0 0.0%	5 19.6%	2 32.0%	3 15.0%
	Very important	149 33.5%	0 0.0%	9 33.0%	0 0.0%	10 50.4%
	Somewhat important	149 33.4%	0 0.0%	9 36.2%	3 68.0%	5 26.1%
	Not at all important	71 15.9%	1 100.0%	1 4.8%	0 0.0%	2 8.4%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	0 0.0%	2 6.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5N. Youth facilities	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Extremely important	68 15.2%	0 0.0%	4 15.7%	1 14.2%	6 29.1%
	Very important	174 39.1%	0 0.0%	13 49.9%	3 58.5%	8 40.2%
	Somewhat important	152 34.0%	1 100.0%	7 25.9%	1 27.3%	6 30.7%
	Not at all important	41 9.3%	0 0.0%	1 2.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	11 2.5%	0 0.0%	1 5.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
5J. Fire protection services	Very important	3 69.6%	1 100.0%	1 52.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%	3 59.4%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	4	1	2	5
	Extremely important	4 85.3%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%	0 0.0%
	Very important	1 14.7%	0 0.0%	1 52.1%	2 44.5%
	Somewhat important	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	3 55.5%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5L. Senior facilities	Total	4	1	2	5
	Extremely important	1 15.7%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%
	Very important	1 32.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 44.5%
	Somewhat important	2 51.6%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%
5M. Senior programs	Total	4	1	2	5
	Extremely important	1 15.7%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very important	1 32.7%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%	3 59.4%
	Somewhat important	2 51.6%	0 0.0%	1 52.1%	1 14.9%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5N. Youth facilities	Total	4	1	2	5
	Extremely important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%
	Very important	1 30.4%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	2 44.5%
	Somewhat important	3 69.6%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	2 40.6%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
5J. Fire protection services	Very important	126 38.1%	3 29.2%	5 28.7%	3 67.4%	8 38.1%
	Somewhat important	26 7.8%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%
	DK/NA	2 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Extremely important	96 29.1%	4 41.5%	5 30.7%	1 15.2%	3 15.2%
	Very important	109 33.1%	4 41.1%	7 41.4%	1 17.5%	4 19.8%
	Somewhat important	89 27.0%	2 17.4%	4 24.1%	3 67.4%	7 37.2%
	Not at all important	25 7.7%	0 0.0%	1 3.7%	0 0.0%	6 27.8%
	DK/NA	10 3.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5L. Senior facilities	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Extremely important	48 14.5%	1 11.9%	5 27.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very important	115 34.9%	1 6.9%	2 13.5%	3 62.1%	2 9.5%
	Somewhat important	104 31.4%	9 81.1%	9 53.1%	1 17.5%	12 60.3%
	Not at all important	55 16.6%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%	1 20.4%	4 21.1%
	DK/NA	9 2.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 9.1%
5M. Senior programs	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Extremely important	45 13.7%	2 18.9%	5 27.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very important	117 35.6%	2 16.6%	3 16.6%	1 15.2%	3 15.4%
	Somewhat important	101 30.5%	7 64.5%	5 27.4%	4 84.8%	11 54.4%
	Not at all important	57 17.2%	0 0.0%	5 28.5%	0 0.0%	4 21.1%
	DK/NA	10 3.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 9.1%
5N. Youth facilities	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Extremely important	48 14.5%	3 29.8%	2 12.9%	3 53.0%	1 3.3%
	Very important	128 38.7%	1 10.0%	7 39.9%	2 47.0%	8 40.9%
	Somewhat important	110 33.3%	6 53.2%	6 35.3%	0 0.0%	8 38.4%
	Not at all important	35 10.7%	0 0.0%	2 11.9%	0 0.0%	3 17.5%
	DK/NA	9 2.7%	1 6.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
5O. Youth programs	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Extremely important	77 17.3%	0 0.0%	7 27.4%	1 14.2%	4 21.6%
	Very important	187 41.9%	0 0.0%	11 41.9%	1 17.7%	8 39.3%
	Somewhat important	131 29.4%	1 100.0%	5 18.6%	3 68.0%	5 27.5%
	Not at all important	42 9.3%	0 0.0%	2 6.5%	0 0.0%	1 4.9%
DK/NA	10 2.1%	0 0.0%	1 5.6%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
5O. Youth programs	Total	4	1	2	5
	Extremely important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very important	2 33.7%	1 100.0%	1 52.1%	3 74.3%
	Somewhat important	3 66.3%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%	1 25.7%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
5O. Youth programs	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Extremely important	55 16.6%	2 17.9%	3 18.9%	3 53.0%	3 12.6%
	Very important	142 42.9%	1 11.9%	5 31.3%	2 47.0%	10 49.4%
	Somewhat important	95 28.7%	6 55.8%	7 42.3%	0 0.0%	3 16.8%
	Not at all important	33 9.9%	1 7.4%	1 7.5%	0 0.0%	4 21.3%
	DK/NA	6 1.8%	1 6.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
5A. Police services	Total	446	203	243
	Extremely important	233	104	129
	Very important	162	69	93
	Somewhat important	43	26	17
	Not at all important	6	3	3
	DK/NA	2	2	0
			0.5%	0.8%
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	203	243
	Extremely important	186	79	107
	Very important	203	92	111
	Somewhat important	54	31	23
	Not at all important	2	0	2
	DK/NA	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	203	243
	Extremely important	82	39	43
	Very important	194	88	106
	Somewhat important	160	73	87
	Not at all important	8	4	5
	DK/NA	2	0	2
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	203	243
	Extremely important	116	46	70
	Very important	203	91	111
	Somewhat important	124	65	59
	Not at all important	1	0	1
	DK/NA	2	0	2
Total	446	203	243	

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	54	29	25
	Very important	159	65	94
	Somewhat important	210	96	114
	Not at all important	23	13	10
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		0.1%	0.0%	0.2%
5F. Traffic safety	Total	446	203	243
	Extremely important	218	97	120
	Very important	164	74	89
	Somewhat important	56	29	27
	Not at all important	8	3	5
	DK/NA	1	0	1
5G. Economic development efforts	Total	446	203	243
	Extremely important	81	38	43
	Very important	158	71	88
	Somewhat important	143	63	80
	Not at all important	45	23	22
	DK/NA	18	8	11
5H. Sports fields	Total	446	203	243
	Extremely important	64	22	42
	Very important	161	77	84
	Somewhat important	157	75	82
	Not at all important	57	25	31
	DK/NA	7	3	4
Total	446	203	243	

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	157 35.2%	76 37.5%	81 33.2%
	Very important	192 43.1%	82 40.2%	111 45.5%
	Somewhat important	68 15.3%	31 15.5%	37 15.1%
	Not at all important	17 3.7%	4 2.2%	12 5.1%
	DK/NA	12 2.7%	9 4.6%	2 1.0%
	Total	446	203	243
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	237 53.2%	112 55.2%	125 51.5%
	Very important	171 38.4%	75 37.1%	96 39.5%
	Somewhat important	35 8.0%	14 7.0%	21 8.8%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	1 0.7%	1 0.2%
	Total	446	203	243
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	128 28.6%	56 27.4%	72 29.7%
	Very important	152 34.1%	66 32.3%	87 35.6%
	Somewhat important	123 27.7%	58 28.7%	65 26.8%
	Not at all important	32 7.2%	18 9.1%	14 5.7%
	DK/NA	10 2.3%	5 2.5%	5 2.1%
	Total	446	203	243
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	66 14.8%	36 17.8%	30 12.3%
	Very important	147 33.0%	61 30.0%	86 35.6%
	Somewhat important	154 34.4%	64 31.6%	90 36.8%
	Not at all important	66 14.8%	36 17.9%	30 12.3%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	6 2.8%	7 3.0%
	Total	446	203	243
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	63 14.2%	36 17.8%	27 11.2%
	Very important	149 33.5%	64 31.6%	85 35.0%
	Somewhat important	149 33.4%	61 30.1%	88 36.2%
	Not at all important	71 15.9%	36 17.8%	35 14.3%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	5 2.7%	8 3.3%
	Total	446	203	243

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
5N. Youth facilities	Total	446	203	243
	Extremely important	68 15.2%	32 15.9%	35 14.5%
	Very important	174 39.1%	87 43.1%	87 35.7%
	Somewhat important	152 34.0%	58 28.4%	94 38.7%
	Not at all important	41 9.3%	19 9.4%	22 9.2%
	DK/NA	11 2.5%	7 3.2%	5 1.9%
5O. Youth programs	Total	446	203	243
	Extremely important	77 17.3%	33 16.2%	44 18.2%
	Very important	187 41.9%	85 41.8%	102 41.9%
	Somewhat important	131 29.4%	63 31.3%	68 27.8%
	Not at all important	42 9.3%	16 8.0%	25 10.5%
	DK/NA	10 2.1%	6 2.8%	4 1.6%

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
5A. Police services	Total	446	20	422	3
	Extremely important	233 52.3%	11 53.2%	223 52.7%	0 0.0%
	Very important	162 36.3%	9 42.8%	150 35.5%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat important	43 9.6%	1 4.0%	42 10.0%	0 0.0%
	Not at all important	6 1.3%	0 0.0%	6 1.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.5%	0 0.0%	2 0.5%	0 0.0%
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	20	422	3
	Extremely important	186 41.8%	7 34.9%	179 42.4%	0 0.0%
	Very important	203 45.5%	8 36.8%	192 45.6%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat important	54 12.2%	5 24.3%	49 11.7%	0 0.0%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	20	422	3
	Extremely important	82 18.4%	5 26.8%	77 18.1%	0 0.0%
	Very important	194 43.5%	9 43.6%	185 43.8%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat important	160 35.9%	6 30.0%	151 35.9%	3 100.0%

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
Somewhat important	35.8%	29.6%	35.6%	100.0%
Not at all important	8	0	8	0
	1.9%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	2	0	2	0
	0.4%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Total	446	20	422	3
5D. Street pavement maintenance				
Extremely important	116	8	107	0
	25.9%	40.1%	25.4%	0.0%
Very important	203	6	194	3
	45.5%	29.9%	45.8%	100.0%
Somewhat important	124	6	118	0
	27.9%	30.0%	28.0%	0.0%
Not at all important	1	0	1	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
DK/NA	2	0	2	0
	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Total	446	20	422	3
5E. Street sweeping services				
Extremely important	54	3	51	0
	12.0%	14.4%	12.0%	0.0%
Very important	159	10	149	0
	35.7%	51.3%	35.2%	0.0%
Somewhat important	210	6	200	3
	47.0%	30.4%	47.4%	100.0%
Not at all important	23	1	22	0
	5.2%	4.0%	5.3%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Total	446	20	422	3
5F. Traffic safety				
Extremely important	218	11	207	0
	48.8%	51.5%	49.1%	0.0%
Very important	164	9	152	3
	36.7%	43.5%	35.9%	100.0%
Somewhat important	56	1	55	0
	12.5%	5.0%	12.9%	0.0%
Not at all important	8	0	8	0
	1.8%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
DK/NA	1	0	1	0
	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Total	446	20	422	3
5G. Economic development efforts				
Extremely important	81	2	79	0
	18.1%	10.2%	18.7%	0.0%
Very important	158	7	151	0
	35.5%	36.6%	35.7%	0.0%
Somewhat important	143	9	131	3
	32.1%	43.0%	31.1%	100.0%
Not at all important	45	1	44	0
	10.1%	3.7%	10.5%	0.0%
DK/NA	18	1	17	0
	4.1%	6.6%	4.1%	0.0%
Total	446	20	422	3
Extremely important	64	4	60	0
	14.3%	19.9%	14.1%	0.0%

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
5H. Sports fields	Very important	161	6	156	0
		36.2%	28.5%	36.8%	0.0%
	Somewhat important	157	11	143	3
		35.2%	51.7%	33.9%	100.0%
	Not at all important	57	0	57	0
		12.7%	0.0%	13.4%	0.0%
	DK/NA	7	0	7	0
		1.6%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Total		446	20	422	3
5I. Managing land use					
	Extremely important	157	6	151	0
		35.2%	30.4%	35.7%	0.0%
	Very important	192	11	178	3
		43.1%	53.1%	42.2%	100.0%
	Somewhat important	68	2	66	0
		15.3%	11.7%	15.6%	0.0%
	Not at all important	17	0	17	0
		3.7%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%
	DK/NA	12	1	11	0
		2.7%	4.8%	2.6%	0.0%
Total		446	20	422	3
5J. Fire protection services					
	Extremely important	237	7	230	0
		53.2%	35.7%	54.4%	0.0%
	Very important	171	12	156	3
		38.4%	57.8%	37.0%	100.0%
	Somewhat important	35	1	34	0
		8.0%	6.6%	8.1%	0.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	2	0
		0.4%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Total		446	20	422	3
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs					
	Extremely important	128	5	122	0
		28.6%	26.0%	29.0%	0.0%
	Very important	152	7	142	3
		34.1%	33.6%	33.6%	100.0%
	Somewhat important	123	8	115	0
		27.7%	40.3%	27.3%	0.0%
	Not at all important	32	0	32	0
		7.2%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
	DK/NA	10	0	10	0
		2.3%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Total		446	20	422	3
5L. Senior facilities					
	Extremely important	66	4	62	0
		14.8%	18.2%	14.8%	0.0%
	Very important	147	7	140	0
		33.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%
	Somewhat important	154	8	142	3
		34.4%	40.5%	33.7%	100.0%
	Not at all important	66	0	66	0
		14.8%	0.0%	15.7%	0.0%
	DK/NA	13	2	11	0
		2.9%	7.9%	2.7%	0.0%
Total		446	20	422	3
Extremely important		63	2	61	0

	Language of Interview				
	Total	Chinese	English	Other	
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	14.2%	11.7%	14.4%	0.0%
	Very important	149	6	143	0
	Somewhat important	33.5%	29.6%	33.9%	0.0%
	Not at all important	149	9	137	3
	DK/NA	33.4%	43.5%	32.5%	100.0%
		71	2	69	0
5N. Youth facilities	Total	446	20	422	3
	Extremely important	68	1	66	0
	Very important	174	11	163	0
	Somewhat important	39.1%	55.1%	38.6%	0.0%
	Not at all important	152	6	143	3
	DK/NA	34.0%	27.5%	33.8%	100.0%
5O. Youth programs	Total	446	20	422	3
	Extremely important	77	1	76	0
	Very important	187	11	176	0
	Somewhat important	41.9%	55.1%	41.6%	0.0%
	Not at all important	131	6	122	3
	DK/NA	29.4%	27.5%	28.9%	100.0%

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
5A. Police services	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Extremely important	233	25	27	84	98
	Very important	52.3%	27.8%	41.9%	67.9%	58.1%
	Somewhat important	162	54	26	31	51
	Not at all important	36.3%	60.0%	39.9%	24.9%	30.4%
	DK/NA	43	11	10	6	16
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Extremely important	186	41	21	50	75
	Very important	41.8%	45.1%	32.6%	40.3%	44.5%
	Somewhat important	203	42	34	59	68
	Not at all important	45.5%	46.1%	52.8%	48.1%	40.6%
	DK/NA	54	8	9	14	23
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Extremely important	82	16	9	23	35
	Very important	18.4%	17.2%	13.2%	18.4%	21.0%
	Somewhat important	194	35	29	51	78
	Not at all important	43.5%	39.1%	45.3%	41.6%	46.6%
	DK/NA	160	35	25	46	55
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Extremely important	116	16	11	42	46
	Very important	25.9%	17.3%	17.7%	34.5%	27.5%
	Somewhat important	203	39	31	54	79
	Not at all important	45.5%	43.1%	48.3%	43.6%	47.1%
	DK/NA	124	34	20	27	43
Total	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Extremely important	1	0	1	0	0
	Very important	0.3%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
	Somewhat important	2	2	0	0	0
	Not at all important	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	446	90	64	123	168

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	54 12.0%	13 14.5%	5 7.1%	12 9.4%	25 14.6%
	Very important	159 35.7%	28 31.0%	24 38.0%	41 33.2%	66 39.1%
	Somewhat important	210 47.0%	46 50.7%	29 45.5%	64 52.3%	70 41.8%
	Not at all important	23 5.2%	3 3.8%	6 9.4%	6 4.8%	8 4.5%
	DK/NA	0 0.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.4%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important	218 48.8%	28 30.7%	25 39.6%	71 57.4%	94 55.8%
	Very important	164 36.7%	45 49.4%	30 46.5%	37 29.8%	53 31.3%
	Somewhat important	56 12.5%	16 17.8%	7 11.6%	14 11.5%	18 10.6%
	Not at all important	8 1.8%	2 2.1%	1 2.3%	1 0.7%	4 2.4%
	DK/NA	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important	81 18.1%	18 19.7%	9 13.6%	22 18.0%	32 19.1%
	Very important	158 35.5%	38 42.2%	21 32.3%	39 31.3%	61 36.1%
	Somewhat important	143 32.1%	24 26.3%	23 35.2%	48 39.2%	49 28.9%
	Not at all important	45 10.1%	7 7.6%	7 11.2%	13 10.5%	18 10.8%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	4 4.1%	5 7.7%	1 1.0%	9 5.1%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important	64 14.3%	12 13.7%	7 10.1%	17 13.5%	28 16.8%
	Very important	161 36.2%	30 33.4%	18 28.5%	49 39.7%	64 38.0%
	Somewhat important	157 35.2%	40 44.3%	20 31.1%	43 34.9%	54 32.1%
	Not at all important	57 12.7%	8 8.5%	16 25.0%	12 10.1%	20 12.1%
	DK/NA	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	3 5.2%	2 1.7%	2 1.1%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	157 35.2%	12 13.7%	18 27.4%	49 39.4%	78 46.6%
	Very important	192 43.1%	41 45.2%	28 43.5%	56 45.6%	67 40.0%
	Somewhat important	68 15.3%	25 28.1%	10 15.5%	13 10.9%	19 11.6%
	Not at all important	17 3.7%	6 6.2%	4 6.7%	4 2.9%	3 1.8%
	DK/NA	12 2.7%	6 6.7%	4 6.8%	1 1.2%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	237 53.2%	36 40.3%	26 40.9%	76 62.1%	98 58.3%
	Very important	171 38.4%	44 48.9%	31 48.1%	38 30.8%	58 34.6%
	Somewhat important	35 8.0%	9 9.6%	6 9.5%	9 7.1%	12 7.1%
	Not at all important	2 0.4%	1 1.2%	1 1.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	1 1.2%	1 1.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	128 28.6%	29 31.7%	13 19.6%	28 22.8%	58 34.7%
	Very important	152 34.1%	34 38.1%	21 33.1%	43 35.1%	53 31.6%
	Somewhat important	123 27.7%	20 22.5%	20 30.7%	43 34.7%	41 24.1%
	Not at all important	32 7.2%	2 2.2%	5 8.2%	9 7.4%	16 9.5%
	DK/NA	10 2.3%	5 5.5%	5 8.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	66 14.8%	11 12.3%	12 19.4%	22 18.0%	20 12.1%
	Very important	147 33.0%	38 41.6%	25 39.2%	32 26.0%	52 31.2%
	Somewhat important	154 34.4%	20 22.3%	13 20.7%	54 44.0%	66 39.2%
	Not at all important	66 14.8%	17 19.3%	13 19.6%	11 8.9%	25 15.0%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	4 4.5%	1 1.1%	4 3.1%	4 2.6%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	63 14.2%	13 14.6%	10 15.9%	21 17.3%	19 11.0%
	Very important	149 33.5%	33 36.0%	26 41.2%	32 25.9%	58 34.7%
	Somewhat important	149 33.4%	26 29.0%	13 19.9%	53 43.0%	57 33.9%
	Not at all important	71 15.9%	15 16.1%	14 21.4%	13 10.4%	30 17.8%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	4 4.3%	1 1.7%	4 3.4%	4 2.6%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
5N. Youth facilities	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Extremely important	68	16	6	26	20
	Very important	174	36	22	44	72
	Somewhat important	152	31	15	44	61
	Not at all important	41	4	16	6	15
	DK/NA	11	3	6	2	0
		2.5%	3.5%	8.8%	1.9%	0.0%
5O. Youth programs	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Extremely important	77	19	8	25	26
	Very important	187	47	24	45	71
	Somewhat important	131	19	16	44	52
	Not at all important	42	5	10	8	19
	DK/NA	10	1	6	2	0
		2.1%	1.4%	9.3%	1.5%	0.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
5A. Police services	Extremely important			AB	A
	Very important	CD			
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a	
5C. Street tree maintenance	Extremely important				
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				.a
	Not at all important		.a		.a
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Extremely important			A	
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a		.a	.a
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	AC		.a
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important				AB
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5N. Youth facilities	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	AC		.a
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important				AB
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a
5N. Youth facilities	Extremely important				.a
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important	.a	.a		.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
50. Youth programs	Extremely important				
	Very important				
	Somewhat important				
	Not at all important				
	DK/NA		D		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
5A. Police services	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Extremely important	233	22	43	22	30	116
	Very important	162	21	20	23	32	67
	Somewhat important	43	8	6	6	4	18
	Not at all important	6	0	1	0	3	2
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	2
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Extremely important	186	18	39	26	23	80
	Very important	203	30	25	9	35	104
	Somewhat important	54	3	7	16	10	19
	Not at all important	2	0	0	0	1	1
	DK/NA	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.6%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Extremely important	82	4	19	12	14	33
	Very important	194	16	31	21	28	98
	Somewhat important	160	30	17	18	27	68
	Not at all important	8	2	3	0	0	4
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	1

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Extremely important	116	5	22	11	22	57
	Very important	203	25	30	28	23	97
	Somewhat important	124	20	19	13	25	48
	Not at all important	1	0	0	0	0	1
	DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	0
5E. Street sweeping services	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Extremely important	54	6	16	6	5	19
	Very important	159	15	31	15	21	77
	Somewhat important	210	30	23	27	40	89
	Not at all important	23	0	0	2	3	18
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
5F. Traffic safety	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Extremely important	218	18	44	23	26	107
	Very important	164	23	21	17	31	72
	Somewhat important	56	9	5	11	8	23
	Not at all important	8	2	1	0	3	2
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	1	0
5G. Economic development efforts	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Extremely important	81	13	16	11	10	32
	Very important	158	16	20	21	28	73
	Somewhat important	143	17	25	15	19	67
	Not at all important	45	2	5	4	11	23
	DK/NA	18	4	4	0	2	9
5H. Sports fields	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Extremely important	64	5	12	9	12	25
	Very important	161	12	25	23	20	81
	Somewhat important	157	29	25	17	22	64
	Not at all important	57	5	7	2	13	29
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Not at all important	12.7%	8.9%	10.5%	4.6%	18.5%	14.4%
DK/NA	7	0	1	0	2	4
	1.6%	0.9%	2.0%	0.0%	2.6%	1.7%
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
5I. Managing land use						
Extremely important	157	14	30	17	18	77
	35.2%	27.4%	43.0%	33.9%	26.6%	37.7%
Very important	192	18	26	26	33	89
	43.1%	35.4%	36.3%	51.6%	48.3%	43.6%
Somewhat important	68	16	11	4	13	25
	15.3%	30.5%	15.5%	7.9%	18.3%	12.2%
Not at all important	17	2	2	3	3	7
	3.7%	3.2%	2.5%	6.6%	4.3%	3.4%
DK/NA	12	2	2	0	2	7
	2.7%	3.4%	2.8%	0.0%	2.4%	3.2%
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
5J. Fire protection services						
Extremely important	237	18	46	30	27	116
	53.2%	34.3%	65.1%	59.1%	39.6%	57.0%
Very important	171	27	19	16	36	74
	38.4%	51.6%	27.2%	30.5%	51.8%	36.4%
Somewhat important	35	7	5	5	5	13
	8.0%	14.2%	7.7%	10.4%	7.1%	6.1%
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	1	1
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.5%
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs						
Extremely important	128	13	26	15	23	49
	28.6%	26.1%	37.2%	30.2%	33.7%	24.2%
Very important	152	23	23	11	21	74
	34.1%	45.4%	33.2%	21.8%	29.8%	36.1%
Somewhat important	123	12	16	21	19	56
	27.7%	22.8%	22.0%	41.6%	27.5%	27.4%
Not at all important	32	1	2	3	6	19
	7.2%	2.0%	3.4%	6.5%	9.0%	9.5%
DK/NA	10	2	3	0	0	6
	2.3%	3.7%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
5L. Senior facilities						
Extremely important	66	7	12	10	6	31
	14.8%	13.8%	17.7%	19.3%	8.7%	15.0%
Very important	147	20	22	7	23	76
	33.0%	39.3%	31.4%	13.2%	32.7%	37.1%
Somewhat important	154	16	28	26	19	64
	34.4%	31.5%	39.3%	51.0%	27.9%	31.6%
Not at all important	66	5	7	4	20	29
	14.8%	9.2%	10.5%	8.5%	29.5%	14.4%
DK/NA	13	3	1	4	1	4
	2.9%	6.2%	1.1%	8.0%	1.3%	1.9%
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
5M. Senior programs						
Extremely important	63	7	12	8	6	31
	14.2%	13.8%	16.4%	15.9%	8.1%	15.1%
Very important	149	15	24	15	25	70
	33.5%	28.7%	34.5%	29.9%	36.3%	34.3%
Somewhat important	149	19	24	20	17	69
	33.4%	37.3%	33.7%	38.7%	25.2%	33.8%

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Not at all important	71	5	7	6	20	32
	15.9%	10.3%	10.3%	11.6%	29.1%	15.9%
DK/NA	13	5	4	2	1	2
	3.0%	9.9%	5.1%	3.9%	1.3%	0.9%
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
5N. Youth facilities						
Extremely important	68	7	15	8	18	20
	15.2%	14.2%	20.8%	16.0%	25.4%	9.8%
Very important	174	19	36	15	20	85
	39.1%	36.8%	50.8%	29.8%	28.5%	41.5%
Somewhat important	152	24	14	22	21	71
	34.0%	46.9%	20.6%	42.6%	29.8%	34.7%
Not at all important	41	0	6	3	11	22
	9.3%	0.0%	7.8%	5.5%	16.3%	10.7%
DK/NA	11	1	0	3	0	7
	2.5%	2.1%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	3.4%
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
5O. Youth programs						
Extremely important	77	10	18	7	16	26
	17.3%	20.1%	25.3%	13.6%	22.7%	12.8%
Very important	187	26	32	20	21	88
	41.9%	50.5%	45.0%	38.8%	30.6%	43.2%
Somewhat important	131	12	16	19	19	65
	29.4%	22.9%	22.6%	37.0%	28.2%	31.8%
Not at all important	42	1	5	5	13	17
	9.3%	1.8%	7.1%	10.6%	18.5%	8.6%
DK/NA	10	2	0	0	0	7
	2.1%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
5A. Police services	Extremely important					
	Very important					
	Somewhat important					
	Not at all important	.a		.a		
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	DK/NA		.a	.a	.a	
	Extremely important					
	Very important	C			C	C
	Somewhat important			A B E		
5C. Street tree maintenance	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a		
	Extremely important					
	Very important					
	Somewhat important	B E				
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Not at all important			.a	.a	
	DK/NA		.a	.a	.a	
	Extremely important		A		A	
	Very important					
5E. Street sweeping services	Somewhat important					
	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a	.a	
	DK/NA					.a
	Extremely important		E			
5F. Traffic safety	Very important				B	
	Somewhat important	.a	.a	.a	.a	
	Not at all important					
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a		.a
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important		A D			
	Very important					
	Somewhat important				.a	
	Not at all important					
5H. Sports fields	DK/NA			.a		
	Extremely important					
	Very important	E				
	Somewhat important					
5I. Managing land use	Not at all important					
	DK/NA					
	Extremely important	C E				
	Very important			.a		
5J. Fire protection services	Somewhat important		A D		B	A
	Not at all important					
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a		
	Extremely important					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important					
	Very important					
	Somewhat important					
	Not at all important			.a	.a	
5L. Senior facilities	DK/NA					
	Extremely important					
	Very important	C				C
	Somewhat important				B C E	
5M. Senior programs	Not at all important					
	DK/NA					
	Extremely important					
	Very important					
5N. Youth facilities	Somewhat important					
	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a	.a	
	DK/NA					
	Extremely important					
5O. Youth programs	Very important					
	Somewhat important	B				
	Not at all important	.a	.a	.a	.a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
5A. Police services	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Extremely important	231	68	39	36	21	31
	Very important	162	33	28	15	26	20
	Somewhat important	43	15	8	4	5	2
	Not at all important	6	3	0	0	2	0
	DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	0
			0.5%	1.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Extremely important	186	58	28	25	18	22
	Very important	201	53	41	26	26	24
	Somewhat important	54	9	8	4	9	7
	Not at all important	2	0	0	0	1	0
	DK/NA	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
			42.0%	48.2%	36.5%	45.2%	32.7%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Extremely important	81	23	14	15	7	10
	Very important	193	53	32	27	23	25
	Somewhat important	160	43	27	12	22	19
	Not at all important	8	1	2	1	1	0
	DK/NA	2	1	0	0	1	0
			18.2%	18.8%	18.9%	26.9%	13.1%
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Extremely important	116	31	21	17	18	7
	Very important	203	50	37	26	24	29
	Somewhat important	122	40	17	10	11	17
	Not at all important	1	0	0	1	0	0
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	0
			0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
5A. Police services	Total	73	2	10
	Extremely important	32	1	4
	Very important	31	1	7
	Somewhat important	9	0	0
	Not at all important	1	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0
			0.0%	0.0%
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	73	2	10
	Extremely important	30	1	5
	Very important	29	0	2
	Somewhat important	13	1	4
	Not at all important	1	0	0
	DK/NA	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
			40.8%	58.4%
5C. Street tree maintenance	Total	73	2	10
	Extremely important	12	0	0
	Very important	29	1	3
	Somewhat important	31	1	5
	Not at all important	1	0	2
	DK/NA	0	0	0
			16.8%	0.0%
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	73	2	10
	Extremely important	21	0	0
	Very important	34	1	1
	Somewhat important	18	1	8
	Not at all important	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	2
			0.0%	0.0%
Total	73	2	10	

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	54 12.1%	15 12.5%	9 12.1%	8 13.9%	3 5.4%	10 19.2%
	Very important	158 35.6%	36 29.6%	29 37.7%	22 40.8%	19 36.2%	19 35.5%
	Somewhat important	209 47.0%	61 50.5%	37 48.2%	20 36.4%	28 51.8%	23 43.7%
	Not at all important	23 5.2%	9 7.4%	1 1.4%	5 8.9%	4 6.6%	1 1.6%
	DK/NA	0 0.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important	216 48.6%	59 48.9%	38 49.8%	31 56.0%	18 32.9%	33 61.7%
	Very important	164 36.9%	40 33.5%	25 32.7%	20 36.0%	26 48.0%	19 34.9%
	Somewhat important	56 12.5%	18 15.0%	10 13.1%	4 6.8%	9 16.1%	2 3.5%
	Not at all important	8 1.8%	3 2.6%	3 3.5%	1 1.2%	2 3.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	1 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important	81 18.2%	22 17.8%	11 14.1%	12 22.3%	14 25.8%	13 24.3%
	Very important	158 35.6%	47 39.0%	28 36.8%	23 41.2%	12 22.6%	15 27.7%
	Somewhat important	142 32.1%	34 28.5%	26 34.6%	13 23.4%	15 27.9%	21 40.1%
	Not at all important	44 9.9%	10 8.6%	9 11.5%	4 8.1%	10 18.3%	1 1.8%
	DK/NA	18 4.2%	7 6.1%	2 3.0%	3 4.8%	3 5.4%	3 6.1%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important	64 14.4%	17 14.3%	9 11.8%	10 18.8%	4 7.4%	8 15.7%
	Very important	161 36.2%	45 37.1%	31 41.1%	19 35.0%	23 43.4%	13 23.6%
	Somewhat important	157 35.4%	45 36.9%	23 30.6%	16 30.0%	20 37.8%	26 48.2%
	Not at all important	55 12.5%	11 9.5%	10 13.2%	7 13.3%	6 11.4%	7 12.5%
	DK/NA	7 1.6%	3 2.1%	3 3.3%	2 2.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	8 10.6%	0 0.0%	1 9.6%
	Very important	28 38.8%	1 58.4%	4 34.9%
	Somewhat important	33 45.6%	1 41.6%	6 55.5%
	Not at all important	4 5.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	73	2	10
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important	32 43.7%	1 58.4%	5 44.5%
	Very important	31 42.8%	1 41.6%	2 22.9%
	Somewhat important	10 13.5%	0 0.0%	3 32.6%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	73	2	10
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important	10 13.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very important	27 37.4%	0 0.0%	6 60.4%
	Somewhat important	27 37.1%	2 100.0%	4 35.4%
	Not at all important	9 12.4%	0 0.0%	0 4.2%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	73	2	10
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important	12 16.3%	1 58.4%	2 18.7%
	Very important	27 36.5%	0 0.0%	3 25.8%
	Somewhat important	24 32.9%	0 0.0%	3 25.6%
	Not at all important	11 14.4%	1 41.6%	3 24.6%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.4%
	Total	73	2	10

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	156 35.1%	41 33.9%	30 38.8%	23 42.7%	15 28.2%	25 46.8%
	Very important	191 43.1%	55 45.8%	33 43.7%	24 43.0%	22 40.0%	18 34.3%
	Somewhat important	68 15.4%	18 14.9%	8 10.6%	6 10.5%	14 26.1%	4 8.0%
	Not at all important	17 3.8%	3 2.5%	4 5.0%	1 2.4%	2 2.8%	2 3.9%
	DK/NA	12 2.7%	3 2.9%	1 1.9%	1 1.5%	2 3.0%	4 7.1%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	235 53.0%	65 53.4%	43 56.5%	34 62.2%	29 52.9%	29 55.4%
	Very important	171 38.6%	50 41.1%	24 32.0%	18 33.4%	21 38.8%	16 30.7%
	Somewhat important	35 8.0%	7 5.5%	8 10.2%	1 2.5%	4 8.3%	7 13.8%
	Not at all important	2 0.5%	0 0.0%	1 1.3%	1 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.5%	0 0.0%	1 1.3%	1 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	128 28.8%	43 35.5%	32 41.8%	14 24.8%	1 2.5%	22 40.5%
	Very important	152 34.3%	48 39.8%	21 27.8%	14 24.9%	19 34.9%	15 28.7%
	Somewhat important	123 27.6%	23 19.4%	18 23.1%	21 37.6%	19 34.6%	16 30.9%
	Not at all important	31 7.0%	1 1.1%	3 4.1%	5 9.8%	14 25.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	10 2.3%	5 4.1%	2 2.7%	2 2.9%	1 2.5%	0 0.0%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	66 14.9%	23 18.8%	6 7.7%	15 27.1%	5 8.6%	8 14.8%
	Very important	147 33.2%	54 45.1%	28 36.7%	15 27.3%	15 28.1%	15 27.7%
	Somewhat important	152 34.1%	32 26.9%	24 32.1%	19 33.8%	18 32.8%	21 40.3%
	Not at all important	66 14.9%	10 8.3%	16 20.7%	6 11.8%	15 27.6%	5 9.4%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	1 0.8%	2 2.7%	0 0.0%	2 3.0%	4 7.8%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	63 14.2%	24 19.6%	8 11.2%	13 24.5%	4 7.2%	7 12.2%
	Very important	149 33.6%	49 40.7%	24 32.1%	18 32.7%	18 33.6%	11 21.0%
	Somewhat important	147 33.1%	36 29.6%	27 36.1%	16 29.3%	12 23.1%	25 46.7%
	Not at all important	71 16.0%	12 9.7%	12 15.6%	7 13.6%	18 33.1%	7 14.1%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	1 0.5%	4 5.0%	0 0.0%	2 3.0%	3 6.0%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	20 27.1%	0 0.0%	2 18.7%
	Very important	35 47.9%	1 58.4%	3 33.5%
	Somewhat important	15 20.7%	1 41.6%	2 22.0%
	Not at all important	2 3.2%	0 0.0%	3 25.8%
	DK/NA	1 1.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	73	2	10
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	30 41.0%	1 58.4%	5 44.8%
	Very important	38 51.9%	1 41.6%	3 30.0%
	Somewhat important	5 7.1%	0 0.0%	3 25.3%
	Not at all important	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Total	73	2	10	
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	16 21.3%	1 58.4%	0 0.0%
	Very important	27 37.2%	1 41.6%	7 70.2%
	Somewhat important	23 32.1%	0 0.0%	2 23.9%
	Not at all important	7 9.4%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	73	2	10
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	7 9.6%	0 0.0%	3 29.3%
	Very important	16 21.7%	1 58.4%	3 30.0%
	Somewhat important	33 45.2%	1 41.6%	3 31.6%
	Not at all important	13 18.0%	0 0.0%	1 9.1%
	DK/NA	4 5.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	73	2	10
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	5 7.3%	0 0.0%	2 18.7%
	Very important	23 31.5%	2 100.0%	4 36.4%
	Somewhat important	27 36.6%	0 0.0%	4 35.8%
	Not at all important	14 18.8%	0 0.0%	1 9.1%
	DK/NA	4 5.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	73	2	10

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
5N. Youth facilities	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Extremely important	68	24	10	9	5	9
	Very important	174	53	31	23	17	15
	Somewhat important	151	33	25	15	21	26
	Not at all important	40	10	8	5	11	1
	DK/NA	11	1	2	3	0	1
			2.5%	1.1%	2.8%	4.7%	0.0%
5O. Youth programs	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Extremely important	77	28	13	9	5	12
	Very important	186	47	35	21	24	19
	Somewhat important	131	34	21	19	16	20
	Not at all important	40	8	5	3	9	2
	DK/NA	10	3	2	2	0	0
			2.2%	2.6%	2.7%	4.4%	0.0%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
5N. Youth facilities	Total	73	2	10
	Extremely important	10	0	0
	Very important	31	1	3
	Somewhat important	23	1	7
	Not at all important	5	0	0
	DK/NA	4	0	1
5O. Youth programs	Total	73	2	10
	Extremely important	7	0	2
	Very important	35	1	3
	Somewhat important	18	1	3
	Not at all important	11	0	2
	DK/NA	1	0	1

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5A. Police services	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important		b	b		b	
	Not at all important			b		b	b
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important		b	b		b	
	Not at all important						
5C. Street tree maintenance	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important					b	
	Not at all important			b		b	b
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important	b	b		b	b	b
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	b	b	b	b	b	b
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important	b		b	b	b	b
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important					b	b
	Not at all important	b		b	b	b	b
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important						b
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important					b	b
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important						
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	DK/NA	b			b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
5A. Police services	Extremely important	a	
	Very important	a	
	Somewhat important	a,b	b
	Not at all important	a,b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	b
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Extremely important	a	
	Very important	a,b	
	Somewhat important	a	
	Not at all important	a,b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	b
5C. Street tree maintenance	Extremely important	a	
	Very important	a	
	Somewhat important	a	
	Not at all important	a,b	A
	DK/NA	a,b	b
5D. Street pavement maintenance	Extremely important	a	
	Very important	a	
	Somewhat important	a	B C D F
	Not at all important	a,b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	b
5E. Street sweeping services	Extremely important	a	
	Very important	a	
	Somewhat important	a	
	Not at all important	a,b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	b
5F. Traffic safety	Extremely important	a	
	Very important	a	
	Somewhat important	a,b	E
	Not at all important	a,b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	b
5G. Economic development efforts	Extremely important	a,b	b
	Very important	a,b	
	Somewhat important	a,b	
	Not at all important	a,b	
	DK/NA	a,b	b
5H. Sports fields	Extremely important	a	
	Very important	a,b	
	Somewhat important	a	
	Not at all important	a	
	DK/NA	a,b	
5I. Managing land use	Extremely important	a,b	
	Very important	a	
	Somewhat important	a	
	Not at all important	a,b	A
	DK/NA	a,b	b
5J. Fire protection services	Extremely important	a	
	Very important	a	
	Somewhat important	a,b	
	Not at all important	a,b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	D	D	D			
	Very important						
	Somewhat important				A B	b	
	Not at all important					b	b
	DK/NA					b	b
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important						
	Very important	F					
	Somewhat important				A		
	Not at all important			b			
	DK/NA						
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important				A		
	Not at all important						
	DK/NA			b			
5N. Youth facilities	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important					E	
	Not at all important					b	
	DK/NA						
5O. Youth programs	Extremely important						
	Very important						
	Somewhat important						
	Not at all important						
	DK/NA				b	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely important	a	b
	Very important	a	.
	Somewhat important	a,b	.
	Not at all important	a,b	.
	DK/NA	a,b	b
5L. Senior facilities	Extremely important	a,b	.
	Very important	a	.
	Somewhat important	a	.
	Not at all important	a,b	.
	DK/NA	a,b	b
5M. Senior programs	Extremely important	a,b	.
	Very important	a,b	.
	Somewhat important	a,b	.
	Not at all important	a,b	.
	DK/NA	a,b	b
5N. Youth facilities	Extremely important	a,b	b
	Very important	a	.
	Somewhat important	a	.
	Not at all important	a,b	.
	DK/NA	a,b	.
5O. Youth programs	Extremely important	a,b	.
	Very important	a	.
	Somewhat important	a	.
	Not at all important	a,b	.
	DK/NA	a,b	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
6A. Police services	Total	446	446
	Very satisfied	269	269
		60.4%	60.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	140	140
		31.4%	31.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12	12
		2.7%	2.7%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very dissatisfied	2	2
		0.4%	0.4%
	DK/NA	23	23
	5.1%	5.1%	
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	446
	Very satisfied	296	296
		66.4%	66.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	133	133
		29.8%	29.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8	8
		1.9%	1.9%
6D. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	9	9
		1.9%	1.9%
	Total	446	446
	Very satisfied	130	130
		29.1%	29.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	216	216
		48.4%	48.4%
6E. Street lighting	Somewhat dissatisfied	39	39
		8.7%	8.7%
	Very dissatisfied	27	27
		6.0%	6.0%
	DK/NA	35	35
		7.8%	7.8%
	6F. Street cleaning	Total	446
Very satisfied		122	122
		27.3%	27.3%
Somewhat satisfied		205	205
		46.0%	46.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied		80	80
		18.0%	18.0%
6G. Street repaving	Very dissatisfied	20	20
		4.4%	4.4%
	DK/NA	19	19
		4.3%	4.3%
	Total	446	446

		Total	
		Total	Total
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	203 45.6%	203 45.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 40.3%	180 40.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 5.6%	25 5.6%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.4%	11 2.4%
	DK/NA	27 6.1%	27 6.1%
	Total	446	446
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	114 25.6%	114 25.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 47.8%	213 47.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 15.9%	71 15.9%
	Very dissatisfied	35 7.8%	35 7.8%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	13 3.0%
	Total	446	446
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	59 13.3%	59 13.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	144 32.3%	144 32.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	86 19.2%	86 19.2%
	Very dissatisfied	38 8.6%	38 8.6%
	DK/NA	119 26.7%	119 26.7%
	Total	446	446
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	116 26.1%	116 26.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	168 37.6%	168 37.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35 7.9%	35 7.9%
	Very dissatisfied	12 2.7%	12 2.7%
	DK/NA	115 25.7%	115 25.7%
	Total	446	446

		Total	
		Total	Total
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	59 13.2%	59 13.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	149 33.4%	149 33.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	110 24.7%	110 24.7%
	Very dissatisfied	41 9.2%	41 9.2%
	DK/NA	87 19.5%	87 19.5%
	Total	446	446
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	279 62.5%	279 62.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	101 22.6%	101 22.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 1.5%	7 1.5%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.2%	1 0.2%
	DK/NA	59 13.2%	59 13.2%
	Total	446	446
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	99 22.2%	99 22.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	191 42.9%	191 42.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 6.7%	30 6.7%
	Very dissatisfied	8 1.8%	8 1.8%
	DK/NA	118 26.4%	118 26.4%
	Total	446	446
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	62 13.9%	62 13.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	139 31.1%	139 31.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34 7.7%	34 7.7%
	Very dissatisfied	17 3.8%	17 3.8%
	DK/NA	194 43.5%	194 43.5%
	Total	446	446

		Total	
		Total	Total
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	83 18.7%	83 18.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.3%	140 31.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	23 5.3%
	Very dissatisfied	10 2.3%	10 2.3%
	DK/NA	189 42.5%	189 42.5%
	Total	446	446
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	68 15.2%	68 15.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	161 36.1%	161 36.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	56 12.6%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	9 2.1%
	DK/NA	152 34.1%	152 34.1%
	Total	446	446
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	69 15.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	175 39.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	36 8.0%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	7 1.6%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	159 35.8%
	Total	446	446

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
6C. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
	Very satisfied	.
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
6A. Police services	Total	446	213	231	2
	Very satisfied	269	134	135	0
		60.4%	62.8%	58.6%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	140	70	68	2
		31.4%	32.7%	29.7%	100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12	2	10	0
		2.7%	0.9%	4.4%	0.0%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very dissatisfied	2	0	2	0
		0.4%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
	DK/NA	23	8	15	0
		5.1%	3.6%	6.6%	0.0%
	Total	446	213	231	2
6C. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	296	146	149	1
		66.4%	68.2%	64.8%	58.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	133	64	69	0
		29.8%	30.1%	29.8%	0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8	1	7	1
6D. Street pavement maintenance		1.9%	0.4%	2.9%	41.3%
	DK/NA	9	3	6	0
		1.9%	1.3%	2.5%	0.0%
	Total	446	213	231	2
	Very satisfied	130	69	61	0
6E. Street lighting		29.1%	32.1%	26.6%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	216	96	119	1
		48.4%	45.1%	51.4%	41.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39	25	13	1
		8.7%	11.5%	5.7%	58.7%
6F. Street cleaning	Very dissatisfied	27	10	16	0
		6.0%	4.9%	7.1%	0.0%
	DK/NA	35	14	21	0
		7.8%	6.4%	9.2%	0.0%
	Total	446	213	231	2
6G. Street maintenance	Very satisfied	122	58	63	0
		27.3%	27.2%	27.5%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	205	99	105	1
		46.0%	46.5%	45.5%	58.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	80	45	36	0
6H. Street safety		18.0%	20.9%	15.4%	0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	20	9	11	0
		4.4%	4.1%	4.8%	0.0%
	DK/NA	19	3	16	1
		4.3%	1.3%	6.8%	41.3%
Total	446	213	231	2	

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	203 45.6%	111 52.2%	91 39.3%	1 58.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 40.3%	82 38.4%	97 42.2%	1 41.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 5.6%	11 5.0%	14 6.1%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.4%	4 1.9%	7 3.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	27 6.1%	5 2.5%	22 9.5%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	213	231	2
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	114 25.6%	66 31.0%	48 20.8%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 47.8%	94 44.1%	119 51.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 15.9%	42 19.7%	29 12.5%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	35 7.8%	8 3.7%	26 11.1%	1 58.7%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	3 1.5%	9 4.0%	1 41.3%
	Total	446	213	231	2
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	59 13.3%	39 18.0%	21 9.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	144 32.3%	72 33.5%	72 31.4%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	86 19.2%	30 14.2%	54 23.5%	1 58.7%
	Very dissatisfied	38 8.6%	18 8.6%	20 8.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	119 26.7%	55 25.6%	64 27.6%	1 41.3%
	Total	446	213	231	2
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	116 26.1%	66 30.8%	50 21.5%	1 58.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	168 37.6%	81 38.0%	87 37.6%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35 7.9%	11 5.2%	24 10.5%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	12 2.7%	7 3.3%	5 2.2%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	115 25.7%	49 22.8%	65 28.2%	1 41.3%
	Total	446	213	231	2

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	59 13.2%	31 14.7%	28 12.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	149 33.4%	78 36.3%	71 30.9%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	110 24.7%	50 23.5%	60 25.9%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	41 9.2%	14 6.8%	25 10.7%	2 100.0%
	DK/NA	87 19.5%	40 18.7%	47 20.4%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	213	231	2
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	279 62.5%	133 62.4%	144 62.3%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	101 22.6%	52 24.5%	49 21.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 1.5%	4 2.0%	2 1.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.2%	1 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	59 13.2%	23 10.6%	36 15.7%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	213	231	2
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	99 22.2%	50 23.6%	49 21.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	191 42.9%	86 40.2%	104 45.3%	1 58.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 6.7%	12 5.4%	18 7.9%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	8 1.8%	4 2.1%	4 1.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	118 26.4%	61 28.7%	56 24.1%	1 41.3%
	Total	446	213	231	2
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	62 13.9%	35 16.4%	27 11.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	139 31.1%	60 28.2%	78 34.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34 7.7%	16 7.4%	18 8.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	17 3.8%	5 2.1%	13 5.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	194 43.5%	98 45.8%	94 40.8%	2 100.0%
	Total	446	213	231	2

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	83 18.7%	40 18.8%	43 18.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.3%	71 33.5%	68 29.6%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	10 4.5%	14 6.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	10 2.3%	3 1.2%	8 3.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	189 42.5%	90 42.1%	98 42.4%	2 100.0%
	Total	446	213	231	2
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	68 15.2%	35 16.2%	32 13.9%	1 58.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	161 36.1%	80 37.7%	80 34.9%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	22 10.4%	34 14.7%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	2 1.1%	7 3.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	152 34.1%	74 34.6%	77 33.5%	1 41.3%
	Total	446	213	231	2
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	36 16.7%	32 13.8%	1 58.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	84 39.5%	91 39.3%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	11 5.2%	24 10.6%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	3 1.5%	4 1.8%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	79 37.1%	79 34.5%	1 41.3%
	Total	446	213	231	2

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	A	a
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			a
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			A B
	DK/NA			a
6C. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			B
	Very dissatisfied			a
6D. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA			a
	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
6E. Street sweeping services	DK/NA		A	A
	Very satisfied	B		
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied			a
6F. Traffic safety	DK/NA		A	a
	Very satisfied	B		a
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B		a
	Very dissatisfied		A	A
6G. Economic development efforts	DK/NA			A B
	Very satisfied	B		a
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		A	a
	Very dissatisfied			a
6H. Sports fields	DK/NA			a
	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied		A	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
6I. Managing land use	DK/NA			a
	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied			a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a
	DK/NA		a	a
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied		a	a
	Somewhat satisfied		a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a
6L. Senior facilities	Very dissatisfied		a	a
	DK/NA		a	a
	Very satisfied		a	a
6M. Senior programs	Somewhat satisfied		a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a
	DK/NA		a	a
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied		a	a
	Somewhat satisfied		a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a
6O. Youth programs	DK/NA		a	a
	Very dissatisfied	A	a	a
	Very satisfied		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6A. Police services	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Very satisfied	269	37	21	39	84	82	6
		60.4%	62.4%	60.8%	48.4%	59.8%	66.2%	87.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	140	20	10	30	44	34	1
		31.4%	34.2%	28.4%	37.6%	31.7%	27.7%	12.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12	0	1	5	4	2	0
		2.7%	0.0%	2.9%	5.7%	2.8%	2.0%	0.0%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very dissatisfied	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	23	2	3	5	8	5	0
		5.1%	3.4%	7.9%	6.3%	5.6%	4.2%	0.0%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Very satisfied	296	33	23	44	100	91	5
		66.4%	55.8%	67.4%	54.4%	71.2%	73.6%	66.8%
6C. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	133	21	11	33	37	29	2
		29.8%	34.8%	32.6%	41.2%	26.1%	23.1%	33.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8	6	0	0	3	0	0
		1.9%	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	9	0	0	4	1	4	0
		1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.7%	3.3%	0.0%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	130	25	9	15	44	34	3
		29.1%	42.1%	27.1%	19.0%	31.1%	27.1%	41.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	216	29	22	48	58	57	2
		48.4%	48.3%	64.8%	59.7%	41.2%	45.9%	25.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39	2	1	9	12	14	1
		8.7%	3.0%	2.2%	11.0%	8.8%	11.6%	8.9%
	Very dissatisfied	27	2	1	2	13	9	0
	6.0%	3.2%	2.9%	2.8%	9.3%	6.9%	0.0%	
6D. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	35	2	1	6	13	11	2
		7.8%	3.4%	2.9%	7.5%	9.5%	8.5%	24.5%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Very satisfied	122	23	9	19	31	38	2
		27.3%	39.5%	25.4%	23.0%	22.1%	30.7%	23.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	205	27	17	36	65	56	4
		46.0%	45.1%	49.2%	45.0%	46.8%	44.9%	54.4%
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	80	9	8	16	27	19	2
		18.0%	15.4%	22.5%	20.1%	19.3%	15.0%	21.8%
	Very dissatisfied	20	0	1	6	8	4	0
		4.4%	0.0%	2.9%	7.7%	5.8%	3.4%	0.0%
	DK/NA	19	0	0	3	8	7	0
		4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	6.1%	6.0%	0.0%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	203 45.6%	32 54.2%	13 38.4%	35 43.7%	62 44.0%	57 45.6%	4 62.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 40.3%	21 36.0%	19 55.7%	30 37.1%	56 40.0%	52 41.6%	2 24.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 5.6%	2 3.2%	2 5.9%	10 12.3%	5 3.7%	5 3.9%	1 12.9%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.4%	2 3.2%	0 0.0%	2 2.8%	4 2.6%	3 2.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	27 6.1%	2 3.4%	0 0.0%	3 4.1%	14 9.8%	8 6.5%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	114 25.6%	23 39.5%	9 24.6%	9 11.0%	30 21.7%	37 29.4%	6 88.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 47.8%	27 44.7%	21 60.9%	37 46.0%	63 44.7%	65 52.3%	1 11.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 15.9%	4 6.1%	5 14.5%	22 27.0%	26 18.6%	14 11.6%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	35 7.8%	4 6.2%	0 0.0%	8 10.5%	18 13.0%	4 3.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	2 3.4%	0 0.0%	5 5.7%	3 2.0%	4 3.2%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	59 13.3%	10 17.5%	4 11.7%	8 10.5%	18 12.8%	18 14.1%	1 12.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	144 32.3%	25 41.3%	13 36.1%	29 35.9%	38 27.5%	39 31.1%	1 12.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	86 19.2%	7 12.3%	2 6.9%	14 17.9%	40 28.7%	21 17.2%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	38 8.6%	7 11.5%	4 12.5%	9 11.3%	8 5.8%	9 7.3%	1 9.4%
	DK/NA	119 26.7%	10 17.4%	11 32.7%	20 24.5%	35 25.2%	38 30.3%	5 65.1%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	116 26.1%	21 34.7%	7 21.4%	24 30.4%	36 25.6%	27 22.0%	1 9.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	168 37.6%	19 32.8%	13 37.8%	31 37.9%	56 39.7%	47 38.0%	2 25.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35 7.9%	9 14.9%	3 8.7%	9 10.7%	7 5.2%	7 6.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	12 2.7%	2 3.2%	0 0.0%	5 6.3%	4 3.1%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	115 25.7%	9 14.4%	11 32.1%	12 14.7%	37 26.3%	42 33.4%	5 65.1%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	59 13.2%	16 26.5%	3 9.2%	8 10.5%	12 8.2%	19 15.2%	1 18.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	149 33.4%	20 32.9%	14 39.2%	32 39.5%	42 30.4%	41 32.6%	1 12.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	110 24.7%	12 20.7%	8 23.3%	17 21.1%	36 26.0%	35 27.8%	2 24.5%
	Very dissatisfied	41 9.2%	7 12.0%	3 8.8%	6 7.6%	17 12.3%	7 5.4%	1 12.2%
	DK/NA	87 19.5%	5 7.9%	7 19.5%	17 21.3%	32 23.1%	24 19.0%	2 32.5%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	279 62.5%	42 70.3%	14 40.7%	45 55.8%	88 62.8%	83 66.9%	7 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	101 22.6%	11 18.3%	13 38.1%	17 20.9%	30 21.7%	30 23.9%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 1.5%	0 0.0%	2 5.5%	0 0.0%	4 2.8%	1 0.5%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	59 13.2%	7 11.4%	5 15.7%	19 23.3%	17 12.0%	11 8.7%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	99 22.2%	23 38.5%	6 18.7%	10 11.8%	27 19.5%	32 25.7%	1 12.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	191 42.9%	21 35.3%	12 35.4%	46 56.7%	60 42.6%	51 41.0%	2 25.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 6.7%	4 6.2%	2 4.6%	2 2.9%	13 9.6%	9 7.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	8 1.8%	2 3.2%	1 2.9%	0 0.0%	4 2.9%	0 0.4%	1 9.4%
	DK/NA	118 26.4%	10 16.8%	13 38.2%	23 28.5%	36 25.4%	32 25.8%	4 52.9%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	62 13.9%	17 28.7%	5 13.1%	10 11.8%	5 3.6%	23 18.3%	3 45.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	139 31.1%	19 32.0%	10 28.2%	20 24.9%	46 33.1%	43 34.9%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34 7.7%	4 6.8%	2 4.9%	1 1.2%	17 12.4%	9 7.5%	1 11.6%
	Very dissatisfied	17 3.8%	2 3.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 3.2%	10 8.0%	1 9.4%
	DK/NA	194 43.5%	17 29.3%	19 53.8%	50 62.0%	67 47.6%	39 31.3%	2 33.1%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	83 18.7%	21 35.6%	5 13.1%	8 10.5%	16 11.4%	31 24.7%	2 33.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.3%	13 22.1%	9 25.2%	23 28.0%	48 34.3%	47 37.4%	1 12.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	4 6.8%	2 4.9%	0 0.0%	7 5.1%	10 7.9%	1 11.6%
	Very dissatisfied	10 2.3%	2 3.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 3.0%	3 2.7%	1 9.4%
	DK/NA	189 42.5%	19 32.3%	20 56.8%	50 61.5%	65 46.3%	34 27.3%	2 33.1%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	68 15.2%	16 26.8%	2 6.2%	7 8.8%	25 18.0%	16 13.2%	1 12.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	161 36.1%	16 27.4%	14 40.5%	39 47.9%	47 33.4%	44 35.6%	1 12.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	13 21.1%	3 8.7%	11 13.8%	18 13.1%	10 8.4%	1 11.6%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	2 3.5%	1 2.3%	1 1.6%	3 1.9%	2 1.5%	1 9.4%
	DK/NA	152 34.1%	13 21.2%	15 42.3%	22 27.8%	47 33.7%	51 41.3%	4 53.6%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	11 18.3%	5 13.1%	11 13.5%	22 16.0%	18 14.7%	2 22.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	27 45.7%	11 32.7%	36 44.7%	56 40.3%	43 34.9%	1 12.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	9 15.6%	3 8.2%	7 8.9%	10 7.0%	7 5.3%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	5 3.7%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	12 20.5%	16 46.0%	25 31.3%	46 33.0%	55 44.4%	5 65.1%
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29 (A)	30-39 (B)	40-49 (C)	50-64 (D)	65+ (E)	Not coded (F)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a					.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a	.a	.a
	DK/NA						.a
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied	D	.a	.a		.a	.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a				.a
6C. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	C					
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						.a
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very dissatisfied						.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a				.a
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
6E. Street sweeping services	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied		.a				.a
	DK/NA		.a				.a
	Very satisfied	C				C	B C D E
	Somewhat satisfied						
6F. Traffic safety	Somewhat dissatisfied			A E			.a
	Very dissatisfied		.a		E		.a
	DK/NA		.a				.a
	Very satisfied						
6G. Economic development efforts	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						.a
	Very dissatisfied						
6H. Sports fields	DK/NA					C	A C
	Very satisfied	D					
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Very dissatisfied		.a				.a
6I. Managing land use	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied	B				B	.a
	Somewhat satisfied						.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		a			a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	a		a	a
	DK/NA			E			a
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	C					
	Somewhat satisfied						a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a			
6L. Senior facilities	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA			A E			
	Very satisfied	C D					
6M. Senior programs	Somewhat satisfied			a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a			
	DK/NA		E	A E	E		
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						a
6O. Youth programs	DK/NA	a	a				a
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA					A	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	Total	446	357	80	9
	Very satisfied	269	211	54	4
		60.4%	59.1%	67.3%	49.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	140	117	19	4
		31.4%	32.8%	23.3%	49.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12	10	2	0
		2.7%	2.9%	2.1%	0.0%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very dissatisfied	2	2	0	0
		0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	23	17	6	0
		5.1%	4.7%	7.3%	1.4%
	Total	446	357	80	9
	Very satisfied	296	238	53	4
		66.4%	66.8%	66.6%	49.2%
6C. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	133	108	23	2
		29.8%	30.2%	28.7%	24.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8	6	0	2
		1.9%	1.7%	0.0%	24.6%
	DK/NA	9	5	4	0
		1.9%	1.3%	4.8%	1.4%
	Total	446	357	80	9
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	130	91	36	4
		29.1%	25.4%	44.5%	40.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	216	179	33	4
		48.4%	50.1%	41.2%	44.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39	36	2	1
		8.7%	10.1%	2.1%	13.5%
	Very dissatisfied	27	23	4	0
	6.0%	6.3%	5.2%	0.0%	
6E. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	35	29	6	0
		7.8%	8.1%	7.0%	1.4%
	Total	446	357	80	9
	Very satisfied	122	97	21	4
		27.3%	27.1%	26.2%	42.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	205	163	38	4
		46.0%	45.8%	47.1%	44.7%
6F. Street lighting	Somewhat dissatisfied	80	68	12	0
		18.0%	19.2%	14.5%	2.1%
	Very dissatisfied	20	18	2	0
		4.4%	4.9%	2.6%	0.0%
	DK/NA	19	11	8	1
		4.3%	3.0%	9.7%	10.7%
	Total	446	357	80	9
6G. Street cleaning	Very satisfied	203	158	40	5
		45.6%	44.3%	50.3%	55.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	180	149	27	4
		40.3%	41.8%	33.7%	43.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	22	2	0
		5.6%	6.2%	3.1%	0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	11	0	0
	2.4%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
DK/NA	27 6.1%	17 4.7%	10 12.9%	0 1.4%
Total	446	357	80	9
6F. Traffic safety				
Very satisfied	114 25.6%	88 24.6%	24 29.4%	3 30.1%
Somewhat satisfied	213 47.8%	164 46.1%	45 55.9%	4 42.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied	71 15.9%	67 18.9%	2 2.6%	1 16.5%
Very dissatisfied	35 7.8%	27 7.6%	8 9.3%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	13 3.0%	10 2.8%	2 2.8%	1 10.7%
Total	446	357	80	9
6G. Economic development efforts				
Very satisfied	59 13.3%	38 10.6%	21 26.3%	1 5.6%
Somewhat satisfied	144 32.3%	115 32.1%	28 35.2%	1 12.4%
Somewhat dissatisfied	86 19.2%	76 21.3%	6 7.6%	4 42.5%
Very dissatisfied	38 8.6%	30 8.3%	7 8.7%	1 15.3%
DK/NA	119 26.7%	99 27.7%	18 22.2%	2 24.2%
Total	446	357	80	9
6H. Sports fields				
Very satisfied	116 26.1%	83 23.2%	31 38.8%	2 25.7%
Somewhat satisfied	168 37.6%	141 39.6%	24 29.8%	2 26.5%
Somewhat dissatisfied	35 7.9%	30 8.4%	3 4.0%	2 21.8%
Very dissatisfied	12 2.7%	10 2.9%	2 2.2%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	115 25.7%	92 25.8%	20 25.3%	2 26.0%
Total	446	357	80	9
6I. Managing land use				
Very satisfied	59 13.2%	34 9.4%	23 28.5%	3 30.1%
Somewhat satisfied	149 33.4%	124 34.7%	22 27.5%	3 35.3%
Somewhat dissatisfied	110 24.7%	94 26.4%	13 16.8%	2 24.0%
Very dissatisfied	41 9.2%	31 8.8%	9 11.2%	1 9.3%
DK/NA	87 19.5%	74 20.7%	13 16.0%	0 1.4%
Total	446	357	80	9
6J. Fire protection services				
Very satisfied	279 62.5%	230 64.5%	44 55.3%	4 47.9%
Somewhat satisfied	101 22.6%	80 22.4%	19 24.2%	1 15.3%

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
6J. Fire protection services				
Somewhat dissatisfied	7 1.5%	3 0.8%	4 4.5%	0 0.0%
Very dissatisfied	1 0.2%	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	59 13.2%	43 11.9%	13 16.0%	3 36.8%
Total	446	357	80	9
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs				
Very satisfied	99 22.2%	69 19.4%	28 35.3%	2 18.5%
Somewhat satisfied	191 42.9%	160 44.9%	29 36.6%	2 20.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied	30 6.7%	24 6.8%	4 5.2%	1 15.3%
Very dissatisfied	8 1.8%	6 1.8%	2 2.2%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	118 26.4%	97 27.2%	17 20.6%	4 46.0%
Total	446	357	80	9
6L. Senior facilities				
Very satisfied	62 13.9%	39 11.0%	21 26.1%	2 20.6%
Somewhat satisfied	139 31.1%	111 31.0%	25 31.5%	3 28.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied	34 7.7%	32 9.0%	2 2.5%	0 0.0%
Very dissatisfied	17 3.8%	15 4.2%	2 2.6%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	194 43.5%	159 44.7%	30 37.3%	5 51.1%
Total	446	357	80	9
6M. Senior programs				
Very satisfied	83 18.7%	51 14.2%	31 38.1%	2 20.6%
Somewhat satisfied	140 31.3%	116 32.5%	21 26.2%	3 31.5%
Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	23 6.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Very dissatisfied	10 2.3%	8 2.3%	2 2.6%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	189 42.5%	159 44.5%	27 33.1%	4 47.8%
Total	446	357	80	9
6N. Youth facilities				
Very satisfied	68 15.2%	46 12.9%	22 26.9%	0 2.1%
Somewhat satisfied	161 36.1%	130 36.5%	28 35.2%	3 28.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	50 14.0%	5 5.8%	2 18.5%
Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	9 2.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	152 34.1%	122 34.1%	26 32.1%	5 51.1%
Total	446	357	80	9

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	49 13.7%	19 23.4%	1 7.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	139 39.0%	35 43.6%	1 9.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	29 8.3%	5 5.7%	2 18.5%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	7 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	132 37.0%	22 27.2%	6 64.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			. ^a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			. ^a
	Very dissatisfied		. ^a	
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied		. ^a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied			A
6C. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied		A	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			. ^a
	Very dissatisfied			
6E. Street sweeping services	DK/NA		A	
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			. ^a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		. ^a	. ^a
6F. Traffic safety	DK/NA		A	
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B		. ^a
6G. Economic development efforts	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied		A	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B		B

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied		A	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			. ^a
	Very dissatisfied			
6I. Managing land use	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied		A	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
6J. Fire protection services	Very dissatisfied			. ^a
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied		A	
	Somewhat satisfied			
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Somewhat dissatisfied		A	. ^a
	Very dissatisfied		. ^a	. ^a
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
6L. Senior facilities	Somewhat satisfied		A	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B		. ^a
	Very dissatisfied			. ^a
	DK/NA			
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied		A	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied		. ^a	. ^a
	Very dissatisfied			. ^a
6N. Youth facilities	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied		A	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
6O. Youth programs	Very dissatisfied		. ^a	. ^a
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6A. Police services	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Very satisfied	269	120	73	11	65
		60.4%	60.8%	66.7%	90.9%	51.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	140	55	31	1	53
		31.4%	28.2%	28.1%	9.1%	41.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12	6	2	0	4
	2.7%	3.3%	1.9%	0.0%	2.8%	
Very dissatisfied	2	0	0	0	2	
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	
DK/NA	23	15	4	0	4	
	5.1%	7.7%	3.3%	0.0%	3.2%	
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Very satisfied	296	140	77	9	70
		66.4%	71.3%	69.8%	78.0%	54.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	133	50	29	3	51
		29.8%	25.6%	26.7%	22.0%	39.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied	8	1	4	0	3	
	1.9%	0.7%	3.5%	0.0%	2.5%	
DK/NA	9	5	0	0	4	
	1.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Very satisfied	130	58	33	5	34
		29.1%	29.5%	30.1%	39.9%	26.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	216	89	49	7	71
		48.4%	45.2%	44.9%	56.5%	55.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39	19	11	0	9
	8.7%	9.8%	9.8%	0.0%	6.9%	
Very dissatisfied	27	10	10	0	7	
	6.0%	4.9%	9.0%	0.0%	5.8%	
DK/NA	35	21	7	0	6	
	7.8%	10.6%	6.3%	3.6%	5.1%	
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Very satisfied	122	62	25	4	30
		27.3%	31.7%	22.7%	30.7%	24.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	205	81	59	7	58
		46.0%	41.1%	53.7%	57.9%	45.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	80	32	17	1	30
	18.0%	16.4%	15.3%	7.8%	23.8%	
Very dissatisfied	20	9	8	0	3	
	4.4%	4.4%	7.7%	0.0%	2.1%	
DK/NA	19	13	1	0	6	
	4.3%	6.4%	0.6%	3.6%	4.4%	

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6E. Street sweeping services	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Very satisfied	203	93	45	9	57
		45.6%	47.1%	41.0%	74.9%	44.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	180	80	51	3	45
		40.3%	40.8%	46.7%	25.1%	35.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	6	6	0	12
		5.6%	3.0%	5.9%	0.0%	9.8%
Very dissatisfied	11	3	4	0	4	
	2.4%	1.6%	3.6%	0.0%	2.9%	
DK/NA	27	15	3	0	9	
	6.1%	7.5%	2.9%	0.0%	7.2%	
6F. Traffic safety	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Very satisfied	114	48	32	4	30
		25.6%	24.5%	28.7%	35.3%	23.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	96	50	6	61
		47.8%	48.8%	45.4%	47.2%	48.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	29	15	2	24
		15.9%	15.0%	13.5%	17.5%	19.2%
Very dissatisfied	35	14	11	0	10	
	7.8%	7.0%	10.2%	0.0%	7.6%	
DK/NA	13	9	2	0	2	
	3.0%	4.7%	2.1%	0.0%	1.4%	
6G. Economic development efforts	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Very satisfied	59	23	14	3	19
		13.3%	11.5%	13.0%	24.2%	15.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	144	67	28	3	46
		32.3%	34.0%	25.3%	27.1%	36.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	86	34	27	2	23
	19.2%	17.3%	24.3%	14.3%	18.2%	
Very dissatisfied	38	13	15	1	10	
	8.6%	6.4%	13.2%	9.1%	7.8%	
DK/NA	119	60	27	3	29	
	26.7%	30.7%	24.2%	25.3%	22.7%	
6H. Sports fields	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Very satisfied	116	55	25	4	33
		26.1%	27.8%	22.7%	29.9%	26.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	168	61	52	4	51
		37.6%	30.9%	47.2%	29.4%	40.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35	20	5	1	10
	7.9%	10.1%	4.3%	9.1%	7.6%	
Very dissatisfied	12	3	7	0	2	
	2.7%	1.6%	6.2%	0.0%	1.7%	
DK/NA	115	58	22	4	31	
	25.7%	29.6%	19.7%	31.6%	24.2%	
6I. Managing land use	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Very satisfied	59	31	10	0	18
		13.2%	15.8%	8.7%	0.0%	14.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	149	59	34	9	46
		33.4%	30.2%	31.2%	77.8%	36.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	110	48	30	3	29
	24.7%	24.1%	27.7%	22.2%	23.1%	
Very dissatisfied	41	18	16	0	8	
	9.2%	9.1%	14.5%	0.0%	6.3%	

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6N. Youth facilities					
Very dissatisfied	9.2%	8.9%	14.5%	0.0%	6.1%
DK/NA	87	41	20	0	26
	19.5%	20.9%	18.0%	0.0%	20.4%
Total	446	197	110	12	127
6J. Fire protection services					
Very satisfied	279	133	74	11	61
	62.5%	67.8%	66.9%	88.6%	48.1%
Somewhat satisfied	101	37	25	0	39
	22.6%	18.6%	22.3%	3.6%	30.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied	7	5	1	0	1
	1.5%	2.4%	0.6%	0.0%	1.0%
Very dissatisfied	1	0	1	0	0
	0.2%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	59	22	10	1	26
	13.2%	11.2%	9.3%	7.8%	20.1%
Total	446	197	110	12	127
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs					
Very satisfied	99	47	20	3	29
	22.2%	23.7%	18.1%	28.9%	22.8%
Somewhat satisfied	191	88	45	3	56
	42.9%	44.6%	41.0%	21.0%	44.1%
Somewhat dissatisfied	30	11	9	1	9
	6.7%	5.4%	8.0%	9.6%	7.2%
Very dissatisfied	8	4	3	0	1
	1.8%	2.3%	2.5%	0.0%	0.8%
DK/NA	118	47	33	5	32
	26.4%	24.0%	30.4%	40.5%	25.2%
Total	446	197	110	12	127
6L. Senior facilities					
Very satisfied	62	35	14	1	12
	13.9%	17.8%	12.9%	8.2%	9.4%
Somewhat satisfied	139	47	37	4	50
	31.1%	24.1%	33.9%	35.4%	39.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	34	23	8	0	4
	7.7%	11.6%	7.1%	0.0%	2.9%
Very dissatisfied	17	9	7	0	1
	3.8%	4.8%	6.3%	0.0%	0.6%
DK/NA	194	82	44	7	61
	43.5%	41.8%	39.8%	56.4%	48.0%
Total	446	197	110	12	127
6M. Senior programs					
Very satisfied	83	41	21	0	21
	18.7%	20.9%	18.8%	0.0%	16.8%
Somewhat satisfied	140	56	35	5	43
	31.3%	28.5%	31.7%	43.6%	34.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied	23	15	6	0	2
	5.3%	7.6%	5.7%	3.6%	1.4%
Very dissatisfied	10	6	4	0	0
	2.3%	3.1%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	189	79	44	6	60
	42.5%	39.9%	40.1%	52.8%	47.6%
Total	446	197	110	12	127
6N. Youth facilities					
Very satisfied	68	28	19	0	21
	15.2%	14.0%	17.6%	0.0%	16.4%
Somewhat satisfied	161	58	45	5	53
	36.1%	29.4%	41.1%	43.6%	41.4%

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6N. Youth facilities					
Somewhat dissatisfied	56	30	18	0	8
	12.6%	15.3%	16.2%	0.0%	6.5%
Very dissatisfied	9	7	0	0	2
	2.1%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
DK/NA	152	74	28	7	43
	34.1%	37.7%	25.0%	56.4%	34.1%
Total	446	197	110	12	127
6O. Youth programs					
Very satisfied	69	29	17	0	22
	15.4%	14.7%	15.9%	0.0%	17.4%
Somewhat satisfied	175	65	53	6	52
	39.3%	33.0%	48.1%	46.7%	40.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied	36	20	4	0	11
	8.0%	10.4%	3.9%	0.0%	8.6%
Very dissatisfied	7	3	2	0	2
	1.6%	1.7%	1.7%	0.0%	1.7%
DK/NA	159	79	33	6	40
	35.8%	40.3%	30.4%	53.3%	31.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	a	
	DK/NA				
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very satisfied	D			
	Somewhat satisfied				A
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	DK/NA		a	a	
6C. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				A
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied		A		
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied			a	
	DK/NA				
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			A B D	
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	D	D	D	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	a			a
	DK/NA				
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	D			A
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied		D		
	DK/NA				
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied		a		
	DK/NA				
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6A. Police services	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	269	41	45	22	27	89	45
		60.4%	54.6%	65.1%	67.8%	68.3%	63.6%	50.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	140	27	17	8	11	41	37
		31.4%	35.6%	24.9%	23.8%	26.9%	29.4%	40.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12	3	2	0	1	4	2
		2.7%	3.7%	2.5%	0.0%	2.4%	3.1%	2.5%
Very dissatisfied	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	
DK/NA	23	5	5	3	1	5	4	
	5.1%	6.1%	7.5%	8.4%	2.3%	3.9%	4.6%	
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	296	55	42	25	28	98	49
		66.4%	72.5%	61.6%	77.7%	70.5%	69.5%	54.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	133	18	24	7	12	38	34
		29.8%	23.4%	35.2%	22.3%	29.5%	27.1%	38.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8	1	0	0	0	4	3
		1.9%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	3.5%
DK/NA	9	2	2	0	0	1	4	
	1.9%	2.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	4.2%	
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	130	28	16	13	12	34	27
		29.1%	37.3%	23.7%	40.9%	29.2%	24.1%	30.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	216	34	34	12	21	69	46
		48.4%	45.1%	49.6%	37.4%	53.0%	49.3%	50.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39	3	10	2	3	14	6
		8.7%	4.3%	14.8%	6.8%	6.9%	10.3%	6.8%
Very dissatisfied	27	3	1	4	2	10	6	
	6.0%	4.6%	1.8%	12.3%	4.3%	7.4%	6.5%	
DK/NA	35	7	7	1	3	13	5	
	7.8%	8.6%	10.2%	2.6%	6.6%	8.9%	5.9%	
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	122	24	19	8	11	33	27
		27.3%	31.3%	28.3%	23.6%	26.7%	23.6%	30.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	205	36	27	20	17	70	36
		46.0%	47.3%	39.3%	61.7%	43.3%	49.9%	39.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	80	10	15	4	7	24	20
		18.0%	13.6%	22.4%	12.6%	18.3%	16.8%	22.0%
Very dissatisfied	20	1	2	0	5	9	3	
	4.4%	1.6%	3.6%	0.0%	11.7%	6.2%	2.9%	
DK/NA	19	5	4	1	0	5	5	
	4.3%	6.2%	6.4%	2.1%	0.0%	3.5%	5.2%	

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6E. Street sweeping services	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	203	40	28	15	14	62	44
	Somewhat satisfied	180	27	33	16	19	60	25
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	4	1	1	3	5	11
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	2	0	2	5	2
	DK/NA	27	4	5	0	2	8	8
			45.6%	53.7%	40.7%	45.6%	36.2%	44.2%
6F. Traffic safety	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	213	42	35	15	19	63	41
	Somewhat satisfied	71	14	8	4	4	24	17
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15.9%	18.0%	11.7%	13.0%	10.1%	17.0%	19.1%
	Very dissatisfied	35	7	4	5	4	8	6
	DK/NA	13	2	3	1	1	5	2
			47.8%	55.2%	50.5%	45.8%	47.4%	44.7%
6G. Economic development efforts	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	59	10	8	3	4	18	17
	Somewhat satisfied	144	29	24	7	11	48	26
	Somewhat dissatisfied	86	11	13	8	10	27	17
	Very dissatisfied	38	3	4	6	3	14	8
	DK/NA	119	24	19	8	12	34	22
			13.3%	12.7%	12.1%	9.9%	8.9%	12.6%
6H. Sports fields	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	116	25	22	6	10	26	26
	Somewhat satisfied	168	23	18	11	22	64	30
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35	4	8	4	1	11	7
	Very dissatisfied	12	2	1	1	1	6	1
	DK/NA	115	22	19	10	5	33	25
			26.1%	33.3%	31.7%	20.2%	26.1%	18.9%
6I. Managing land use	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	59	16	10	2	4	13	14
	Somewhat satisfied	149	23	17	10	13	54	30
	Somewhat dissatisfied	110	15	20	7	12	32	24
	Very dissatisfied	41	6	6	5	6	12	6
	DK/NA	115	22	19	10	5	33	25
			13.2%	21.2%	15.0%	7.2%	9.0%	9.6%

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6J. Fire protection services	Very dissatisfied	9.2%	8.5%	8.7%	16.4%	14.6%	8.7%	6.3%
	DK/NA	87	15	15	8	5	28	17
		19.5%	19.3%	21.7%	23.8%	12.0%	20.2%	18.4%
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	279	46	47	17	30	95	44
	Somewhat satisfied	101	19	11	10	7	27	27
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	2	3	1	0	0	1
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
	DK/NA	59	9	7	4	2	19	17
			62.5%	60.5%	68.5%	54.5%	76.4%	67.4%
6L. Senior facilities	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	99	18	12	3	9	36	21
	Somewhat satisfied	191	38	34	10	21	51	38
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	4	3	4	3	7	8
	Very dissatisfied	8	3	1	0	0	4	1
	DK/NA	118	13	18	15	7	43	22
			22.2%	23.5%	16.9%	10.4%	22.7%	26.0%
6M. Senior programs	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	62	12	11	5	4	18	12
	Somewhat satisfied	139	22	16	9	17	39	34
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34	7	7	2	3	13	2
	Very dissatisfied	17	2	4	2	2	7	0
	DK/NA	194	32	29	13	13	64	42
			13.9%	15.8%	16.7%	16.8%	10.7%	12.5%
6N. Youth facilities	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Very satisfied	83	14	14	6	8	24	18
	Somewhat satisfied	140	27	19	11	16	39	28
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23	3	5	3	2	9	1
	Very dissatisfied	10	1	3	1	1	5	0
	DK/NA	189	30	28	12	13	64	43
			31.3%	35.4%	27.3%	34.7%	40.9%	27.8%

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
59. Youth facilities	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	7 9.2%	16 22.7%	8 24.4%	4 9.2%	14 10.0%	8 9.2%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	1 1.2%	6 9.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.3%
	DK/NA	152 34.1%	29 38.0%	22 32.0%	11 35.6%	8 20.9%	50 35.9%	31 34.7%
	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
60. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	13 16.7%	10 14.4%	5 14.3%	10 24.7%	12 8.6%	20 21.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	27 35.6%	23 34.2%	9 28.6%	17 43.0%	68 48.1%	31 34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	2 2.6%	12 17.0%	2 7.7%	1 3.0%	7 5.3%	11 12.2%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	2 2.0%	2 2.5%	0 0.0%	2 4.8%	0 0.0%	2 2.3%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	32 43.0%	22 32.0%	16 49.4%	10 24.5%	53 37.9%	26 29.2%
	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	
	Very dissatisfied						
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied		.a	.a	.a	.a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
6C. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very dissatisfied			.a			
	DK/NA				.a		
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
6E. Street sweeping services	Somewhat dissatisfied			.a			
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA			.a			
	Very satisfied						
6F. Traffic safety	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
6H. Sports fields	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied				B		
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
6I. Managing land use	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat dissatisfied				.a	.a	.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a	.a		.a	.a
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied			.a	.a		
	DK/NA			A			
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						.a
	Very dissatisfied						
6M. Senior programs	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						.a
6N. Youth facilities	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.a	.a	.a	
6O. Youth programs	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied		A				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.a		.a	

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 ^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
6A. Police services	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Very satisfied	269 60.4%	79 62.6%	24 46.3%	31 49.5%	28 56.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.4%	39 31.0%	22 43.6%	19 31.1%	18 36.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12 2.7%	4 3.1%	2 4.4%	3 4.9%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	23 5.1%	4 3.3%	3 5.6%	7 11.9%	4 7.7%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Very satisfied	296 66.4%	78 61.8%	26 51.3%	41 67.1%	39 77.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	133 29.8%	40 32.0%	24 47.2%	16 25.5%	10 20.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8 1.9%	6 4.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.0%	2 1.6%	1 1.9%	5 7.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	9 2.0%	2 1.6%	1 1.9%	5 7.5%	0 0.0%
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Very satisfied	130 29.1%	42 33.2%	13 25.5%	16 25.7%	16 31.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	216 48.4%	64 51.0%	29 57.4%	33 53.8%	25 49.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39 8.7%	9 7.1%	7 13.0%	4 6.7%	3 6.7%
	Very dissatisfied	27 6.0%	6 4.8%	1 1.7%	4 6.2%	2 4.3%
	DK/NA	35 7.8%	5 3.9%	1 2.3%	5 7.7%	4 8.3%
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Very satisfied	122 27.3%	39 31.0%	10 20.5%	16 25.9%	10 19.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	205 46.0%	56 44.6%	26 51.3%	32 51.7%	26 52.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	80 18.0%	21 16.6%	12 22.6%	9 14.5%	10 20.3%
	Very dissatisfied	20 4.4%	6 4.5%	1 2.6%	2 2.5%	1 1.8%
	DK/NA	19 4.3%	4 3.3%	2 3.0%	3 5.4%	3 6.5%

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6A. Police services	Total	36	36	46	38
	Very satisfied	24 65.3%	23 65.0%	32 69.9%	28 74.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	12 32.5%	8 21.3%	12 26.7%	10 25.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%	3 7.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 2.2%	2 5.9%	2 3.5%	0 0.3%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	36	36	46	38
	Very satisfied	23 64.8%	25 70.2%	32 68.9%	31 80.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	12 32.8%	10 26.8%	14 29.4%	7 19.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1 2.3%	1 3.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%	0 0.3%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%	0 0.3%
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	36	36	46	38
	Very satisfied	8 21.7%	10 27.8%	14 30.2%	12 30.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	16 44.4%	15 41.2%	15 32.8%	18 46.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 11.1%	5 12.8%	3 7.3%	4 9.9%
	Very dissatisfied	6 16.1%	1 3.2%	5 11.5%	1 3.9%
	DK/NA	2 6.8%	5 15.0%	8 18.2%	4 9.3%
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	36	36	46	38
	Very satisfied	8 23.0%	12 32.4%	16 33.8%	11 27.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	18 48.7%	11 31.2%	19 40.1%	17 44.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 15.6%	7 20.4%	8 18.3%	7 18.9%
	Very dissatisfied	5 12.8%	3 7.4%	1 2.0%	2 5.4%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	3 8.6%	3 5.8%	1 3.1%

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
6E. Street sweeping services	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Very satisfied	203	66	17	28	23
		45.6%	52.1%	33.1%	46.0%	45.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	180	40	27	21	26
		40.3%	31.6%	52.1%	33.6%	51.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	10	3	4	1
		5.6%	8.0%	6.4%	5.9%	1.3%
Very dissatisfied	11	3	1	2	0	
	2.4%	2.7%	1.9%	2.8%	0.0%	
DK/NA	27	7	3	7	1	
	6.1%	5.5%	6.5%	11.7%	1.9%	
6F. Traffic safety	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Very satisfied	114	29	10	15	9
		25.6%	23.0%	20.4%	24.5%	17.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	67	27	26	24
		47.8%	52.9%	53.1%	42.2%	47.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	16	7	12	11
		15.9%	12.5%	13.2%	19.7%	22.1%
Very dissatisfied	35	10	6	6	5	
	7.8%	7.9%	11.4%	10.1%	10.8%	
DK/NA	13	5	1	2	1	
	3.0%	3.8%	2.0%	3.6%	1.6%	
6G. Economic development efforts	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Very satisfied	59	19	4	9	4
		13.3%	15.3%	7.6%	15.4%	7.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	144	43	24	9	20
		32.3%	34.3%	47.7%	14.0%	40.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	86	25	5	14	10
		19.2%	20.1%	9.8%	22.0%	20.4%
Very dissatisfied	38	8	3	7	6	
	8.6%	6.6%	5.3%	10.8%	11.5%	
DK/NA	119	30	15	23	10	
	26.7%	23.7%	29.6%	37.9%	20.1%	
6H. Sports fields	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Very satisfied	116	39	7	14	15
		26.1%	30.7%	14.3%	22.4%	30.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	168	40	29	21	21
		37.6%	31.4%	56.4%	34.3%	41.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35	11	3	10	5
		7.9%	8.8%	6.0%	15.6%	9.8%
Very dissatisfied	12	6	2	0	2	
	2.7%	4.5%	3.9%	0.0%	3.9%	
DK/NA	115	31	10	17	7	
	25.7%	24.6%	19.4%	27.7%	14.9%	
6I. Managing land use	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Very satisfied	59	23	7	8	4
		13.2%	18.1%	14.2%	12.8%	7.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	149	44	20	13	21
		33.4%	34.7%	39.6%	20.5%	41.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	110	28	10	20	11
		24.7%	21.9%	20.3%	32.2%	21.4%
Very dissatisfied	41	12	2	7	6	
	9.2%	9.5%	3.9%	11.3%	12.0%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6E. Street sweeping services	Total	36	36	46	38
	Very satisfied	15	16	21	17
		42.2%	43.8%	46.0%	44.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	14	13	22	18
		38.4%	35.4%	47.4%	48.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	2	2	2
		4.9%	5.6%	3.4%	4.5%
Very dissatisfied	2	2	0	1	
	6.0%	4.2%	0.0%	2.5%	
DK/NA	3	4	2	0	
	8.4%	11.0%	3.3%	0.3%	
6F. Traffic safety	Total	36	36	46	38
	Very satisfied	7	9	19	16
		18.7%	26.4%	40.6%	40.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	20	17	19	13
		55.1%	46.9%	41.7%	34.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	9	5	6
		15.3%	24.1%	10.1%	16.1%
Very dissatisfied	3	1	1	2	
	8.6%	2.6%	2.7%	5.1%	
DK/NA	1	0	2	1	
	2.2%	0.0%	4.9%	3.5%	
6G. Economic development efforts	Total	36	36	46	38
	Very satisfied	6	8	4	5
		17.5%	21.3%	8.0%	13.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	7	15	16
		22.5%	20.3%	33.4%	42.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9	6	12	4
		26.2%	17.6%	26.0%	9.5%
Very dissatisfied	6	3	2	3	
	17.7%	9.5%	4.0%	8.0%	
DK/NA	6	11	13	10	
	16.2%	31.2%	28.6%	26.5%	
6H. Sports fields	Total	36	36	46	38
	Very satisfied	8	13	10	10
		23.2%	37.6%	20.7%	25.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	13	10	21	13
		34.7%	29.1%	45.0%	35.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	1	2	2
		4.4%	1.9%	4.1%	5.8%
Very dissatisfied	3	0	0	0	
	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	11	11	14	13	
	30.6%	31.4%	30.1%	33.4%	
6I. Managing land use	Total	36	36	46	38
	Very satisfied	6	2	3	6
		16.6%	6.9%	6.6%	14.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	9	11	16	16
		25.4%	30.3%	33.7%	40.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8	13	12	8
		22.6%	36.3%	25.4%	21.7%
Very dissatisfied	5	3	4	3	
	13.9%	8.3%	8.7%	7.9%	

	Total	Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
very dissatisfied	9.2%	9.8%	3.9%	11.9%	11.3%
DK/NA	19.5%	15.5%	21.9%	22.7%	18.1%
Total	446	126	51	62	50
6J. Fire protection services					
Very satisfied	62.5%	63.1%	52.2%	62.3%	49.8%
Somewhat satisfied	22.6%	16.2%	40.5%	18.3%	32.1%
Somewhat dissatisfied	1.5%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	13.2%	17.2%	7.4%	19.4%	18.1%
Total	446	126	51	62	50
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs					
Very satisfied	22.2%	28.4%	11.4%	23.7%	18.6%
Somewhat satisfied	42.9%	33.8%	56.8%	43.9%	48.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied	6.7%	6.7%	1.5%	5.6%	7.2%
Very dissatisfied	1.8%	3.3%	0.0%	1.6%	3.1%
DK/NA	26.4%	27.8%	30.4%	25.2%	22.9%
Total	446	126	51	62	50
6L. Senior facilities					
Very satisfied	13.9%	18.7%	10.1%	15.4%	8.5%
Somewhat satisfied	31.1%	33.6%	30.1%	21.2%	34.5%
Somewhat dissatisfied	7.7%	5.5%	6.8%	3.6%	14.7%
Very dissatisfied	3.8%	3.8%	0.0%	1.0%	2.2%
DK/NA	43.5%	38.4%	52.9%	58.8%	40.2%
Total	446	126	51	62	50
6M. Senior programs					
Very satisfied	18.7%	25.3%	7.8%	17.4%	16.8%
Somewhat satisfied	31.3%	27.5%	37.0%	22.7%	35.4%
Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	6.2%	4.9%	1.9%	3.7%
Very dissatisfied	2.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
DK/NA	42.5%	39.1%	50.3%	58.0%	41.4%
Total	446	126	51	62	50
6N. Youth facilities					
Very satisfied	15.2%	20.4%	4.1%	18.1%	10.8%
Somewhat satisfied	36.1%	28.9%	53.2%	27.4%	44.4%

	Total	Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
very dissatisfied	13.0%	7.8%	7.7%	7.4%	
DK/NA	22.4%	18.7%	26.6%	15.2%	
Total	36	36	46	38	
6J. Fire protection services					
Very satisfied	68.1%	59.3%	70.8%	79.4%	
Somewhat satisfied	19.2%	27.6%	18.7%	17.8%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	3.4%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	
Very dissatisfied	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	6.7%	13.1%	8.3%	2.8%	
Total	36	36	46	38	
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs					
Very satisfied	11.8%	30.5%	20.3%	23.0%	
Somewhat satisfied	51.2%	35.2%	47.8%	39.4%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	16.1%	5.3%	6.3%	7.3%	
Very dissatisfied	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	1.8%	
DK/NA	20.8%	29.0%	23.8%	28.6%	
Total	36	36	46	38	
6L. Senior facilities					
Very satisfied	8.5%	7.2%	11.6%	22.1%	
Somewhat satisfied	29.5%	23.4%	39.5%	33.9%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	6.9%	8.3%	13.1%	7.2%	
Very dissatisfied	5.7%	10.0%	5.1%	6.6%	
DK/NA	49.3%	51.1%	30.6%	30.2%	
Total	36	36	46	38	
6M. Senior programs					
Very satisfied	13.4%	13.6%	22.0%	21.5%	
Somewhat satisfied	33.9%	33.3%	36.1%	35.0%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	2.1%	5.3%	9.5%	7.8%	
Very dissatisfied	5.7%	6.2%	1.4%	3.5%	
DK/NA	44.8%	41.5%	31.0%	32.2%	
Total	36	36	46	38	
6N. Youth facilities					
Very satisfied	13.4%	13.1%	15.8%	16.7%	
Somewhat satisfied	44.3%	31.9%	34.0%	38.5%	

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
6N. Youth facilities	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	19 15.2%	5 9.5%	8 12.7%	9 18.3%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	5 3.8%	1 1.6%	1 1.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	152 34.1%	40 31.8%	16 31.7%	25 40.4%	13 26.4%
Total		446	126	51	62	50
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	20 15.9%	4 6.9%	15 24.3%	4 8.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	45 35.6%	29 56.1%	17 27.1%	22 44.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	16 12.5%	2 3.0%	4 6.8%	7 14.6%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	1 1.0%	1 1.7%	2 2.5%	1 1.3%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	44 35.0%	16 32.2%	24 39.4%	16 30.9%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6N. Youth facilities	Somewhat dissatisfied	2 6.1%	2 5.9%	7 15.4%	4 9.7%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	2 4.8%	1 1.1%	1 1.8%
	DK/NA	13 36.2%	16 44.4%	16 33.7%	13 33.4%
Total		36	36	46	38
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	5 14.3%	7 19.7%	6 14.0%	7 17.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	14 38.5%	13 37.1%	20 42.3%	16 41.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1 3.5%	1 3.1%	4 8.1%	1 1.8%
	Very dissatisfied	2 5.2%	1 2.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	14 38.3%	13 37.4%	16 35.6%	15 39.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a	a
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a		
6C. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA					a
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					a
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
6E. Street sweeping services	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied				a	
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
6F. Traffic safety	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied		C			C
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
6H. Sports fields	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	
6I. Managing land use	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.a	.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a	.a
	DK/NA			
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.a	.a
	DK/NA	.a		
	Very satisfied			
6C. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
6E. Street sweeping services	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied		.a	
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
6F. Traffic safety	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA	.a		
	Very satisfied			
6G. Economic development efforts	Somewhat satisfied			C
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
6H. Sports fields	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a	.a
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
6I. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
Somewhat satisfied				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat dissatisfied		.a	.a	.a	
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a	.a	.a	
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied		.a			.a
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
6L. Senior facilities	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied		.a			
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
6M. Senior programs	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied		.a	.a		
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
6N. Youth facilities	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied				.a	.a
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
6O. Youth programs	Somewhat dissatisfied		C			
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	a
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	a		
	DK/NA			
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
6M. Senior programs	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
6N. Youth facilities	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
6O. Youth programs	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
6A. Police services	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Very satisfied	269	51	13	111	19	8	11	44	13
		60.4%	63.5%	56.4%	58.6%	51.6%	63.5%	70.6%	67.5%	53.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	140	25	8	62	10	3	4	18	9
		31.4%	31.3%	36.3%	32.8%	27.7%	22.1%	29.4%	27.2%	39.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12	2	1	4	2	1	0	3	0
		2.7%	2.2%	4.2%	1.9%	5.6%	7.8%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	
DK/NA	23	2	1	13	6	1	0	1	0	
	5.1%	3.0%	3.1%	6.7%	15.1%	6.6%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Very satisfied	296	58	17	125	26	6	12	34	18
		66.4%	72.8%	74.7%	66.0%	69.9%	50.3%	79.7%	52.0%	74.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	133	19	5	56	8	6	2	31	6
		29.8%	23.3%	21.6%	29.7%	22.7%	49.7%	11.3%	46.8%	25.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8	2	1	3	1	0	1	0	0
		1.9%	2.9%	3.7%	1.4%	3.0%	0.0%	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	9	1	0	5	2	0	0	1	0	
	1.9%	1.0%	0.0%	2.8%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Very satisfied	130	19	2	51	7	2	5	28	15
		29.1%	23.8%	11.0%	26.9%	19.9%	14.9%	35.5%	43.0%	61.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	216	40	15	86	21	6	8	31	9
		48.4%	50.3%	66.3%	45.2%	57.4%	50.6%	51.4%	47.4%	36.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39	10	2	18	4	1	0	3	0
		8.7%	12.8%	10.1%	9.5%	11.8%	5.2%	0.0%	4.9%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	27	3	2	14	2	1	1	3	1	
	6.0%	4.2%	7.5%	7.5%	6.5%	5.7%	4.2%	4.7%	2.2%	
DK/NA	35	7	1	21	2	3	1	0	0	
	7.8%	8.9%	5.1%	10.9%	4.4%	23.6%	8.8%	0.0%	0.0%	
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Very satisfied	122	18	10	41	5	4	5	25	12
		27.3%	22.9%	44.5%	21.7%	13.7%	31.8%	35.1%	38.4%	52.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	205	41	7	88	20	5	7	31	6
		46.0%	50.8%	32.9%	46.2%	54.4%	43.9%	49.2%	46.7%	24.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	80	16	4	39	5	2	1	8	5
		18.0%	20.6%	18.9%	20.5%	14.5%	17.4%	5.2%	11.8%	19.6%
Very dissatisfied	20	4	0	8	5	0	1	2	1	
	4.4%	4.5%	0.0%	4.0%	12.6%	0.0%	6.9%	3.2%	3.3%	
DK/NA	19	1	1	14	2	1	1	0	0	
	4.3%	1.2%	3.7%	7.6%	4.8%	6.9%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	
Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24	

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	203 45.6%	36 44.3%	9 41.7%	78 40.9%	19 50.5%	6 50.5%	9 60.6%	34 51.3%	13 55.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 40.3%	34 42.5%	10 44.6%	75 39.7%	14 38.9%	4 34.6%	4 27.3%	28 42.7%	10 41.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 5.6%	5 5.9%	0 0.0%	16 8.4%	3 7.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.4%	2 2.4%	0 2.2%	6 3.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 12.1%	0 0.0%	1 3.0%
	DK/NA	27 6.1%	4 4.8%	3 11.5%	15 8.0%	1 3.0%	2 14.9%	0 0.0%	3 4.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	114 25.6%	22 26.9%	1 5.6%	37 19.6%	11 28.8%	3 22.6%	4 25.2%	28 42.1%	9 38.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 47.8%	41 51.3%	16 70.9%	88 46.3%	10 27.5%	6 51.9%	8 51.9%	33 50.5%	11 45.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 15.9%	13 16.8%	4 19.8%	37 19.6%	5 14.1%	2 18.9%	3 22.9%	2 4.3%	2 8.0%
	Very dissatisfied	35 7.8%	2 2.4%	0 0.0%	20 10.5%	10 27.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 3.0%	1 2.6%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	2 2.7%	1 3.7%	7 3.9%	1 1.8%	1 6.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.5%
	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	59 13.3%	5 6.0%	1 3.0%	15 8.0%	4 9.7%	0 0.0%	6 41.2%	19 29.6%	9 39.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	144 32.3%	24 29.5%	7 31.1%	67 35.5%	11 29.1%	1 9.8%	4 26.2%	24 36.1%	6 27.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	86 19.2%	14 18.0%	7 29.2%	38 19.8%	10 28.3%	4 34.9%	1 3.6%	9 14.3%	3 10.6%
	Very dissatisfied	38 8.6%	6 8.1%	2 8.0%	19 9.9%	3 6.9%	1 6.9%	2 15.0%	2 2.7%	4 15.6%
	DK/NA	119 26.7%	31 38.4%	6 28.8%	51 26.8%	10 26.0%	6 48.4%	2 13.9%	11 17.3%	2 7.8%
	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	116 26.1%	21 25.7%	3 13.6%	40 21.0%	7 18.7%	2 19.5%	6 41.2%	29 44.7%	8 33.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	168 37.6%	30 37.6%	11 48.3%	74 39.0%	16 44.1%	5 41.9%	3 20.1%	21 32.7%	7 29.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35 7.9%	5 5.8%	1 4.9%	17 9.0%	5 13.7%	0 0.0%	2 13.0%	3 4.3%	3 10.7%
	Very dissatisfied	12 2.7%	1 0.9%	2 9.1%	9 5.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	115 25.7%	24 30.1%	5 24.2%	49 26.0%	9 23.5%	5 38.5%	4 25.7%	12 18.3%	6 26.5%
	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	59 13.2%	9 10.8%	2 6.9%	16 8.6%	4 10.6%	1 5.3%	2 13.9%	17 25.2%	9 39.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	149 33.4%	25 31.5%	8 36.8%	63 33.2%	9 25.8%	1 9.7%	8 50.2%	29 43.6%	5 22.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	110 24.7%	20 24.9%	7 30.0%	51 26.8%	13 35.3%	3 28.0%	2 15.8%	10 14.7%	4 16.7%
	Very dissatisfied	41 9.2%	6 6.9%	2 9.2%	23 11.9%	4 10.5%	2 13.5%	0 0.0%	3 4.1%	3 11.7%
	DK/NA	87 19.5%	21 25.8%	4 17.0%	37 19.4%	7 17.8%	5 43.4%	3 20.1%	8 12.5%	2 9.7%
	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	279 62.5%	52 65.4%	16 72.3%	112 58.8%	25 67.2%	8 61.9%	10 65.6%	41 62.2%	16 65.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	101 22.6%	19 23.9%	4 19.0%	47 24.8%	5 14.5%	2 12.4%	3 20.3%	16 24.5%	4 18.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 1.5%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	4 2.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.9%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.2%	1 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	59 13.2%	7 8.8%	2 8.7%	27 14.3%	7 18.3%	3 25.7%	2 14.1%	7 10.4%	4 15.8%
	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	99 22.2%	14 17.4%	2 8.7%	35 18.5%	8 21.0%	2 13.5%	3 18.5%	24 36.2%	12 50.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	191 42.9%	33 41.2%	14 60.6%	83 44.0%	18 49.6%	4 29.5%	9 58.4%	24 36.7%	7 27.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 6.7%	8 9.4%	1 2.9%	15 7.7%	1 3.1%	1 10.2%	1 9.0%	2 3.2%	1 5.0%
	Very dissatisfied	8 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 2.2%	7 3.7%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	118 26.4%	26 32.0%	6 25.6%	50 26.1%	9 24.5%	6 46.9%	2 14.1%	16 23.9%	4 16.7%
	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	62 13.9%	15 18.6%	2 10.9%	18 9.3%	4 10.3%	1 5.9%	2 15.7%	14 21.8%	6 24.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	139 31.1%	19 23.5%	6 28.4%	57 30.1%	16 42.9%	3 25.7%	6 36.2%	23 35.1%	9 36.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34 7.7%	6 8.0%	4 16.7%	15 8.2%	0 1.3%	3 23.0%	1 7.9%	3 5.3%	1 2.8%
	Very dissatisfied	17 3.8%	6 7.1%	1 3.6%	5 2.4%	1 1.4%	1 4.5%	2 11.4%	2 3.2%	1 5.2%
	DK/NA	194 43.5%	34 42.8%	9 40.4%	95 50.0%	16 44.1%	5 40.9%	4 28.7%	23 34.7%	7 30.8%
	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	83 18.7%	20 25.4%	3 12.1%	25 13.1%	6 15.7%	1 5.9%	3 17.6%	20 30.2%	6 26.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.3%	18 23.1%	9 38.6%	60 31.4%	14 38.1%	4 29.7%	5 35.1%	20 30.9%	10 41.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	3 4.1%	3 12.5%	12 6.2%	0 0.0%	3 22.2%	1 9.7%	1 1.2%	1 2.2%
	Very dissatisfied	10 2.3%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	4 2.1%	1 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 4.2%	1 5.9%
	DK/NA	189 42.5%	37 45.7%	8 36.8%	89 47.1%	16 44.8%	5 42.2%	6 37.7%	22 33.5%	6 24.1%
	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	68 15.2%	9 11.8%	1 3.0%	26 13.9%	5 14.4%	1 11.3%	4 26.2%	18 26.9%	3 12.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	161 36.1%	20 25.3%	8 37.9%	69 36.2%	16 43.8%	4 33.4%	6 39.8%	29 43.4%	9 36.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	17 21.3%	4 16.0%	21 10.8%	3 9.1%	1 4.2%	1 7.0%	7 10.6%	3 13.5%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%	5 2.6%	1 1.4%	0 0.0%	1 6.0%	1 1.2%	1 5.2%
	DK/NA	152 34.1%	33 41.6%	9 39.5%	69 36.5%	11 31.3%	6 51.2%	3 21.0%	12 17.9%	8 32.6%
	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	9 11.8%	1 5.1%	27 14.2%	4 11.5%	1 5.3%	4 26.2%	17 26.3%	5 20.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	28 34.7%	7 32.9%	75 39.6%	15 41.3%	2 14.8%	5 30.8%	33 49.8%	10 43.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	7 9.1%	3 11.4%	14 7.3%	5 12.3%	1 4.5%	1 9.4%	4 5.4%	2 7.8%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	3 3.3%	2 7.5%	3 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	33 41.0%	10 42.9%	71 37.3%	13 34.9%	9 75.4%	5 33.5%	12 18.5%	7 28.4%
	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2 (A)	Dec. 3 (B)	Dec. 4 (C)	Dec. 5 (D)	Dec. 6 (E)	Dec. 7 (F)	Dec. 8 (G)	Dec. 9 (H)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied
	Somewhat satisfied
	Somewhat dissatisfied
	Very dissatisfied
	DK/NA
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very satisfied
	Somewhat satisfied
	Somewhat dissatisfied
6C. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA
	Very satisfied
	Somewhat satisfied
	Somewhat dissatisfied
	Very dissatisfied
6D. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA
	Very satisfied
	Somewhat satisfied
	Somewhat dissatisfied
6E. Street sweeping services	Very dissatisfied
	DK/NA
	Very satisfied
	Somewhat satisfied
	Somewhat dissatisfied
6F. Traffic safety	Very dissatisfied
	DK/NA
	Very satisfied
	Somewhat satisfied
6G. Economic development efforts	Very dissatisfied
	DK/NA
	Very satisfied
6H. Sports fields	Somewhat satisfied
	Somewhat dissatisfied
	Very dissatisfied
6I. Managing land use	DK/NA
	Very satisfied
	Somewhat satisfied
	Somewhat dissatisfied
	Very dissatisfied

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2 (A)	Dec. 3 (B)	Dec. 4 (C)	Dec. 5 (D)	Dec. 6 (E)	Dec. 7 (F)	Dec. 8 (G)	Dec. 9 (H)
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat dissatisfied		a		a	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a	a	a		a
	DK/NA								
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied								A C
	Somewhat satisfied								
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a				a	a	a	a
6L. Senior facilities	Very dissatisfied								
	DK/NA								
	Very satisfied								C
6M. Senior programs	Somewhat satisfied				a				
	Somewhat dissatisfied					G			
	Very dissatisfied		a			a	a		
6N. Youth facilities	DK/NA								
	Very satisfied								
	Somewhat satisfied								
6O. Youth programs	Somewhat dissatisfied								
	Very dissatisfied				a	a	a		a
	DK/NA								G

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6A. Police services	Total	446	349	97
	Very satisfied	269 60.4%	208 59.7%	61 62.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.4%	111 31.9%	29 29.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12 2.7%	10 2.9%	2 1.9%
	Very dissatisfied	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	2 1.6%
	DK/NA	23 5.1%	19 5.4%	4 4.1%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	349	97
	Very satisfied	296 66.4%	227 65.1%	69 71.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	133 29.8%	108 31.0%	25 25.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8 1.9%	5 1.4%	3 3.5%
	DK/NA	9 1.9%	9 2.5%	0 0.0%
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	349	97
	Very satisfied	130 29.1%	89 25.5%	41 42.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	216 48.4%	175 50.2%	41 41.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39 8.7%	29 8.4%	9 9.7%
	Very dissatisfied	27 6.0%	25 7.1%	2 2.2%
6D. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	35 7.8%	31 8.8%	4 4.0%
	Total	446	349	97
	Very satisfied	122 27.3%	85 24.5%	36 37.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	205 46.0%	166 47.6%	39 40.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	80 18.0%	61 17.6%	19 19.3%
Very dissatisfied	20 4.4%	18 5.1%	2 1.9%	
DK/NA	19 4.3%	18 5.2%	1 1.3%	
Total	446	349	97	

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	203 45.6%	147 42.1%	57 58.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 40.3%	147 42.3%	33 33.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 5.6%	22 6.4%	3 2.6%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.4%	10 2.8%	1 1.0%
	DK/NA	27 6.1%	22 6.4%	5 4.9%
	Total	446	349	97
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	114 25.6%	88 25.3%	26 26.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 47.8%	166 47.6%	47 48.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 15.9%	51 14.8%	19 19.9%
	Very dissatisfied	35 7.8%	30 8.7%	4 4.5%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	12 3.6%	1 0.8%
	Total	446	349	97
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	59 13.3%	46 13.2%	13 13.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	144 32.3%	103 29.7%	41 41.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	86 19.2%	72 20.7%	14 14.0%
	Very dissatisfied	38 8.6%	29 8.2%	10 9.8%
	DK/NA	119 26.7%	98 28.3%	20 21.0%
	Total	446	349	97
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	116 26.1%	81 23.2%	35 36.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	168 37.6%	132 37.8%	36 37.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35 7.9%	28 7.9%	8 7.9%
	Very dissatisfied	12 2.7%	11 3.2%	1 1.2%
	DK/NA	115 25.7%	98 28.0%	17 17.4%
	Total	446	349	97

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	59 13.2%	47 13.4%	12 12.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	149 33.4%	110 31.5%	39 40.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	110 24.7%	81 23.2%	29 29.8%
	Very dissatisfied	41 9.2%	36 10.2%	6 5.7%
	DK/NA	87 19.5%	76 21.7%	11 11.5%
	Total	446	349	97
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	279 62.5%	215 61.8%	64 65.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	101 22.6%	79 22.6%	22 22.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 1.5%	4 1.2%	2 2.3%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.2%	1 0.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	59 13.2%	49 14.1%	10 9.8%
	Total	446	349	97
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	99 22.2%	75 21.6%	24 24.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	191 42.9%	146 41.9%	45 46.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 6.7%	24 7.0%	5 5.6%
	Very dissatisfied	8 1.8%	8 2.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	118 26.4%	95 27.2%	23 23.6%
	Total	446	349	97
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	62 13.9%	49 13.9%	14 13.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	139 31.1%	102 29.3%	36 37.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34 7.7%	29 8.2%	6 5.9%
	Very dissatisfied	17 3.8%	14 4.1%	3 2.8%
	DK/NA	194 43.5%	155 44.4%	39 40.2%
	Total	446	349	97

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	83 18.7%	66 19.0%	17 17.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.3%	102 29.2%	38 39.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	21 6.0%	3 2.8%
	Very dissatisfied	10 2.3%	9 2.7%	1 0.8%
	DK/NA	189 42.5%	151 43.2%	39 39.9%
	Total	446	349	97
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	68 15.2%	50 14.2%	18 18.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	161 36.1%	121 34.8%	40 40.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	44 12.6%	12 12.5%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	5 1.5%	4 4.1%
	DK/NA	152 34.1%	129 36.9%	23 24.1%
	Total	446	349	97
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	46 13.3%	22 22.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	135 38.8%	40 41.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	28 8.2%	7 7.4%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	5 1.5%	2 1.9%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	133 38.3%	26 26.7%
	Total	446	349	97

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	.a	
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very satisfied		.a
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
6C. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat satisfied		A
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Somewhat satisfied		A
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
6E. Street sweeping services	Somewhat satisfied		A
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
6F. Traffic safety	Somewhat satisfied		A
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
6G. Economic development efforts	Somewhat satisfied		A
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
6H. Sports fields	Somewhat satisfied		A
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
6I. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied	B	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat dissatisfied		a
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a
6L. Senior facilities	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
6M. Senior programs	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
6N. Youth facilities	DK/NA	B	
	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied		
6O. Youth programs	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6A. Police services	Total	446	282	164
	Very satisfied	269 60.4%	169 59.7%	101 61.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.4%	88 31.1%	52 31.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12 2.7%	8 2.7%	4 2.7%
	Very dissatisfied	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	2 1.0%
	DK/NA	23 5.1%	18 6.4%	5 2.9%
	Total	446	282	164
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very satisfied	296 66.4%	201 71.2%	95 58.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	133 29.8%	74 26.2%	59 35.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8 1.9%	3 1.0%	6 3.4%
	DK/NA	9 1.9%	5 1.6%	4 2.5%
	Total	446	282	164
6C. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	130 29.1%	69 24.4%	61 37.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	216 48.4%	138 48.8%	78 47.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39 8.7%	32 11.4%	7 4.0%
	Very dissatisfied	27 6.0%	17 6.0%	10 6.0%
	DK/NA	35 7.8%	26 9.3%	8 5.2%
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	282	164
	Very satisfied	122 27.3%	68 24.1%	53 32.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	205 46.0%	132 46.6%	74 45.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	80 18.0%	53 18.9%	27 16.4%
	Very dissatisfied	20 4.4%	15 5.5%	4 2.6%
	DK/NA	19 4.3%	14 4.9%	5 3.3%
	Total	446	282	164

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	203 45.6%	122 43.1%	81 49.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 40.3%	119 42.2%	61 37.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 5.6%	14 4.8%	11 6.9%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.4%	7 2.4%	4 2.4%
	DK/NA	27 6.1%	21 7.4%	6 3.7%
	Total	446	282	164
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	114 25.6%	67 23.9%	47 28.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 47.8%	132 46.9%	81 49.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 15.9%	51 18.0%	20 12.3%
	Very dissatisfied	35 7.8%	25 8.7%	10 6.1%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	7 2.5%	6 3.7%
	Total	446	282	164
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	59 13.3%	33 11.8%	26 15.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	144 32.3%	84 29.7%	60 36.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	86 19.2%	66 23.2%	20 12.3%
	Very dissatisfied	38 8.6%	23 8.2%	15 9.2%
	DK/NA	119 26.7%	77 27.1%	42 25.8%
	Total	446	282	164
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	116 26.1%	66 23.3%	50 30.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	168 37.6%	105 37.3%	62 38.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35 7.9%	23 8.1%	12 7.6%
	Very dissatisfied	12 2.7%	6 2.1%	6 3.7%
	DK/NA	115 25.7%	82 29.1%	32 19.8%
	Total	446	282	164

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	59 13.2%	31 10.9%	28 17.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	149 33.4%	88 31.1%	61 37.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	110 24.7%	76 26.9%	34 20.8%
	Very dissatisfied	41 9.2%	27 9.5%	14 8.8%
	DK/NA	87 19.5%	61 21.6%	26 15.7%
	Total	446	282	164
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	279 62.5%	183 64.8%	96 58.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	101 22.6%	62 22.0%	39 23.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 1.5%	0 0.0%	7 4.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.2%	1 0.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	59 13.2%	36 12.8%	22 13.7%
	Total	446	282	164
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	99 22.2%	53 18.6%	47 28.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	191 42.9%	127 45.1%	64 39.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 6.7%	22 7.6%	8 5.0%
	Very dissatisfied	8 1.8%	5 1.6%	4 2.2%
	DK/NA	118 26.4%	76 27.1%	41 25.2%
	Total	446	282	164
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	62 13.9%	37 12.9%	26 15.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	139 31.1%	77 27.3%	61 37.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34 7.7%	31 11.0%	3 1.9%
	Very dissatisfied	17 3.8%	12 4.3%	5 3.1%
	DK/NA	194 43.5%	126 44.4%	68 41.8%
	Total	446	282	164

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	83 18.7%	47 16.8%	36 21.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.3%	85 30.2%	54 33.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	19 6.7%	5 2.8%
	Very dissatisfied	10 2.3%	8 2.9%	2 1.2%
	DK/NA	189 42.5%	123 43.5%	67 40.8%
	Total	446	282	164
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	68 15.2%	35 12.2%	33 20.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	161 36.1%	97 34.4%	64 39.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	30 10.6%	26 16.1%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	5 1.8%	4 2.6%
	DK/NA	152 34.1%	116 41.0%	36 22.0%
	Total	446	282	164
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	39 13.7%	30 18.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	107 37.7%	69 41.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	19 6.8%	16 10.0%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	5 1.8%	2 1.3%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	113 39.9%	47 28.6%
	Total	446	282	164

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
6A. Police services	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Very satisfied	269 60.4%	1 25.9%	22 76.4%	41 55.4%	30 51.0%	61 54.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.4%	2 70.3%	4 14.5%	28 37.6%	21 36.7%	40 35.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12 2.7%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%	1 1.6%	3 5.9%	4 3.9%
	Very dissatisfied	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	23 5.1%	0 3.7%	2 5.4%	4 5.3%	4 6.4%	6 5.7%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very satisfied	296 66.4%	1 25.9%	14 49.9%	47 63.9%	34 59.2%	73 65.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	133 29.8%	1 30.0%	14 50.1%	21 27.7%	20 34.8%	35 31.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8 1.9%	1 40.4%	0 0.0%	2 2.6%	1 1.9%	3 2.8%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 5.3%	2 3.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	9 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 5.3%	2 3.4%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
6C. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	130 29.1%	1 25.9%	12 41.0%	23 31.0%	18 31.0%	25 22.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	216 48.4%	2 70.3%	15 53.6%	40 54.4%	34 57.9%	53 47.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39 8.7%	0 0.0%	2 5.4%	5 6.1%	2 3.7%	10 8.8%
	Very dissatisfied	27 6.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 4.2%	2 2.8%	8 7.2%
	DK/NA	35 7.8%	0 3.7%	0 0.0%	3 4.4%	3 4.6%	15 13.7%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Very satisfied	122 27.3%	1 25.9%	12 44.1%	22 29.1%	15 25.3%	23 20.5%
Somewhat satisfied	205 46.0%	2 70.3%	12 43.8%	29 39.5%	30 51.9%	61 54.7%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	80 18.0%	0 0.0%	3 12.1%	18 24.3%	8 13.2%	18 16.3%	
Very dissatisfied	20 4.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 3.2%	4 7.8%	4 3.7%	
DK/NA	19 4.3%	0 3.7%	0 0.0%	3 4.0%	1 1.9%	5 4.8%	
Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	203 45.6%	1 25.9%	13 45.7%	41 54.7%	30 51.1%	40 36.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 40.3%	2 70.3%	13 45.5%	24 32.1%	19 32.5%	51 46.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 5.6%	0 0.0%	2 8.8%	4 5.7%	4 6.9%	7 5.9%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.4%	2 3.4%	4 4.0%
	DK/NA	11 2.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.4%	2 3.4%	4 4.0%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
6A. Police services	Total	167	4
	Very satisfied	114 68.3%	1 32.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	44 26.1%	1 23.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2 1.2%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	2 44.7%
	DK/NA	7 4.3%	0 0.0%
	6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	167
Very satisfied		124 73.8%	3 76.9%
Somewhat satisfied		42 25.1%	0 0.0%
DK/NA		2 1.1%	0 0.0%
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	167	4
	Very satisfied	50 29.6%	2 44.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	70 41.6%	2 55.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	21 12.4%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	14 8.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	14 8.1%	0 0.0%
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	167	4
	Very satisfied	48 28.4%	2 44.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	69 41.3%	1 32.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33 19.8%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	9 5.3%	1 23.1%
6E. Street sweeping services	Total	167	4
	Very satisfied	77 45.7%	3 76.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	70 41.8%	1 23.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8 4.5%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3 2.0%	0 0.0%

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
6F. Traffic safety	DK/NA	27 6.1%	0 3.7%	0 0.0%	5 6.1%	4 6.1%	9 8.1%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Very satisfied	114 25.6%	1 25.9%	8 29.2%	17 23.1%	10 17.4%	25 22.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 47.8%	1 40.4%	16 55.4%	38 51.3%	28 48.4%	55 49.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 15.9%	1 30.0%	0 0.9%	13 17.1%	14 23.8%	18 16.2%
	Very dissatisfied	35 7.8%	0 0.0%	2 6.2%	4 5.5%	6 10.4%	12 10.6%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	0 3.7%	2 8.3%	2 3.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.8%
6G. Economic development efforts	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Very satisfied	59 13.3%	1 25.9%	2 7.5%	17 22.8%	8 14.1%	9 7.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	144 32.3%	0 0.0%	11 40.4%	24 32.1%	16 27.0%	36 32.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	86 19.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.7%	13 17.2%	13 22.9%	27 24.4%
	Very dissatisfied	38 8.6%	1 40.4%	0 0.0%	4 5.4%	4 6.7%	13 11.6%
	DK/NA	119 26.7%	1 33.7%	14 51.3%	17 22.6%	17 29.4%	26 23.4%
6H. Sports fields	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Very satisfied	116 26.1%	1 25.9%	12 42.7%	27 36.1%	19 32.6%	19 16.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	168 37.6%	0 0.0%	4 15.0%	20 27.5%	16 28.0%	59 52.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35 7.9%	0 0.0%	1 4.5%	6 7.4%	8 13.9%	6 5.2%
	Very dissatisfied	12 2.7%	0 0.0%	2 6.2%	4 6.0%	3 5.5%	2 1.8%
	DK/NA	115 25.7%	3 74.1%	9 31.5%	17 23.0%	12 20.0%	26 23.5%
6I. Managing land use	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Very satisfied	59 13.2%	0 0.0%	5 17.4%	17 23.0%	4 6.8%	9 8.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	149 33.4%	2 66.3%	9 30.6%	28 37.2%	20 35.2%	33 30.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	110 24.7%	0 0.0%	5 16.2%	6 8.3%	17 29.0%	38 34.4%
	Very dissatisfied	41 9.2%	0 0.0%	2 6.2%	9 12.7%	3 5.3%	9 7.8%
	DK/NA	87 19.5%	1 33.7%	8 29.6%	14 18.8%	14 23.7%	21 19.2%
6J. Fire protection services	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Very satisfied	279 62.5%	1 25.9%	17 59.6%	43 57.9%	32 54.8%	66 59.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	101 22.6%	2 70.3%	2 8.8%	19 25.2%	13 22.2%	32 28.5%

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
	DK/NA	10 5.9%	0 0.0%
	Total	167	4
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	50 30.1%	2 68.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	75 44.9%	0 8.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 15.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 6.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	6 3.4%	1 23.1%
	Total	167	4
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	21 12.3%	2 53.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	56 33.4%	1 23.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	32 19.3%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	16 9.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	43 25.5%	1 23.1%
Total	167	4	
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	37 22.3%	2 44.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	67 40.1%	1 32.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 8.7%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	48 28.4%	1 23.1%
Total	167	4	
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	21 12.7%	2 68.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	56 33.6%	0 8.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	44 26.4%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	18 10.5%	1 23.1%
	DK/NA	28 16.9%	0 0.0%
Total	167	4	
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	119 71.1%	2 55.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	33 19.6%	0 0.0%

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 1.5%	0 0.0%	4 12.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.1%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%
	DK/NA	59 13.2%	0 3.7%	5 18.7%	13 16.9%	13 23.0%	12 10.6%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	99 22.2%	0 0.0%	6 20.5%	16 22.1%	9 15.4%	23 20.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	191 42.9%	1 25.9%	9 30.4%	39 53.2%	23 40.0%	45 40.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 6.7%	1 40.4%	0 0.0%	2 2.7%	3 5.8%	12 11.2%
	Very dissatisfied	8 1.8%	0 0.0%	2 6.2%	2 2.6%	0 0.0%	1 1.3%
	DK/NA	118 26.4%	1 33.7%	12 42.9%	15 19.5%	22 38.7%	30 26.6%
Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	62 13.9%	0 0.0%	4 13.7%	13 17.4%	10 17.1%	7 6.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	139 31.1%	1 40.4%	9 32.0%	14 19.2%	15 25.4%	36 32.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 5.1%	1 2.5%	15 13.4%
	Very dissatisfied	17 3.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.6%	1 1.0%	6 5.8%
	DK/NA	194 43.5%	2 59.6%	15 54.3%	41 55.7%	31 54.1%	46 41.8%
Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	83 18.7%	0 0.0%	4 13.7%	13 17.0%	14 24.4%	15 13.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.3%	0 0.0%	10 35.3%	18 23.7%	14 23.6%	32 29.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.1%	1 2.5%	10 9.3%
	Very dissatisfied	10 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.6%	0 0.0%	5 4.4%
	DK/NA	189 42.5%	3 100.0%	14 51.0%	41 55.7%	29 49.5%	49 44.1%
Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	68 15.2%	0 0.0%	2 7.5%	13 17.8%	10 16.6%	10 9.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	161 36.1%	2 66.3%	9 33.4%	25 33.3%	24 41.5%	45 40.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	0 0.0%	8 27.3%	10 13.1%	8 13.7%	16 14.6%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.8%	0 0.0%	3 2.4%
	DK/NA	152 34.1%	1 33.7%	9 31.8%	24 33.0%	16 28.2%	37 33.3%
Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
6J. Fire protection services		
Somewhat dissatisfied	2 1.0%	0 0.0%
Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	14 8.4%	2 44.7%
Total	167	4
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs		
Very satisfied	44 26.1%	2 44.7%
Somewhat satisfied	73 43.6%	1 32.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied	11 6.3%	0 0.0%
Very dissatisfied	3 1.8%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	37 22.1%	1 23.1%
Total	167	4
6L. Senior facilities		
Very satisfied	28 16.8%	0 0.0%
Somewhat satisfied	62 37.0%	1 32.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied	14 8.5%	0 0.0%
Very dissatisfied	8 4.9%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	55 32.8%	2 67.8%
Total	167	4
6M. Senior programs		
Very satisfied	38 22.7%	0 0.0%
Somewhat satisfied	65 38.9%	1 32.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied	11 6.5%	0 0.0%
Very dissatisfied	3 2.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	50 30.0%	2 67.8%
Total	167	4
6N. Youth facilities		
Very satisfied	33 19.4%	0 0.0%
Somewhat satisfied	54 32.3%	1 32.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied	15 8.8%	0 0.0%
Very dissatisfied	5 2.7%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	62 36.8%	2 67.8%
Total	167	4

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	0 0.0%	3 10.9%	14 19.2%	11 18.4%	7 6.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	1 25.9%	8 29.2%	29 39.0%	25 42.7%	54 48.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	0 0.0%	6 19.5%	4 4.8%	4 6.1%	10 8.9%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%	1 1.5%	4 3.2%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	3 74.1%	11 40.4%	26 35.3%	18 31.3%	36 32.7%

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	33 19.9%	0 8.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	57 34.1%	1 23.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13 7.9%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	2 0.9%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	62 37.3%	2 67.8%

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
6A. Police services	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Very satisfied	269 60.4%	117 57.5%	13 59.7%	22 54.4%	14 70.4%	88 69.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.4%	72 35.4%	6 26.1%	16 38.4%	6 29.6%	30 23.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12 2.7%	3 1.5%	0 0.0%	1 2.5%	0 0.0%	3 2.7%
	Very dissatisfied	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	23 5.1%	11 5.6%	3 14.2%	2 4.7%	0 0.0%	5 4.1%

6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Very satisfied	296 66.4%	125 61.4%	17 76.5%	25 61.5%	11 59.1%	95 75.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	133 29.8%	70 34.3%	3 13.6%	15 36.1%	8 40.9%	27 21.6%
6C. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	8 1.9%	8 3.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	9 1.9%	1 0.6%	2 10.0%	1 2.4%	0 0.0%	4 3.2%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
6C. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	130 29.1%	58 28.5%	5 22.8%	13 31.7%	11 57.7%	37 29.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	216 48.4%	98 48.5%	10 45.8%	21 50.8%	7 37.9%	56 44.4%

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
6A. Police services			
Total	14	16	4
Very satisfied	7	8	1
	54.9%	46.0%	17.1%
Somewhat satisfied	4	7	1
	26.2%	40.9%	19.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied	1	2	1
	7.1%	13.2%	31.7%
Very dissatisfied	2	0	0
	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	1
	0.0%	0.0%	31.7%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program			
Total	14	16	4
Very satisfied	9	12	2
	69.1%	70.7%	48.8%
Somewhat satisfied	4	5	1
	30.9%	29.3%	31.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	1
	0.0%	0.0%	19.6%
DK/NA	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
6C. Street tree maintenance			
Total	14	16	4
Very satisfied	2	3	1
	15.1%	17.3%	17.1%
Somewhat satisfied	12	8	4
	84.9%	47.7%	82.9%

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
6C. Street tree maintenance							
Somewhat dissatisfied	39	18	1	3	0	15	
	8.7%	8.8%	2.3%	7.3%	0.0%	11.8%	
Very dissatisfied	27	13	2	2	1	7	
	6.0%	6.3%	7.5%	4.4%	4.4%	5.9%	
DK/NA	35	16	5	2	0	10	
	7.8%	7.9%	21.7%	5.8%	0.0%	8.3%	
6D. Street pavement maintenance							
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	
Very satisfied	122	50	5	12	12	38	
	27.3%	24.4%	22.7%	29.0%	61.5%	30.1%	
Somewhat satisfied	205	92	10	17	7	59	
	46.0%	45.2%	46.7%	42.6%	34.1%	46.7%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	80	48	2	9	1	18	
	18.0%	23.4%	9.8%	22.0%	4.4%	14.2%	
Very dissatisfied	20	11	1	2	0	5	
	4.4%	5.2%	3.6%	4.0%	0.0%	3.6%	
DK/NA	19	4	4	1	0	7	
	4.3%	1.8%	17.3%	2.4%	0.0%	5.5%	
6E. Street sweeping services							
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	
Very satisfied	203	86	9	17	12	66	
	45.6%	42.2%	42.4%	41.1%	61.0%	52.0%	
Somewhat satisfied	180	89	9	19	8	45	
	40.3%	43.8%	39.2%	45.6%	39.0%	35.8%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	25	15	0	2	0	5	
	5.6%	7.5%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	3.7%	
Very dissatisfied	11	3	0	3	0	4	
	2.4%	1.6%	0.0%	7.0%	0.0%	3.2%	
DK/NA	27	10	4	1	0	7	
	6.1%	5.0%	18.4%	2.4%	0.0%	5.3%	
6F. Traffic safety							
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	
Very satisfied	114	45	5	11	9	40	
	25.6%	22.1%	24.0%	27.3%	45.3%	31.9%	
Somewhat satisfied	213	101	9	15	11	59	
	47.8%	49.8%	38.5%	37.4%	54.7%	47.1%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	71	33	5	9	0	18	
	15.9%	16.3%	22.7%	21.3%	0.0%	14.4%	
Very dissatisfied	35	20	1	3	0	6	
	7.8%	9.8%	4.9%	6.8%	0.0%	4.9%	
DK/NA	13	4	2	3	0	2	
	3.0%	2.0%	10.0%	7.1%	0.0%	1.6%	
6G. Economic development efforts							
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	
Very satisfied	59	27	3	5	2	20	
	13.3%	13.2%	12.9%	11.8%	11.7%	15.5%	
Somewhat satisfied	144	70	6	15	8	38	
	32.3%	34.3%	27.6%	36.0%	41.8%	30.2%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	86	41	5	9	3	20	
	19.2%	20.3%	21.6%	22.3%	14.5%	15.9%	
Very dissatisfied	38	24	0	3	1	9	
	8.6%	11.7%	0.0%	6.1%	4.4%	6.8%	
DK/NA	119	42	8	10	5	40	
	26.7%	20.5%	37.9%	23.8%	27.6%	31.5%	
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
6C. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	3	0
		0.0%	15.3%	0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	2	0
		0.0%	12.9%	0.0%
DK/NA		0	1	0
		0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
	Total	14	16	4
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	4	1	0
		28.1%	8.2%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	9	11	0
		65.6%	68.4%	0.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied		0	1	2
		0.0%	5.0%	48.8%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	1
		0.0%	5.5%	31.7%
DK/NA		1	2	1
		6.3%	12.9%	19.6%
	Total	14	16	4
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	8	6	0
		57.0%	37.7%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	5	1
		36.7%	31.1%	19.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied		0	1	3
		0.0%	4.0%	63.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	1
		0.0%	0.0%	17.1%
DK/NA		1	4	0
		6.3%	27.1%	0.0%
	Total	14	16	4
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	3	1	0
		19.0%	6.4%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	9	10	0
		63.3%	58.5%	0.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied		2	2	2
		14.4%	10.7%	48.8%
	Very dissatisfied	0	3	1
		0.0%	20.3%	31.7%
DK/NA		0	1	1
		3.3%	4.1%	19.6%
	Total	14	16	4
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	2	1	0
		11.8%	8.2%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	4	3	0
		30.5%	18.0%	0.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied		4	4	0
		29.8%	21.9%	0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1	1	1
		6.3%	5.5%	17.1%
DK/NA		3	8	4
		21.7%	46.4%	82.9%
	Total	14	16	4

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	116	51	5	13	9	29
		26.1%	24.9%	23.8%	32.5%	48.3%	22.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	168	81	8	16	3	49
		37.6%	39.7%	35.6%	38.0%	15.1%	38.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied		35	17	2	5	3	7
		7.9%	8.5%	8.3%	11.0%	14.5%	5.3%
	Very dissatisfied	12	11	0	0	0	1
		2.7%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
DK/NA		115	43	7	8	4	41
		25.7%	21.4%	32.3%	18.5%	22.1%	32.7%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	59	22	2	7	2	21
		13.2%	11.1%	8.6%	17.6%	11.7%	16.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	149	73	10	14	6	39
		33.4%	35.8%	43.7%	34.4%	29.7%	30.8%
Somewhat dissatisfied		110	47	3	8	6	35
		24.7%	23.4%	13.3%	19.4%	30.0%	27.7%
	Very dissatisfied	41	25	0	7	0	6
		9.2%	12.5%	0.0%	17.9%	0.0%	4.8%
DK/NA		87	35	8	4	6	25
		19.5%	17.3%	34.3%	10.8%	28.6%	20.1%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	279	119	12	24	14	93
		62.5%	58.6%	55.7%	58.0%	70.4%	73.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	101	53	5	10	4	23
		22.6%	26.1%	23.7%	23.3%	19.5%	18.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied		7	5	0	0	0	2
		1.5%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	1	0	0
		0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA		59	26	5	7	2	9
		13.2%	12.9%	20.7%	16.4%	10.1%	6.9%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	99	42	4	12	4	33
		22.2%	20.9%	17.1%	30.3%	20.8%	26.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	191	88	10	18	6	55
		42.9%	43.4%	43.5%	43.3%	32.8%	43.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied		30	17	1	2	1	7
		6.7%	8.4%	2.5%	4.7%	3.8%	5.4%
	Very dissatisfied	8	5	0	1	0	1
		1.8%	2.3%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.9%
DK/NA		118	51	8	7	8	30
		26.4%	25.0%	37.0%	18.2%	42.7%	24.0%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	62	25	3	4	4	25
		13.9%	12.1%	14.6%	8.5%	20.8%	20.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	139	64	4	11	6	44
		31.1%	31.6%	19.3%	26.7%	32.8%	34.5%
Somewhat dissatisfied		34	16	1	3	0	11
		7.7%	7.7%	2.5%	7.6%	0.0%	9.1%
	Very dissatisfied	17	4	1	2	0	10
		3.8%	2.0%	2.5%	3.8%	0.0%	8.2%

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	5 37.9%	3 19.1%	1 17.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	5 34.2%	6 37.2%	1 31.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%	1 5.5%	1 31.7%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	4 28.0%	6 38.2%	1 19.6%
6I. Managing land use	Total	14	16	4
	Very satisfied	3 22.8%	1 7.4%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	5 37.8%	3 15.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 21.6%	5 31.5%	3 63.4%
	Very dissatisfied	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	2 36.6%
6J. Fire protection services	Total	14	16	4
	Very satisfied	9 67.5%	5 32.8%	3 68.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	1 7.6%	4 23.8%	1 31.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	14	16	4
	Very satisfied	2 15.1%	1 4.0%	1 17.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	7 53.8%	7 44.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%	3 17.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	1 5.5%	0 0.0%
6L. Senior facilities	Total	14	16	4
	Very satisfied	0 0.0%	1 8.2%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	4 29.7%	5 31.9%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 19.2%	1 5.5%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 17.1%

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
6M. Senior programs	DK/NA	194 43.5%	95 46.6%	14 61.1%	22 53.4%	9 46.4%	35 28.1%
	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Very satisfied	83 18.7%	28 13.7%	5 22.2%	5 11.1%	8 42.3%	35 28.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.3%	66 32.6%	2 10.9%	13 32.9%	3 15.7%	47 36.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	9 4.6%	1 4.9%	2 5.7%	0 0.0%	11 8.5%
6N. Youth facilities	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Very satisfied	68 15.2%	25 12.4%	5 20.6%	12 30.3%	5 23.5%	20 15.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	161 36.1%	82 40.4%	5 21.6%	15 36.1%	5 25.5%	41 32.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	32 15.7%	3 13.2%	3 8.1%	5 24.2%	10 7.6%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	4 2.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.2%	0 0.0%	3 2.8%
6O. Youth programs	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	26 12.8%	6 26.5%	11 27.5%	5 23.5%	20 15.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	92 45.2%	7 31.1%	15 36.1%	7 36.2%	42 33.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	19 9.2%	3 12.6%	2 4.6%	2 9.1%	6 5.0%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	2 1.0%	0 0.0%	4 8.5%	0 0.0%	2 1.4%
6O. Youth programs	DK/NA	159 35.8%	65 31.9%	7 29.9%	10 23.2%	6 31.3%	56 44.5%

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
DK/NA	7 51.1%	9 54.4%	4 82.9%
6M. Senior programs			
Total	14	16	4
Very satisfied	1 7.2%	1 8.2%	0 0.0%
Somewhat satisfied	5 34.9%	2 12.2%	1 31.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 17.1%
DK/NA	8 57.9%	13 79.6%	2 51.2%
6N. Youth facilities			
Total	14	16	4
Very satisfied	1 7.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Somewhat satisfied	7 48.3%	6 33.9%	1 31.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied	1 6.5%	2 9.6%	1 31.7%
Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 17.1%
DK/NA	5 38.0%	9 56.5%	1 19.6%
6O. Youth programs			
Total	14	16	4
Very satisfied	1 7.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Somewhat satisfied	6 40.5%	5 30.5%	2 48.8%
Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%	3 18.4%	1 31.7%
Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	7 52.3%	8 51.1%	1 19.6%

	Total	County Where Work				
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
6A. Police services						
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
Very satisfied	152 57.2%	3 58.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 35.3%	15 48.3%
Somewhat satisfied	93 35.1%	1 26.6%	2 100.0%	1 100.0%	7 56.7%	10 32.3%
Somewhat dissatisfied	4 1.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.7%
Very dissatisfied						
DK/NA	16 6.1%	1 15.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.0%	5 15.7%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program						
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
Very satisfied	167 62.7%	2 45.9%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5 42.8%	15 51.3%
Somewhat satisfied	87 32.8%	2 54.1%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	7 57.2%	10 34.1%
Somewhat dissatisfied	8 2.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 11.3%
DK/NA	5 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.3%
6C. Street tree maintenance						
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
Very satisfied	76 28.5%	1 12.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 19.0%	8 27.7%
Somewhat satisfied	129 48.6%	1 26.6%	2 100.0%	1 100.0%	6 53.1%	19 62.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied	21 8.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 7.7%	1 2.9%
Very dissatisfied	16 6.1%	2 45.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.4%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	23 8.7%	1 15.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 14.8%	2 6.6%
6D. Street pavement maintenance						
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
Very satisfied	67 25.0%	2 41.8%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	2 14.6%	7 22.0%
Somewhat satisfied	120 44.9%	1 12.3%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	8 65.2%	14 46.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied	59 22.0%	0 0.0%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 22.2%
Very dissatisfied	13 4.9%	2 45.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 6.3%
DK/NA	9 3.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 20.2%	1 3.3%
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30

		County Where Work				
		Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
6A. Police services	Total	207	2	0	5	2
	Very satisfied	126 61.1%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	3 56.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	68 32.7%	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	2 43.3%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA	10 4.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very satisfied	136 65.6%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	4 81.8%	1 54.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	63 30.7%	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	1 18.2%	1 45.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	4 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2
	Very satisfied	61 29.7%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 54.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	97 46.8%	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	1 31.0%	1 45.1%
6C. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	16 7.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 69.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	14 6.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	19 9.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2
	Very satisfied	55 26.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	88 42.4%	2 100.0%	0 100.0%	4 83.6%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 24.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.4%	0 0.0%
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very dissatisfied	9 4.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	5 2.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2

		County Where Work					
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	112 42.1%	2 41.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 29.9%	11 36.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	116 43.7%	1 12.3%	1 43.3%	1 100.0%	7 57.0%	14 46.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17 6.3%	0 0.0%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 11.6%
	Very dissatisfied	6 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	15 5.7%	2 45.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 13.1%	2 5.9%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
	Very satisfied	61 23.1%	1 12.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 17.3%	5 17.6%
6F. Traffic safety	Somewhat satisfied	125 46.9%	4 87.7%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	7 57.4%	15 48.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	47 17.6%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 10.9%	5 17.5%
	Very dissatisfied	24 8.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 14.4%	4 13.0%
	DK/NA	9 3.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.3%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
	Very satisfied	34 12.9%	2 45.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 1.6%	4 13.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	91 34.0%	1 12.3%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	6 51.2%	10 33.7%
6G. Economic development efforts	Somewhat dissatisfied	55 20.7%	1 26.6%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	2 19.1%	3 9.7%
	Very dissatisfied	26 9.9%	1 15.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 11.0%
	DK/NA	60 22.4%	0 0.0%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	3 28.2%	10 31.7%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
	Very satisfied	69 26.0%	1 15.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 1.6%	9 30.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	104 39.1%	0 0.0%	1 56.7%	1 100.0%	5 44.4%	12 41.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24 8.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 13.5%	0 0.0%
6H. Sports fields	Very dissatisfied	11 4.3%	1 26.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 14.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	58 21.8%	3 58.2%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	3 26.1%	8 28.2%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30

		County Where Work				
		Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	91 43.8%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	2 39.7%	1 54.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	88 42.8%	0 0.0%	100.0%	60.3%	45.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12 5.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	6 2.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	10 4.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	52 25.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 32.8%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	95 46.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 45.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35 17.1%	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	1 25.7%	1 54.9%
	Very dissatisfied	17 8.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	7 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.4%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	28 13.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	68 32.8%	2 100.0%	0 100.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	46 22.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.1%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	22 10.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	42 20.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 74.9%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	55 26.7%	2 100.0%	0 100.0%	2 39.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	80 38.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	22 10.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	8 4.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	41 19.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 34.6%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2

		County Where Work					
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	32 11.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 1.6%	3 10.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	97 36.3%	4 84.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 36.7%	6 19.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	58 21.9%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	4 33.8%	12 41.0%
	Very dissatisfied	33 12.2%	1 15.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 22.5%	1 3.3%
	DK/NA	47 17.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.4%	8 26.0%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	155 58.2%	4 84.9%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	2 20.5%	9 30.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	68 25.5%	0 0.0%	1 43.3%	1 100.0%	5 42.2%	10 34.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 14.4%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	38 14.1%	1 15.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 22.8%	10 34.7%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	59 22.0%	1 12.3%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	4 12.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	115 43.4%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	5 40.6%	13 43.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 7.3%	2 45.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%	3 9.9%
	Very dissatisfied	6 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 14.4%	1 3.4%
	DK/NA	67 25.0%	2 41.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 39.1%	9 30.3%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	31 11.8%	1 12.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 1.6%	5 18.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	79 29.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	6 51.6%	11 36.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 7.3%	1 15.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 7.7%	3 8.9%
	Very dissatisfied	6 2.3%	2 45.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	130 48.9%	1 26.6%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	5 39.1%	11 36.0%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30

		County Where Work				
		Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	27 13.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	76 36.7%	2 100.0%	0 100.0%	2 40.3%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	40 19.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	27 13.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	37 17.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 34.6%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	132 63.9%	2 100.0%	0 100.0%	3 57.9%	1 54.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	48 23.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.4%	1 45.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 1.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	22 10.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	51 24.7%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	91 43.8%	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	2 43.3%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14 6.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	48 23.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 56.7%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	25 12.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	58 28.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13 6.3%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	4 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	106 51.3%	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	5 100.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2

		County Where Work					
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	37 14.0%	1 15.1%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 1.6%	7 24.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	82 30.8%	1 12.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 66.4%	7 22.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13 4.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 7.7%	3 8.9%
	Very dissatisfied	5 2.0%	2 45.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	129 48.4%	1 26.6%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	3 24.3%	13 43.7%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	42 15.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 1.6%	5 16.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	102 38.2%	0 0.0%	1 43.3%	1 100.0%	5 42.7%	11 37.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	38 14.3%	1 15.1%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	3 27.9%	6 19.8%
	Very dissatisfied	5 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	79 29.7%	4 84.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 27.9%	8 26.2%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	43 16.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 1.6%	5 15.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	113 42.6%	0 0.0%	1 56.7%	1 100.0%	7 61.5%	14 45.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 8.7%	0 0.0%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 18.2%
	Very dissatisfied	5 2.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	81 30.4%	5 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 37.0%	6 20.3%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30

		County Where Work				
		Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	28 13.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	61 29.4%	2 100.0%	0 100.0%	1 25.7%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9 4.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	106 51.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 74.3%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	35 17.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 39.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	79 38.1%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	27 12.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	5 2.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	61 29.5%	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	3 60.3%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	36 17.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 39.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	85 41.3%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17 8.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	5 2.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	63 30.3%	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	3 60.3%	0 0.0%
	Total	207	2	0	5	2

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
6A. Police services	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Very satisfied	269 60.4%	0 0.0%	16 60.7%	1 14.2%	11 56.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.4%	1 100.0%	8 31.8%	2 45.0%	2 11.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12 2.7%	0 0.0%	1 3.9%	0 0.0%	2 11.1%
	Very dissatisfied	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 8.3%
	DK/NA	23 5.1%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%	2 40.8%	3 12.9%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Very satisfied	296 66.4%	0 0.0%	15 58.7%	3 55.0%	9 44.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	133 29.8%	1 100.0%	11 41.3%	2 45.0%	11 55.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	9 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Very satisfied	130 29.1%	0 0.0%	9 36.2%	3 55.0%	8 41.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	216 48.4%	1 100.0%	13 48.9%	2 45.0%	6 29.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39 8.7%	0 0.0%	1 3.8%	0 0.0%	4 20.3%
	Very dissatisfied	27 6.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 4.9%
	DK/NA	35 7.8%	0 0.0%	3 11.2%	0 0.0%	1 4.0%
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Very satisfied	122 27.3%	0 0.0%	9 35.3%	1 14.2%	10 49.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	205 46.0%	0 0.0%	13 50.3%	4 85.8%	5 25.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	80 18.0%	1 100.0%	3 10.8%	0 0.0%	4 20.8%
	Very dissatisfied	20 4.4%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	19 4.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 4.0%
6E. Street sweeping services	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Very satisfied	203 45.6%	0 0.0%	14 54.5%	1 14.2%	6 33.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 40.3%	0 0.0%	9 35.1%	4 85.8%	11 58.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 5.6%	1 100.0%	2 6.6%	0 0.0%	1 3.4%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.3%
	DK/NA	11 2.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.3%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
6A. Police services	Total	4	1	2	5
	Very satisfied	0	0	0	3
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	59.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	4	1	0	1
		100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	25.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	1	0
		0.0%	0.0%	52.1%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	1	1	
	0.0%	0.0%	47.9%	14.9%	
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	4	1	2	5
	Very satisfied	2	0	1	4
		48.4%	0.0%	47.9%	85.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	1	0	1
		0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	14.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	1	0
		51.6%	0.0%	52.1%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	4	1	2	5
	Very satisfied	0	0	0	2
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	44.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	1	2	2
		69.6%	100.0%	100.0%	40.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	0	0
		15.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	0	
	14.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	1	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%	
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	4	1	2	5
	Very satisfied	1	0	0	3
		32.7%	0.0%	0.0%	59.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	1	1	1	2
		15.7%	100.0%	47.9%	40.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	0	0
		51.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	1	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	52.1%	0.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
6E. Street sweeping services	Total	4	1	2	5
	Very satisfied	1	0	1	3
		18.0%	0.0%	52.1%	59.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	4	1	0	2
		82.0%	100.0%	0.0%	40.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	1	0
		0.0%	0.0%	47.9%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Very satisfied	210	8	9	3	8
		63.8%	76.4%	55.3%	67.4%	40.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	100	2	7	2	9
		30.3%	16.6%	40.2%	32.6%	46.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	1	0	0	1
		1.7%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	14	0	1	0	1	
	4.1%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	6.7%	
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Very satisfied	230	8	9	5	11
		69.7%	76.0%	51.9%	100.0%	55.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	90	3	8	0	6
		27.3%	24.0%	48.1%	0.0%	27.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	0	0	3
		0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.7%
DK/NA	8	0	0	0	1	
	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Very satisfied	95	3	3	2	4
		28.7%	30.7%	19.4%	47.0%	21.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	160	7	9	2	9
		48.4%	61.9%	53.7%	32.6%	43.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	27	0	2	1	4
		8.2%	0.0%	9.2%	20.4%	18.4%
Very dissatisfied	20	0	2	0	3	
	6.2%	0.0%	11.8%	0.0%	13.5%	
DK/NA	28	1	1	0	1	
	8.5%	7.4%	6.0%	0.0%	2.6%	
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Very satisfied	85	2	5	2	3
		25.8%	21.9%	28.0%	47.0%	16.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	160	3	9	1	5
		48.7%	24.8%	55.2%	15.2%	25.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	55	6	2	1	6
		16.7%	53.2%	12.2%	20.4%	29.9%
Very dissatisfied	12	0	1	1	4	
	3.7%	0.0%	4.5%	17.5%	20.0%	
DK/NA	17	0	0	0	2	
	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	
6E. Street sweeping services	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Very satisfied	154	6	7	3	8
		46.7%	51.1%	40.2%	62.1%	40.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	134	4	5	0	5
		40.6%	34.5%	32.7%	0.0%	26.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9	0	4	1	6
		2.9%	0.0%	22.6%	20.4%	29.5%
Very dissatisfied	8	1	0	0	1	
	2.4%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	

	Total	Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
Very dissatisfied	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%
DK/NA	27 6.1%	0 0.0%	1 3.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Total	446	1	26	5	19
6F. Traffic safety					
Very satisfied	114 25.6%	0 0.0%	8 29.9%	1 14.2%	8 39.6%
Somewhat satisfied	213 47.8%	0 0.0%	12 47.2%	2 45.0%	6 30.3%
Somewhat dissatisfied	71 15.9%	1 100.0%	4 15.4%	0 0.0%	2 12.2%
Very dissatisfied	35 7.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 40.8%	2 11.1%
DK/NA	13 3.0%	0 0.0%	2 7.5%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%
Total	446	1	26	5	19
Very satisfied	59 13.3%	0 0.0%	4 13.4%	1 14.2%	2 8.3%
Somewhat satisfied	144 32.3%	0 0.0%	9 34.9%	1 27.3%	2 12.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied	86 19.2%	1 100.0%	4 15.1%	3 58.5%	5 25.9%
Very dissatisfied	38 8.6%	0 0.0%	1 4.8%	0 0.0%	3 14.3%
DK/NA	119 26.7%	0 0.0%	8 31.7%	0 0.0%	8 38.7%
Total	446	1	26	5	19
Very satisfied	116 26.1%	0 0.0%	7 26.9%	2 41.5%	5 23.3%
Somewhat satisfied	168 37.6%	1 100.0%	9 35.6%	1 17.7%	4 22.5%
Somewhat dissatisfied	35 7.9%	0 0.0%	2 9.3%	0 0.0%	4 19.2%
Very dissatisfied	12 2.7%	0 0.0%	1 3.1%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%
DK/NA	115 25.7%	0 0.0%	7 25.0%	2 40.8%	5 28.3%
Total	446	1	26	5	19
Very satisfied	59 13.2%	0 0.0%	5 19.1%	1 14.2%	3 14.5%
Somewhat satisfied	149 33.4%	0 0.0%	9 34.6%	2 45.0%	5 24.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	110 24.7%	1 100.0%	2 9.0%	0 0.0%	2 8.3%
Very dissatisfied	41 9.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 15.2%
DK/NA	87 19.5%	0 0.0%	10 37.3%	2 40.8%	7 38.0%
Total	446	1	26	5	19
Very satisfied	279 62.5%	1 100.0%	13 48.5%	2 41.5%	8 41.9%

	Total	Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
Very dissatisfied	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Total	4	1	2	5	
6F. Traffic safety					
Very satisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Somewhat satisfied	3 67.3%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%	5 100.0%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	1 32.7%	1 100.0%	1 52.1%	0 0.0%	
Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Total	4	1	2	5	
Very satisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 52.1%	0 0.0%	
Somewhat satisfied	1 15.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 70.2%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%	
Very dissatisfied	2 51.6%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%	1 14.9%	
DK/NA	1 32.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Total	4	1	2	5	
Very satisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%	
Somewhat satisfied	3 66.3%	1 100.0%	1 52.1%	4 85.1%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	1 15.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
DK/NA	1 18.0%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%	0 0.0%	
Total	4	1	2	5	
Very satisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%	
Somewhat satisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	3 67.3%	1 100.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	
Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 59.4%	
DK/NA	1 32.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Total	4	1	2	5	
Very satisfied	1 14.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 44.5%	

	Ethnic Group				
	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Very dissatisfied	2.5%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%
DK/NA	24	1	1	1	0
	7.2%	6.9%	4.5%	17.5%	0.0%
Total	330	11	16	5	20
6F. Traffic safety					
Very satisfied	86	3	1	3	4
	26.1%	29.2%	8.0%	64.4%	22.0%
Somewhat satisfied	160	7	9	2	7
	48.5%	63.4%	51.9%	35.6%	34.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied	51	1	4	0	3
	15.4%	7.4%	26.7%	0.0%	16.9%
Very dissatisfied	24	0	2	0	4
	7.2%	0.0%	13.5%	0.0%	22.1%
DK/NA	9	0	0	0	1
	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%
Total	330	11	16	5	20
6G. Economic development efforts					
Very satisfied	44	3	2	2	1
	13.3%	29.2%	12.9%	47.0%	4.7%
Somewhat satisfied	117	4	5	0	2
	35.4%	37.7%	27.8%	0.0%	8.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied	55	2	4	2	8
	16.8%	15.7%	25.4%	37.9%	37.9%
Very dissatisfied	28	0	1	0	1
	8.5%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	3.6%
DK/NA	86	2	4	1	9
	26.0%	17.4%	26.3%	15.2%	45.1%
Total	330	11	16	5	20
6H. Sports fields					
Very satisfied	88	5	2	2	4
	26.7%	50.3%	12.9%	47.0%	20.7%
Somewhat satisfied	125	0	10	1	6
	38.1%	0.0%	60.5%	17.5%	32.2%
Somewhat dissatisfied	24	1	0	1	2
	7.4%	8.8%	0.0%	20.4%	10.0%
Very dissatisfied	7	0	0	0	3
	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.0%
DK/NA	84	4	4	1	5
	25.6%	41.0%	26.6%	15.2%	24.2%
Total	330	11	16	5	20
6I. Managing land use					
Very satisfied	41	3	2	2	1
	12.6%	29.2%	12.9%	47.0%	4.7%
Somewhat satisfied	119	6	1	0	5
	36.2%	56.5%	6.8%	0.0%	26.8%
Somewhat dissatisfied	85	1	8	1	4
	25.8%	6.9%	49.4%	20.4%	17.7%
Very dissatisfied	27	0	2	1	6
	8.2%	0.0%	10.2%	17.5%	30.5%
DK/NA	57	1	3	1	4
	17.4%	7.4%	20.6%	15.2%	20.3%
Total	330	11	16	5	20
Very satisfied	219	7	9	2	15
	66.5%	69.1%	51.9%	47.0%	73.1%

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat satisfied	101	0	7	1	5
		22.6%	0.0%	25.7%	17.7%	25.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	0	1	0	0
		1.5%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	0	0
		0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	59	0	6	2	6
		13.2%	0.0%	22.0%	40.8%	32.2%
Total		446	1	26	5	19
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs						
	Very satisfied	99	0	7	1	3
		22.2%	0.0%	26.4%	14.2%	14.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	191	1	10	4	7
		42.9%	100.0%	39.0%	85.8%	38.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	0	2	0	1
		6.7%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	4.9%
	Very dissatisfied	8	0	0	0	0
		1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	118	0	7	0	8
		26.4%	0.0%	28.4%	0.0%	42.2%
Total		446	1	26	5	19
6L. Senior facilities						
	Very satisfied	62	0	4	1	0
		13.9%	0.0%	17.2%	14.2%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	139	0	6	2	5
		31.1%	0.0%	24.4%	40.8%	24.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34	0	1	1	0
		7.7%	0.0%	3.8%	17.7%	0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	17	0	1	0	0
		3.8%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	194	1	14	1	15
		43.5%	100.0%	52.5%	27.3%	75.6%
Total		446	1	26	5	19
6M. Senior programs						
	Very satisfied	83	0	3	3	0
		18.7%	0.0%	13.0%	55.0%	0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	140	0	9	0	6
		31.3%	0.0%	35.3%	0.0%	32.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23	0	1	1	1
		5.3%	0.0%	2.1%	17.7%	3.4%
	Very dissatisfied	10	0	0	0	0
		2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	189	1	13	1	12
		42.5%	100.0%	49.6%	27.3%	64.3%
Total		446	1	26	5	19

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat satisfied	4 85.3%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	1 14.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	2 40.6%
	Total	4	1	2	5
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	1 15.7%	0 0.0%	1 52.1%	4 85.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2 51.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 32.7%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%
6L. Senior facilities	Total	4	1	2	5
	Very satisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	3 69.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 59.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%
	Very dissatisfied	1 15.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 14.7%	1 100.0%	2 100.0%	1 25.7%
6M. Senior programs	Total	4	1	2	5
	Very satisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	1 18.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 59.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1 15.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	3 66.3%	1 100.0%	2 100.0%	1 25.7%
Total	4	1	2	5	

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat satisfied	69 20.8%	1 6.9%	6 36.0%	1 20.4%	5 26.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 1.3%	0 0.0%	1 7.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	37 11.1%	3 24.0%	1 4.5%	2 32.6%	0 0.0%
	Total	330	11	16	5	20
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	77 23.2%	5 49.9%	2 12.9%	2 47.0%	2 11.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	146 44.2%	3 25.8%	7 42.1%	1 20.4%	6 30.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	22 6.7%	0 0.0%	2 11.7%	1 17.5%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	4 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 13.5%
	DK/NA	81 24.6%	3 24.3%	5 33.3%	1 15.2%	9 44.2%
6L. Senior facilities	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Very satisfied	48 14.6%	4 41.1%	2 12.9%	2 47.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	108 32.6%	2 16.1%	6 38.1%	2 35.6%	2 11.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	27 8.3%	0 0.0%	2 10.4%	0 0.0%	3 13.2%
	Very dissatisfied	12 3.8%	0 0.0%	1 4.5%	0 0.0%	3 13.1%
	DK/NA	134 40.7%	5 42.8%	6 34.0%	1 17.5%	13 62.5%
6M. Senior programs	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Very satisfied	67 20.2%	4 41.1%	2 12.9%	2 47.0%	1 4.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	106 32.1%	2 16.1%	7 42.3%	2 35.6%	4 22.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17 5.1%	0 0.0%	2 10.4%	0 0.0%	2 9.9%
	Very dissatisfied	7 2.0%	0 0.0%	1 4.5%	0 0.0%	3 13.1%
	DK/NA	134 40.5%	5 42.8%	5 29.8%	1 17.5%	10 50.1%
Total	330	11	16	5	20	

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	68 15.2%	0 0.0%	4 13.4%	1 14.2%	1 4.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	161 36.1%	0 0.0%	10 38.3%	2 40.8%	3 13.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	1 100.0%	3 11.8%	1 17.7%	7 37.7%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%
	DK/NA	152 34.1%	0 0.0%	10 36.4%	1 27.3%	7 37.8%
	Total	446	1	26	5	19
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	0 0.0%	5 17.2%	1 14.2%	1 4.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	1 100.0%	8 31.6%	2 40.8%	6 33.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	0 0.0%	2 8.8%	1 17.7%	1 6.6%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	0 0.0%	11 42.4%	1 27.3%	9 48.8%
	Total	446	1	26	5	19

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 52.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 82.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 29.8%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 44.5%
	DK/NA	1 18.0%	1 100.0%	1 47.9%	0 0.0%
	Total	4	1	2	5
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	2 51.6%	0 0.0%	1 52.1%	2 40.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1 15.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 44.5%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 32.7%	1 100.0%	1 47.9%	1 14.9%
	Total	4	1	2	5

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	52 15.7%	3 29.2%	2 12.9%	2 47.0%	2 10.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	129 39.1%	3 29.8%	6 38.1%	3 53.0%	4 20.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 9.3%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%	0 0.0%	7 33.9%
	Very dissatisfied	5 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%
	DK/NA	113 34.3%	4 41.0%	7 43.1%	0 0.0%	6 31.3%
	Total	330	11	16	5	20
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	51 15.6%	3 29.2%	2 12.9%	2 47.0%	3 17.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	132 40.0%	3 29.8%	5 29.0%	2 37.9%	10 50.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 6.9%	0 0.0%	4 27.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%
	Very dissatisfied	5 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.3%
	DK/NA	118 35.9%	4 41.0%	5 31.1%	1 15.2%	5 22.7%
	Total	330	11	16	5	20

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
6A. Police services	Total	446	203	243
	Very satisfied	269 60.4%	126 62.0%	143 59.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.4%	66 32.3%	75 30.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12 2.7%	3 1.5%	9 3.7%
	Very dissatisfied	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	2 0.7%
	DK/NA	23 5.1%	8 4.1%	15 6.0%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	203	243
	Very satisfied	296 66.4%	139 68.3%	158 64.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	133 29.8%	53 26.3%	80 32.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8 1.9%	6 3.2%	2 0.8%
	DK/NA	9 1.9%	5 2.3%	4 1.6%
	Total	446	203	243
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	130	65	65
	Very satisfied	29.1%	32.3%	26.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	48.4%	47.3%	49.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8.7%	7.4%	9.7%

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
Very dissatisfied	27	14	13
	6.0%	6.9%	5.3%
DK/NA	35	13	22
	7.8%	6.2%	9.1%
Total	446	203	243
Very satisfied	122	64	57
	27.3%	31.8%	23.5%
Somewhat satisfied	205	94	112
	46.0%	46.1%	45.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied	80	28	52
	18.0%	13.9%	21.4%
Very dissatisfied	20	6	14
	4.4%	3.0%	5.6%
DK/NA	19	10	9
	4.3%	5.2%	3.6%
Total	446	203	243
Very satisfied	203	102	101
	45.6%	50.2%	41.7%
Somewhat satisfied	180	77	103
	40.3%	37.8%	42.4%
Somewhat dissatisfied	25	10	15
	5.6%	5.0%	6.0%
Very dissatisfied	11	3	8
	2.4%	1.6%	3.1%
DK/NA	27	11	16
	6.1%	5.4%	6.7%
Total	446	203	243
Very satisfied	114	53	61
	25.6%	26.0%	25.2%
Somewhat satisfied	213	107	107
	47.8%	52.6%	43.8%
Somewhat dissatisfied	71	21	50
	15.9%	10.2%	20.6%
Very dissatisfied	35	17	17
	7.8%	8.5%	7.2%
DK/NA	13	6	8
	3.0%	2.7%	3.2%
Total	446	203	243
Very satisfied	59	27	32
	13.3%	13.5%	13.1%
Somewhat satisfied	144	58	86
	32.3%	28.7%	35.3%
Somewhat dissatisfied	86	47	39
	19.2%	23.1%	15.9%
Very dissatisfied	38	18	21
	8.6%	8.6%	8.5%
DK/NA	119	53	66
	26.7%	26.0%	27.2%
Total	446	203	243
Very satisfied	116	54	63
	26.1%	26.4%	25.8%
Somewhat satisfied	168	73	95

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
Somewhat satisfied	37	19	18
	8.3%	9.4%	7.4%
Somewhat dissatisfied	35	13	22
	7.9%	6.5%	9.1%
Very dissatisfied	12	7	6
	2.7%	3.2%	2.3%
DK/NA	115	57	58
	25.7%	27.9%	23.9%
Total	446	203	243
Very satisfied	59	28	31
	13.2%	13.7%	12.8%
Somewhat satisfied	149	66	83
	33.4%	32.5%	34.1%
Somewhat dissatisfied	110	61	49
	24.7%	29.9%	20.2%
Very dissatisfied	41	19	22
	9.2%	9.3%	9.2%
DK/NA	87	29	57
	19.5%	14.5%	23.6%
Total	446	203	243
Very satisfied	279	131	148
	62.5%	64.7%	60.7%
Somewhat satisfied	101	44	57
	22.6%	21.6%	23.5%
Somewhat dissatisfied	7	2	5
	1.5%	0.9%	1.9%
Very dissatisfied	1	1	0
	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
DK/NA	59	25	34
	13.2%	12.3%	13.8%
Total	446	203	243
Very satisfied	99	39	60
	22.2%	19.4%	24.6%
Somewhat satisfied	191	89	102
	42.9%	43.8%	42.1%
Somewhat dissatisfied	30	19	11
	6.7%	9.4%	4.4%
Very dissatisfied	8	5	3
	1.8%	2.4%	1.4%
DK/NA	118	51	67
	26.4%	25.0%	27.5%
Total	446	203	243
Very satisfied	62	22	40
	13.9%	10.7%	16.6%
Somewhat satisfied	139	62	77
	31.1%	30.4%	31.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied	34	18	16
	7.7%	8.8%	6.7%
Very dissatisfied	17	13	4
	3.8%	6.3%	1.8%
DK/NA	194	89	105
	43.5%	43.7%	43.3%
Total	446	203	243

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	83 18.7%	40 19.5%	44 18.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.3%	57 28.0%	83 34.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	18 8.7%	6 2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	10 2.3%	6 3.1%	4 1.6%
	DK/NA	189 42.5%	83 40.7%	107 43.9%
	Total	446	203	243
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	68 15.2%	32 15.6%	36 14.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	161 36.1%	63 31.2%	98 40.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	37 18.2%	19 7.9%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	3 1.5%	6 2.6%
	DK/NA	152 34.1%	68 33.6%	84 34.5%
	Total	446	203	243
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	32 15.7%	37 15.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	73 36.0%	102 42.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	20 9.9%	16 6.4%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	4 2.1%	3 1.2%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	74 36.4%	86 35.2%
	Total	446	203	243

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
6A. Police services	Total	446	20	422	3
	Very satisfied	269 60.4%	10 49.0%	256 60.6%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.4%	9 44.4%	131 31.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12 2.7%	1 6.6%	11 2.5%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	2 0.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	23 5.1%	0 0.0%	23 5.4%	0 0.0%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	20	422	3
	Very satisfied	296 66.4%	7 32.8%	286 67.8%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	133 29.8%	14 67.2%	119 28.2%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8 1.9%	0 0.0%	8 2.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	9 1.9%	0 0.0%	9 2.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	20	422	3
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	20	422	3
	Very satisfied	130 29.1%	2 11.8%	128 30.2%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	216 48.4%	15 71.0%	198 46.9%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39 8.7%	1 6.4%	37 8.9%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	27 6.0%	1 6.1%	25 6.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	35 7.8%	1 4.8%	34 8.0%	0 0.0%
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	20	422	3
	Very satisfied	122 27.3%	3 16.9%	118 28.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	205 46.0%	12 60.1%	193 45.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	80 18.0%	3 16.6%	74 17.5%	3 100.0%
	Very dissatisfied	20 4.4%	1 6.4%	18 4.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	19 4.3%	0 0.0%	19 4.6%	0 0.0%
Total	446	20	422	3	

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	203 45.6%	7 35.3%	193 45.7%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 40.3%	6 28.8%	174 41.2%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 5.6%	6 31.1%	18 4.4%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.4%	0 0.0%	11 2.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	27 6.1%	1 4.8%	26 6.2%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	20	422	3
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	114 25.6%	3 15.9%	108 25.5%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 47.8%	13 62.7%	200 47.4%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 15.9%	4 21.4%	66 15.7%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	35 7.8%	0 0.0%	35 8.2%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	0 0.0%	13 3.1%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	20	422	3
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	59 13.3%	2 11.8%	54 12.7%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	144 32.3%	7 34.5%	137 32.4%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	86 19.2%	6 26.9%	80 19.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	38 8.6%	0 0.0%	38 9.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	119 26.7%	5 26.7%	113 26.9%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	20	422	3
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	116 26.1%	3 15.8%	110 26.0%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	168 37.6%	9 42.2%	159 37.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35 7.9%	4 18.4%	31 7.5%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	12 2.7%	1 4.0%	11 2.7%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	115 25.7%	4 19.6%	111 26.2%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	20	422	3

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	59 13.2%	4 21.1%	52 12.2%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	149 33.4%	6 28.8%	143 33.9%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	110 24.7%	5 24.7%	105 24.8%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	41 9.2%	0 0.0%	41 9.8%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	87 19.5%	5 25.4%	82 19.3%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	20	422	3
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	279 62.5%	5 22.5%	271 64.2%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	101 22.6%	12 56.6%	89 21.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 1.5%	1 6.1%	5 1.3%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	1 0.2%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	59 13.2%	3 14.8%	56 13.2%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	20	422	3
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	99 22.2%	3 15.8%	93 22.0%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	191 42.9%	10 51.1%	181 42.8%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 6.7%	1 5.3%	29 6.8%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	8 1.8%	0 0.0%	8 1.9%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	118 26.4%	6 27.8%	112 26.5%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	20	422	3
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	62 13.9%	2 8.1%	57 13.6%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	139 31.1%	10 46.5%	129 30.5%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34 7.7%	0 0.0%	34 8.1%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	17 3.8%	0 0.0%	17 4.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	194 43.5%	9 45.4%	185 43.7%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	20	422	3

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	83 18.7%	2 8.1%	78 18.6%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.3%	11 51.7%	129 30.6%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	0 0.0%	23 5.6%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	10 2.3%	0 0.0%	10 2.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	189 42.5%	8 40.3%	181 42.9%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	20	422	3
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	68 15.2%	2 11.8%	62 14.7%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	161 36.1%	9 45.4%	152 35.9%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	3 13.1%	54 12.7%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	0 0.0%	9 2.2%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	152 34.1%	6 29.7%	146 34.5%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	20	422	3
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	2 11.8%	63 14.9%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	7 32.1%	169 39.9%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	5 23.5%	31 7.3%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	7 1.7%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	7 32.6%	153 36.2%	0 0.0%
	Total	446	20	422	3

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
6A. Police services	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Very satisfied	269 60.4%	54 60.2%	45 69.3%	74 59.9%	97 57.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.4%	28 31.3%	17 27.0%	36 29.4%	58 34.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12 2.7%	4 4.2%	0 0.0%	6 4.8%	2 1.3%
	Very dissatisfied	2 0.4%	2 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	23 5.1%	2 2.5%	2 3.7%	7 5.8%	11 6.6%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Very satisfied	296 66.4%	53 58.5%	51 78.8%	75 60.7%	118 70.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	133 29.8%	33 37.0%	13 20.0%	42 34.2%	45 26.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8 1.9%	2 2.7%	0 0.0%	3 2.5%	3 1.6%
	DK/NA	9 1.9%	2 1.8%	1 1.2%	3 2.6%	3 1.8%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Very satisfied	130 29.1%	39 43.4%	28 43.2%	20 16.0%	43 25.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	216 48.4%	43 47.1%	26 40.0%	72 58.8%	75 44.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39 8.7%	3 3.5%	5 8.2%	13 10.9%	17 10.1%
	Very dissatisfied	27 6.0%	4 4.7%	2 3.6%	7 5.3%	14 8.1%
	DK/NA	35 7.8%	1 1.2%	3 5.0%	11 9.1%	19 11.5%
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Very satisfied	122 27.3%	34 37.7%	21 32.9%	28 22.8%	38 22.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	205 46.0%	41 45.6%	28 44.3%	54 43.5%	82 48.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	80 18.0%	9 10.1%	10 16.3%	30 24.2%	31 18.4%
	Very dissatisfied	20 4.4%	4 4.7%	2 2.5%	8 6.7%	6 3.4%
	DK/NA	19 4.3%	2 1.9%	3 4.0%	3 2.8%	11 6.8%
Total	446	90	64	123	168	

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	203 45.6%	50 55.0%	34 52.7%	45 36.2%	75 44.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 40.3%	34 38.1%	25 39.3%	56 45.2%	65 38.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 5.6%	1 1.4%	1 0.9%	12 10.0%	11 6.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.4%	2 2.0%	2 3.8%	1 0.6%	6 3.5%
	DK/NA	27 6.1%	3 3.5%	2 3.4%	10 8.0%	12 7.1%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	114 25.6%	35 38.6%	23 36.4%	21 16.8%	35 20.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 47.8%	44 48.2%	28 43.0%	65 52.8%	77 45.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 15.9%	4 4.8%	10 15.3%	24 19.9%	32 19.1%
	Very dissatisfied	35 7.8%	6 6.2%	2 3.6%	9 7.1%	18 10.7%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	2 2.2%	1 1.7%	4 3.4%	6 3.5%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	59 13.3%	27 29.5%	11 17.8%	8 6.5%	13 7.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	144 32.3%	32 35.6%	23 36.1%	34 27.6%	55 32.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	86 19.2%	11 12.7%	6 8.9%	32 25.8%	37 21.8%
	Very dissatisfied	38 8.6%	4 4.3%	8 12.7%	6 4.7%	20 12.1%
	DK/NA	119 26.7%	16 17.9%	16 24.5%	43 35.3%	44 25.9%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	116 26.1%	40 44.5%	18 27.5%	25 19.9%	34 20.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	168 37.6%	29 31.6%	26 40.5%	50 40.8%	63 37.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35 7.9%	3 3.6%	2 3.6%	13 10.3%	17 10.1%
	Very dissatisfied	12 2.7%	0 0.0%	2 3.2%	3 2.6%	7 4.1%
	DK/NA	115 25.7%	18 20.3%	16 25.2%	33 26.5%	47 28.2%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	59 13.2%	20 22.5%	15 23.4%	11 8.7%	13 7.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	149 33.4%	38 42.5%	18 28.8%	36 29.0%	56 33.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	110 24.7%	15 16.5%	16 25.2%	34 27.6%	45 26.6%
	Very dissatisfied	41 9.2%	4 4.8%	6 9.9%	8 6.6%	22 13.3%
	DK/NA	87 19.5%	12 13.7%	8 12.7%	35 28.1%	32 18.8%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	279 62.5%	54 59.7%	44 68.6%	76 62.1%	105 62.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	101 22.6%	20 21.6%	16 24.5%	31 24.9%	35 20.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 1.5%	2 2.1%	0 0.0%	1 0.5%	4 2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	1 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	59 13.2%	15 16.6%	4 5.5%	15 12.5%	25 14.7%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	99 22.2%	32 35.6%	17 26.9%	17 14.1%	32 19.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	191 42.9%	36 39.3%	34 52.2%	47 38.4%	75 44.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 6.7%	3 3.8%	5 7.1%	10 8.1%	12 7.0%
	Very dissatisfied	8 1.8%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%	1 0.5%	6 3.8%
	DK/NA	118 26.4%	19 21.3%	8 12.0%	48 38.8%	43 25.5%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	62 13.9%	21 23.2%	17 26.5%	11 9.1%	13 7.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	139 31.1%	31 33.8%	24 37.9%	35 28.5%	49 28.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34 7.7%	4 4.6%	3 4.9%	14 11.0%	13 8.0%
	Very dissatisfied	17 3.8%	4 4.6%	3 4.0%	6 5.1%	4 2.5%
	DK/NA	194 43.5%	31 33.9%	17 26.8%	57 46.4%	89 52.9%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	83 18.7%	28 30.7%	26 39.9%	14 11.7%	16 9.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.3%	26 28.6%	22 34.7%	37 30.1%	55 32.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	1 1.4%	0 0.7%	9 7.7%	12 7.3%
	Very dissatisfied	10 2.3%	4 4.6%	1 1.0%	2 1.6%	3 2.0%
	DK/NA	189 42.5%	31 34.7%	15 23.7%	60 49.0%	82 49.0%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	68 15.2%	22 24.6%	11 16.4%	9 7.7%	25 15.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	161 36.1%	38 42.1%	21 33.1%	44 36.0%	57 34.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	10 11.1%	7 10.8%	24 19.6%	15 9.0%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	2 2.2%	1 1.0%	2 1.9%	4 2.5%
	DK/NA	152 34.1%	18 20.0%	25 38.6%	43 34.9%	66 39.3%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	23 25.2%	12 18.7%	11 8.8%	23 13.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	46 50.8%	24 36.9%	43 35.3%	62 36.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	3 3.6%	3 5.0%	17 13.9%	12 7.2%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	3 4.3%	3 2.6%	1 0.8%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	18 20.4%	23 35.1%	49 39.5%	70 41.5%
	Total	446	90	64	123	168

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied		. ^a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		. ^a	. ^a	. ^a
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very satisfied		A		
	Somewhat satisfied		. ^a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	DK/NA	C D	C		
6C. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				A
6D. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied			A	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
6E. Street sweeping services	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied	C			
	Somewhat satisfied				
6F. Traffic safety	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied	C D	C		
6G. Economic development efforts	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				B
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA			A	
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	C D			
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	. ^a			
6I. Managing land use	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied	C D	C D		
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat dissatisfied		a		
	Very dissatisfied	a		a	a
	DK/NA				
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	C D			
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			
6L. Senior facilities	Very dissatisfied			A B	
	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied	C D	C D		
6M. Senior programs	Somewhat satisfied				A B
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
6N. Youth facilities	DK/NA			B	B
	Very satisfied	C			
	Somewhat satisfied				
6O. Youth programs	Somewhat dissatisfied				A
	Very satisfied	C			
	DK/NA	a			
			A	A	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6A. Police services	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Very satisfied	269	40	36	26	36	132
		60.4%	76.8%	50.4%	51.5%	52.2%	64.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	140	10	26	18	29	57
		31.4%	20.1%	36.9%	35.8%	41.7%	27.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12	1	2	3	0	5
		2.7%	2.0%	3.2%	6.5%	0.0%	2.7%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very dissatisfied	2	0	2	0	0	0
		0.4%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	23	1	5	3	4	10
		5.1%	1.1%	7.2%	6.3%	6.1%	4.8%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Very satisfied	296	32	38	30	48	148
		66.4%	61.7%	53.4%	59.2%	70.0%	72.7%
6C. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	133	16	29	18	20	50
		29.8%	31.0%	40.6%	35.0%	28.9%	24.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8	4	2	0	0	3
		1.9%	7.1%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
	DK/NA	9	0	2	3	1	2
		1.9%	0.2%	3.2%	5.8%	1.1%	1.2%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	130	22	25	11	20	52
		29.1%	42.8%	35.2%	22.4%	28.8%	25.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	216	27	37	27	35	90
		48.4%	51.8%	52.4%	52.7%	50.6%	44.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39	1	1	7	5	24
		8.7%	2.3%	1.9%	13.6%	7.2%	11.9%
	Very dissatisfied	27	1	3	2	5	15
	6.0%	2.0%	4.9%	3.8%	7.2%	7.5%	
6D. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	35	1	4	4	4	22
		7.8%	1.1%	5.7%	7.4%	6.1%	10.9%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Very satisfied	122	19	21	12	15	55
		27.3%	36.9%	29.6%	23.8%	21.7%	26.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	205	22	33	24	37	89
		46.0%	43.5%	46.5%	47.3%	53.3%	43.7%
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	80	9	11	11	10	40
		18.0%	17.4%	16.0%	20.5%	14.4%	19.5%
	Very dissatisfied	20	1	5	1	4	8
		4.4%	2.0%	6.5%	2.6%	6.4%	4.1%
	DK/NA	19	0	1	3	3	12
		4.3%	0.2%	1.4%	5.8%	4.2%	6.0%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	203 45.6%	28 54.1%	37 53.0%	18 35.9%	29 42.1%	91 44.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 40.3%	18 34.3%	24 33.8%	26 50.6%	28 41.2%	84 41.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 5.6%	3 6.8%	5 7.4%	3 5.5%	3 4.3%	10 5.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.4%	2 3.7%	1 1.5%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	7 3.4%
	DK/NA	27 6.1%	1 1.1%	3 4.2%	3 6.2%	8 12.3%	12 5.9%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	114 25.6%	16 31.7%	18 25.1%	11 21.6%	14 20.2%	55 27.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 47.8%	27 51.8%	34 48.9%	23 45.9%	42 61.2%	86 42.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 15.9%	5 9.5%	8 10.9%	11 21.7%	6 9.3%	41 20.0%
	Very dissatisfied	35 7.8%	2 3.4%	7 9.4%	3 6.4%	6 9.4%	16 8.1%
	DK/NA	13 3.0%	2 3.6%	4 5.7%	2 4.3%	0 0.0%	5 2.5%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	59 13.3%	13 26.0%	8 10.7%	6 12.6%	7 10.8%	24 12.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	144 32.3%	17 32.1%	32 45.7%	14 27.7%	12 17.6%	69 33.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	86 19.2%	2 3.1%	14 19.6%	10 20.1%	16 23.1%	44 21.6%
	Very dissatisfied	38 8.6%	5 9.6%	3 3.6%	7 13.4%	10 15.2%	13 6.5%
	DK/NA	119 26.7%	15 29.2%	14 20.5%	13 26.2%	23 33.3%	53 26.0%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	116 26.1%	24 46.1%	22 30.6%	12 23.9%	13 18.2%	46 22.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	168 37.6%	11 20.7%	29 40.8%	18 35.6%	26 38.0%	84 41.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35 7.9%	3 5.5%	3 4.7%	8 16.2%	9 12.4%	12 6.0%
	Very dissatisfied	12 2.7%	3 5.9%	2 2.7%	3 5.0%	0 0.0%	5 2.3%
	DK/NA	115 25.7%	11 21.7%	15 21.2%	10 19.4%	22 31.4%	57 27.9%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	59 13.2%	13 24.2%	11 16.1%	8 14.7%	3 4.3%	25 12.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	149 33.4%	16 31.0%	29 41.4%	19 38.0%	20 29.3%	64 31.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	110 24.7%	12 24.1%	14 19.5%	7 13.6%	17 24.6%	60 29.3%
	Very dissatisfied	41 9.2%	3 5.9%	4 5.6%	7 14.3%	10 14.1%	17 8.4%
	DK/NA	87 19.5%	8 14.7%	12 17.4%	10 19.4%	19 27.7%	38 18.6%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	279 62.5%	33 63.0%	42 58.9%	29 57.1%	37 53.0%	139 68.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	101 22.6%	9 18.3%	13 18.4%	13 25.3%	20 29.0%	46 22.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 1.5%	4 7.1%	0 0.0%	2 3.2%	0 0.0%	1 0.6%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.5%
	DK/NA	59 13.2%	6 11.6%	16 22.7%	7 14.4%	12 18.0%	17 8.3%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	99 22.2%	21 40.3%	13 19.2%	12 22.8%	12 17.9%	41 20.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	191 42.9%	13 25.5%	32 46.1%	26 49.9%	23 33.7%	97 47.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 6.7%	4 7.1%	1 1.3%	1 1.8%	7 10.5%	17 8.3%
	Very dissatisfied	8 1.8%	2 3.4%	2 2.7%	0 0.0%	1 1.5%	4 1.7%
	DK/NA	118 26.4%	12 23.7%	22 30.7%	13 25.5%	25 36.5%	46 22.4%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	62 13.9%	13 24.9%	13 19.1%	2 4.6%	8 11.5%	26 12.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	139 31.1%	14 27.1%	20 28.8%	22 43.3%	15 21.3%	67 33.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34 7.7%	2 3.9%	4 5.5%	2 3.7%	4 5.1%	23 11.3%
	Very dissatisfied	17 3.8%	0 0.0%	2 2.7%	1 2.7%	4 5.5%	10 4.9%
	DK/NA	194 43.5%	23 44.1%	31 44.0%	23 45.7%	39 56.5%	78 38.2%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	83 18.7%	19 37.1%	11 15.9%	6 12.1%	11 15.3%	36 17.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.3%	9 18.0%	23 33.1%	19 36.7%	19 27.4%	69 34.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	2 3.9%	5 6.4%	2 3.2%	3 4.6%	12 6.0%
	Very dissatisfied	10 2.3%	0 0.0%	2 2.7%	0 0.0%	2 3.0%	6 3.0%
	DK/NA	189 42.5%	21 41.0%	30 41.9%	25 47.9%	34 49.7%	80 39.2%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	68 15.2%	15 29.0%	12 16.8%	5 9.4%	11 15.4%	26 12.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	161 36.1%	14 27.7%	28 39.1%	24 46.5%	26 37.4%	69 34.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.6%	11 20.8%	7 10.4%	8 16.1%	5 7.1%	25 12.3%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	1 2.5%	2 2.9%	0 0.0%	2 2.5%	4 2.1%
	DK/NA	152 34.1%	10 19.9%	22 30.9%	14 28.0%	26 37.7%	80 39.0%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	69 15.4%	12 23.1%	10 14.3%	5 10.4%	10 14.2%	31 15.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.3%	18 34.1%	32 46.0%	24 46.1%	23 33.1%	79 38.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	7 14.0%	4 5.3%	5 10.0%	6 8.2%	14 6.9%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	1 2.5%	1 1.3%	0 0.0%	1 1.0%	4 2.1%
	DK/NA	159 35.8%	14 26.3%	23 33.1%	17 33.5%	30 43.5%	75 37.0%
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	B				
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied	.a		.a	.a	.a
	DK/NA					
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very satisfied					B
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.a	.a	
6C. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied				.a	
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied		D			
	Somewhat dissatisfied				A	A
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	DE				
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied				.a	
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	D				
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat dissatisfied	E	a		a	
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	a	a	
	DK/NA		E			
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	E				
	Somewhat satisfied					A
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
6L. Senior facilities	Very dissatisfied			a		
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied	C				
6M. Senior programs	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied	a		a		
6N. Youth facilities	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied	E				
	Somewhat satisfied					
6O. Youth programs	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied			a		
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	Party by Gender				
			Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
6A. Police services	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	269	72	48	37	36	25
	Somewhat satisfied	60.7%	59.6%	62.8%	67.1%	67.8%	46.4%
	Very dissatisfied	138	33	23	14	16	22
	DK/NA	31.1%	26.9%	30.1%	25.4%	29.3%	41.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12	5	1	2	0	3
	Very dissatisfied	2.7%	4.5%	1.3%	3.8%	0.0%	4.8%
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	2
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	295	83	57	40	35	25
	Somewhat satisfied	66.4%	68.7%	75.4%	73.0%	65.9%	47.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	133	32	19	12	18	24
	DK/NA	29.9%	26.2%	24.6%	21.5%	32.6%	46.0%
	Very dissatisfied	8	1	0	3	1	2
6C. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	1.7%	1.1%	0.0%	5.5%	1.6%	4.3%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	130	34	24	13	20	14
	Somewhat satisfied	29.3%	27.9%	32.1%	24.2%	36.8%	25.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	215	56	33	29	21	32
	Very dissatisfied	48.4%	46.7%	42.8%	52.2%	38.4%	61.1%
	DK/NA	38	9	10	3	6	1
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very dissatisfied	8.5%	7.5%	13.4%	5.7%	11.9%	1.6%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	122	41	22	13	12	10
	Somewhat satisfied	27.4%	33.8%	28.5%	23.8%	21.9%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	204	46	35	32	26	25
	Very dissatisfied	45.9%	38.0%	45.9%	57.7%	48.7%	47.9%
	DK/NA	80	18	14	4	12	13
6E. Street lighting	Very dissatisfied	18.1%	15.2%	18.3%	8.1%	22.9%	24.1%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	20	4	5	6	3	1
	Somewhat satisfied	4.4%	3.3%	6.0%	10.3%	5.2%	2.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	12	1	0	1	4
	DK/NA	4.2%	9.7%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	7.4%
	Very dissatisfied	35	15	6	4	3	2
6F. Street cleaning	DK/NA	7.8%	12.3%	8.0%	7.8%	4.8%	3.7%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	122	41	22	13	12	10
	Somewhat satisfied	27.4%	33.8%	28.5%	23.8%	21.9%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	204	46	35	32	26	25
	Very dissatisfied	45.9%	38.0%	45.9%	57.7%	48.7%	47.9%
	DK/NA	80	18	14	4	12	13
6G. Street maintenance	Very dissatisfied	18.1%	15.2%	18.3%	8.1%	22.9%	24.1%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	20	4	5	6	3	1
	Somewhat satisfied	4.4%	3.3%	6.0%	10.3%	5.2%	2.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	12	1	0	1	4
	DK/NA	4.2%	9.7%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	7.4%
	Very dissatisfied	35	15	6	4	3	2
6H. Street maintenance	DK/NA	7.8%	12.3%	8.0%	7.8%	4.8%	3.7%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	122	41	22	13	12	10
	Somewhat satisfied	27.4%	33.8%	28.5%	23.8%	21.9%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	204	46	35	32	26	25
	Very dissatisfied	45.9%	38.0%	45.9%	57.7%	48.7%	47.9%
	DK/NA	80	18	14	4	12	13
6I. Street maintenance	Very dissatisfied	18.1%	15.2%	18.3%	8.1%	22.9%	24.1%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	20	4	5	6	3	1
	Somewhat satisfied	4.4%	3.3%	6.0%	10.3%	5.2%	2.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	12	1	0	1	4
	DK/NA	4.2%	9.7%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	7.4%
	Very dissatisfied	35	15	6	4	3	2
6J. Street maintenance	DK/NA	7.8%	12.3%	8.0%	7.8%	4.8%	3.7%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	122	41	22	13	12	10
	Somewhat satisfied	27.4%	33.8%	28.5%	23.8%	21.9%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	204	46	35	32	26	25
	Very dissatisfied	45.9%	38.0%	45.9%	57.7%	48.7%	47.9%
	DK/NA	80	18	14	4	12	13
6K. Street maintenance	Very dissatisfied	18.1%	15.2%	18.3%	8.1%	22.9%	24.1%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	20	4	5	6	3	1
	Somewhat satisfied	4.4%	3.3%	6.0%	10.3%	5.2%	2.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	12	1	0	1	4
	DK/NA	4.2%	9.7%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	7.4%
	Very dissatisfied	35	15	6	4	3	2
6L. Street maintenance	DK/NA	7.8%	12.3%	8.0%	7.8%	4.8%	3.7%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	122	41	22	13	12	10
	Somewhat satisfied	27.4%	33.8%	28.5%	23.8%	21.9%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	204	46	35	32	26	25
	Very dissatisfied	45.9%	38.0%	45.9%	57.7%	48.7%	47.9%
	DK/NA	80	18	14	4	12	13
6M. Street maintenance	Very dissatisfied	18.1%	15.2%	18.3%	8.1%	22.9%	24.1%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	20	4	5	6	3	1
	Somewhat satisfied	4.4%	3.3%	6.0%	10.3%	5.2%	2.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	12	1	0	1	4
	DK/NA	4.2%	9.7%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	7.4%
	Very dissatisfied	35	15	6	4	3	2
6N. Street maintenance	DK/NA	7.8%	12.3%	8.0%	7.8%	4.8%	3.7%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	122	41	22	13	12	10
	Somewhat satisfied	27.4%	33.8%	28.5%	23.8%	21.9%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	204	46	35	32	26	25
	Very dissatisfied	45.9%	38.0%	45.9%	57.7%	48.7%	47.9%
	DK/NA	80	18	14	4	12	13
6O. Street maintenance	Very dissatisfied	18.1%	15.2%	18.3%	8.1%	22.9%	24.1%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	20	4	5	6	3	1
	Somewhat satisfied	4.4%	3.3%	6.0%	10.3%	5.2%	2.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	12	1	0	1	4
	DK/NA	4.2%	9.7%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	7.4%
	Very dissatisfied	35	15	6	4	3	2
6P. Street maintenance	DK/NA	7.8%	12.3%	8.0%	7.8%	4.8%	3.7%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	122	41	22	13	12	10
	Somewhat satisfied	27.4%	33.8%	28.5%	23.8%	21.9%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	204	46	35	32	26	25
	Very dissatisfied	45.9%	38.0%	45.9%	57.7%	48.7%	47.9%
	DK/NA	80	18	14	4	12	13
6Q. Street maintenance	Very dissatisfied	18.1%	15.2%	18.3%	8.1%	22.9%	24.1%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	20	4	5	6	3	1
	Somewhat satisfied	4.4%	3.3%	6.0%	10.3%	5.2%	2.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	12	1	0	1	4
	DK/NA	4.2%	9.7%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	7.4%
	Very dissatisfied	35	15	6	4	3	2
6R. Street maintenance	DK/NA	7.8%	12.3%	8.0%	7.8%	4.8%	3.7%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	122	41	22	13	12	10
	Somewhat satisfied	27.4%	33.8%	28.5%	23.8%	21.9%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	204	46	35	32	26	25
	Very dissatisfied	45.9%	38.0%	45.9%	57.7%	48.7%	47.9%
	DK/NA	80	18	14	4	12	13
6S. Street maintenance	Very dissatisfied	18.1%	15.2%	18.3%	8.1%	22.9%	24.1%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	20	4	5	6	3	1
	Somewhat satisfied	4.4%	3.3%	6.0%	10.3%	5.2%	2.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	12	1	0	1	4
	DK/NA	4.2%	9.7%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	7.4%
	Very dissatisfied	35	15	6	4	3	2
6T. Street maintenance	DK/NA	7.8%	12.3%	8.0%	7.8%	4.8%	3.7%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	122	41	22	13	12	10
	Somewhat satisfied	27.4%	33.8%	28.5%	23.8%	21.9%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	204	46	35	32	26	25
	Very dissatisfied	45.9%	38.0%	45.9%	57.7%	48.7%	47.9%
	DK/NA	80	18	14	4	12	13
6U. Street maintenance	Very dissatisfied	18.1%	15.2%	18.3%	8.1%	22.9%	24.1%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	20	4	5	6	3	1
	Somewhat satisfied	4.4%	3.3%	6.0%	10.3%	5.2%	2.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	12	1	0	1	4
	DK/NA	4.2%	9.7%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	7.4%
	Very dissatisfied	35	15	6	4	3	2
6V. Street maintenance	DK/NA	7.8%	12.3%	8.0%	7.8%	4.8%	3.7%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Very satisfied	122	41	22	13	12	10
	Somewhat satisfied	27.4%	33.8%	28.5%	23.8%	21.9%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	204	46	35	32	26	25
	Very dissatisfied	45.9%	38.0%	45.9%	57.7%	48.7%	47.9%
	DK/NA	80	18	14	4	12	13
6W. Street maintenance	Very dissatisfied	18.1%	15.2%	18.3%	8.1%	22.9%	24.1%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6A. Police services	Total	73	2	10
	Very satisfied	41	2	9
		55.4%	100.0%	89.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	30	0	1
		40.9%	0.0%	10.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	0
		1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	
	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Total	73	2	10
	Very satisfied	44	1	8
		60.5%	58.4%	81.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	26	1	2
		35.6%	41.6%	18.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	3	0	0	
	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	
6C. Street tree maintenance	Total	73	2	10
	Very satisfied	20	1	4
		27.7%	41.6%	39.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	37	1	6
		51.0%	58.4%	56.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8	0	0
	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%	
Very dissatisfied	3	0	0	
	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	5	0	0	
	6.2%	0.0%	4.2%	
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Total	73	2	10
	Very satisfied	21	0	4
		28.5%	0.0%	35.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	33	2	5
		44.8%	100.0%	50.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17	0	1
	23.8%	0.0%	9.1%	
Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	
	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	
	1.0%	0.0%	4.2%	
Total		73	2	10

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	202	52	40	18	26	18
		45.5%	43.4%	52.9%	33.1%	47.8%	34.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	179	50	30	28	23	19
		40.3%	41.6%	39.5%	51.1%	43.2%	35.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	3	3	3	4	8
		5.6%	2.6%	3.5%	5.1%	6.8%	15.1%
Very dissatisfied	11	2	1	3	1	2	
	2.4%	1.8%	1.4%	5.0%	2.2%	3.6%	
DK/NA	27	13	2	3	0	6	
	6.1%	10.6%	2.7%	5.7%	0.0%	11.1%	
Total		444	121	76	55	54	53
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	114	26	22	14	18	7
		25.7%	21.9%	28.8%	25.5%	32.6%	13.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	62	34	26	24	29
		48.0%	51.5%	44.6%	47.5%	44.4%	54.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	14	15	5	10	10
		16.0%	11.7%	20.1%	8.7%	18.8%	18.7%
Very dissatisfied	33	10	3	9	1	6	
	7.5%	8.7%	4.3%	17.1%	1.2%	10.6%	
DK/NA	12	8	2	1	2	1	
	2.8%	6.2%	2.2%	1.2%	3.0%	1.8%	
Total		444	121	76	55	54	53
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	59	10	13	5	9	6
		13.3%	8.0%	17.1%	9.5%	16.8%	11.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	144	38	29	16	12	18
		32.4%	31.6%	37.9%	29.1%	22.0%	34.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	85	25	9	14	12	13
		19.0%	20.8%	11.8%	25.3%	21.7%	25.2%
Very dissatisfied	38	9	3	5	9	5	
	8.6%	7.8%	4.3%	9.3%	17.5%	9.8%	
DK/NA	118	38	22	15	12	10	
	26.6%	31.7%	29.0%	26.9%	22.0%	19.7%	
Total		444	121	76	55	54	53
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	115	32	23	8	16	10
		25.9%	26.6%	29.6%	14.1%	29.8%	18.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	168	38	23	29	23	20
		37.8%	31.1%	30.6%	52.0%	43.4%	36.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35	14	5	1	3	8
		7.9%	12.0%	7.0%	2.6%	6.2%	15.7%
Very dissatisfied	12	1	2	4	2	0	
	2.7%	0.6%	3.2%	8.0%	4.5%	0.0%	
DK/NA	114	36	22	13	9	16	
	25.6%	29.7%	29.5%	23.4%	16.2%	29.3%	
Total		444	121	76	55	54	53

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6E. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	38 52.1%	2 100.0%	7 70.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	26 35.0%	0 0.0%	3 29.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 6.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	2 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	3 4.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	73	2	10
6F. Traffic safety	Very satisfied	23 30.8%	0 0.0%	4 41.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	32 44.1%	2 100.0%	4 38.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14 19.7%	0 0.0%	2 20.4%
	Very dissatisfied	4 5.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	73	2	10
6G. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14 18.5%	0 0.0%	3 28.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	28 37.8%	0 0.0%	3 31.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10 13.3%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	5 6.4%	0 0.0%	1 10.6%
	DK/NA	18 24.1%	0 0.0%	3 29.5%
	Total	73	2	10
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied	23 32.1%	0 0.0%	4 34.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	32 43.6%	1 58.4%	3 24.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1 1.7%	0 0.0%	1 10.6%
	Very dissatisfied	2 2.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	14 19.7%	1 41.6%	3 30.0%
	Total	73	2	10

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	59 13.3%	16 13.1%	15 20.2%	4 6.4%	6 11.3%	8 15.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	149 33.5%	37 30.4%	23 29.8%	17 30.6%	17 32.4%	17 31.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	110 24.8%	30 24.7%	18 23.3%	15 26.6%	16 29.4%	15 27.5%
	Very dissatisfied	39 8.8%	12 10.2%	5 6.9%	8 15.3%	6 11.8%	4 7.6%
	DK/NA	87 19.6%	26 21.6%	15 19.7%	12 21.0%	8 15.2%	9 17.6%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	277 62.4%	83 68.6%	51 66.6%	38 69.0%	35 64.1%	21 40.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	101 22.7%	20 16.2%	17 22.6%	11 20.8%	13 24.3%	18 33.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 1.5%	1 0.8%	4 4.8%	0 0.0%	1 1.2%	1 2.3%
	Very dissatisfied	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.7%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	59 13.2%	17 14.4%	5 6.0%	6 10.2%	5 8.6%	13 24.6%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	99 22.3%	26 21.7%	20 26.9%	10 18.9%	10 17.7%	12 22.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	190 42.8%	56 46.2%	32 41.9%	25 45.5%	19 35.1%	22 42.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 6.7%	7 5.6%	4 5.1%	5 9.6%	4 6.6%	5 10.2%
	Very dissatisfied	8 1.8%	2 1.5%	3 3.5%	2 3.5%	1 1.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	117 26.3%	30 24.9%	17 22.5%	12 22.5%	21 39.1%	13 24.8%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	62 14.0%	19 15.9%	16 20.7%	5 8.5%	10 17.8%	3 6.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	139 31.2%	30 24.9%	17 22.7%	24 44.6%	13 23.8%	22 41.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	34 7.7%	14 11.4%	9 11.9%	4 6.9%	4 7.4%	1 1.6%
	Very dissatisfied	17 3.9%	8 6.9%	1 1.3%	4 7.6%	3 5.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	192 43.2%	49 40.8%	33 43.4%	18 32.5%	25 46.0%	27 50.8%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6I. Managing land use	Very satisfied	10 13.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	29 39.7%	1 58.4%	8 81.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 20.1%	1 41.6%	2 19.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3 3.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	17 22.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	73	2	10
6J. Fire protection services	Very satisfied	39 53.4%	2 100.0%	9 86.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	22 29.6%	0 0.0%	0 4.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	12 17.0%	0 0.0%	1 9.1%
	Total	73	2	10
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	17 23.0%	0 0.0%	3 33.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	34 45.8%	1 58.4%	2 14.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 5.1%	1 41.6%	0 4.2%
	Very dissatisfied	1 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	18 24.7%	0 0.0%	5 47.3%
	Total	73	2	10
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	9 12.0%	0 0.0%	1 9.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	28 37.6%	2 100.0%	3 24.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 3.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 1.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	33 45.4%	0 0.0%	7 65.8%
	Total	73	2	10

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	83 18.7%	26 21.2%	16 20.4%	11 20.9%	9 17.1%	6 11.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 31.5%	29 24.4%	27 35.1%	17 31.3%	18 32.9%	20 37.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23 5.3%	11 8.8%	4 5.5%	3 5.8%	3 5.8%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	10 2.3%	6 4.6%	1 0.7%	2 3.5%	2 3.9%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	187 42.2%	49 40.9%	29 38.3%	21 38.6%	22 40.3%	27 51.0%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	67 15.0%	18 14.7%	10 12.8%	9 17.1%	9 16.3%	5 8.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	161 36.2%	34 27.8%	24 31.8%	23 41.6%	22 41.5%	22 41.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	56 12.7%	18 15.0%	12 15.7%	10 18.0%	8 14.8%	6 11.2%
	Very dissatisfied	9 2.1%	6 5.1%	1 1.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.5%
	DK/NA	151 34.0%	45 37.3%	29 38.4%	13 23.2%	15 27.5%	19 36.6%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	67 15.2%	18 14.5%	11 15.0%	10 17.3%	7 12.6%	5 8.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	175 39.4%	40 33.0%	25 33.1%	27 48.4%	26 48.8%	23 43.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36 8.0%	14 11.7%	6 8.2%	2 4.5%	2 3.5%	8 14.9%
	Very dissatisfied	7 1.6%	2 2.0%	1 1.2%	1 1.8%	1 1.7%	1 1.5%
	DK/NA	159 35.7%	47 38.9%	32 42.5%	15 28.1%	18 33.4%	16 30.8%
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6M. Senior programs	Very satisfied	15 20.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	24 32.1%	2 100.0%	4 34.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2 2.5%	0 0.0%	0 4.2%
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	33 44.5%	0 0.0%	6 61.6%
	Total	73	2	10
6N. Youth facilities	Very satisfied	16 21.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	30 41.6%	2 100.0%	4 34.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2 3.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	23 31.5%	0 0.0%	7 65.8%
	Total	73	2	10
6O. Youth programs	Very satisfied	17 23.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	28 38.7%	1 58.4%	5 44.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 4.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	23 31.6%	1 41.6%	6 55.2%
	Total	73	2	10

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied				b		
	Very dissatisfied	b	b	b	b		b
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied		E				
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied		b				b
6C. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA		b	b	b		
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
6D. Street pavement maintenance	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
6E. Street sweeping services	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
6F. Traffic safety	Somewhat satisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
6G. Economic development efforts	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
6H. Sports fields	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
6I. Managing land use	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied	E					
	Somewhat satisfied						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied	a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	b
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	b
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	Very satisfied	a	
	Somewhat satisfied	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	b
6C. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	a	
	Somewhat satisfied	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	b
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b
6D. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	a,b	
	Very satisfied	a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied	a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b
6E. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	a,b	
	Very satisfied	a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied	a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	b
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b
6F. Traffic safety	DK/NA	a,b	
	Very satisfied	a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied	a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	b
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b
6G. Economic development efforts	DK/NA	a,b	
	Very satisfied	a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	b
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	
6H. Sports fields	DK/NA	a,b	
	Very satisfied	a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied	a,b	b
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b
6I. Managing land use	DK/NA	a	
	Very satisfied	a	
	Somewhat satisfied	a	A B
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	b
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat dissatisfied			b			b
	Very dissatisfied	b	b	b		b	b
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied					b	
	DK/NA						
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied					b	
6M. Senior programs	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied					b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied					b	b
6N. Youth facilities	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied			b	b		
	Somewhat satisfied						
6O. Youth programs	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
6J. Fire protection services	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	b
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	
	Very satisfied	a,b	
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	Somewhat satisfied	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	
6L. Senior facilities	Very satisfied	a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied	a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	b
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b
6M. Senior programs	DK/NA	a,b	
	Very satisfied	a,b	b
	Somewhat satisfied	a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	b
6N. Youth facilities	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	
	Very satisfied	a,b	b
	Somewhat satisfied	a	
6O. Youth programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	a,b	b
	Very dissatisfied	a,b	b
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	446	446
	More than once a week	6 1.4%	6 1.4%
	Once a week	24 5.4%	24 5.4%
	A few times a month	33 7.5%	33 7.5%
	Once a month	15 3.3%	15 3.3%
	A few times a year	111 24.9%	111 24.9%
	Once a year	44 9.9%	44 9.9%
	Less than once a year	63 14.1%	63 14.1%
	Never	137 30.7%	137 30.7%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	13 2.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	.
	Once a week	.
	A few times a month	.
	Once a month	.
	A few times a year	.
	Once a year	.
	Less than once a year	.
	Never	.
DK/NA	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	446	213	231	2
	More than once a week	6 1.4%	4 1.7%	3 1.2%	0 0.0%
	Once a week	24 5.4%	6 3.0%	17 7.6%	0 0.0%
	A few times a month	33 7.5%	11 5.2%	22 9.7%	0 0.0%
	Once a month	15 3.3%	7 3.1%	8 3.6%	0 0.0%
	A few times a year	111 24.9%	56 26.1%	54 23.6%	1 41.3%
	Once a year	44 9.9%	27 12.8%	17 7.3%	0 0.0%
	Less than once a year	63 14.1%	23 10.9%	40 17.1%	0 0.0%
	Never	137 30.7%	74 34.4%	62 26.9%	1 58.7%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	6 2.8%	7 3.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	Other (C)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			. ^a
	Once a week		A	. ^a
	A few times a month			. ^a
	Once a month			. ^a
	A few times a year			. ^a
	Once a year			. ^a
	Less than once a year			. ^a
	Never			. ^a
	DK/NA			. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	More than once a week	6 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	1 0.5%	4 3.0%	1 9.4%
	Once a week	24 5.4%	2 3.2%	0 0.0%	5 5.6%	6 4.1%	11 8.8%	1 12.9%
	A few times a month	33 7.5%	3 5.6%	5 15.3%	12 15.2%	7 5.3%	5 4.1%	0 0.0%
	Once a month	15 3.3%	0 0.0%	6 17.2%	5 6.2%	2 1.1%	2 1.8%	0 0.0%
	A few times a year	111 24.9%	13 22.3%	9 27.4%	29 36.5%	29 20.9%	27 22.0%	2 32.1%
	Once a year	44 9.9%	13 21.2%	4 10.4%	7 8.3%	15 11.0%	6 4.7%	0 0.0%
	Less than once a year	63 14.1%	4 6.2%	1 2.6%	10 12.0%	32 22.8%	16 12.6%	1 12.2%
	Never	137 30.7%	25 41.4%	9 27.1%	9 11.7%	45 31.8%	47 37.4%	2 33.4%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.9%	4 2.5%	7 5.6%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29 (A)	30-39 (B)	40-49 (C)	50-64 (D)	65+ (E)	Not coded (F)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	. ^a	. ^a				
	Once a week		. ^a				
	A few times a month						. ^a
	Once a month	. ^a	DE				. ^a
	A few times a year						. ^a
	Once a year	E					. ^a
	Less than once a year						. ^a
	Never	C			C	C	. ^a
	DK/NA	. ^a	. ^a				. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	446	357	80	9
	More than once a week	6	6	0	0
		1.4%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
	Once a week	24	18	6	0
		5.4%	5.1%	7.0%	0.0%
	A few times a month	33	25	7	1
		7.5%	7.1%	8.4%	15.3%
	Once a month	15	11	4	0
		3.3%	3.0%	5.3%	0.0%
	A few times a year	111	93	14	3
		24.9%	26.2%	18.0%	34.4%
	Once a year	44	38	6	0
	9.9%	10.6%	7.7%	2.9%	
Less than once a year	63	54	8	0	
	14.1%	15.2%	10.2%	3.5%	
Never	137	98	35	4	
	30.7%	27.5%	43.4%	41.7%	
DK/NA	13	13	0	0	
	2.9%	3.6%	0.0%	2.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		a	a
	Once a week			a
	A few times a month			
	Once a month			a
	A few times a year			
	Once a year			
	Less than once a year			
	Never		A	
	DK/NA		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	More than once a week	6	4	0	0	3
		1.4%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
	Once a week	24	13	6	0	5
		5.4%	6.6%	5.7%	0.0%	3.8%
	A few times a month	33	19	6	1	7
		7.5%	9.9%	5.5%	9.1%	5.4%
	Once a month	15	8	3	1	3
		3.3%	4.2%	2.5%	8.4%	2.1%
	A few times a year	111	56	24	5	26
		24.9%	28.4%	21.5%	42.3%	20.7%
	Once a year	44	13	15	0	16
	9.9%	6.4%	13.9%	3.6%	12.4%	
Less than once a year	63	24	16	3	19	
	14.1%	12.4%	14.3%	27.1%	15.3%	
Never	137	55	36	1	45	
	30.7%	28.1%	32.4%	9.6%	35.2%	
DK/NA	13	4	5	0	4	
	2.9%	2.2%	4.3%	0.0%	3.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		a	a	
	Once a week			a	
	A few times a month				
	Once a month				
	A few times a year				
	Once a year				
	Less than once a year				
	Never				
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party							
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other	
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90	
	More than once a week	6	1	2	0	0	2	1	
	Once a week	24	5	7	1	1	7	3	
	A few times a month	33	8	5	1	4	8	8	
	Once a month	15	7	1	3	0	2	2	
	A few times a year	111	21	22	10	8	34	16	
	Once a year	44	2	5	4	5	18	10	
	Less than once a year	63	10	6	2	8	21	15	
	Never	137	20	19	11	10	45	32	
	DK/NA	13	1	2	1	3	3	2	
			2.9%	1.3%	2.6%	4.3%	8.3%	2.2%	2.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			a	a		
	Once a week						
	A few times a month						
	Once a month	E			a		
	A few times a year						
	Once a year						
	Less than once a year						
	Never						
DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	446	126	51	62	50	
	More than once a week	6	0	0	2	1	
	Once a week	24	3	0	5	1	
	A few times a month	33	13	2	7	4	
	Once a month	15	5	1	3	3	
	A few times a year	111	30	13	18	18	
	Once a year	44	13	7	3	6	
	Less than once a year	63	16	3	9	7	
	Never	137	44	22	11	11	
	DK/NA	13	3	2	3	1	
			2.9%	2.3%	3.4%	5.4%	1.6%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	36	36	46	38
	More than once a week	0	2	1	1
	Once a week	3	5	3	3
	A few times a month	2	2	2	1
	Once a month	0	1	1	1
	A few times a year	10	6	9	7
	Once a year	3	3	6	2
	Less than once a year	6	7	9	6
	Never	12	9	15	14
	DK/NA	1	0	1	2
		2.3%	0.0%	2.1%	6.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	a	a			a
	Once a week		a			
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					a
	A few times a year					
	Once a year					
	Less than once a year					
	Never					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			
	Once a week	A		
	A few times a month			
	Once a month			
	A few times a year			
	Once a year			
	Less than once a year			
	Never			
	DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	More than once a week	6	2	1	1	0	0	1	1	1
	Once a week	1.4%	2.0%	6.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	1.0%	5.5%
	A few times a month	24	4	1	11	2	1	2	3	1
	Once a month	5.4%	4.9%	5.4%	5.8%	4.4%	9.5%	11.5%	4.3%	2.2%
	A few times a year	33	7	2	9	3	1	2	7	2
	Once a year	7.5%	9.0%	8.5%	4.9%	9.0%	5.9%	15.9%	10.6%	6.7%
	Less than once a year	15	1	0	11	0	0	1	1	1
	Never	3.3%	1.0%	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	2.0%	2.2%
	DK/NA	111	17	6	43	18	2	8	14	3
		24.9%	21.4%	24.8%	22.6%	49.1%	18.8%	50.9%	21.2%	14.3%
		44	6	0	19	1	2	0	13	2
		9.9%	7.7%	0.0%	10.1%	4.0%	19.3%	0.0%	20.1%	7.3%
		63	15	3	30	4	3	2	3	3
	14.1%	18.5%	15.6%	15.8%	9.8%	25.6%	11.5%	4.0%	14.0%	
	137	25	7	61	7	2	0	24	11	
	30.7%	30.8%	33.3%	32.1%	17.7%	14.2%	0.0%	36.7%	47.8%	
	13	4	1	5	2	1	0	0	0	
	2.9%	4.9%	5.9%	2.5%	5.8%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week				a	a			
	Once a week				a	a			
	A few times a month								
	Once a month		a		a	a			
	A few times a year				C				
	Once a year		a				a		
	Less than once a year								
	Never						a		
	DK/NA						a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	349	97
More than once a week		6	6	0
		1.4%	1.8%	0.0%
Once a week		24	19	4
		5.4%	5.6%	4.6%
A few times a month		33	24	10
		7.5%	6.8%	9.9%
Once a month		15	6	9
		3.3%	1.7%	9.1%
A few times a year		111	85	26
		24.9%	24.4%	26.7%
Once a year		44	33	11
		9.9%	9.6%	11.1%
Less than once a year		63	52	11
		14.1%	14.9%	11.2%
Never		137	110	27
		30.7%	31.6%	27.3%
DK/NA		13	13	0
		2.9%	3.7%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
More than once a week			. ^a
Once a week			
A few times a month			
Once a month			A
A few times a year			
Once a year			
Less than once a year			
Never			. ^a
DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	282	164
More than once a week		6	6	0
		1.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Once a week		24	15	9
		5.4%	5.3%	5.4%
A few times a month		33	15	18
		7.5%	5.3%	11.2%
Once a month		15	6	9
		3.3%	2.2%	5.3%
A few times a year		111	71	40
		24.9%	25.3%	24.1%
Once a year		44	28	17
		9.9%	9.8%	10.1%
Less than once a year		63	45	18
		14.1%	15.8%	11.1%
Never		137	86	50
		30.7%	30.6%	30.8%
DK/NA		13	10	3
		2.9%	3.5%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
More than once a week			. ^a
Once a week			
A few times a month			A
Once a month			
A few times a year			
Once a year			
Less than once a year			
Never			
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	446	3	28	74	58
	More than once a week	6	0	0	0	2
	Once a week	24	0	1	5	2
	A few times a month	33	2	2	7	8
	Once a month	15	1	2	6	2
	A few times a year	111	0	9	23	10
	Once a year	44	0	2	6	9
	Less than once a year	63	0	2	7	8
	Never	137	0	10	19	15
	DK/NA	13	0	0	2	3
		2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	4.6%
		1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
		5.4%	0.0%	3.5%	7.3%	2.8%
		7.5%	66.3%	7.1%	9.3%	13.1%
	3.3%	30.0%	7.5%	7.4%	3.8%	
	24.9%	0.0%	32.7%	30.6%	16.9%	
	9.9%	0.0%	6.4%	7.9%	16.0%	
	14.1%	0.0%	8.8%	9.0%	13.1%	
	30.7%	3.7%	34.1%	26.1%	26.4%	

		Years Lived in Los Altos		
		16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	111	167	4
	More than once a week	2	3	0
	Once a week	7	9	0
	A few times a month	5	8	2
	Once a month	2	2	0
	A few times a year	22	45	2
	Once a year	14	13	0
	Less than once a year	22	24	0
	Never	36	56	0
	DK/NA	2	6	0
		2.2%	3.6%	0.0%
		1.6%	1.6%	0.0%
		6.0%	5.5%	0.0%
		4.2%	5.0%	44.7%
	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%	
	20.2%	27.0%	46.6%	
	12.6%	7.9%	0.0%	
	19.4%	14.4%	8.7%	
	32.4%	33.7%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	.a	.a	.a		
	Once a week	.a				
	A few times a month	E F				
	Once a month	E F				
	A few times a year	.a				
	Once a year	.a				
	Less than once a year	.a				
	Never					
	DK/NA	.a	.a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(F)	(G)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		.a
	Once a week		.a
	A few times a month		E F
	Once a month		.a
	A few times a year		.a
	Once a year		.a
	Less than once a year		
	Never		.a
	DK/NA		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	More than once a week	6	1	0	0	0	5
	Once a week	24	5	3	1	0	13
	A few times a month	33	17	1	2	0	9
	Once a month	15	11	0	2	0	2
	A few times a year	111	51	4	10	7	28
	Once a year	44	21	2	5	4	9
	Less than once a year	63	31	7	6	1	11
	Never	137	63	4	14	6	44
	DK/NA	13	4	1	1	1	5
		2.9%	1.7%	3.2%	2.4%	3.8%	3.8%
		14.1%	15.4%	29.2%	15.8%	4.4%	8.9%
		30.7%	30.8%	19.4%	33.5%	31.5%	34.9%
		9.9%	10.1%	10.3%	12.2%	23.0%	7.1%
	24.9%	24.9%	19.0%	23.3%	37.2%	22.1%	
	5.4%	2.7%	13.2%	3.2%	0.0%	10.0%	
	1.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	14	16	4
	More than once a week	0	0	0
	Once a week	0	2	0
	A few times a month	2	1	0
	Once a month	0	0	0
	A few times a year	6	3	2
	Once a year	3	0	0
	Less than once a year	1	5	0
	Never	1	4	1
	DK/NA	0	1	1
		0.0%	5.0%	31.7%
		8.6%	25.3%	17.1%
		21.2%	0.0%	0.0%
		44.9%	19.7%	51.2%
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status				
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		a	a	a	A
	Once a week				a	A
	A few times a month				a	
	Once a month		a		a	
	A few times a year					
	Once a year					
	Less than once a year					
	Never					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	a	a	a
	Once a week	a		a
	A few times a month			a
	Once a month	a	a	a
	A few times a year			
	Once a year		a	a
	Less than once a year			a
	Never			
	DK/NA	a		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work					
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
Total		266	5	2	1	12	30
More than once a week		1	0	0	0	0	0
Once a week		10	0	0	0	0	1
A few times a month		20	0	0	0	0	2
Once a month		13	0	1	0	0	0
A few times a year		64	1	0	0	2	3
Once a year		28	0	1	0	1	5
Less than once a year		44	0	0	0	3	5
Never		81	4	0	1	6	13
DK/NA		5	0	0	0	0	1

		County Where Work				
		Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total		207	2	0	5	2
More than once a week		1	0	0	0	0
Once a week		9	0	0	0	0
A few times a month		18	0	0	0	0
Once a month		11	0	0	0	0
A few times a year		55	2	0	1	1
Once a year		20	0	0	1	0
Less than once a year		35	0	0	0	1
Never		53	0	0	3	0
DK/NA		4	0	0	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda (A)	Marin (B)	Napa (C)	San Francisco (D)	San Mateo (E)	Santa Clara (F)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?		a	a	a,b	a	a	
More than once a week		a	a	a,b	a	a	
Once a week		a	a	a,b	a	a	
A few times a month		a	a	a,b	a	a	
Once a month		a	F	a,b	a	a	
A few times a year		a	a	a,b	a	a	
Once a year		a	a	a,b	a	a	
Less than once a year		F	a	a,b	a	a	
Never		a	a	a,b	a	a	
DK/NA		a	a	a,b	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz (G)	Sonoma (H)	Other (I)	DK/NA (J)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?		a	a,b	a	a
More than once a week		a	a,b	a	a
Once a week		a	a,b	a	a
A few times a month		a	a,b	a	a
Once a month		a	a,b	a	a
A few times a year		a	a,b	a	a
Once a year		a	a,b	a	a
Less than once a year		a	a,b	a	a
Never		a	a,b	a	a
DK/NA		a	a,b	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
Total	446	1	26	5	19
More than once a week	6 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Once a week	24 5.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
A few times a month	33 7.5%	0 0.0%	1 5.1%	0 0.0%	4 18.2%
Once a month	15 3.3%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 7.5%
A few times a year	111 24.9%	0 0.0%	10 37.4%	1 27.3%	8 39.9%
Once a year	44 9.9%	0 0.0%	1 3.8%	1 17.7%	2 11.3%
Less than once a year	63 14.1%	0 0.0%	7 26.7%	0 0.0%	2 9.5%
Never	137 30.7%	0 0.0%	6 23.2%	3 55.0%	3 13.5%
DK/NA	13 2.9%	0 0.0%	1 3.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Total	Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
Total	4	1	2	5	
More than once a week	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Once a week	1 15.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%	
A few times a month	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Once a month	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
A few times a year	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%	
Once a year	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%	0 0.0%	
Less than once a year	1 32.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Never	2 51.6%	1 100.0%	1 52.1%	3 59.4%	
DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	

	Total	Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	330	11	16	5	20	
More than once a week	6 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Once a week	16 5.0%	2 16.1%	2 12.1%	0 0.0%	2 12.1%	
A few times a month	25 7.5%	1 11.9%	0 0.0%	2 32.6%	1 4.7%	
Once a month	9 2.7%	1 8.8%	2 12.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
A few times a year	80 24.2%	1 7.4%	5 28.2%	1 20.4%	5 23.8%	
Once a year	31 9.4%	3 29.2%	2 14.6%	0 0.0%	3 13.1%	
Less than once a year	48 14.6%	0 0.0%	3 18.8%	0 0.0%	1 6.5%	
Never	105 31.9%	3 26.6%	2 10.3%	2 47.0%	6 30.5%	
DK/NA	10 2.9%	0 0.0%	1 3.1%	0 0.0%	2 9.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Total	Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
More than once a week		a,b	b	b	b
Once a week		a,b	b	b	b
A few times a month		a,b	b	b	b
Once a month		a,b	b	b	b
A few times a year		a,b	b	b	b
Once a year		a,b	b	b	b
Less than once a year		a,b	b	b	b
Never		a,b	b	b	b
DK/NA		a,b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Total	Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
More than once a week		b	a,b	b	b
Once a week		b	a,b	b	b
A few times a month		b	a,b	b	b
Once a month		b	a,b	b	b
A few times a year		b	a,b	b	b
Once a year		b	a,b	b	b
Less than once a year		b	a,b	b	b
Never		b	a,b	b	b
DK/NA		b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		b	b	b	b
	Once a week		.	.	b	.
	A few times a month		.	b	.	.
	Once a month		.	.	b	.
	A few times a year		.	.	b	.
	Once a year		.	.	b	.
	Less than once a year		b	.	b	.
	Never		.	.	b	.
	DK/NA		b	.	b	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	446	203	243
	More than once a week	6	2	5
	Once a week	24	15	9
	A few times a month	33	15	18
	Once a month	15	9	6
	A few times a year	111	43	68
	Once a year	44	17	28
	Less than once a year	63	25	38
	Never	137	72	64
	DK/NA	13	5	8
		2.9%	2.6%	3.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		
	Once a week		
	A few times a month		
	Once a month		
	A few times a year		
	Once a year		
	Less than once a year		
	Never	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	446	20	422	3
	More than once a week	6	0	6	0
	Once a week	24	1	23	0
	A few times a month	33	1	32	0
	Once a month	15	0	15	0
	A few times a year	111	5	106	0
	Once a year	44	0	41	3
	Less than once a year	63	9	53	0
	Never	137	2	134	0
	DK/NA	13	1	12	0
		2.9%	6.6%	2.7%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	a		a
	Once a week	.		a
	A few times a month			a
	Once a month	a		a
	A few times a year			a
	Once a year	a		a
	Less than once a year	B		a
	Never			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	More than once a week	6	2	2	2	0
		1.4%	2.2%	3.1%	1.9%	0.0%
	Once a week	24	5	4	6	9
		5.4%	5.8%	5.8%	5.2%	5.1%
	A few times a month	33	12	7	9	5
		7.5%	13.5%	10.5%	7.2%	3.3%
	Once a month	15	1	1	3	10
		3.3%	1.4%	0.8%	2.4%	6.0%
	A few times a year	111	22	13	32	45
		24.9%	24.0%	19.7%	25.9%	26.6%
Once a year	44	13	6	9	16	
	9.9%	14.5%	9.2%	7.6%	9.4%	
Less than once a year	63	3	9	27	24	
	14.1%	3.5%	13.5%	21.9%	14.3%	
Never	137	32	24	25	56	
	30.7%	35.2%	37.3%	20.6%	33.1%	
DK/NA	13	0	0	9	4	
	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	2.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week				a
	Once a week				
	A few times a month	D			
	Once a month				
	A few times a year				
	Once a year				
	Less than once a year			A	A
	Never				
	DK/NA	a	a	D	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	More than once a week	6	0	0	0	1	5
		1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	2.5%
	Once a week	24	0	4	4	1	15
		5.4%	0.0%	6.0%	7.1%	1.2%	7.5%
	A few times a month	33	2	14	4	4	9
		7.5%	4.3%	19.9%	8.5%	5.5%	4.4%
	Once a month	15	4	0	2	3	5
		3.3%	7.4%	0.0%	4.8%	4.6%	2.6%
	A few times a year	111	11	19	15	17	49
		24.9%	21.2%	27.1%	29.7%	23.9%	24.2%
Once a year	44	9	3	6	9	17	
	9.9%	18.3%	4.0%	12.5%	13.0%	8.1%	
Less than once a year	63	5	9	4	13	32	
	14.1%	10.2%	12.8%	6.9%	18.2%	15.9%	
Never	137	20	20	12	20	64	
	30.7%	38.6%	28.8%	23.8%	29.4%	31.5%	
DK/NA	13	0	1	3	2	7	
	2.9%	0.0%	1.4%	6.7%	2.3%	3.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	.a	.a	.a		
	Once a week	.a				
	A few times a month		E			
	Once a month		.a			
	A few times a year					
	Once a year					
	Less than once a year					
	Never					
	DK/NA	.a				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	6 1.4%	3 2.2%	1 1.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Once a week	24 5.4%	9 7.7%	4 4.7%	6 11.4%	0 0.0%	2 3.6%
	A few times a month	33 7.5%	15 12.4%	4 5.8%	4 7.9%	2 3.0%	3 5.5%
	Once a month	15 3.3%	5 4.2%	3 4.3%	1 2.3%	1 2.7%	1 1.8%
	A few times a year	110 24.8%	29 24.0%	27 35.5%	12 22.3%	11 21.3%	13 25.0%
	Once a year	44 9.9%	6 5.3%	6 8.2%	5 9.3%	10 19.0%	5 10.1%
	Less than once a year	63 14.1%	17 14.3%	7 9.2%	10 18.9%	5 9.9%	12 22.3%
	Never	136 30.5%	35 28.7%	21 27.2%	12 22.4%	22 41.1%	14 27.3%
	DK/NA	13 2.9%	2 1.3%	3 3.6%	3 5.6%	2 3.0%	2 4.4%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	Total	73	2	10
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	3 3.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Once a week	3 3.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	A few times a month	4 5.3%	0 0.0%	1 10.6%
	Once a month	2 2.4%	1 58.4%	0 0.0%
	A few times a year	12 16.6%	0 0.0%	5 49.4%
	Once a year	10 14.2%	0 0.0%	0 4.2%
	Less than once a year	8 10.4%	0 0.0%	3 31.6%
	Never	30 41.3%	1 41.6%	0 4.2%
	DK/NA	2 2.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			.a	.a	.a	
	Once a week				.a		
	A few times a month						
	Once a month						
	A few times a year						
	Once a year						
	Less than once a year						
	Never						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
7. How often do you participate in Los Altos Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	.a,b	.a
	Once a week	.a,b	.a
	A few times a month	.a,b	
	Once a month	.b	.a
	A few times a year	.a,b	
	Once a year	.a,b	
	Less than once a year	.a,b	
	Never	.b	
	DK/NA	.a,b	.a

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	446	446
Bicycle	79	79
	17.6%	17.6%
Bus	10	10
	2.3%	2.3%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	55	55
	12.3%	12.3%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	401	401
	90.0%	90.0%
Lightrail	9	9
	2.0%	2.0%
Train	22	22
	4.9%	4.9%
Walk	132	132
	29.6%	29.6%
Other	3	3
	0.6%	0.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
Bicycle	.
Bus	.
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	.
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	.
Lightrail	.
Train	.
Walk	.
Other	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	446	213	231	2
Bicycle	79	49	28	1
	17.6%	23.1%	12.3%	41.3%
Bus	10	5	5	0
	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	0.0%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	55	24	29	2
	12.3%	11.3%	12.5%	100.0%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	401	190	210	2
	90.0%	88.8%	90.9%	100.0%
Lightrail	9	8	1	0
	2.0%	3.9%	0.3%	0.0%
Train	22	12	9	0
	4.9%	5.8%	4.1%	0.0%
Walk	132	53	78	1
	29.6%	24.8%	34.0%	41.3%
Other	3	0	3	0
	0.6%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
Bicycle	B		.
Bus			.
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others			.
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)			.
Lightrail	B		.
Train			.
Walk			.
Other	.		.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						Not coded
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total		446	59	35	81	140	124	7
Bicycle		79	10	9	22	26	12	0
Bus		10	4	0	1	4	1	0
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		55	7	5	11	18	12	2
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		401	49	30	74	131	113	5
Lightrail		9	0	2	0	4	2	0
Train		22	3	2	1	12	3	0
Walk		132	10	5	22	44	49	2
Other		3	0	0	0	2	1	0
		0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Bicycle				E			a
Bus			a				a
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others							
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		a		a			a
Lightrail							a
Train							a
Walk						A	
Other		a	a	a			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		446	357	80	9
Bicycle		79	64	13	1
Bus		10	10	0	0
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		55	43	9	3
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		401	330	64	7
Lightrail		9	3	5	0
Train		22	18	4	0
Walk		132	119	12	1
Other		3	3	0	0
		0.6%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
Bicycle				
Bus				
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others			a	
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		B		a
Lightrail			A	a
Train				
Walk		B		
Other			a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total		446	197	110	12	127
Bicycle		79	43	12	3	20
		17.6%	21.9%	11.3%	21.7%	16.1%
Bus		10	3	1	0	6
		2.3%	1.6%	0.8%	0.0%	4.9%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		55	23	10	0	21
		12.3%	11.8%	9.5%	3.6%	16.4%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		401	169	107	9	117
		90.0%	85.7%	96.9%	74.7%	92.0%
Lightrail		9	7	1	0	1
		2.0%	3.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.7%
Train		22	12	4	2	4
		4.9%	6.3%	3.4%	13.9%	3.1%
Walk		132	66	36	0	31
		29.6%	33.3%	32.8%	0.0%	24.1%
Other		3	2	1	0	0
		0.6%	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Bicycle				a	
Bus					
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others					
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		A	C		
Lightrail				a	
Train					
Walk				a	
Other				a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total		446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Bicycle		79	17	20	3	4	23	12
		17.6%	22.1%	29.5%	8.0%	10.5%	16.7%	13.0%
Bus		10	1	0	0	0	6	3
		2.3%	1.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	3.6%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		55	8	9	3	6	17	13
		12.3%	11.0%	12.7%	8.8%	13.8%	12.1%	14.1%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		401	64	58	31	38	128	84
		90.0%	84.3%	84.3%	95.8%	94.8%	91.1%	93.0%
Lightrail		9	5	2	0	0	2	1
		2.0%	6.1%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.0%
Train		22	7	2	1	2	7	3
		4.9%	8.9%	2.9%	2.1%	5.5%	5.1%	3.4%
Walk		132	27	28	13	12	34	19
		29.6%	35.2%	41.0%	40.6%	29.9%	24.0%	21.1%
Other		3	2	0	0	1	0	0
		0.6%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Bicycle							
Bus				a	a		
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others							
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)				a	a		
Lightrail							
Train							
Walk						a	a
Other			a	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date				
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	446	126	51	62	50
Bicycle	79	22	12	14	15
	17.6%	17.6%	23.1%	22.9%	29.1%
Bus	10	8	1	1	0
	2.3%	6.0%	1.8%	1.4%	0.0%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	55	12	12	12	5
	12.3%	9.8%	22.7%	19.4%	10.4%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	401	107	45	55	46
	90.0%	84.8%	87.4%	89.2%	92.2%
Lightrail	9	5	1	0	2
	2.0%	4.1%	1.8%	0.0%	4.2%
Train	22	8	2	6	1
	4.9%	6.6%	4.3%	9.6%	1.3%
Walk	132	25	18	23	13
	29.6%	19.9%	35.0%	37.2%	26.7%
Other	3	2	0	0	1
	0.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.8%	1.3%

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	36	36	46	38
Bicycle	4	4	4	3
	10.4%	12.0%	9.0%	9.2%
Bus	0	1	0	0
	0.0%	2.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	7	1	3	3
	19.4%	3.1%	6.8%	6.6%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	36	34	45	34
	98.2%	94.3%	96.5%	88.8%
Lightrail	0	1	0	0
	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Train	1	3	0	0
	2.1%	8.9%	0.4%	1.3%
Walk	11	14	18	10
	29.8%	40.4%	38.6%	25.0%
Other	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date			
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Bicycle				
Bus				a
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)				
Lightrail			a	
Train				
Walk		a		
Other				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Bicycle				
Bus	a			a
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)				
Lightrail	a		a	a
Train				
Walk				
Other	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date						
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8
Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66
Bicycle	79	16	2	38	6	3	5	8
Bus	10	4	0	3	0	0	0	2
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	55	9	6	20	3	2	0	14
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	401	75	22	176	35	12	14	47
Lightrail	9	2	0	7	0	0	0	0
Train	22	3	0	16	1	0	0	2
Walk	132	30	5	56	12	7	4	11
Other	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
	0.6%	0.6%	3.6%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%

	Date
	Dec. 9
Total	24
Bicycle	1
Bus	1
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	1
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	20
Lightrail	0
Train	0
Walk	6
Other	0
	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date	Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Bicycle									
Bus		a		a	a	a			
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others						a			
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	G		G						
Lightrail		a		a	a	a	a	a	
Train		a			a	a		a	
Walk									
Other				a	a		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	349	97
Bicycle	79	63	15
Bus	10	5	5
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	55	43	12
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	401	315	87
Lightrail	9	6	3
Train	22	20	2
Walk	132	105	27
Other	3	2	1
	0.6%	0.6%	0.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		
	Bus		A
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		
	Lightrail		
	Train		
	Walk		
	Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	446	282	164
	Bicycle	79	47	32
	Bus	10	5	5
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	55	32	23
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	401	264	137
	Lightrail	9	6	3
	Train	22	13	9
	Walk	132	96	36
	Other	3	2	1
		0.6%	0.7%	0.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		
	Bus		
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	B	
	Lightrail		
	Train		
	Walk	B	
	Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years
	Total	446	3	28	74	58
	Bicycle	79	0	10	20	7
	Bus	10	0	0	1	2
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	55	0	3	7	15
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	401	3	24	68	43
	Lightrail	9	0	2	2	0
	Train	22	0	2	1	2
	Walk	132	0	7	21	19
	Other	3	0	0	0	0
		0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Years Lived in Los Altos		
	16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	111	167	4
Bicycle	20	21	1
	17.6%	12.5%	23.1%
Bus	3	4	0
	2.7%	2.2%	0.0%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	18	12	1
	15.9%	7.0%	23.1%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	102	158	4
	91.8%	94.2%	100.0%
Lightrail	0	5	0
	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
Train	7	9	0
	6.6%	5.6%	0.0%
Walk	31	53	1
	28.3%	31.5%	23.1%
Other	2	1	0
	1.9%	0.5%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos				
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Bicycle	.a	F			
Bus	.a	.a			
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	.a			F	
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	.a				D
Lightrail	.a			.a	.a
Train	.a				
Walk	.a				
Other	.a	.a	.a	.a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(F)	(G)
Bicycle		.a
Bus		.a
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		.a
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	D	.a
Lightrail		.a
Train		.a
Walk		.a
Other		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Employment Status				
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student
Total	446	203	22	41	19
Bicycle	79	41	6	8	5
	17.6%	20.1%	26.3%	20.4%	24.2%
Bus	10	3	0	1	4
	2.3%	1.5%	0.9%	2.1%	23.0%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	55	32	2	2	2
	12.3%	16.0%	9.2%	4.4%	10.1%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	401	186	20	40	12
	90.0%	91.5%	90.0%	96.3%	60.5%
Lightrail	9	6	0	0	0
	2.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Train	22	11	1	3	2
	4.9%	5.6%	4.9%	8.1%	8.7%
Walk	132	46	9	11	6
	29.6%	22.9%	39.6%	27.7%	33.0%
Other	3	0	0	2	0
	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%

	Employment Status			
	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	126	14	16	4
Bicycle	12	3	2	2
	9.7%	19.1%	11.4%	51.2%
Bus	2	0	0	0
	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	10	2	3	2
	7.8%	14.9%	16.5%	51.2%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	113	12	16	3
	89.4%	89.5%	100.0%	68.3%
Lightrail	3	0	0	0
	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Train	3	0	0	1
	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	31.7%
Walk	45	5	4	4
	35.9%	39.6%	26.6%	100.0%
Other	1	0	0	0
	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status				
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle					
	Bus				A E	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others					
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	D		D		D
	Lightrail		a	a	a	
	Train					
	Walk					
	Other	a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle			a
	Bus	a	a	a
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others			C
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		a	
	Lightrail	a	a	a
	Train	a	a	E
	Walk			a
	Other	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work					
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
	Bicycle	55	0	0	0	5	2
	Bus	20.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	37.2%	5.5%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	4	0	0	1	1	0
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	9.3%	0.0%
	Lightrail	36	0	1	0	5	5
	Train	13.6%	0.0%	56.7%	0.0%	39.5%	17.9%
	Walk	245	5	1	0	8	27
	Other	92.1%	100.0%	43.3%	0.0%	68.6%	89.8%
	Bicycle	6	0	0	0	3	0
	Bus	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	0.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	16	0	0	0	6	2
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	52.0%	7.3%
Lightrail	67	0	1	0	4	3	
Train	25.0%	0.0%	56.7%	0.0%	29.5%	9.6%	
Walk	2	0	0	0	0	0	
Other	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		County Where Work				
		Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Total	207	2	0	5	2
	Bicycle	47	2	0	0	0
	Bus	22.5%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	2	0	0	0	0
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Lightrail	23	0	0	1	0
	Train	11.3%	0.0%	100.0%	25.1%	0.0%
	Walk	195	2	0	5	2
	Other	94.4%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Bicycle	3	0	0	0	0
	Bus	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	7	0	0	0	0
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lightrail	59	0	0	0	0	
Train	28.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Walk	2	0	0	0	0	
Other	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	a	a	a,b	E		
	Bus	a	a	a,b	F	a	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	a		a,b	F		
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	a		a,b			B D
	Lightrail	a	a	a,b	F	a	
	Train	a	a	a,b	E F		
	Walk	a		a,b			
	Other	a	a	a,b	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	a	a,b	a	a
	Bus	a	a,b	a	a
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	a	a,b		a
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	a	a,b	a	a
	Lightrail	a	a,b	a	a
	Train	a	a,b	a	a
	Walk	a	a,b	a	a
	Other	a	a,b	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Total	446	1	26	5
	Bicycle	79	0	4	0
	Bus	10	0	0	0
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	55	1	1	0
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	401	100.0%	23	5
	Lightrail	9	0	2	0
	Train	22	0	1	0
	Walk	132	1	6	1
	Other	3	0	0	0
		29.6%	100.0%	24.6%	17.7%
		0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Total	19	4	1	2
	Bicycle	5	1	0	0
	Bus	0	0	0	0
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	5	0	0	0
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	19	4	1	2
	Lightrail	0	0	0	0
	Train	2	0	0	0
	Walk	5	1	0	0
	Other	0	0	0	0
		0.0%	30.4%	0.0%	0.0%
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	5	330	11	16
Bicycle	0 0.0%	54 16.4%	4 37.3%	3 18.2%
Bus	0 0.0%	9 2.6%	0 0.0%	2 10.3%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	0 0.0%	40 12.3%	1 6.9%	2 15.0%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	5 100.0%	294 89.0%	11 100.0%	15 91.2%
Lightrail	0 0.0%	6 1.7%	0 0.0%	2 10.3%
Train	0 0.0%	14 4.2%	2 16.6%	2 10.3%
Walk	0 0.0%	94 28.4%	6 54.3%	9 51.7%
Other	0 0.0%	3 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group	
	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	5	20
Bicycle	1 15.2%	6 31.1%
Bus	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	0 0.0%	4 19.3%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	5 100.0%	18 90.7%
Lightrail	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Train	0 0.0%	1 6.7%
Walk	1 15.2%	9 42.7%
Other	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	a,b	.	b	.
	Bus	a,b	b	b	b
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	a,b	.	b	.
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	a,b	.	b	.
	Lightrail	a,b	.	b	b
	Train	a,b	.	b	.
	Walk	a,b	.	b	.
	Other	a,b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	a,b	.	b	b
	Bus	b	a,b	b	b
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	b	a,b	b	b
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	b	a,b	b	b
	Lightrail	b	a,b	b	b
	Train	b	a,b	b	b
	Walk	b	a,b	b	b
	Other	b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	.	.	.	b
	Bus	.	b	.	b
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	.	.	.	b
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	.	b	.	b
	Lightrail	.	b	.	b
	Train	.	.	.	b
	Walk	.	.	.	b
	Other	.	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group	
		Not sure/DK/NA	
		(M)	
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		b
	Bus		
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		
	Lightrail		b
	Train		
	Walk		
Other		b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
Total		446	203	243
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	79	39	40
		17.6%	19.1%	16.4%
	Bus	10	6	4
		2.3%	2.9%	1.8%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	55	23	32
		12.3%	11.3%	13.2%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	401	176	225
		90.0%	86.9%	92.5%
	Lightrail	9	4	5
		2.0%	2.1%	2.0%
	Train	22	12	10
	4.9%	5.9%	4.1%	
Walk	132	73	59	
	29.6%	36.0%	24.3%	
Other	3	3	0	
	0.6%	1.4%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		
	Bus		
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		
	Lightrail		
	Train		
	Walk	B	
	Other		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total		446	20	422	3
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	79	5	74	0
		17.6%	23.8%	17.5%	0.0%
	Bus	10	0	10	0
		2.3%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	55	4	51	0
		12.3%	17.9%	12.1%	0.0%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	401	17	381	3
		90.0%	85.4%	90.1%	100.0%
	Lightrail	9	2	7	0
		2.0%	8.1%	1.7%	0.0%
	Train	22	1	20	0
	4.9%	6.6%	4.8%	0.0%	
Walk	132	5	127	0	
	29.6%	24.3%	30.1%	0.0%	
Other	3	0	3	0	
	0.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle			a
	Bus	a		a
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others			a
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)			a
	Lightrail	B		a
	Train			a
	Walk			a
	Other	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Bicycle	79	12	1	31	35
	Bus	10	3	2	2	2
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	55	13	5	21	15
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	401	70	53	119	159
	Lightrail	9	0	0	2	7
	Train	22	2	0	9	11
	Walk	132	15	11	55	51
	Other	3	0	0	2	1
		0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle			B	B
	Bus				
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)			A B	A B
	Lightrail	a	a		
	Train		a		
	Walk			A B	
	Other	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Bicycle	79	11	9	14	13	31
	Bus	10	2	4	1	1	2
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	55	5	6	13	8	23
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	401	42	63	44	62	189
	Lightrail	9	2	3	1	0	3
	Train	22	3	5	4	3	5
	Walk	132	8	9	15	18	82
	Other	3	0	0	0	1	2
		0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle					
	Bus					
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others					
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)				a	
	Lightrail					
	Train					
	Walk					AB
	Other	a	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Bicycle	78	20	23	3	10	5
	Bus	10	2	1	0	1	3
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	53	16	8	5	4	8
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	399	103	65	55	50	50
	Lightrail	9	1	6	0	1	0
	Train	22	7	5	0	4	2
	Walk	131	45	21	17	19	16
	Other	3	2	0	1	0	0
		0.6%	1.7%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Total	73	2	10
	Bicycle	14	0	3
	Bus	3	0	0
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	12	0	0
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	66	2	7
	Lightrail	1	0	0
	Train	2	0	2
	Walk	13	0	0
	Other	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		C			
	Bus			b		
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others					
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)			b		
	Lightrail		A	b		b
	Train			b		
	Walk				b	
	Other		b			b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
8. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		a,b	
	Bus		a,b	b
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others			
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		a,b	
	Lightrail		a,b	b
	Train		a,b	
	Walk		a,b	b
	Other	b	a,b	b

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
	Total	446	446
	Daily	102	102
		22.8%	22.8%
	Once a week	105	105
		23.6%	23.6%
	Weekly	72	72
		16.1%	16.1%
	A few times a month	75	75
		16.9%	16.9%
	Once a month	28	28
		6.4%	6.4%
	A few times a year	53	53
		12.0%	12.0%
	Once a year	2	2
		0.4%	0.4%
	Less than once a year	5	5
		1.2%	1.2%
	Never	3	3
		0.7%	0.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
	Daily	.
	Once a week	.
	Weekly	.
	A few times a month	.
	Once a month	.
	A few times a year	.
	Once a year	.
	Less than once a year	.
	Never	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
	Total	446	213	231	2
	Daily	102	52	49	1
		22.8%	24.4%	21.2%	41.3%
	Once a week	105	44	61	0
		23.6%	20.8%	26.3%	0.0%
	Weekly	72	36	36	0
		16.1%	16.9%	15.4%	0.0%
	A few times a month	75	36	39	1
		16.9%	16.7%	16.7%	58.7%
	Once a month	28	13	15	0
		6.4%	6.1%	6.7%	0.0%
	A few times a year	53	29	24	0
		12.0%	13.6%	10.6%	0.0%
	Once a year	2	2	0	0
		0.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
	Less than once a year	5	1	4	0
		1.2%	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
	Never	3	0	3	0
		0.7%	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
	Daily			.
	Once a week			.
	Weekly			.
	A few times a month			.
	Once a month			.
	A few times a year			.
	Once a year		.	.
	Less than once a year			.
	Never			.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded	
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7	
	Daily	102	4	3	10	43	41	1	
	Once a week	105	9	11	27	27	28	2	
	Weekly	72	11	9	13	20	19	0	
	A few times a month	75	18	9	12	23	13	1	
	Once a month	28	4	2	7	7	7	1	
	A few times a year	53	12	1	10	15	14	1	
	Once a year	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	
	Less than once a year	5	2	0	0	3	1	0	
	Never	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	
			0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.8%	11.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily				A C	A C	
	Once a week						
	Weekly						a
	A few times a month	E					
	Once a month						
	A few times a year		a		a		a
	Once a year	a	a	a			a
	Less than once a year		a	a			a
	Never	a	a	a			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	357	80	9
	Daily	102	84	17	1
	Once a week	105	87	14	4
	Weekly	72	59	9	3
	A few times a month	75	58	17	0
	Once a month	28	21	8	0
	A few times a year	53	40	14	0
	Once a year	2	2	0	0
	Less than once a year	5	3	2	0
	Never	3	3	0	0
		0.7%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily			a
	Once a week			a
	Weekly			a
	A few times a month			a
	Once a month			a
	A few times a year		a	a
	Once a year		a	a
	Less than once a year		a	a
	Never		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party					
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS	
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	197	110	12	127	
	Daily	102	42	34	2	24	
	Once a week	105	60	22	0	23	
	Weekly	72	24	17	4	26	
	A few times a month	75	34	15	2	24	
	Once a month	28	10	4	3	11	
	A few times a year	53	22	13	1	17	
	Once a year	2	0	1	0	1	
	Less than once a year	5	4	2	0	0	
	Never	3	1	1	0	1	
			0.7%	0.3%	0.8%	3.6%	1.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily			.a	
	Once a week	D			
	Weekly				
	A few times a month				
	Once a month				
	A few times a year	.a		.a	
	Once a year			.a	
	Less than once a year				.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party							
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other	
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90	
	Daily	102	20	13	9	16	24	20	
	Once a week	105	24	20	4	5	36	16	
	Weekly	72	9	11	7	8	23	14	
	A few times a month	75	10	11	7	1	27	19	
	Once a month	28	5	2	2	2	9	9	
	A few times a year	53	7	9	3	7	18	10	
	Once a year	2	0	0	7.8%	17.7%	13.0%	11.1%	
	Less than once a year	5	2	2	0	1	1	0	
	Never	3	0	1	1	0	0	1	
			0.7%	0.0%	0.8%	2.6%	0.0%	0.3%	1.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily				E		
	Once a week						
	Weekly						
	A few times a month						
	Once a month						
	A few times a year	.a	.a	.a	.a		
	Once a year			.a	.a		
	Less than once a year				.a		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	126	51	62	50	
	Daily	102	21	7	19	13	
	Once a week	105	24	11	14	15	
	Weekly	72	20	8	11	9	
	A few times a month	75	27	11	9	7	
	Once a month	28	15	2	5	1	
	A few times a year	53	18	10	4	3	
	Once a year	2	0	1	0	1	
	Less than once a year	5	2	2	0	0	
	Never	3	0	1	0	0	
			0.7%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.9%
			22.8%	16.7%	13.3%	30.8%	26.5%
			23.6%	19.2%	21.1%	23.4%	30.3%
			16.1%	15.6%	14.8%	17.1%	18.2%

		Registration Date				
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before	
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	36	36	46	38	
	Daily	11	10	11	10	
	Once a week	8	9	13	11	
	Weekly	6	7	8	4	
	A few times a month	3	8	6	5	
	Once a month	3	1	0	2	
	A few times a year	4	3	7	4	
	Once a year	0	0	0	0	
	Less than once a year	0	0	1	1	
	Never	1	0	0	1	
			3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
			31.3%	26.9%	23.8%	25.2%
			21.6%	24.5%	27.6%	29.0%
			15.5%	18.2%	17.4%	11.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily					
	Once a week					
	Weekly					
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					
	A few times a year	a		a		a
	Once a year	.		a	a	a
	Less than once a year	.		a	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily			
	Once a week			
	Weekly			
	A few times a month		a	
	Once a month			
	A few times a year	a	a	a
	Once a year	a	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9	
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24	
	Daily	102	17	6	38	7	5	5	15	9	
	Once a week	105	23	5	46	8	2	6	12	3	
	Weekly	72	10	5	25	10	4	1	11	5	
	A few times a month	75	10	3	39	6	2	2	11	2	
	Once a month	28	3	2	12	4	0	1	6	1	
	A few times a year	53	13	1	24	3	1	0	11	2	
	Once a year	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Less than once a year	5	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	
	Never	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
			0.7%	2.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily								
	Once a week								
	Weekly								
	A few times a month								
	Once a month					a			
	A few times a year						a		
	Once a year	a		a	a	a	a	a	a
	Less than once a year		a		a	a	a	a	a
Never		a		a	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter			
		Total	Yes	No	
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	349	97	
	Daily	102	87	15	
	Once a week	105	79	26	
	Weekly	72	53	19	
	A few times a month	75	54	21	
	Once a month	28	26	2	
	A few times a year	53	41	13	
	Once a year	2	2	0	
	Less than once a year	5	5	0	
	Never	3	2	1	
			0.7%	0.5%	1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily	B	
	Once a week		
	Weekly		
	A few times a month		
	Once a month		
	A few times a year		a
	Once a year		a
	Less than once a year		a
Never			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	282	164
Daily		102	74	27
		22.8%	26.3%	16.8%
Once a week		105	63	42
		23.6%	22.4%	25.6%
Weekly		72	46	26
		16.1%	16.2%	15.8%
A few times a month		75	45	30
		16.9%	16.0%	18.4%
Once a month		28	17	11
		6.4%	6.1%	6.8%
A few times a year		53	30	23
		12.0%	10.7%	14.2%
Once a year		2	2	0
		0.4%	0.7%	0.0%
Less than once a year		5	3	3
		1.2%	0.9%	1.6%
Never		3	2	1
		0.7%	0.6%	0.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
Daily		B	
Once a week			
Weekly			
A few times a month			
Once a month			
A few times a year			a
Once a year			
Less than once a year			
Never			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
Total		446	3	28	74	58	111
Daily		102	0	6	11	12	30
		22.8%	0.0%	23.0%	14.2%	20.9%	27.2%
Once a week		105	2	5	19	17	28
		23.6%	66.3%	17.9%	26.1%	28.8%	25.5%
Weekly		72	0	1	13	8	18
		16.1%	0.0%	3.3%	17.3%	14.3%	16.2%
A few times a month		75	1	4	17	6	15
		16.9%	33.7%	13.0%	22.5%	9.8%	13.4%
Once a month		28	0	4	5	6	8
		6.4%	0.0%	12.5%	6.3%	10.2%	6.8%
A few times a year		53	0	9	7	9	10
		12.0%	0.0%	30.3%	9.5%	15.9%	9.0%
Once a year		2	0	0	1	0	0
		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Less than once a year		5	0	0	2	0	1
		1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.7%
Never		3	0	0	0	0	1
		0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total		167	4
Daily		42	1
		24.8%	23.1%
Once a week		32	1
		19.3%	32.2%
Weekly		31	0
		18.8%	0.0%
A few times a month		32	2
		18.9%	44.7%
Once a month		7	0
		4.0%	0.0%
A few times a year		19	0
		11.1%	0.0%
Once a year		1	0
		0.5%	0.0%
Less than once a year		3	0
		1.5%	0.0%
Never		2	0
		1.1%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos				
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?					
Daily	a				
Once a week					
Weekly	a				
A few times a month					
Once a month	a				
A few times a year	a	E		a	a
Once a year	a	a		a	
Less than once a year	a	a		a	
Never	a	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(F)	(G)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?		
Daily		
Once a week		
Weekly		a
A few times a month		
Once a month		a
A few times a year		a
Once a year		a
Less than once a year		a
Never		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Daily	102	37	3	17	2	36
	Once a week	22.8%	18.1%	12.1%	40.9%	9.1%	28.6%
	Weekly	105	47	13	9	1	29
	Once a week	23.6%	23.3%	59.4%	22.7%	3.8%	23.1%
	Weekly	72	33	1	6	3	22
	A few times a month	16.1%	16.2%	3.2%	14.4%	14.4%	17.3%
	Once a month	75	47	3	3	4	14
	A few times a year	16.9%	23.0%	12.6%	6.6%	23.0%	10.9%
	Once a year	28	17	1	0	4	6
	A few times a year	6.4%	8.3%	4.7%	0.0%	18.4%	4.6%
	Once a year	53	19	2	5	6	15
	Less than once a year	12.0%	9.1%	8.0%	11.1%	31.3%	12.0%
	Never	2	2	0	0	0	0
	Never	0.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Never	5	1	0	2	0	3
	Never	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	2.1%
	Never	3	1	0	0	0	2
	Never	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	14	16	4
	Daily	6	1	1
	Once a week	42.0%	8.2%	19.6%
	Weekly	2	2	1
	Once a week	13.7%	13.7%	31.7%
	Weekly	2	5	1
	A few times a month	11.6%	31.1%	17.1%
	Once a month	2	2	1
	A few times a year	11.8%	12.9%	31.7%
	Once a year	1	0	0
	A few times a year	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
	Once a year	2	6	0
	Less than once a year	13.2%	34.1%	0.0%
	Never	0	0	0
	Never	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Never	0	0	0
	Never	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status				
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily			A		
	Once a week		A D E			
	Weekly					
	A few times a month			a		
	Once a month			.		
	A few times a year			.	a	a
	Once a year	a		.	a	.
	Less than once a year	a		.	a	.
	Never	a		.	a	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily			
	Once a week			
	Weekly			
	A few times a month		a	a
	Once a month		.	a
	A few times a year		A	a
	Once a year	a	.	a
	Less than once a year	a	.	a
	Never	a	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work						
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	
	Daily	56	0	1	1	7	3	
	Once a week	70	1	0	0	2	11	
	Weekly	40	0	1	0	0	5	
	A few times a month	52	1	0	0	0	7	
	Once a month	18	2	0	0	0	2	
	A few times a year	25	0	0	0	3	1	
	Once a year	2	1	0	0	0	0	
	Less than once a year	3	0	0	0	0	0	
	Never	1	0	0	0	0	0	
			21.1%	0.0%	43.3%	100.0%	61.4%	10.0%
			26.2%	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	35.6%
			14.9%	0.0%	56.7%	0.0%	0.0%	17.8%
			19.6%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.4%
		6.7%	45.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	
		9.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.6%	3.9%	
		0.7%	26.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
		1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
		0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		County Where Work					
		Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA	
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	207	2	0	5	2	
	Daily	41	2	0	0	0	
	Once a week	54	0	0	1	2	
	Weekly	32	0	0	1	0	
	A few times a month	41	0	0	3	0	
	Once a month	13	0	0	0	0	
	A few times a year	21	0	0	0	0	
	Once a year	1	0	0	0	0	
	Less than once a year	3	0	0	0	0	
	Never	1	0	0	0	0	
			20.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
			26.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.6%	100.0%
			15.3%	0.0%	0.0%	25.7%	0.0%
			19.9%	0.0%	100.0%	59.7%	0.0%
		6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
		10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
		1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
		0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily	.a	.	a,b	E F	.	.
	Once a week	.	a	a,b	.	.	.
	Weekly	.a	.	a,b	a	.	.
	A few times a month	.	a	a,b	a	.	.
	Once a month	F	a	a,b	a	.	.
	A few times a year	.a	a	a,b	.	.	.
	Once a year	F	a	a,b	a	a	.
	Less than once a year	.a	a	a,b	a	a	.
	Never	.a	a	a,b	a	a	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily	.a	a,b	a	a
	Once a week	.a	a,b	.	a
	Weekly	.a	a,b	.	a
	A few times a month	.a	a,b	.	a
	Once a month	.a	a,b	a	a
	A few times a year	.a	a,b	a	a
	Once a year	.a	a,b	a	a
	Less than once a year	.a	a,b	a	a
	Never	.a	a,b	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Daily	102	0	5	2	2
	Once a week	22.8%	0.0%	18.4%	40.8%	10.2%
	Weekly	105	0	6	1	3
	A few times a month	23.6%	0.0%	23.2%	17.7%	17.8%
	Once a month	72	1	1	0	0
	Weekly	16.1%	100.0%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
	A few times a month	75	0	3	0	4
	Once a month	16.9%	0.0%	11.4%	0.0%	22.4%
	A few times a year	28	0	3	0	3
	Once a year	6.4%	0.0%	11.3%	0.0%	16.4%
	Less than once a year	53	0	8	2	6
	Never	12.0%	0.0%	30.6%	41.5%	33.2%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	4	1	2	5
	Daily	1	1	0	0
	Once a week	14.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Weekly	2	0	1	1
	A few times a month	51.6%	0.0%	52.1%	14.9%
	Once a month	0	0	0	0
	A few times a year	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Once a year	1	0	1	2
	Less than once a year	15.7%	0.0%	47.9%	44.5%
	Never	1	0	0	1
		18.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%
		0	0	0	1
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.7%
	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		330	11	16	5	20
Daily		83 25.1%	0 0.0%	4 24.6%	1 15.2%	4 17.6%
Once a week		81 24.6%	3 28.0%	1 3.1%	0 0.0%	6 29.9%
Weekly		62 18.7%	4 36.6%	3 15.6%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%
A few times a month		53 16.1%	1 10.0%	4 23.6%	2 47.0%	4 20.7%
Once a month		15 4.6%	0 0.0%	2 15.1%	1 20.4%	2 10.8%
A few times a year		29 8.7%	1 8.8%	2 10.5%	1 17.5%	3 17.5%
Once a year		2 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Less than once a year		3 1.0%	2 16.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Never		2 0.5%	0 0.0%	1 7.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Daily		a,b			
Once a week		a,b			
Weekly		a,b		b	b
A few times a month		a,b		b	
Once a month		a,b		b	
A few times a year		a,b	l	b	l
Once a year		a,b	b	b	b
Less than once a year		a,b	b	b	b
Never		a,b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Daily			a,b	b	b
Once a week			a,b		
Weekly		b	a,b	b	b
A few times a month			a,b		
Once a month			a,b	b	
A few times a year			a,b	b	
Once a year		b	a,b	b	b
Less than once a year		b	a,b	b	b
Never		b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Daily			b			
Once a week			.		b	
Weekly					b	
A few times a month						
Once a month			b			
A few times a year						
Once a year			b	b	b	b
Less than once a year			l	b	b	b
Never			b	l	b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
Total		446	203	243
Daily		102 22.8%	78 38.3%	24 9.9%
Once a week		105 23.6%	56 27.8%	49 20.0%
Weekly		72 16.1%	26 12.9%	45 18.7%
A few times a month		75 16.9%	21 10.4%	54 22.4%
Once a month		28 6.4%	8 3.8%	21 8.5%
A few times a year		53 12.0%	13 6.2%	41 16.8%
Once a year		2 0.4%	1 0.6%	1 0.3%
Less than once a year		5 1.2%	0 0.0%	5 2.1%
Never		3 0.7%	0 0.0%	3 1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily	B	
	Once a week		
	Weekly		
	A few times a month		A
	Once a month		A
	A few times a year		A
	Once a year		
	Less than once a year	a	
	Never	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	20	422	3
	Daily	102	2	99	0
		22.8%	11.7%	23.5%	0.0%
	Once a week	105	3	102	0
		23.6%	15.7%	24.1%	0.0%
	Weekly	72	1	67	3
		16.1%	6.6%	15.9%	100.0%
	A few times a month	75	1	74	0
		16.9%	4.8%	17.6%	0.0%
	Once a month	28	6	23	0
		6.4%	27.8%	5.4%	0.0%
	A few times a year	53	6	48	0
	12.0%	27.4%	11.3%	0.0%	
Once a year	2	0	2	0	
	0.4%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	
Less than once a year	5	0	5	0	
	1.2%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	
Never	3	1	2	0	
	0.7%	6.1%	0.4%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily			a
	Once a week			a
	Weekly			a
	A few times a month			a
	Once a month	B		a
	A few times a year	B		a
	Once a year	a		a
	Less than once a year	a		a
	Never	B		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Daily	102	20	13	26	43
		22.8%	22.1%	20.5%	21.1%	25.3%
	Once a week	105	20	17	35	33
		23.6%	21.9%	26.2%	28.8%	19.7%
	Weekly	72	15	13	20	23
		16.1%	17.0%	20.8%	16.4%	13.4%
	A few times a month	75	13	4	21	37
		16.9%	14.6%	6.8%	16.7%	22.2%
	Once a month	28	10	2	10	7
		6.4%	10.7%	2.5%	7.9%	4.4%
	A few times a year	53	12	12	9	20
	12.0%	13.6%	18.5%	7.2%	12.1%	
Once a year	2	0	1	1	0	
	0.4%	0.0%	1.9%	0.6%	0.0%	
Less than once a year	5	0	0	2	4	
	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	2.1%	
Never	3	0	2	0	1	
	0.7%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily				
	Once a week				
	Weekly				
	A few times a month				B
	Once a month				
	A few times a year				a
	Once a year	a			
	Less than once a year	a	a		
Never	a		a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity						
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more	
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204	
	Daily	102	9	12	9	20	53	
	Once a week	105	8	15	20	12	50	
	Weekly	72	11	8	6	10	36	
	A few times a month	75	10	21	5	10	29	
	Once a month	28	7	1	4	6	10	
	A few times a year	53	7	9	6	10	22	
	Once a year	2	0	0	1	0	1	
	Less than once a year	5	0	4	0	1	1	
	Never	3	0	0	0	0	3	
			0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Daily					
	Once a week					
	Weekly					
	A few times a month		E			
	Once a month					
	A few times a year					
	Once a year	a	a		a	
	Less than once a year	a	E	a		
Never	a	a	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	
9. How frequently do you visit downtown Los Altos?	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	
	Daily	101	24	18	16	18	8	
	Once a week	105	34	26	15	7	11	
	Weekly	72	14	10	10	7	11	
	A few times a month	74	24	10	3	11	12	
	Once a month	28	6	4	2	3	6	
	A few times a year	53	14	9	7	6	4	
	Once a year	2	0	0	0	1	0	
	Less than once a year	5	4	0	1	1	0	
	Never	3	1	0	1	0	1	
			0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	2.3%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total		73	2	10
Daily		15 20.5%	1 41.6%	1 10.6%
Once a week		12 16.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Weekly		15 20.9%	0 0.0%	4 37.9%
A few times a month		12 16.6%	0 0.0%	2 21.6%
Once a month		5 6.8%	1 58.4%	2 16.2%
A few times a year		13 18.0%	0 0.0%	1 9.6%
Once a year		1 1.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Less than once a year		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Never		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 4.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems (A)	Male Dems (B)	Fem Reps (C)	Male Reps (D)	Fem NPP (E)	Male NPP (F)
Daily							
Once a week							
Weekly							
A few times a month							
Once a month							
A few times a year							
Once a year		.	b	.		b	
Less than once a year		.	b	.		b	
Never		.	b	.	b	.	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth (G)	Male Oth (H)
Daily		a	
Once a week		a,b	b
Weekly		.	
A few times a month		a,b	
Once a month		a	
A few times a year		a,b	
Once a year		a,b	b
Less than once a year		a,b	b
Never		a,b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
Total		443	443
Drive alone		331 74.8%	331 74.8%
Bicycle		14 3.2%	14 3.2%
Bus		6 1.3%	6 1.3%
Motorcycle		1 0.2%	1 0.2%
Vanpool		1 0.3%	1 0.3%
Walk		73 16.5%	73 16.5%
Other		14 3.2%	14 3.2%
DK/NA		2 0.4%	2 0.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
Drive alone		.
Bicycle		.
Bus		.
Motorcycle		.
Vanpool		.
Walk		.
Other		.
DK/NA		.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^a

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	443	213	228	2
	Drive alone	331	156	173	2
		74.8%	73.2%	76.1%	100.0%
	Bicycle	14	7	7	0
		3.2%	3.4%	3.1%	0.0%
	Bus	6	3	3	0
		1.3%	1.4%	1.3%	0.0%
	Motorcycle	1	1	0	0
		0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
	Vanpool	1	1	0	0
		0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Walk	73	33	41	0	
	16.5%	15.3%	17.8%	0.0%	
Other	14	10	4	0	
	3.2%	4.9%	1.7%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	
	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone			a
	Bicycle			a
	Bus			a
	Motorcycle		a	a
	Vanpool		a	a
	Walk			a
	Other			a
	DK/NA		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	443	59	35	81	139	123	6
	Drive alone	331	40	27	58	107	95	5
		74.8%	66.6%	76.8%	72.4%	76.9%	76.8%	85.8%
	Bicycle	14	2	0	7	4	2	0
		3.2%	3.0%	0.0%	8.1%	2.7%	1.8%	0.0%
	Bus	6	3	0	1	0	2	0
		1.3%	5.1%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
	Motorcycle	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
		0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
	Vanpool	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
		0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Walk	73	11	7	11	26	19	0	
	16.5%	19.2%	20.3%	13.1%	18.5%	15.1%	0.0%	
Other	14	4	1	2	2	5	1	
	3.2%	6.1%	2.9%	3.1%	1.2%	3.7%	14.2%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone						a
	Bicycle		a				a
	Bus		a		a		a
	Motorcycle	a	a	a		a	a
	Vanpool	a	a		a	a	a
	Walk						a
	Other						a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	443	354	80	9
	Drive alone	331	264	62	5
		74.8%	74.7%	77.1%	57.5%
	Bicycle	14	10	4	0
		3.2%	2.8%	5.4%	0.0%
	Bus	6	1	5	0
		1.3%	0.4%	5.8%	0.0%
	Motorcycle	1	1	0	0
		0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
	Vanpool	1	1	0	0
		0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Walk	73	62	8	4	
	16.5%	17.5%	9.6%	42.5%	
Other	14	14	0	0	
	3.2%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	2	0	
	0.4%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone			.a
	Bicycle			.a
	Bus	A		.a
	Motorcycle	.a		.a
	Vanpool	.a		.a
	Walk			B
	Other	.a		.a
	DK/NA	.a		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	443	196	109	12	126
	Drive alone	331	136	93	8	95
		74.8%	69.6%	84.8%	64.4%	75.3%
	Bicycle	14	11	1	0	2
		3.2%	5.8%	0.9%	0.0%	1.4%
	Bus	6	3	0	0	3
		1.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%
	Motorcycle	1	1	0	0	0
		0.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Vanpool	1	0	0	0	1
		0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Walk	73	39	14	2	19	
	16.5%	19.7%	13.0%	17.5%	14.7%	
Other	14	4	1	2	6	
	3.2%	2.2%	1.3%	18.1%	5.2%	
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	
	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone		A		
	Bicycle		.a	.a	
	Bus		.a	.a	
	Motorcycle		.a	.a	.a
	Vanpool	.a	.a	.a	
	Walk				
	Other			A B	
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	443	75	68	31	40	140	89
	Drive alone	331	56	44	26	33	100	72
	Bicycle	14	4	8	0	1	2	0
	Bus	6	2	0	0	0	4	0
	Motorcycle	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Vanpool	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Walk	73	12	14	5	5	25	14
	Other	14	2	1	0	1	8	2
	DK/NA	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
		0.4%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		74.8%	74.4%	64.8%	82.8%	84.1%	71.7%	80.6%
	3.2%	5.0%	11.2%	0.0%	2.6%	1.3%	0.0%	
	1.3%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	
	16.5%	15.4%	20.1%	17.2%	11.3%	17.6%	15.3%	
	3.2%	3.1%	1.5%	0.0%	2.0%	5.6%	2.6%	
	0.4%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone		E	a			a
	Bicycle		a	a	a		a
	Bus		a	a	a		a
	Motorcycle	a	a	a	a		a
	Vanpool	a	a	a	a	a	
	Walk						
	Other			a			
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	443	126	51	62	50	35
	Drive alone	331	91	38	41	36	31
	Bicycle	14	2	2	5	1	1
	Bus	6	1	3	0	2	0
	Motorcycle	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Vanpool	1	0	0	1	0	0
	Walk	73	24	5	11	11	3
	Other	14	5	3	4	0	0
	DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	0
		0.4%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		74.8%	72.3%	75.0%	66.1%	72.6%	89.0%
	3.2%	2.0%	4.4%	7.9%	1.3%	2.7%	
	1.3%	1.0%	6.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	
	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
	16.5%	19.1%	9.0%	18.1%	22.9%	8.3%	
	3.2%	3.6%	5.7%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	
	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	36	46	37
	Drive alone	25	40	29
	Bicycle	1	2	0
	Bus	0	0	0
	Motorcycle	0	0	0
	Vanpool	0	0	0
	Walk	8	5	6
	Other	1	0	2
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		70.5%	85.7%	77.7%
	3.7%	3.8%	0.0%	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	23.5%	10.5%	15.6%	
	2.2%	0.0%	6.7%	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone						
	Bicycle			a			a
	Bus					a	a
	Motorcycle		a	a	a	a	a
	Vanpool	a	a		a	a	a
	Walk						
	Other				a	a	
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone		
	Bicycle		a
	Bus	a	a
	Motorcycle	a	a
	Vanpool	a	a
	Walk		
	Other	a	
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	443	78	22	189	37	12	15	66	24
	Drive alone	331 74.8%	63 80.0%	16 71.3%	139 73.9%	30 81.1%	10 69.4%	11 74.9%	49 74.9%	14 58.3%
	Bicycle	14 3.2%	1 0.7%	1 5.9%	8 4.4%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	2 13.9%	1 1.4%	0 0.0%
	Bus	6 1.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 4.6%	1 5.5%
	Motorcycle	1 0.2%	1 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Vanpool	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Walk	73 16.5%	11 13.9%	4 17.5%	30 15.7%	7 18.9%	1 10.2%	2 11.4%	13 19.1%	6 27.0%
	Other	14 3.2%	3 4.2%	1 5.3%	7 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.2%	0 0.0%	2 9.3%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone								
	Bicycle				a				a
	Bus	a	a		a	a	a		
	Motorcycle		a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Vanpool	a	a		a	a	a	a	a
	Walk								
	Other				a	a		a	
	DK/NA	a	a		a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	443	347	96
	Drive alone	331 74.8%	250 72.1%	81 84.6%
	Bicycle	14 3.2%	12 3.5%	2 2.0%
	Bus	6 1.3%	6 1.7%	0 0.0%
	Motorcycle	1 0.2%	1 0.3%	0 0.0%
	Vanpool	1 0.3%	1 0.4%	0 0.0%
	Walk	73 16.5%	62 18.0%	11 11.4%
	Other	14 3.2%	12 3.6%	2 1.9%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	2 0.5%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone		A
	Bicycle		
	Bus		a
	Motorcycle		a
	Vanpool		a
	Walk		
	Other		
	DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		443	281	162
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone	331 74.8%	212 75.5%	119 73.6%
	Bicycle	14 3.2%	8 2.7%	7 4.1%
	Bus	6 1.3%	3 1.0%	3 1.9%
	Motorcycle	1 0.2%	1 0.3%	0 0.0%
	Vanpool	1 0.3%	1 0.5%	0 0.0%
	Walk	73 16.5%	45 16.0%	29 17.6%
	Other	14 3.2%	10 3.4%	5 2.9%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	2 0.6%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone		
	Bicycle		
	Bus		a
	Motorcycle		a
	Vanpool		a
	Walk		
	Other		
	DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
Total		443	3	28	74	58	110
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone	331 74.8%	1 44.1%	24 83.6%	56 75.4%	46 80.0%	73 66.2%
	Bicycle	14 3.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 7.2%	1 1.0%	2 1.8%
	Bus	6 1.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	5 4.2%
	Motorcycle	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	0 0.0%
	Vanpool	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Walk	73 16.5%	1 25.9%	4 14.2%	10 13.8%	7 12.4%	28 25.3%
	Other	14 3.2%	1 30.0%	1 2.2%	0 0.0%	3 5.0%	3 2.5%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	166	4
Drive alone	127 76.9%	4 100.0%
Bicycle	6 3.8%	0 0.0%
Bus	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Motorcycle	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Vanpool	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Walk	23 14.0%	0 0.0%
Other	7 4.2%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	2 1.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone
	Bicycle
	Bus
	Motorcycle
	Vanpool
	Walk
	Other
DK/NA	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in ..
		Not sure/ DK /NA
		(G)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone	.
	Bicycle	.
	Bus	.
	Motorcycle	.
	Vanpool	.
	Walk	.
	Other	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	443	202	22	41	19	124
	Drive alone	331 74.8%	147 72.7%	16 73.8%	29 70.9%	15 77.1%	93 74.6%
	Bicycle	14 3.2%	5 2.6%	2 10.0%	3 7.2%	0 0.0%	4 3.1%
	Bus	6 1.3%	4 2.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.3%
	Motorcycle	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.7%
	Vanpool	1 0.3%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Walk	73 16.5%	37 18.5%	3 13.9%	7 17.2%	4 22.9%	19 15.3%
	Other	14 3.2%	7 3.4%	1 2.3%	2 4.7%	0 0.0%	5 3.7%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.3%

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	14	16	4
	Drive alone	12 89.5%	16 100.0%	3 68.3%
	Bicycle	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Bus	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Motorcycle	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Vanpool	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Walk	1 7.2%	0 0.0%	1 31.7%
	Other	0 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status					Dont work out of the home (F)
		Employed full-time (A)	Employed part-time (B)	Self-employed (C)	Student (D)	Retired (E)	
		10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone	.	.	.	
	Bicycle	.	.	.	a	.	a
	Bus	.	a	a	a	.	a
	Motorcycle	a	a	a	a	.	a
	Vanpool	.	a	a	a	a	a
	Walk
	Other	.	.	.	a	.	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	.	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status	
		Not currently employed (G)	DK / NA (H)
		10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone
	Bicycle	a	a
	Bus	a	a
	Motorcycle	a	a
	Vanpool	a	a
	Walk	a	.
	Other	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work						
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	265	5	2	1	12	30	205
	Drive alone	192	4	2	0	9	26	143
	Bicycle	10	1	0	0	0	1	9
	Bus	4	0	0	1	0	0	3
	Motorcycle	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
	Vanpool	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Walk	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
	Other	48	0	0	0	4	4	40
	DK/NA	17.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	29.5%	12.9%	19.5%
		Other	9	0	0	0	0	9
	DK/NA	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	2	0	5	2
	Drive alone	2	0	5	2
	Bicycle	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Bus	0	0	0	0
	Motorcycle	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Vanpool	0	0	0	0
	Walk	0	0	0	0
	Other	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		DK/NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work						
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone		a	a,b				a
	Bicycle		a	a,b	a			a
	Bus	a	a	a,b	a	a		a
	Vanpool	a	a	a,b	a	a		a
	Walk	a	a	a,b				a
	Other	a	a	a,b	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work		
		Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone	a,b	a	a
	Bicycle	a,b	a	a
	Bus	a,b	a	a
	Vanpool	a,b	a	a
	Walk	a,b	a	a
	Other	a,b	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	443	1	26	5	19
	Drive alone	331 74.8%	1 100.0%	21 82.0%	5 100.0%	17 85.2%
	Bicycle	14 3.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Bus	6 1.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Motorcycle	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Vanpool	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Walk	73 16.5%	0 0.0%	3 11.7%	0 0.0%	3 14.8%
	Other	14 3.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	2 6.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	4	1	2	5	328
	Drive alone	4 100.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	1 25.7%	241 73.4%
	Bicycle	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 29.8%	10 3.1%
	Bus	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 1.8%
	Motorcycle	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.3%
	Vanpool	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.4%
	Walk	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	2 44.5%	57 17.4%
	Other	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	12 3.6%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	11	15	5	20
	Drive alone	6 59.0%	12 76.4%	5 100.0%	16 78.7%
	Bicycle	2 16.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 4.7%
	Bus	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Motorcycle	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Vanpool	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Walk	1 10.0%	3 20.2%	0 0.0%	3 14.0%
	Other	2 14.3%	1 3.4%	0 0.0%	1 2.6%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone	a,b	.	b	.	b
	Bicycle	a,b	b	b	b	b
	Bus	a,b	b	b	b	b
	Motorcycle	a,b	b	b	b	b
	Vanpool	a,b	b	b	b	b
	Walk	a,b	.	b	.	b
	Other	a,b	b	b	b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	.	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone	a,b	b	.	.	.
	Bicycle	a,b	b		.	.
	Bus	a,b	b	b	.	b
	Motorcycle	a,b	b	b	.	b
	Vanpool	a,b	b	b	.	b
	Walk	a,b	b	.	.	.
	Other	a,b	b	b	.	.
	DK/NA	a,b	b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone	.	b	.
	Bicycle	b	b	.
	Bus	b	b	b
	Motorcycle	b	b	b
	Vanpool	b	b	b
	Walk	.	b	.
	Other	.	b	.
	DK/NA	b	b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	443	203	240
	Drive alone	331 74.8%	130 64.3%	201 83.7%
	Bicycle	14 3.2%	7 3.6%	7 2.9%
	Bus	6 1.3%	2 0.8%	4 1.8%
	Motorcycle	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	1 0.4%
	Vanpool	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	1 0.5%
	Walk	73 16.5%	58 28.8%	15 6.2%
	Other	14 3.2%	3 1.7%	11 4.5%
	DK/NA	2 0.4%	2 0.8%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone	.	A
	Bicycle	.	.
	Bus	.	.
	Motorcycle	a	.
	Vanpool	a	.
	Walk	B	.
	Other	.	.
	DK/NA	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	443	19	421	3
	Drive alone	331	14	314	3
		74.8%	75.4%	74.6%	100.0%
	Bicycle	14	0	14	0
		3.2%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
	Bus	6	0	6	0
		1.3%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
	Motorcycle	1	0	1	0
		0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
	Vanpool	1	0	1	0
		0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Walk	73	3	70	0	
	16.5%	16.1%	16.7%	0.0%	
Other	14	0	14	0	
	3.2%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	
	0.4%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone	.	.	.
	Bicycle	.	.	.
	Bus	.	.	.
	Motorcycle	.	.	.
	Vanpool	.	.	.
	Walk	.	.	.
	Other	.	.	.
	DK/NA	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	443	90	62	123	167
	Drive alone	331	68	49	93	121
		74.8%	75.6%	78.8%	75.6%	72.3%
	Bicycle	14	1	1	4	9
		3.2%	1.0%	1.1%	2.9%	5.4%
	Bus	6	4	2	0	0
		1.3%	4.8%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
	Motorcycle	1	0	0	1	0
		0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
	Vanpool	1	0	0	0	1
		0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Walk	73	15	8	22	28	
	16.5%	17.1%	13.5%	17.7%	16.5%	
Other	14	1	2	4	7	
	3.2%	1.4%	3.9%	3.0%	4.1%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	2	
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone
	Bicycle
	Bus
	Motorcycle
	Vanpool
	Walk
	Other
	DK/NA

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	443	52	70	51	69	201
	Drive alone	331	40	48	34	50	160
		74.8%	77.3%	67.8%	67.4%	72.3%	79.4%
	Bicycle	14	0	2	6	1	5
		3.2%	0.0%	2.5%	11.8%	1.5%	2.7%
	Bus	6	0	0	3	1	2
		1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	1.9%	0.8%
	Motorcycle	1	0	1	0	0	0
		0.2%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Vanpool	1	0	0	0	0	1
		0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Walk	73	8	18	6	12	29	
	16.5%	15.8%	26.1%	12.6%	17.0%	14.2%	
Other	14	4	0	1	5	5	
	3.2%	7.0%	0.0%	2.2%	7.3%	2.3%	
DK/NA	2	0	2	0	0	0	
	0.4%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone	.	.	E	.	.
	Bicycle	a	.	E	.	.
	Bus	a	a	E	.	.
	Motorcycle	a	.	a	a	a
	Vanpool	a	a	a	a	.
	Walk
	Other	a	a	.	.	.
	DK/NA	a	.	a	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	441	120	76	54	54	52	73
	Drive alone	329	82	54	47	44	42	52
		74.7%	68.6%	71.1%	87.6%	81.6%	80.7%	71.2%
	Bicycle	14	7	4	0	1	0	2
		3.2%	5.8%	5.8%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	2.5%
	Bus	6	3	0	0	0	0	3
		1.3%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%
	Motorcycle	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
		0.2%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Vanpool	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
		0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
Walk	73	27	12	6	8	8	10	
	16.6%	22.2%	15.8%	10.9%	15.3%	15.6%	14.2%	
Other	14	1	3	1	1	2	5	
	3.2%	1.0%	3.9%	1.5%	1.2%	3.7%	6.2%	
DK/NA	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	
	0.4%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Total	2	10
	Drive alone	2	6
		100.0%	58.2%
	Bicycle	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%
	Bus	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%
	Motorcycle	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%
	Vanpool	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%
Walk	0	2	
	0.0%	20.6%	
Other	0	2	
	0.0%	21.3%	
DK/NA	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender						(G)
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone							a,b
	Bicycle			b		b		a,b
	Bus		b	b	b			a,b
	Motorcycle	b		b	b	b	b	a,b
	Vanpool	b	b	b	b	b		a,b
	Walk							a,b
	Other							a,b
	DK/NA	b		b	b	b	b	a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by ..
		Male Oth
		(H)
10. How do you normally get to downtown Los Altos?	Drive alone	
	Bicycle	b
	Bus	b
	Motorcycle	b
	Vanpool	b
	Walk	
	Other	A
	DK/NA	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	446
	Not at all vibrant	24	24
		5.5%	5.5%
	02	58	58
		13.0%	13.0%
	03	74	74
		16.6%	16.6%
	04	111	111
		24.9%	24.9%
	05	89	89
		20.0%	20.0%
06	51	51	
	11.5%	11.5%	
Extremely vibrant	28	28	
	6.2%	6.2%	
DK/NA	10	10	
	2.3%	2.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant	.
	02	.
	03	.
	04	.
	05	.
	06	.
	Extremely vibrant	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Total		446	213	231	2
Not at all vibrant		24	7	17	0
		5.5%	3.5%	7.4%	0.0%
02		58	30	27	1
		13.0%	13.9%	11.7%	58.7%
03		74	41	32	1
		16.6%	19.1%	14.1%	41.3%
04		111	52	59	0
		24.9%	24.3%	25.6%	0.0%
05		89	38	51	0
		20.0%	17.8%	22.3%	0.0%
06		51	27	25	0
		11.5%	12.5%	10.7%	0.0%
Extremely vibrant		28	13	15	0
		6.2%	6.2%	6.4%	0.0%
DK/NA		10	6	4	0
		2.3%	2.8%	1.9%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
Not at all vibrant				a
02				
03				a
04				a
05				a
06				a
Extremely vibrant				a
DK/NA				a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total		446	59	35	81	140	124	7
Not at all vibrant		24	5	1	3	9	7	0
		5.5%	8.8%	2.1%	3.1%	6.6%	5.4%	0.0%
02		58	2	3	19	23	10	1
		13.0%	3.0%	8.2%	23.9%	16.3%	8.4%	9.4%
03		74	6	7	17	26	17	1
		16.6%	9.7%	19.8%	21.2%	18.5%	14.0%	11.6%
04		111	10	11	26	34	28	2
		24.9%	16.7%	32.4%	31.8%	24.1%	22.8%	28.5%
05		89	9	8	9	25	37	2
		20.0%	15.2%	22.5%	10.7%	18.1%	29.5%	25.8%
06		51	12	5	6	14	13	2
		11.5%	20.6%	15.1%	7.4%	9.8%	10.1%	24.7%
Extremely vibrant		28	15	0	2	6	4	0
		6.2%	26.0%	0.0%	1.9%	4.5%	3.6%	0.0%
DK/NA		10	0	0	0	3	8	0
		2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	6.1%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Not at all vibrant							a
02				A E			
03							
04							
05						C	
06							
Extremely vibrant		C D E	a				a
DK/NA		a	a	a			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		446	357	80	9
Not at all vibrant		24	15	9	0
02		5.5%	4.3%	11.3%	0.0%
03		58	52	6	0
04		13.0%	14.5%	7.7%	0.0%
05		74	65	8	1
06		16.6%	18.3%	9.9%	9.3%
Extremely vibrant		111	91	18	1
DK/NA		24.9%	25.6%	22.5%	15.7%
05		89	80	6	4
06		20.0%	22.4%	7.3%	40.2%
Extremely vibrant		51	29	22	0
DK/NA		11.5%	8.1%	27.4%	3.6%
Extremely vibrant		28	15	10	3
DK/NA		6.2%	4.3%	12.0%	31.3%
Extremely vibrant		10	9	2	0
DK/NA		2.3%	2.5%	2.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
Not at all vibrant			A	a
02				a
03				
04				
05		B		B
06			A	
Extremely vibrant			A	A
DK/NA				a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total		446	197	110	12	127
Not at all vibrant		24	11	5	1	7
02		5.5%	5.7%	4.9%	6.0%	5.6%
03		58	24	15	0	19
04		13.0%	12.2%	13.5%	0.0%	14.9%
05		74	25	19	6	24
06		16.6%	12.9%	17.0%	47.7%	19.0%
Extremely vibrant		111	59	18	2	31
DK/NA		24.9%	30.2%	16.5%	20.6%	24.3%
05		89	38	33	2	17
06		20.0%	19.3%	29.7%	17.5%	13.0%
Extremely vibrant		51	19	12	1	19
DK/NA		11.5%	9.6%	11.1%	8.2%	15.2%
Extremely vibrant		28	15	5	0	8
DK/NA		6.2%	7.5%	4.4%	0.0%	6.5%
Extremely vibrant		10	5	3	0	2
DK/NA		2.3%	2.7%	3.0%	0.0%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Not at all vibrant				a	
02					
03				A	
04		B			
05			D		
06					
Extremely vibrant				a	
DK/NA				a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total		446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Not at all vibrant		24	1	5	1	1	10	6
		5.5%	1.5%	7.3%	3.4%	2.0%	7.2%	7.0%
02		58	5	14	6	6	15	11
		13.0%	7.3%	20.8%	17.9%	15.1%	10.7%	12.6%
03		74	12	5	7	5	30	15
		16.6%	16.5%	7.8%	20.9%	11.3%	21.0%	17.2%
04		111	25	19	6	5	30	27
		24.9%	32.7%	27.4%	18.0%	12.9%	21.2%	29.7%
05		89	17	11	9	15	24	13
		20.0%	22.3%	16.7%	27.7%	38.9%	17.1%	14.1%
06		51	8	6	2	5	21	10
		11.5%	10.2%	8.4%	5.1%	12.8%	15.1%	11.1%
Extremely vibrant		28	4	6	0	2	8	7
		6.2%	5.6%	9.5%	0.0%	4.4%	5.7%	8.2%
DK/NA		10	3	2	2	1	3	0
		2.3%	4.0%	2.2%	7.1%	2.6%	1.9%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Not at all vibrant							
02							
03							
04					F		
05							
06							
Extremely vibrant				a			
DK/NA							a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Total		446	126	51	62	50	36
Not at all vibrant		24	9	3	6	2	0
		5.5%	7.3%	6.2%	9.3%	3.1%	0.0%
02		58	13	10	14	4	7
		13.0%	10.3%	18.7%	22.9%	8.9%	20.0%
03		74	19	5	9	8	8
		16.6%	15.3%	9.9%	15.2%	15.3%	20.8%
04		111	26	14	17	16	10
		24.9%	20.9%	28.2%	27.3%	32.2%	27.9%
05		89	22	8	10	12	5
		20.0%	17.7%	15.9%	16.9%	23.1%	14.9%
06		51	19	7	5	2	3
		11.5%	14.9%	14.0%	8.4%	4.8%	6.9%
Extremely vibrant		28	17	2	0	3	3
		6.2%	13.2%	3.9%	0.0%	5.8%	9.5%
DK/NA		10	0	2	0	3	0
		2.3%	0.4%	3.2%	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total		36	46	38
Not at all vibrant		1	3	1
		2.4%	6.7%	2.1%
02		4	3	2
		11.4%	7.4%	4.9%
03		9	9	6
		26.3%	19.9%	16.5%
04		6	12	9
		17.6%	25.6%	23.1%
05		7	13	12
		18.3%	27.1%	32.2%
06		7	3	5
		19.3%	6.1%	14.4%
Extremely vibrant		2	1	0
		4.8%	2.2%	0.0%
DK/NA		0	2	3
		0.0%	5.1%	6.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant					a
	02					
	03					
	04					
	05					
	06					
	Extremely vibrant			a		
DK/NA			a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant			
	02			
	03			
	04			
	05			
	06			
	Extremely vibrant			a
DK/NA	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9	
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24	
	Not at all vibrant	24	3	3	16	1	0	0	2	0	
	02	5.5%	3.8%	11.3%	8.6%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	
	03	5.5%	3.8%	11.3%	8.6%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	
	04	13.0%	11.5%	3.1%	19.0%	6.0%	23.8%	26.0%	4.1%	0.0%	
	05	74	8	7	34	2	4	3	9	7	
	06	16.6%	10.3%	29.6%	17.8%	6.8%	29.9%	22.2%	13.7%	28.7%	
	Extremely vibrant	111	22	4	51	15	2	0	11	5	
	DK/NA	24.9%	27.3%	19.3%	26.8%	41.3%	20.1%	0.0%	16.7%	22.2%	
	02	89	24	7	31	8	1	3	10	5	
	03	20.0%	30.1%	29.9%	16.3%	21.1%	11.9%	19.5%	15.9%	20.4%	
	04	51	10	1	10	4	2	4	17	3	
	05	11.5%	12.2%	3.2%	5.2%	11.4%	14.2%	28.9%	26.5%	13.8%	
06	28	2	0	7	3	0	1	13	2		
Extremely vibrant	6.2%	2.2%	0.0%	3.9%	9.4%	0.0%	3.4%	19.1%	9.0%		
DK/NA	10	2	1	5	1	0	0	1	1		
	2.3%	2.5%	3.7%	2.4%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	5.9%		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant					a	a		a
	02								a
	03								
	04						a		
	05								
	06						C	C	
	Extremely vibrant		a			a		A C	
DK/NA					a	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	349	97
	Not at all vibrant	24	20	4
	02	5.5%	5.8%	4.3%
	03	58	48	10
	04	13.0%	13.8%	10.0%
	05	74	57	17
	06	16.6%	16.3%	17.4%
	Extremely vibrant	111	86	25
	DK/NA	24.9%	24.5%	26.0%
	02	89	71	18
	03	20.0%	20.4%	18.6%
	04	51	39	13
	05	11.5%	11.1%	13.1%
06	28	21	6	
Extremely vibrant	6.2%	6.2%	6.5%	
DK/NA	10	6	4	
	2.3%	1.9%	4.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant		
	02		
	03		
	04		
	05		
	06		
	Extremely vibrant		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	282	164
Not at all vibrant		24	17	7
		5.5%	6.0%	4.5%
02		58	38	20
		13.0%	13.4%	12.3%
03		74	47	27
		16.6%	16.7%	16.3%
04		111	73	38
		24.9%	26.0%	23.0%
05		89	62	28
		20.0%	21.8%	16.9%
06		51	24	28
		11.5%	8.4%	16.9%
Extremely vibrant		28	14	14
		6.2%	4.9%	8.6%
DK/NA		10	8	3
		2.3%	2.8%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant		
	02		
	03		
	04		
	05		
	06		A
	Extremely vibrant		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
Total		446	3	28	74	58	111
Not at all vibrant		24	0	0	3	2	1
		5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	2.6%	1.2%
02		58	0	1	15	9	21
		13.0%	0.0%	3.7%	20.1%	14.9%	18.5%
03		74	0	1	12	9	18
		16.6%	0.0%	3.3%	16.3%	15.6%	16.4%
04		111	1	16	19	11	29
		24.9%	30.0%	55.1%	25.8%	18.9%	26.4%
05		89	2	3	8	9	22
		20.0%	66.3%	11.9%	10.5%	14.8%	20.0%
06		51	0	1	14	11	12
		11.5%	3.7%	3.3%	19.3%	18.7%	10.4%
Extremely vibrant		28	0	6	2	7	6
		6.2%	0.0%	22.7%	3.1%	11.3%	5.7%
DK/NA		10	0	0	1	2	2
		2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	3.1%	1.5%

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	167	4
Not at all vibrant	19	0
	11.4%	0.0%
02	13	0
	7.6%	0.0%
03	31	2
	18.6%	67.8%
04	35	0
	20.8%	0.0%
05	45	0
	26.7%	8.7%
06	14	0
	8.1%	0.0%
Extremely vibrant	5	1
	3.2%	23.5%
DK/NA	6	0
	3.6%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos				
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Not at all vibrant	a	a			
02	a				
03	a		F		
04					
05		D F			
06					
Extremely vibrant	a	C F			
DK/NA	a	a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(F)	(G)
Not at all vibrant	E	a
02		a
03		B
04		a
05		
06		a
Extremely vibrant		a
DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Employment Status					
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
Not at all vibrant	24	13	0	4	1	5
	5.5%	6.3%	0.0%	9.2%	4.4%	3.9%
02	58	25	4	9	1	10
	13.0%	12.5%	16.5%	20.8%	4.4%	7.9%
03	74	38	4	8	2	17
	16.6%	19.0%	17.9%	19.1%	11.3%	13.8%
04	111	52	7	10	3	33
	24.9%	25.8%	30.7%	24.5%	15.5%	26.2%
05	89	33	5	5	2	37
	20.0%	16.5%	22.4%	12.8%	8.7%	29.2%
06	51	23	2	5	4	13
	11.5%	11.2%	9.2%	11.3%	21.5%	10.0%
Extremely vibrant	28	16	1	1	7	4
	6.2%	7.8%	3.2%	2.4%	34.3%	3.0%
DK/NA	10	2	0	0	0	8
	2.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	14	16	4
Not at all vibrant	0	2	0
	0.0%	12.9%	0.0%
02	2	6	1
	14.8%	37.1%	31.7%
03	2	0	2
	18.1%	0.0%	36.6%
04	2	3	0
	15.4%	20.6%	0.0%
05	4	2	1
	30.5%	9.6%	31.7%
06	2	3	0
	14.0%	19.8%	0.0%
Extremely vibrant	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	1	0	0
	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status				
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Not at all vibrant		a			
02					
03					
04					
05					
06					
Extremely vibrant		a	a	A C E	A
DK/NA				a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(F)	(G)	(H)
Not at all vibrant	a		a
02		E	
03		a	
04			a
05			a
06			a
Extremely vibrant	a	a	a
DK/NA		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
Not at all vibrant	17	1	0	0	1	0	15
02	38	1	0	0	1	4	29
03	50	0	0	0	2	5	42
04	69	1	1	1	1	7	56
05	44	0	0	0	3	5	32
06	29	0	1	0	4	5	20
Extremely vibrant	17	2	0	0	0	4	11
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	6.2%	26.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	7.1%
	14.1%	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	13.7%	13.9%
	18.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.5%	17.7%	20.1%
	26.0%	12.3%	56.7%	100.0%	9.3%	22.8%	27.2%
	16.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.5%	17.0%	15.7%
	11.0%	0.0%	43.3%	0.0%	31.7%	15.6%	9.6%
	6.6%	45.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.2%	5.5%
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%

	Total	County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	2	0	5	2	
Not at all vibrant	0	0	0	0	
02	0	0	2	1	
03	0	0	0	1	
04	0	0	2	0	
05	2	0	1	0	
06	0	0	0	0	
Extremely vibrant	0	0	0	0	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	43.3%	45.1%	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	54.9%	
	0.0%	0.0%	42.1%	0.0%	
	100.0%	100.0%	14.6%	0.0%	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work						
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant		a	a,b		a	a	
	02		a	a,b			a	
	03	a	a	a,b			a	
	04			a,b			a	
	05	a	a	a,b			a	
	06	a	a	a,b			a	
	Extremely vibrant	F	a	a,b	a		a	
	DK/NA	a	a	a,b	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work		
		Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant	a,b	a	a
	02	a,b		
	03	a,b	a	
	04	a,b		a
	05	a,b		a
	06	a,b	a	a
	Extremely vibrant	a,b	a	a
	DK/NA	a,b	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Not at all vibrant	24	0	3	0	0
		5.5%	0.0%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%
	02	58	0	1	0	6
		13.0%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	28.4%
	03	74	0	5	0	5
		16.6%	0.0%	18.7%	0.0%	27.9%
	04	111	1	5	2	4
		24.9%	100.0%	20.8%	40.8%	21.2%
	05	89	0	4	3	1
		20.0%	0.0%	17.1%	59.2%	3.4%
06	51	0	2	0	0	
	11.5%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	
Extremely vibrant	28	0	5	0	3	
	6.2%	0.0%	19.6%	0.0%	15.1%	
DK/NA	10	0	1	0	1	
	2.3%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	4.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Total	4	1	2	5	330
	Not at all vibrant	0	0	0	2	18
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	44.5%	5.6%
	02	0	0	0	1	38
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%	11.5%
	03	2	0	1	0	52
		33.7%	0.0%	47.9%	0.0%	15.8%
	04	0	1	0	1	83
		0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	14.9%	25.1%
	05	3	0	0	0	71
		66.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21.5%
06	0	0	1	1	40	
	0.0%	0.0%	52.1%	25.7%	12.1%	
Extremely vibrant	0	0	0	0	20	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	8	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	

	Ethnic Group			
	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	11	16	5	20
Not at all vibrant	0	0	0	1
02	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%
03	3	4	0	6
04	24.0%	25.7%	0.0%	28.7%
05	0	3	2	4
06	0.0%	18.4%	37.9%	20.1%
Extremely vibrant	2	6	2	3
DK/NA	21.1%	37.1%	47.0%	13.0%
	2	1	0	4
	15.7%	8.5%	0.0%	21.3%
	3	2	1	2
	29.2%	10.3%	15.2%	10.5%
	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	1	0	0	0
	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Not at all vibrant	a,b	.	b	b	b	
02	a,b	.	b	.	b	
03	a,b	.	b	.	.	
04	a,b	.	.	.	b	
05	a,b	.	.	.	D	
06	a,b	.	b	b	b	
Extremely vibrant	a,b	I	b	.	b	
DK/NA	a,b	.	b	.	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
Not at all vibrant	a,b	b	I	.	b	
02	a,b	b	.	.	.	
03	a,b	.	b	.	b	
04	a,b	b	.	.	.	
05	a,b	b	b	.	.	
06	a,b	
Extremely vibrant	a,b	b	b	.	b	
DK/NA	a,b	b	b	.	.	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)
Not at all vibrant	b	b	.	
02	.	b	.	
03	.	.	.	
04	.	.	.	
05	.	b	.	
06	.	.	.	
Extremely vibrant	b	b	b	
DK/NA	b	b	b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
Total		446	203	243
Not at all vibrant		24	9	15
02		5.5%	4.7%	6.2%
03		58	23	34
04		13.0%	11.5%	14.2%
05		74	31	43
06		16.6%	15.4%	17.5%
Extremely vibrant		111	52	59
DK/NA		24.9%	25.4%	24.4%
		89	41	49
		20.0%	20.1%	20.0%
		51	24	27
		11.5%	11.8%	11.3%
		28	16	12
		6.2%	7.9%	4.9%
		10	7	4
		2.3%	3.2%	1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant		
	02		
	03		
	04		
	05		
	06		
	Extremely vibrant		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	20	422	3
	Not at all vibrant	24	3	21	0
		5.5%	14.4%	5.1%	0.0%
	02	58	6	52	0
		13.0%	27.5%	12.4%	0.0%
	03	74	1	73	0
		16.6%	5.3%	17.2%	0.0%
	04	111	7	104	0
		24.9%	33.7%	24.6%	0.0%
	05	89	1	89	0
		20.0%	3.8%	21.0%	0.0%
	06	51	1	48	3
		11.5%	3.1%	11.3%	100.0%
Extremely vibrant	28	2	26	0	
	6.2%	8.1%	6.2%	0.0%	
DK/NA	10	1	10	0	
	2.3%	4.0%	2.3%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant			. ^a
	02	B		. ^a
	03			. ^a
	04			. ^a
	05			. ^a
	06			. ^a
	Extremely vibrant			. ^a
	DK/NA			. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Not at all vibrant	24	1	2	5	16
		5.5%	0.9%	3.5%	4.3%	9.5%
	02	58	4	2	22	30
		13.0%	4.1%	3.6%	17.5%	18.0%
	03	74	15	9	21	28
		16.6%	16.8%	14.2%	17.2%	16.9%
	04	111	19	11	37	43
		24.9%	21.4%	17.0%	30.4%	25.7%
	05	89	13	21	29	26
		20.0%	14.0%	32.4%	23.9%	15.7%
	06	51	22	10	5	14
		11.5%	24.2%	16.0%	3.8%	8.6%
Extremely vibrant	28	15	3	2	8	
	6.2%	16.6%	5.3%	1.4%	4.5%	
DK/NA	10	2	5	2	2	
	2.3%	1.8%	7.9%	1.6%	1.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant				A
	02			AB	AB
	03				
	04				
	05		AD		
	06	CD	C		
	Extremely vibrant	CD			
DK/NA		D			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Not at all vibrant	24	2	6	1	6	9
	02	5.5%	4.1%	8.7%	2.4%	9.0%	4.3%
	03	58	1	9	11	12	25
	04	13.0%	1.0%	12.6%	20.6%	18.0%	12.5%
	05	74	6	12	9	13	33
	06	16.6%	11.3%	17.5%	18.3%	18.6%	16.4%
	Extremely vibrant	111	14	17	15	14	51
	DK/NA	24.9%	27.6%	23.8%	29.8%	20.2%	24.9%
		89	12	9	7	12	49
		20.0%	22.6%	13.1%	13.0%	18.0%	24.2%
		51	9	8	7	7	20
		11.5%	17.5%	12.0%	12.9%	10.4%	9.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant					
	02			A	A	
	03					
	04					
	05					
	06					
	Extremely vibrant	E		.a		
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73
	Not at all vibrant	24	10	2	2	4	5	2
	02	5.5%	7.9%	2.2%	3.0%	6.9%	9.6%	2.8%
	03	57	11	13	7	6	8	11
	04	12.8%	9.4%	16.7%	13.4%	11.6%	15.6%	14.6%
	05	73	14	11	8	11	9	14
	06	16.5%	11.9%	14.5%	14.4%	20.0%	17.2%	19.3%
	Extremely vibrant	111	35	24	11	7	13	18
	DK/NA	25.0%	29.0%	32.0%	20.6%	12.6%	23.9%	24.9%
		89	22	16	18	15	11	6
		20.1%	18.5%	20.4%	32.8%	27.2%	20.5%	7.8%
		51	13	6	7	5	5	14
		11.6%	10.6%	8.0%	12.6%	9.8%	9.5%	19.5%

	Party by Gender	
	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	2	10
Not at all vibrant	1	0
	41.6%	0.0%
02	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
03	1	5
	58.4%	45.9%
04	0	2
	0.0%	24.1%
05	0	2
	0.0%	20.4%
06	0	1
	0.0%	9.6%
Extremely vibrant	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant							a
	02							a,b
	03							a
	04							a,b
	05			F				a,b
	06							a,b
	Extremely vibrant							a,b
	DK/NA			b		b		a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by
		Male Oth
		(H)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	Not at all vibrant	b
	02	b
	03	.
	04	.
	05	.
	06	.
	Extremely vibrant	b
	DK/NA	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
Total		446	446
Not at all vibrant		4	4
		1.0%	1.0%
02		4	4
		0.9%	0.9%
03		18	18
		4.0%	4.0%
04		44	44
		9.9%	9.9%
05		107	107
		24.1%	24.1%
06		147	147
		33.0%	33.0%
Extremely vibrant		114	114
		25.6%	25.6%
DK/NA		7	7
		1.6%	1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	Not at all vibrant	.
	02	.
	03	.
	04	.
	05	.
	06	.
	Extremely vibrant	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	446	213	231	2
Not at all vibrant	4	4	0	0
02	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
03	4	0	4	0
04	0.9%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
05	18	12	6	0
06	4.0%	5.7%	2.5%	0.0%
Extremely vibrant	44	26	17	1
DK/NA	9.9%	12.3%	7.3%	58.7%
	107	53	54	1
	24.1%	24.7%	23.4%	41.3%
	147	66	81	0
	33.0%	31.1%	34.9%	0.0%
	114	50	64	0
	25.6%	23.5%	27.7%	0.0%
	7	2	6	0
	1.6%	0.8%	2.4%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
Not at all vibrant		a	a
02	a		a
03			a
04			B
05			a
06			a
Extremely vibrant			a
DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
Not at all vibrant	4	0	0	2	0	3	0
02	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
03	4	0	0	0	2	1	1
04	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.6%	9.4%
05	18	0	3	1	5	7	1
06	4.0%	0.0%	9.1%	1.3%	3.8%	6.0%	12.9%
Extremely vibrant	44	4	3	12	10	15	1
DK/NA	9.9%	6.7%	8.8%	14.3%	7.2%	12.1%	8.9%
	107	10	5	18	45	29	0
	24.1%	16.8%	15.5%	22.6%	32.0%	23.4%	0.0%
	147	24	11	28	46	35	4
	33.0%	40.3%	32.0%	34.7%	32.6%	28.0%	49.2%
	114	21	12	20	30	30	0
	25.6%	36.2%	34.7%	25.1%	21.4%	24.4%	0.0%
	7	0	0	0	2	4	1
	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	3.3%	19.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Not at all vibrant	a	a		a		a
02	a	a	a			
03	a					
04						
05						a
06						a
Extremely vibrant						a
DK/NA	a	a	a			D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	446	357	80	9
Not at all vibrant	4	3	2	0
	1.0%	0.7%	2.1%	0.0%
02	4	2	2	0
	0.9%	0.6%	2.2%	0.0%
03	18	16	2	0
	4.0%	4.4%	2.7%	0.0%
04	44	40	4	0
	9.9%	11.3%	4.6%	2.9%
05	107	95	12	1
	24.1%	26.5%	14.5%	11.4%
06	147	128	19	0
	33.0%	35.8%	24.0%	1.4%
Extremely vibrant	114	68	39	8
	25.6%	19.1%	47.9%	84.2%
DK/NA	7	6	2	0
	1.6%	1.6%	2.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
Not at all vibrant			a
02			a
03			a
04			
05			
06			
Extremely vibrant		A	A
DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	446	197	110	12	127
Not at all vibrant	4	2	0	0	3
	1.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
02	4	3	1	0	0
	0.9%	1.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
03	18	7	6	0	4
	4.0%	3.6%	5.8%	3.6%	3.2%
04	44	23	5	1	15
	9.9%	11.6%	4.8%	7.8%	12.0%
05	107	39	31	6	31
	24.1%	19.7%	28.2%	51.9%	24.6%
06	147	67	34	3	43
	33.0%	33.9%	31.3%	27.1%	33.4%
Extremely vibrant	114	52	31	1	30
	25.6%	26.4%	28.5%	9.6%	23.4%
DK/NA	7	5	1	0	2
	1.6%	2.4%	0.8%	0.0%	1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Not at all vibrant		a	a	a
02			a	a
03				
04				
05			A	
06				
Extremely vibrant			a	
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Not at all vibrant	4	0	2	0	0	0	3
	1.0%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
02	4	2	1	0	1	0	0
	0.9%	3.3%	1.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
03	18	4	2	3	2	4	3
	4.0%	5.3%	2.9%	9.3%	4.9%	3.1%	2.9%
04	44	8	4	1	2	20	10
	9.9%	10.1%	6.0%	2.1%	4.9%	13.9%	11.5%
05	107	14	13	13	12	33	23
	24.1%	18.6%	19.3%	40.8%	29.1%	23.4%	25.1%
06	147	24	28	7	12	41	35
	33.0%	32.3%	41.2%	20.9%	30.1%	29.1%	38.8%
Extremely vibrant	114	20	17	8	12	42	16
	25.6%	26.9%	24.2%	24.4%	29.1%	29.9%	17.7%
DK/NA	7	3	2	1	0	1	1
	1.6%	3.5%	3.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%	1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Not at all vibrant	a		a	a	a	a
02			a		a	a
03						
04						
05						
06						
Extremely vibrant						
DK/NA				a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date					
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
Not at all vibrant	4	2	0	0	2	0
	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
02	4	0	0	0	0	0
	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
03	18	6	2	0	1	1
	4.0%	4.5%	3.6%	0.0%	2.3%	2.6%
04	44	14	5	7	9	3
	9.9%	11.2%	9.3%	10.7%	17.2%	8.4%
05	107	29	13	16	12	4
	24.1%	23.1%	26.1%	26.4%	24.7%	10.7%
06	147	41	13	17	14	15
	33.0%	32.2%	25.3%	27.4%	28.7%	42.0%
Extremely vibrant	114	33	18	21	10	12
	25.6%	26.4%	34.6%	34.5%	20.8%	34.1%
DK/NA	7	2	1	1	2	1
	1.6%	1.3%	1.1%	1.0%	3.2%	2.2%

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	36	46	38
Not at all vibrant	0	1	0
	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
02	3	1	1
	7.2%	1.4%	1.8%
03	2	3	4
	4.8%	5.7%	10.4%
04	2	1	3
	6.8%	2.5%	9.2%
05	10	14	8
	28.5%	30.5%	20.7%
06	14	16	16
	40.1%	35.5%	42.0%
Extremely vibrant	4	10	5
	12.4%	21.3%	12.3%
DK/NA	0	0	1
	0.0%	1.0%	3.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	Not at all vibrant		a	a		a
	02	a	a	a	a	a
	03			a		
	04					
	05					
	06					
	Extremely vibrant					
DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	Not at all vibrant	a		a
	02			
	03			
	04			
	05			
	06			
	Extremely vibrant			
DK/NA	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9	
	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24	
	Not at all vibrant	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	
	02	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	03	4	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	
	04	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	
	05	18	3	0	7	3	1	1	1	1	
	06	4.0%	4.1%	2.0%	3.9%	9.4%	5.2%	5.4%	1.4%	3.9%	
	Extremely vibrant	44	12	3	22	2	2	0	0	2	
	DK/NA	9.9%	15.1%	15.6%	11.8%	5.8%	18.4%	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%	
	02	107	19	6	51	8	2	4	11	6	
	03	24.1%	23.5%	28.4%	27.1%	22.8%	18.8%	23.3%	16.4%	24.2%	
	04	147	31	10	54	14	5	2	27	5	
	05	33.0%	38.5%	43.2%	28.3%	38.2%	37.2%	12.2%	41.4%	21.8%	
	06	114	12	2	44	9	2	8	27	10	
	Extremely vibrant	25.6%	15.6%	10.8%	23.4%	23.7%	13.8%	49.7%	40.7%	42.3%	
	DK/NA	7	3	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	
		1.6%	3.2%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	6.6%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	Not at all vibrant	a	a		a	a	a	a	a
	02	a	a		a	a		a	a
	03								
	04						a	a	
	05								
	06								
	Extremely vibrant							A	a
DK/NA		a		a			a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	446	349	97
	Not at all vibrant	4	2	3
	02	1.0%	0.5%	2.7%
	03	4	4	0
	04	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%
	05	18	12	6
	06	4.0%	3.4%	6.1%
	Extremely vibrant	44	35	10
	DK/NA	9.9%	9.9%	9.9%
	02	107	79	29
	03	24.1%	22.5%	29.6%
	04	147	115	32
	05	33.0%	33.0%	32.7%
	06	114	96	18
	Extremely vibrant	25.6%	27.7%	18.2%
	DK/NA	7	6	1
		1.6%	1.8%	0.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	Not at all vibrant		A
	02		a
	03		
	04		
	05		
	06		
	Extremely vibrant		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	282	164
Not at all vibrant		4	3	2
		1.0%	0.9%	1.0%
02		4	4	0
		0.9%	1.4%	0.0%
03		18	10	8
		4.0%	3.6%	4.7%
04		44	33	11
		9.9%	11.6%	7.0%
05		107	73	35
		24.1%	25.7%	21.2%
06		147	83	64
		33.0%	29.4%	39.2%
Extremely vibrant		114	71	43
		25.6%	25.2%	26.3%
DK/NA		7	6	1
		1.6%	2.2%	0.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	Not at all vibrant		
	02		a
	03		
	04		
	05		
	06		A
	Extremely vibrant		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
Total		446	3	28	74	58	111
Not at all vibrant		4	0	0	0	2	0
		1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%
02		4	0	0	0	1	3
		0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	2.3%
03		18	0	2	3	1	3
		4.0%	0.0%	7.5%	3.7%	1.6%	2.3%
04		44	0	4	7	4	11
		9.9%	0.0%	14.3%	9.5%	6.7%	10.3%
05		107	0	2	18	16	29
		24.1%	0.0%	5.9%	23.8%	28.2%	26.0%
06		147	2	12	26	20	36
		33.0%	59.6%	41.1%	34.4%	35.1%	32.4%
Extremely vibrant		114	1	9	21	14	27
		25.6%	40.4%	31.1%	28.6%	24.5%	24.7%
DK/NA		7	0	0	0	0	2
		1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	167	4
Not at all vibrant	3	0
02	1.6%	0.0%
03	1	0
04	0.4%	0.0%
05	10	0
06	5.7%	0.0%
Extremely vibrant	18	0
DK/NA	10.7%	0.0%
	42	1
	25.1%	23.1%
	50	2
	29.8%	44.7%
	40	1
	23.9%	32.2%
	5	0
	2.9%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos				
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Not at all vibrant	.a	.a	.a		.a
02	.a	.a	.a		
03	.a				
04	.a				
05	.a				
06					
Extremely vibrant					
DK/NA	.a	.a	.a	.a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(F)	(G)
Not at all vibrant		.a
02		.a
03		.a
04		.a
05		
06		
Extremely vibrant		
DK/NA		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Employment Status					
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
Not at all vibrant	4	2	0	0	0	3
02	1.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%
03	4	2	0	0	0	1
04	0.9%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
05	18	4	0	1	0	12
06	4.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	9.6%
Extremely vibrant	44	22	0	4	0	17
DK/NA	9.9%	11.0%	0.0%	8.6%	0.0%	13.6%
	107	46	5	7	4	30
	24.1%	22.6%	21.1%	18.0%	23.0%	24.0%
	147	65	11	20	10	33
	33.0%	32.1%	49.3%	48.7%	53.4%	26.1%
	114	61	7	8	5	24
	25.6%	29.9%	29.6%	20.4%	23.6%	19.2%
	7	1	0	1	0	5
	1.6%	0.4%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	4.3%

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	14	16	4
Not at all vibrant	0	0	0
02	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
03	0	0	0
04	0	1	0
05	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%
06	0	0	1
Extremely vibrant	0	0	31.7%
DK/NA	5	8	2
	36.2%	45.8%	51.2%
	3	4	1
	20.4%	25.4%	17.1%
	6	4	0
	43.4%	23.1%	0.0%
	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status				
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Not at all vibrant 02		a	a	a	
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be? 03		a	a	a	A
04		a		a	
05		a		a	
06					
Extremely vibrant				a	
DK/NA		a		a	A

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(F)	(G)	(H)
Not at all vibrant 02	a	a	a
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be? 03	a		a
04	a		
05		a	
06			
Extremely vibrant			a
DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
Not at all vibrant	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
02	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be? 03	2	0	0	0	2	0	1
04	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.8%	0.0%	0.3%
05	5	0	0	0	0	1	4
06	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	1.9%
Extremely vibrant	26	0	0	0	0	1	23
DK/NA	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	10.9%
02	58	1	0	0	2	4	47
03	21.8%	27.5%	0.0%	0.0%	20.5%	14.8%	22.8%
04	96	0	2	0	2	15	77
05	36.1%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	13.1%	50.4%	37.3%
06	76	3	0	1	6	7	54
Extremely vibrant	28.4%	72.5%	0.0%	100.0%	51.6%	22.9%	26.0%
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%

	Total	County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	2	0	5	2	
Not at all vibrant	0	0	0	0	
02	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be? 03	0	0	0	0	
04	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
05	0	0	2	0	
06	0.0%	100.0%	41.5%	0.0%	
Extremely vibrant	0	0	2	1	
DK/NA	0.0%	0.0%	32.8%	54.9%	
	2	0	1	1	
	100.0%	0.0%	25.7%	45.1%	
	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work						
	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Not at all vibrant	a	a	a,b	a	a		a
02	a	a	a,b	F	a		a
03	a	a	a,b	a			a
04	a	a	a,b	a			a
05	a	a	a,b				a
06	a	a	a,b				a
Extremely vibrant	a	a	a,b				a
DK/NA	a	a	a,b	a		a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work		
	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
	(H)	(I)	(J)
Not at all vibrant	a,b	a	a
02	a,b	a	a
03	a,b	a	a
04	a,b	E	a
05	a,b		
06	a,b	a	a
Extremely vibrant	a,b		
DK/NA	a,b	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
Total	446	1	26	5	19
Not at all vibrant	4	0	2	0	0
02	1.0%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
03	4	0	0	0	0
04	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
05	18	0	1	0	0
06	4.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Extremely vibrant	44	0	3	0	4
DK/NA	9.9%	0.0%	10.4%	0.0%	21.4%
02	107	0	7	0	3
03	24.1%	0.0%	25.5%	0.0%	18.0%
04	147	1	5	1	6
05	33.0%	100.0%	20.7%	17.7%	32.9%
06	114	0	9	4	5
Extremely vibrant	25.6%	0.0%	34.5%	82.3%	27.8%
DK/NA	7	0	0	0	0
	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Ethnic Group		
			Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
Total	4	1	2	5	330
Not at all vibrant	0	0	0	0	3
02	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
03	0	0	0	0	0.9%
04	0	0	0	0	13
05	0	1	0	0	3.8%
06	0	0	0	0	28
Extremely vibrant	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%
DK/NA	2	0	0	1	82
	48.4%	0.0%	0.0%	29.8%	24.9%
02	2	0	1	3	106
03	51.6%	0.0%	52.1%	70.2%	32.2%
04	0	0	1	0	88
05	0.0%	0.0%	47.9%	0.0%	26.7%
06	0	0	0	0	7
Extremely vibrant	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	

	Ethnic Group			
	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	11	16	5	20
Not at all vibrant	0	0	0	0
02	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
03	1	0	0	0
04	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
05	0	2	0	3
06	0.0%	12.9%	0.0%	12.6%
Extremely vibrant	1	3	0	4
DK/NA	10.0%	20.9%	0.0%	18.5%
	1	3	3	4
	6.9%	20.5%	64.4%	21.8%
	7	8	0	6
	66.9%	45.7%	0.0%	27.7%
	1	0	2	4
	8.8%	0.0%	35.6%	19.5%
	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Not at all vibrant	a,b		b	b	b	
02	a,b	b	b	b	b	
03	a,b	.	b	b	b	
04	a,b	.	b	.	b	
05	a,b	.	b	.	.	
06	a,b	
Extremely vibrant	a,b	.	.	.	b	
DK/NA	a,b	b	b	b	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
Not at all vibrant	a,b	b	b	.	b	
02	a,b	b	b	.		
03	a,b	b	b	.	b	
04	a,b	b	b	.	.	
05	a,b	b	.	.	.	
06	a,b	
Extremely vibrant	a,b	.	b	.	.	
DK/NA	a,b	b	b	.	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)
Not at all vibrant	b	b	b	
02	b	b	b	
03	.	b	.	
04	.	b	.	
05	.	.	.	
06	.	b	.	
Extremely vibrant	b	.	.	
DK/NA	b	b	b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
Total		446	203	243
Not at all vibrant		4	3	2
		1.0%	1.3%	0.6%
02		4	2	2
		0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
03		18	9	9
		4.0%	4.5%	3.7%
04		44	15	29
		9.9%	7.5%	12.0%
05		107	40	67
		24.1%	19.7%	27.7%
06		147	69	78
		33.0%	34.0%	32.1%
Extremely vibrant		114	63	52
		25.6%	30.8%	21.2%
DK/NA		7	3	4
		1.6%	1.4%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Zip Code	
	94022	94024
	(A)	(B)
Not at all vibrant		
02		
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?		
03		
04		
05		A
06		
Extremely vibrant	B	
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total	446	20	422	3
Not at all vibrant	4	2	3	0
02	1.0%	8.1%	0.6%	0.0%
03	4	0	4	0
04	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
05	18	0	18	0
06	4.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%
Extremely vibrant	44	5	39	0
DK/NA	9.9%	24.6%	9.3%	0.0%
	107	4	104	0
	24.1%	18.1%	24.5%	0.0%
	147	5	139	3
	33.0%	22.2%	33.0%	100.0%
	114	6	109	0
	25.6%	27.1%	25.7%	0.0%
	7	0	7	0
	1.6%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
Not at all vibrant	B		. ^a
02	. ^a		. ^a
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?			
03	. ^a		. ^a
04	B		. ^a
05			. ^a
06			. ^a
Extremely vibrant			. ^a
DK/NA	. ^a		. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	446	90	64	123	168
Not at all vibrant	4	0	1	0	3
02	1.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	1.9%
03	4	0	1	1	2
04	0.9%	0.0%	1.0%	1.2%	1.1%
05	18	3	4	6	5
06	4.0%	3.7%	6.0%	5.0%	2.7%
Extremely vibrant	44	3	6	18	17
DK/NA	9.9%	3.2%	9.3%	14.7%	10.3%
	107	15	13	35	44
	24.1%	16.8%	20.3%	28.7%	26.0%
	147	36	17	45	48
	33.0%	39.8%	27.1%	36.9%	28.7%
	114	33	19	13	49
	25.6%	36.4%	29.9%	10.2%	29.3%
	7	0	3	4	0
	1.6%	0.0%	4.7%	3.4%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Not at all vibrant	a		a	
02	a			
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?			A	
03				
04				
05				
06				
Extremely vibrant	C	C		C
DK/NA	a			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
Not at all vibrant	4	0	2	2	0	1
02	1.0%	0.0%	2.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.5%
03	4	0	0	0	2	2
04	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	1.0%
05	18	3	2	3	3	7
06	4.0%	6.1%	2.8%	5.2%	4.2%	3.6%
Extremely vibrant	44	4	7	1	6	25
DK/NA	9.9%	8.1%	10.2%	2.6%	8.9%	12.5%
	107	8	15	11	17	56
	24.1%	15.1%	20.9%	21.8%	25.2%	27.6%
	147	23	28	16	17	64
	33.0%	43.7%	39.7%	31.8%	24.2%	31.2%
	114	14	15	18	24	43
	25.6%	26.9%	21.6%	35.6%	34.9%	21.0%
	7	0	2	0	0	5
	1.6%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Not at all vibrant	a			a	
02	a	a	a		
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?					
03					
04					
05					
06					
Extremely vibrant					
DK/NA	a		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender						
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73
Not at all vibrant	4	0	2	0	0	0	3
02	1.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%
03	4	3	0	1	0	0	0
04	0.9%	2.6%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
05	18	4	3	2	4	0	4
06	4.0%	2.9%	4.6%	4.2%	7.6%	0.0%	5.6%
Extremely vibrant	43	12	11	0	4	5	10
DK/NA	9.7%	10.0%	14.2%	0.0%	7.5%	9.2%	14.2%
	107	17	21	20	11	16	15
	24.0%	14.4%	28.0%	35.6%	21.3%	29.9%	19.9%
	147	46	21	19	15	16	27
	33.1%	37.7%	27.9%	35.1%	28.1%	29.6%	36.6%
	114	35	17	12	19	16	14
	25.7%	29.3%	21.8%	22.2%	35.4%	29.4%	19.3%
	7	4	1	1	0	1	1
	1.6%	3.1%	1.3%	1.5%	0.0%	1.8%	0.9%

	Party by Gender	
	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	2	10
Not at all vibrant	0	0
02	0.0%	0.0%
03	0	0
04	0.0%	4.2%
05	0	1
06	0.0%	9.1%
Extremely vibrant	1	5
DK/NA	58.4%	50.8%
	0	3
	0.0%	31.6%
	1	0
	41.6%	4.2%
	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%

12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by Gender						
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Not at all vibrant	a		a	a	a		a,b
02		a		a	a	a	a,b
03					a		a,b
04			a				a,b
05			A				b
06							a,b
Extremely vibrant							b
DK/NA				a			a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by
	Male Oth
Not at all vibrant	a
02	a
03	
04	
05	
06	
Extremely vibrant	
DK/NA	a

12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	446	446
13A. In an above ground parking structure		
YES WOULD BE WILLING	352	352
	78.8%	78.8%
NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61	61
	13.7%	13.7%
DK/NA	33	33
	7.5%	7.5%
Total	446	446
13B. In an underground parking garage		
YES WOULD BE WILLING	357	357
	80.1%	80.1%
NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58	58
	13.1%	13.1%
DK/NA	30	30
	6.8%	6.8%
Total	446	446
13C. On the street		
YES WOULD BE WILLING	389	389
	87.2%	87.2%
NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30	30
	6.8%	6.8%
DK/NA	26	26
	5.9%	5.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING	.
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	.
	DK/NA	.
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING	.
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	.
	DK/NA	.
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING	.
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	213	231	2
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352	172	177	2
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61	29	32	0
	DK/NA	33	12	21	0
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	213	231	2
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357	177	178	2
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58	23	36	0
	DK/NA	30	13	17	0
13C. On the street	Total	446	213	231	2
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389	185	202	2
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30	16	14	0
	DK/NA	26	12	14	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING	.	.	.
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	.	.	.
	DK/NA	.	.	.
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING	.	.	.
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	.	.	.
	DK/NA	.	.	.
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING	.	.	.
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	.	.	.
	DK/NA	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352	52	27	68	109	92	4
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61	7	5	9	16	23	0
	DK/NA	33	0	2	4	14	10	3
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357	53	32	74	110	86	3
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58	7	2	4	15	28	3
	DK/NA	30	0	1	2	14	11	2
13C. On the street	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389	54	29	73	121	106	5
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30	6	5	5	7	7	1
	DK/NA	26	0	0	2	12	11	1

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29 (A)	30-39 (B)	40-49 (C)	50-64 (D)	65+ (E)	Not coded (F)
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING						. ^a
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING						. ^a
	DK/NA						BCDE
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING	F	F	EF			
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING					C	C
	DK/NA	. ^a					
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING						
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING						
	DK/NA	. ^a	. ^a				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	357	80	9
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352	284	61	6
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61	53	6	3
	DK/NA	33	20	13	0
			7.5%	5.6%	16.6%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	357	80	9
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357	286	63	9
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58	50	8	0
	DK/NA	30	21	9	0
			6.8%	5.8%	11.8%
13C. On the street	Total	446	357	80	9
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389	321	61	7
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30	21	8	2
	DK/NA	26	15	11	0
			5.9%	4.3%	14.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own (A)	Rent (B)	Not sure/DK/NA (C)
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING			
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING			
	DK/NA		A	. ^a
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING			
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING			
	DK/NA			. ^a
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING	B		
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING			
	DK/NA		A	. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352	158	87	8	99
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61	22	15	3	21
	DK/NA	33	17	8	1	7
			7.5%	8.7%	7.0%	12.0%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357	161	82	9	105
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58	23	21	2	11
	DK/NA	30	13	6	0	11
			6.8%	6.5%	5.7%	3.6%
13C. On the street	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389	168	97	7	116
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30	14	8	3	6
	DK/NA	26	14	5	2	6
			5.9%	7.2%	4.6%	12.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING				
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING				
	DK/NA				
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING				
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING				
	DK/NA				
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING				
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING			D	C
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352	57	59	24	33	109	70
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61	9	5	5	5	25	13
	DK/NA	33	10	4	4	2	7	7
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357	60	58	23	29	111	76
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58	12	7	6	10	19	5
	DK/NA	30	4	3	3	1	11	9
13C. On the street	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389	61	60	26	39	124	80
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30	9	3	4	0	11	3
	DK/NA	26	5	5	3	1	6	7

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING						
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING						
	DK/NA						
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING						
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING				F		
	DK/NA						
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING						
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING				a		
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352	91	46	53	37
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61	22	4	6	8
	DK/NA	33	13	1	2	5
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357	99	48	55	42
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58	15	1	3	5
	DK/NA	30	13	3	3	4
13C. On the street	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389	105	46	58	41
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30	11	1	1	7
	DK/NA	26	10	4	2	3

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	36	36	46	38
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	31 84.7%	28 79.1%	38 82.1%	27 69.9%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	4 10.4%	4 12.4%	5 10.6%	7 18.9%
	DK/NA	2 4.9%	3 8.5%	3 7.3%	4 11.2%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	36	36	46	38
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	30 83.9%	28 78.8%	32 69.6%	24 61.9%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	4 11.4%	7 19.1%	10 22.1%	13 33.6%
	DK/NA	2 4.7%	1 2.1%	4 8.3%	2 4.5%
13C. On the street	Total	36	36	46	38
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	35 97.9%	32 88.9%	39 84.9%	34 87.9%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	1 2.1%	3 9.6%	2 4.1%	3 7.7%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	1 1.4%	5 11.0%	2 4.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING				
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING				
	DK/NA				
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING		H	H	
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING				
	DK/NA				
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING				
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING				
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING				
	DK/NA				
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING				
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING				B C
	DK/NA				
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING				
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING				
	DK/NA	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352 78.8%	67 83.6%	19 83.9%	143 75.1%	29 79.6%	10 81.5%	13 86.1%	54 82.3%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61 13.7%	7 9.1%	3 12.6%	27 14.1%	7 20.4%	2 13.2%	1 8.6%	10 15.1%
	DK/NA	33 7.5%	6 7.3%	1 3.6%	20 10.8%	0 0.0%	1 5.3%	1 5.3%	2 2.6%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357 80.1%	66 81.8%	18 80.9%	149 78.3%	28 75.7%	8 60.9%	15 96.6%	58 88.8%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58 13.1%	9 10.7%	4 16.6%	23 12.0%	8 22.2%	4 31.2%	1 3.4%	7 10.1%
	DK/NA	30 6.8%	6 7.5%	1 2.5%	18 9.7%	1 2.1%	1 7.9%	0 0.0%	1 1.2%
13C. On the street	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389 87.2%	73 90.9%	19 85.5%	158 83.1%	34 92.4%	12 94.1%	15 100.0%	58 87.8%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30 6.8%	3 4.2%	2 9.6%	17 8.8%	2 5.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 9.6%
	DK/NA	26 5.9%	4 4.9%	1 4.9%	15 8.1%	1 2.2%	1 5.9%	0 0.0%	2 2.7%

		Date
		Dec. 9
	Total	24
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING	17 70.7%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	4 16.1%
	DK/NA	3 13.2%
	Total	24
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING	17 71.2%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	4 17.7%
	DK/NA	3 11.1%
	Total	24
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING	21 88.9%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	3 11.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING								
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING				a				
	DK/NA								
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING								
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING								
	DK/NA						a		
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING								
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING					a			a
	DK/NA								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	446	349	97
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352 78.8%	274 78.5%	78 80.0%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61 13.7%	45 13.0%	16 16.1%
	DK/NA	33 7.5%	30 8.5%	4 3.9%
	Total	446	349	97
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357 80.1%	274 78.6%	83 85.6%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58 13.1%	45 12.9%	13 13.7%
	DK/NA	30 6.8%	29 8.4%	1 0.8%
	Total	446	349	97
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389 87.2%	305 87.5%	84 86.4%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30 6.8%	18 5.2%	12 12.6%
	DK/NA	26 5.9%	25 7.3%	1 1.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING		
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING		
	DK/NA		
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING		
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING		
	DK/NA	B	
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING		
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING		A
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	282	164
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352 78.8%	219 77.4%	133 81.3%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61 13.7%	35 12.5%	26 15.8%
	DK/NA	33 7.5%	29 10.2%	5 2.9%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	282	164
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357 80.1%	218 77.3%	139 85.1%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58 13.1%	38 13.4%	21 12.6%
	DK/NA	30 6.8%	26 9.3%	4 2.3%
13C. On the street	Total	446	282	164
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389 87.2%	246 87.0%	143 87.7%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30 6.8%	16 5.5%	15 9.1%
	DK/NA	26 5.9%	21 7.5%	5 3.3%

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	3	28	74	58
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352 78.8%	3 100.0%	23 80.7%	62 83.3%	42 72.1%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61 13.7%	0 0.0%	5 19.3%	7 9.5%	13 23.2%
	DK/NA	33 7.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 7.2%	3 4.8%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	3	28	74	58
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357 80.1%	3 100.0%	21 74.8%	67 90.2%	50 86.5%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58 13.1%	0 0.0%	7 25.2%	3 4.0%	6 11.0%
	DK/NA	30 6.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 5.7%	1 2.5%
13C. On the street	Total	446	3	28	74	58
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389 87.2%	3 100.0%	23 82.7%	63 84.8%	49 84.6%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30 6.8%	0 0.0%	5 17.3%	5 7.3%	4 7.6%
	DK/NA	26 5.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 7.9%	5 7.8%

		Years Lived in Los Altos		
		16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	111	167	4
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	97 87.2%	121 72.5%	4 100.0%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	9 8.0%	26 15.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	5 4.8%	20 11.9%	0 0.0%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	111	167	4
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	96 86.4%	116 69.4%	4 100.0%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	10 8.6%	32 19.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	6 5.0%	19 11.3%	0 0.0%
13C. On the street	Total	111	167	4
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	101 90.9%	146 87.0%	4 100.0%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	7 6.5%	9 5.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	3 2.6%	13 7.9%	0 0.0%

		Employment Status				
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	203	22	41	19
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352 78.8%	162 79.9%	21 96.2%	35 84.9%	17 85.6%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61 13.7%	29 14.1%	1 3.8%	5 11.9%	2 10.1%
	DK/NA	33 7.5%	12 6.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.3%	1 4.4%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	203	22	41	19
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357 80.1%	171 84.2%	21 93.8%	36 86.6%	16 80.5%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58 13.1%	19 9.3%	1 6.2%	5 11.3%	3 15.1%
	DK/NA	30 6.8%	13 6.5%	0 0.0%	1 2.1%	1 4.4%
13C. On the street	Total	446	203	22	41	19
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389 87.2%	178 87.7%	22 100.0%	41 100.0%	16 81.3%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30 6.8%	16 8.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 18.7%
	DK/NA	26 5.9%	9 4.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Employment Status			
		Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	126	14	16	4
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	89	12	13	3
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	20	1	2	1
	DK/NA	17	0	2	0
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	126	14	16	4
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	85	11	14	4
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	28	2	1	0
	DK/NA	13	0	2	0
13C. On the street	Total	126	14	16	4
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	103	12	14	3
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	9	0	0	1
	DK/NA	15	1	2	0

		County Where Work					
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	218	5	2	0	7	25
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	34	0	0	0	4	5
	DK/NA	13	0	0	1	2	0
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	227	5	2	0	7	27
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	25	0	0	0	4	2
	DK/NA	14	0	0	1	1	1
13C. On the street	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	241	5	2	0	10	27
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	16	0	0	0	2	3
	DK/NA	9	0	0	1	0	0

		County Where Work				
		Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	207	2	0	5	2
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	172	2	0	3	2
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	24	0	0	2	0
	DK/NA	10	0	0	0	0
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	207	2	0	5	2
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	177	2	0	5	2
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	19	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	11	0	0	0	0
13C. On the street	Total	207	2	0	5	2
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	187	2	0	5	2
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	12	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	7	0	0	0	0

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	1	26	5
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352	1	22	5
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61	0	3	0
	DK/NA	33	0	2	0
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	1	26	5
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357	1	21	5
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58	0	1	0
	DK/NA	30	0	4	0
13C. On the street	Total	446	1	26	5
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389	1	24	5
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30	0	1	0
	DK/NA	26	0	2	0

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	19	4	1	2
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	18 93.3%	4 82.0%	1 100.0%	2 100.0%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	1 18.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	19	4	1	2
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	15 78.2%	4 100.0%	1 100.0%	2 100.0%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	4 21.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
13C. On the street	Total	19	4	1	2
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	18 91.9%	4 100.0%	1 100.0%	1 47.9%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	2 8.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 52.1%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	5	330	11	16
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	5 100.0%	252 76.4%	9 83.5%	14 85.0%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	0 0.0%	50 15.2%	2 16.5%	1 4.7%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	28 8.4%	0 0.0%	2 10.3%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	5	330	11	16
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	5 100.0%	260 78.8%	10 90.0%	12 72.1%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	0 0.0%	48 14.6%	1 10.0%	2 11.7%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	21 6.5%	0 0.0%	3 16.2%
13C. On the street	Total	5	330	11	16
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	5 100.0%	286 86.8%	10 90.9%	14 84.3%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	0 0.0%	21 6.3%	1 9.1%	2 12.9%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	23 6.9%	0 0.0%	0 2.7%

		Ethnic Group	
		Other	Not sure/DK/NA
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	5	20
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	5 100.0%	14 71.4%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	0 0.0%	4 20.5%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	2 8.0%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	5	20
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	5 100.0%	16 80.5%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	0 0.0%	2 10.5%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	2 9.0%
13C. On the street	Total	5	20
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	5 100.0%	15 75.9%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	0 0.0%	3 16.2%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	2 7.9%

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	203	243
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352 78.8%	153 75.4%	199 81.7%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61 13.7%	31 15.4%	30 12.2%
	DK/NA	33 7.5%	19 9.1%	15 6.1%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	203	243
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357 80.1%	158 77.8%	200 82.1%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58 13.1%	28 13.8%	30 12.5%
	DK/NA	30 6.8%	17 8.4%	13 5.4%
13C. On the street	Total	446	203	243
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389 87.2%	173 85.1%	216 89.0%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30 6.8%	13 6.4%	17 7.2%
	DK/NA	26 5.9%	17 8.5%	9 3.8%

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	20	422	3
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352 78.8%	15 71.7%	334 79.0%	3 100.0%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61 13.7%	4 20.3%	57 13.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	33 7.5%	2 8.1%	32 7.5%	0 0.0%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	20	422	3
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357 80.1%	15 74.6%	339 80.3%	3 100.0%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58 13.1%	1 4.0%	58 13.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	30 6.8%	4 21.4%	26 6.1%	0 0.0%
13C. On the street	Total	446	20	422	3
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389 87.2%	17 85.4%	368 87.2%	3 100.0%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30 6.8%	1 6.6%	29 6.9%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	26 5.9%	2 8.1%	25 5.9%	0 0.0%

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352 78.8%	72 80.0%	50 77.8%	101 82.1%	128 76.2%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61 13.7%	16 17.6%	8 12.8%	14 11.7%	22 13.3%
	DK/NA	33 7.5%	2 2.5%	6 9.4%	8 6.2%	18 10.4%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357 80.1%	79 87.3%	47 72.8%	102 82.9%	130 77.0%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58 13.1%	10 11.2%	12 18.5%	13 10.8%	23 13.8%
	DK/NA	30 6.8%	1 1.4%	6 8.6%	8 6.3%	16 9.2%
13C. On the street	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389 87.2%	81 89.3%	53 82.2%	115 93.0%	141 83.9%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30 6.8%	7 8.2%	6 8.7%	4 3.5%	13 7.8%
	DK/NA	26 5.9%	2 2.5%	6 9.1%	4 3.5%	14 8.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING				
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING				
	DK/NA				
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING				
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING				
	DK/NA				
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING				
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	352 78.8%	40 77.9%	54 77.0%	41 80.8%	54 78.3%	162 79.4%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	61 13.7%	9 18.0%	13 18.1%	7 12.8%	8 11.4%	25 12.1%
	DK/NA	33 7.5%	2 4.1%	3 4.9%	3 6.5%	7 10.3%	17 8.6%
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	357 80.1%	40 76.8%	63 90.2%	47 91.8%	54 78.7%	153 75.1%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	58 13.1%	10 19.1%	3 5.0%	4 8.2%	6 9.4%	34 16.9%
	DK/NA	30 6.8%	2 4.1%	3 4.9%	0 0.0%	8 11.9%	16 8.0%
13C. On the street	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	389 87.2%	43 83.1%	60 85.2%	45 88.6%	58 83.6%	183 89.9%
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	30 6.8%	7 12.8%	7 9.9%	3 6.5%	4 5.1%	10 4.9%
	DK/NA	26 5.9%	2 4.1%	3 4.9%	3 4.9%	8 11.3%	11 5.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING					
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING					
	DK/NA					
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING			a		
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING					
	DK/NA					
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING					
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	350	99	59	40	46	37
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	78.7%	82.2%	77.0%	73.4%	84.8%	69.3%
	DK/NA	61	12	10	10	5	10
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	355	96	64	40	41	41
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	80.0%	79.9%	84.3%	72.2%	76.8%	77.2%
	DK/NA	58	17	7	14	8	4
13C. On the street	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	387	107	61	49	48	46
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	87.2%	88.9%	80.3%	88.5%	88.4%	85.8%
	DK/NA	30	4	10	6	2	3

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
13A. In an above ground parking structure	Total	73	2	10
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	61	1	7
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	83.8%	41.6%	66.3%
	DK/NA	11	0	3
13B. In an underground parking garage	Total	73	2	10
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	64	1	9
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	86.7%	41.6%	82.5%
	DK/NA	7	1	1
13C. On the street	Total	73	2	10
	YES WOULD BE WILLING	69	1	7
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	94.8%	41.6%	64.8%
	DK/NA	2	1	2

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING						
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING						
	DK/NA						
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING						
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING						
	DK/NA						
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING						
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING						H
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
13A. In an above ground parking structure	YES WOULD BE WILLING	a	
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	a,b	
	DK/NA	a	
13B. In an underground parking garage	YES WOULD BE WILLING	a	
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	a	
	DK/NA	a,b	
13C. On the street	YES WOULD BE WILLING	a	
	NO WOULD NOT BE WILLING	a	
	DK/NA	a,b	

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	446	446
	Always	123	123
	Most of the time	207	207
	Some of the time	102	102
	None of the time	5	5
	DK/NA	9	9
			1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always	.
	Most of the time	.
	Some of the time	.
	None of the time	.
	DK/NA	.
		.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	446	213	231	2
	Always	123	73	51	0
	Most of the time	207	97	109	0
	Some of the time	102	37	64	2
	None of the time	5	3	2	0
	DK/NA	9	4	4	0
			1.9%	2.0%	1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always	B		. ^a
	Most of the time			. ^a
	Some of the time		A	. ^a
	None of the time			. ^a
	DK/NA			. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Always	123	26	8	17	36	35	1
	Most of the time	207	22	15	44	65	58	3
	Some of the time	102	12	9	17	37	26	1
	None of the time	5	0	2	1	0	1	0
	DK/NA	9	0	0	1	3	3	2
			1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	1.8%	2.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always						
	Most of the time						
	Some of the time						
	None of the time	.a			.a		.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a				C D E

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	446	357	80	9
	Always	123	102	19	2
	Most of the time	207	169	34	3
	Some of the time	102	76	23	4
	None of the time	5	3	2	0
	DK/NA	9	7	2	0
		1.9%	2.0%	2.1%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always			
	Most of the time			
	Some of the time			
	None of the time			.a
	DK/NA			.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Always	123	55	25	6	38
	Most of the time	207	84	51	5	67
	Some of the time	102	49	31	1	22
	None of the time	5	3	1	0	1
	DK/NA	9	7	2	0	0
		1.9%	3.3%	1.5%	3.6%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always				
	Most of the time				
	Some of the time				
	None of the time			.a	.a
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Always	123	24	20	9	10	36	24
	Most of the time	207	37	23	16	21	60	50
	Some of the time	102	14	21	6	8	37	15
	None of the time	5	0	0	0	0	4	1
	DK/NA	9	0	4	1	0	3	0
		1.9%	0.6%	5.7%	2.6%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%
		22.9%	18.9%	31.1%	20.0%	20.2%	26.4%	16.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always						
	Most of the time						
	Some of the time						
	None of the time		a	a	a		
	DK/NA				a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
	Always	123	35	14	18	13	9
	Most of the time	207	58	23	33	28	12
	Some of the time	102	27	12	12	8	16
	None of the time	5	2	1	0	0	0
		1.1%	1.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	DK/NA	9	4	1	0	1	0
	1.9%	2.9%	1.1%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	36	46	38
	Always	12	13	10
	Most of the time	12	21	20
	Some of the time	11	10	6
	None of the time	0	1	0
		0.0%	2.2%	1.2%
	DK/NA	0	1	2
	0.0%	2.7%	4.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always					
	Most of the time					
	Some of the time					
	None of the time			a	a	a
	DK/NA			a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996 (F)	1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always			
	Most of the time			
	Some of the time			
	None of the time	a		
	DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Always	123	20	4	45	11	2	5	27	8
	Most of the time	207	39	13	91	16	8	8	22	10
	Some of the time	102	17	4	51	10	1	2	14	3
	None of the time	5	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0
		1.1%	0.6%	5.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%
	DK/NA	9	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
	1.9%	4.2%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	11.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always								
	Most of the time								
	Some of the time				a	a	a		a
	None of the time				a	a	a		a
	DK/NA		a						C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	446	349	97
	Always	123	86	37
		27.6%	24.8%	37.8%
	Most of the time	207	170	36
		46.4%	48.9%	37.3%
	Some of the time	102	82	20
		22.9%	23.7%	20.3%
None of the time	5	2	3	
	1.1%	0.5%	3.5%	
DK/NA	9	8	1	
	1.9%	2.2%	1.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always		A
	Most of the time	B	
	Some of the time		
	None of the time		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	446	282	164
	Always	123	67	56
		27.6%	23.9%	34.1%
	Most of the time	207	135	72
		46.4%	47.7%	44.0%
	Some of the time	102	72	30
		22.9%	25.5%	18.5%
None of the time	5	3	2	
	1.1%	1.0%	1.5%	
DK/NA	9	6	3	
	1.9%	2.0%	1.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always		A
	Most of the time		
	Some of the time		
	None of the time		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Always	123	3	9	22	16	34
		27.6%	74.1%	33.5%	29.4%	27.5%	30.9%
	Most of the time	207	1	14	37	27	41
		46.4%	25.9%	49.6%	49.7%	46.5%	37.0%
	Some of the time	102	0	3	14	12	34
		22.9%	0.0%	12.3%	19.3%	20.1%	30.7%
None of the time	5	0	0	1	2	0	
	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	4.2%	0.0%	
DK/NA	9	0	1	0	1	2	
	1.9%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	1.7%	1.4%	

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	167	4
Always	38 22.5%	2 44.7%
Most of the time	86 51.2%	1 32.2%
Some of the time	38 22.6%	1 23.1%
None of the time	1 0.9%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	5 2.9%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always					
	Most of the time	a				
	Some of the time	a	a			
	None of the time	a				a
	DK/NA	a		a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(F)	(G)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always		
	Most of the time		
	Some of the time		a
	None of the time		a
	DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
Total		446	203	22	41	19	126
Always		123 27.6%	50 24.4%	5 24.2%	8 19.0%	12 59.6%	42 33.0%
Most of the time		207 46.4%	101 49.7%	9 38.4%	22 52.9%	7 36.0%	52 41.0%
Some of the time		102 22.9%	46 22.7%	8 37.5%	12 28.1%	1 4.4%	27 21.3%
None of the time		5 1.1%	4 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%
DK/NA		9 1.9%	3 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 3.8%

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total		14	16	4
Always		4 32.5%	3 18.4%	0 0.0%
Most of the time		5 39.0%	9 53.6%	3 63.4%
Some of the time		2 18.0%	5 28.0%	2 36.6%
None of the time		0 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA		1 7.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status				
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always				A C	
	Most of the time					
	Some of the time					
	None of the time		a	a	a	
	DK/NA		a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Always			a
	Most of the time			
	Some of the time		a	a
	None of the time		a	a
	DK/NA		a	

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work						
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
Always	63	1	1	0	3	5	47
	23.5%	27.5%	56.7%	0.0%	21.1%	17.1%	22.9%
Most of the time	131	0	0	1	8	13	108
	49.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	62.1%	42.9%	52.1%
Some of the time	66	2	1	0	2	9	50
	24.8%	45.9%	43.3%	0.0%	16.8%	29.5%	24.0%
None of the time	4	1	0	0	0	2	0
	1.4%	26.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	3	0	0	0	0	1	2
	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	1.0%

	County Where Work			
	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	2	0	5	2
Always	2	0	2	1
	100.0%	100.0%	32.8%	54.9%
Most of the time	0	0	1	1
	0.0%	0.0%	16.4%	45.1%
Some of the time	0	0	2	0
	0.0%	0.0%	50.8%	0.0%
None of the time	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work						
	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Always			a,b				b
Most of the time	b	b	a,b				b
Some of the time			a,b				b
None of the time		b	a,b	b		b	b
DK/NA	b	b	a,b	b			b

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work		
	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
	(H)	(I)	(J)
Always	a,b		
Most of the time	a,b		
Some of the time	a,b	b	b
None of the time	a,b	b	b
DK/NA	a,b	b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group				
	Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
Total	446	1	26	5	19
Always	123	1	4	0	8
	27.6%	100.0%	14.6%	0.0%	41.1%
Most of the time	207	0	19	2	7
	46.4%	0.0%	72.0%	32.0%	37.0%
Some of the time	102	0	2	3	3
	22.9%	0.0%	7.1%	68.0%	15.1%
None of the time	5	0	0	0	0
	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	9	0	2	0	1
	1.9%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	6.7%

	Ethnic Group				
	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
Total	4	1	2	5	330
Always	0	0	0	4	96
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.1%	29.1%
Most of the time	4	1	1	0	146
	85.3%	100.0%	47.9%	0.0%	44.2%
Some of the time	1	0	1	1	77
	14.7%	0.0%	52.1%	14.9%	23.5%
None of the time	0	0	0	0	5
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	6
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		11	16	5	20
Always		3 29.3%	2 12.8%	2 47.0%	3 14.4%
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Most of the time	6 54.0%	6 33.8%	3 53.0%	13 67.2%
	Some of the time	2 16.6%	9 53.4%	0 0.0%	4 18.4%
None of the time		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Always		a,b		b		b
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Most of the time	a,b				
	Some of the time	a,b		B		
None of the time		a,b	b	b	b	b
DK/NA		a,b		b		b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
Always		a,b	b	B M		
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Most of the time	a,b		b		
	Some of the time	a,b				
None of the time		a,b	b	b		b
DK/NA		a,b	b	b		b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)
Always				
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Most of the time		b	
	Some of the time	B	b	
None of the time		b	b	b
DK/NA		b	b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
Total		446	203	243
Always		123 27.6%	60 29.8%	63 25.9%
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Most of the time	207 46.4%	90 44.4%	117 48.0%
	Some of the time	102 22.9%	45 22.2%	57 23.5%
None of the time		5 1.1%	2 1.1%	3 1.2%
DK/NA		9 1.9%	5 2.5%	4 1.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
Always			
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Most of the time		
	Some of the time		
None of the time			
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total		446	20	422	3
Always		123 27.6%	4 17.7%	120 28.3%	0 0.0%
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Most of the time	207 46.4%	13 64.5%	190 45.1%	3 100.0%
	Some of the time	102 22.9%	2 9.8%	100 23.7%	0 0.0%
	None of the time	5 1.1%	0 0.0%	5 1.2%	0 0.0%
		DK/NA	9 1.9%	2 8.1%	7 1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
Always				. ^a
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Most of the time			. ^a
	Some of the time			. ^a
	None of the time	. ^a		. ^a
	DK/NA	B		. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total		446	90	64	123	168
Always		123 27.6%	37 41.4%	26 40.7%	22 18.0%	38 22.3%
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Most of the time	207 46.4%	31 34.5%	21 33.4%	69 56.4%	85 50.3%
	Some of the time	102 22.9%	17 18.9%	11 16.8%	30 24.4%	44 26.4%
	None of the time	5 1.1%	2 2.7%	3 4.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
		DK/NA	9 1.9%	2 2.5%	3 4.9%	2 1.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
Always		C D	C D		
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Most of the time			A B	
	Some of the time			. ^a	. ^a
	None of the time				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total		446	52	70	51	69	204
Always		123 27.6%	18 35.5%	19 26.3%	13 25.3%	20 28.4%	54 26.5%
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Most of the time	207 46.4%	25 47.7%	27 38.6%	26 50.5%	34 48.8%	95 46.8%
	Some of the time	102 22.9%	7 14.3%	20 28.2%	11 21.8%	14 19.6%	50 24.7%
	None of the time	5 1.1%	0 0.0%	2 3.4%	1 2.4%	0 0.0%	1 0.7%
		DK/NA	9 1.9%	1 2.5%	2 3.4%	0 0.0%	2 3.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
Always						
14. When you visit downtown Los Altos how often are you able to find parking within a reasonable amount of time?	Most of the time					
	Some of the time	. ^a			. ^a	
	None of the time					
	DK/NA			. ^a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender						
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73
Always	123	28	27	12	13	10	28
	27.8%	22.9%	35.4%	21.9%	24.2%	18.8%	37.6%
Most of the time	207	51	33	26	25	32	34
	46.6%	42.0%	43.7%	47.8%	46.6%	60.8%	46.8%
Some of the time	100	36	12	16	14	11	10
	22.6%	30.1%	16.3%	28.8%	25.5%	20.4%	14.2%
None of the time	5	2	0	0	1	0	1
	1.1%	2.0%	0.6%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	1.4%
DK/NA	9	4	3	1	1	0	0
	1.9%	3.0%	3.9%	1.5%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%

	Party by Gender	
	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	2	10
Always	1	5
	58.4%	49.4%
Most of the time	0	5
	0.0%	46.4%
Some of the time	1	0
	41.6%	0.0%
None of the time	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0
	0.0%	4.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by Gender						
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Always							a
Most of the time							a,b
Some of the time							a
None of the time			b		b		a,b
DK/NA					b	b	a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by
	Male Oth
	(H)
Always	
Most of the time	b
Some of the time	.
None of the time	b
DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	446	446
Strongly support	148	148
	33.2%	33.2%
Somewhat support	126	126
	28.2%	28.2%
Somewhat oppose	61	61
	13.7%	13.7%
Strongly oppose	93	93
	20.8%	20.8%
DK/NA	18	18
	4.1%	4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
Strongly support	.
Somewhat support	.
Somewhat oppose	.
Strongly oppose	.
DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Total		446	213	231	2
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	148 33.2%	76 35.8%	71 31.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat support	126 28.2%	59 27.7%	65 28.1%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat oppose	61 13.7%	28 13.3%	33 14.2%	0 0.0%
	Strongly oppose	93 20.8%	44 20.8%	48 20.9%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	5 2.4%	13 5.8%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	Other (C)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support			. ^a
	Somewhat support			. ^a
	Somewhat oppose			. ^a
	Strongly oppose			. ^a
	DK/NA			. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total		446	59	35	81	140	124	7
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	148 33.2%	17 28.6%	12 34.5%	40 49.2%	38 27.2%	41 32.7%	1 9.4%
	Somewhat support	126 28.2%	24 39.7%	9 24.9%	16 20.3%	48 34.2%	29 23.6%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	61 13.7%	8 13.1%	7 19.6%	12 15.1%	15 11.0%	16 13.2%	3 37.6%
	Strongly oppose	93 20.8%	9 15.2%	7 18.8%	11 14.1%	33 23.6%	31 25.1%	1 20.5%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	2 3.4%	1 2.1%	1 1.4%	6 3.9%	7 5.4%	2 32.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29 (A)	30-39 (B)	40-49 (C)	50-64 (D)	65+ (E)	Not coded (F)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support			D			
	Somewhat support						. ^a
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						A C D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		446	357	80	9
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	148 33.2%	109 30.5%	35 43.8%	4 45.0%
	Somewhat support	126 28.2%	105 29.4%	19 23.1%	2 24.6%
	Somewhat oppose	61 13.7%	53 14.9%	8 9.8%	0 1.4%
	Strongly oppose	93 20.8%	73 20.5%	17 21.2%	3 29.0%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	17 4.7%	2 2.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own (A)	Rent (B)	Not sure/DK/NA (C)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support			. ^a
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total		446	197	110	12	127
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	148 33.2%	68 34.8%	40 36.0%	2 17.9%	38 29.7%
	Somewhat support	126 28.2%	57 29.0%	24 21.6%	2 19.8%	43 33.4%
	Somewhat oppose	61 13.7%	25 12.8%	17 15.3%	5 37.7%	15 11.6%
	Strongly oppose	93 20.8%	36 18.2%	27 24.2%	2 15.6%	28 22.4%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	10 5.3%	3 2.9%	1 9.1%	4 2.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total		446	75	68	32	40	140	90
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	148 33.2%	25 32.6%	21 30.3%	9 28.0%	12 30.5%	55 39.1%	27 29.6%
	Somewhat support	126 28.2%	22 28.6%	27 40.1%	7 21.0%	9 22.5%	31 21.8%	31 33.9%
	Somewhat oppose	61 13.7%	10 13.6%	7 9.8%	4 12.9%	8 21.1%	21 14.9%	11 12.0%
	Strongly oppose	93 20.8%	14 18.6%	10 15.0%	11 35.5%	9 21.7%	31 22.2%	17 19.1%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	5 6.7%	3 4.7%	1 2.6%	2 4.3%	3 1.9%	5 5.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support						
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total		446	126	51	62	50
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	148 33.2%	41 32.5%	24 47.2%	23 37.0%	10 20.4%
	Somewhat support	126 28.2%	32 25.4%	15 30.3%	21 33.4%	13 26.6%
	Somewhat oppose	61 13.7%	19 15.1%	4 8.0%	9 14.1%	7 13.1%
	Strongly oppose	93 20.8%	29 22.9%	7 14.5%	6 10.3%	18 34.8%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	5 4.1%	0 0.0%	3 5.1%	3 5.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total		36	36	46	38
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	14 37.4%	10 26.7%	17 36.0%	10 25.9%
	Somewhat support	12 32.9%	15 40.7%	14 30.5%	4 9.4%
	Somewhat oppose	5 13.1%	2 6.7%	6 12.0%	10 26.4%
	Strongly oppose	4 11.3%	9 23.7%	9 20.5%	10 27.1%
	DK/NA	2 5.3%	1 2.1%	1 1.1%	4 11.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose				C	
	DK/NA		a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA	H		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Strongly support	148	25	8	62	12	3	6	23	8
	Somewhat support	126	30.8%	37.9%	32.8%	33.2%	24.2%	38.4%	35.8%	34.0%
		26	23	2	50	14	4	6	21	5
	Somewhat oppose	28.2%	29.0%	11.1%	26.3%	37.1%	33.5%	42.2%	32.0%	20.3%
		61	14	1	29	5	1	1	5	4
Strongly oppose	13.7%	17.3%	6.7%	15.5%	14.9%	6.9%	5.3%	7.8%	18.1%	
	93	14	8	39	5	4	2	13	7	
DK/NA	20.8%	17.8%	37.2%	20.7%	13.3%	31.2%	14.1%	20.3%	27.6%	
	18	4	2	9	1	1	0	3	0	
	4.1%	5.0%	7.2%	4.7%	1.5%	4.2%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support								
	Somewhat support								
	Somewhat oppose								
	Strongly oppose							a	a
	DK/NA								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	349	97
	Strongly support	148	119	29
		33.2%	34.0%	30.2%
	Somewhat support	126	98	28
		28.2%	28.0%	28.9%
	Somewhat oppose	61	47	15
13.7%		13.4%	14.9%	
Strongly oppose	93	70	23	
	20.8%	20.1%	23.3%	
DK/NA	18	16	3	
	4.1%	4.5%	2.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	282	164
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	148 33.2%	95 33.7%	53 32.3%
	Somewhat support	126 28.2%	77 27.1%	49 30.1%
	Somewhat oppose	61 13.7%	39 13.8%	22 13.6%
	Strongly oppose	93 20.8%	57 20.3%	35 21.6%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	15 5.1%	4 2.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
Total		446	3	28	74	58	111
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	148 33.2%	1 25.9%	8 29.3%	34 45.9%	20 33.7%	38 34.6%
	Somewhat support	126 28.2%	1 40.4%	8 30.0%	21 28.8%	11 19.1%	37 33.0%
	Somewhat oppose	61 13.7%	0 3.7%	3 8.9%	10 13.9%	9 16.3%	14 12.2%
	Strongly oppose	93 20.8%	1 30.0%	9 31.7%	8 10.4%	16 27.7%	16 14.6%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.0%	2 3.2%	6 5.7%

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total		167	4
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	44 26.3%	3 76.9%
	Somewhat support	46 27.5%	1 23.1%
	Somewhat oppose	25 15.1%	0 0.0%
	Strongly oppose	43 25.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	9 5.6%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year (A)	1-3 years (B)	4 to 9 years (C)	10-15 years (D)	16-25 years (E)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	.	.			
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA	.	.			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more (F)	Not sure/ DK /NA (G)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support		.
	Somewhat support		.
	Somewhat oppose		.
	Strongly oppose		.
	DK/NA		.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Strongly support	148	77	10	12	2	35
		33.2%	37.8%	45.9%	30.4%	8.2%	27.8%
	Somewhat support	126	55	7	14	8	30
		28.2%	27.3%	30.7%	33.3%	43.6%	23.8%
	Somewhat oppose	61	25	3	6	2	18
		13.7%	12.2%	14.8%	14.7%	11.3%	14.4%
Strongly oppose	93	41	1	6	7	34	
	20.8%	20.1%	6.3%	14.5%	36.9%	26.6%	
DK/NA	18	5	1	3	0	9	
	4.1%	2.7%	2.3%	7.2%	0.0%	7.5%	

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	14	16	4
	Strongly support	7	5	0
		49.6%	32.0%	0.0%
	Somewhat support	4	4	3
		31.6%	26.4%	68.3%
	Somewhat oppose	2	4	1
		11.6%	23.7%	31.7%
Strongly oppose	1	3	0	
	7.2%	17.9%	0.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status				
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support			a
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			a
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work						
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
	Strongly support	99	2	1	1	5	14	70
		37.3%	54.1%	56.7%	100.0%	37.1%	46.4%	33.6%
	Somewhat support	76	2	0	0	1	6	65
		28.5%	45.9%	0.0%	0.0%	11.3%	19.3%	31.6%
	Somewhat oppose	34	0	0	0	1	1	32
		12.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%	3.0%	15.6%
Strongly oppose	48	0	0	0	5	8	33	
	18.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	44.0%	25.6%	16.1%	
DK/NA	9	0	1	0	0	2	6	
	3.4%	0.0%	43.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	3.0%	

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	2	0	5	2
	Strongly support	2	0	2	2
		100.0%	0.0%	42.1%	100.0%
	Somewhat support	0	0	1	0
		0.0%	0.0%	25.1%	0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	0	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Strongly oppose	0	0	2	0	
	0.0%	100.0%	32.8%	0.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support			a,b			
	Somewhat support		b	a,b			
	Somewhat oppose	b	b	a,b			
	Strongly oppose	b	b	a,b			
	DK/NA	b	F	a,b	b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	b	a,b	.	b
	Somewhat support	b	a,b	.	b
	Somewhat oppose	b	a,b	b	b
	Strongly oppose	b	a,b	.	b
	DK/NA	b	a,b	b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Strongly support	148	1	7	1	10
	Somewhat support	33.2%	100.0%	28.4%	14.2%	53.4%
	Somewhat oppose	126	0	10	2	2
	Strongly oppose	28.2%	0.0%	36.4%	45.0%	8.3%
	DK/NA	61	0	5	0	1
	13.7%	0.0%	20.8%	0.0%	4.7%	
	93	0	4	2	7	
	20.8%	0.0%	14.4%	40.8%	33.7%	
	18	0	0	0	0	
	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	4	1	2	5
	Strongly support	2	0	1	1
	Somewhat support	51.6%	0.0%	52.1%	14.9%
	Somewhat oppose	1	0	1	4
	Strongly oppose	14.7%	0.0%	47.9%	85.1%
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0
	33.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	0	1	0	0	
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	330	11	16	5	20
	Strongly support	111	2	7	2	2
	Somewhat support	33.7%	16.6%	45.0%	35.6%	10.5%
	Somewhat oppose	87	5	4	2	8
	Strongly oppose	26.3%	49.9%	27.0%	47.0%	40.7%
	DK/NA	47	1	1	1	4
	14.3%	6.9%	4.4%	17.5%	19.6%	
	70	3	2	0	4	
	21.3%	26.6%	13.1%	0.0%	19.3%	
	15	0	2	0	2	
	4.4%	0.0%	10.5%	0.0%	9.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	a,b	.	.	.
	Somewhat support	a,b	.	.	.
	Somewhat oppose	a,b	.	b	.
	Strongly oppose	a,b	.	.	.
	DK/NA	a,b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	.	a,b	.	.
	Somewhat support	.	a,b	.	D
	Somewhat oppose	.	a,b	b	b
	Strongly oppose	b	a,b	b	b
	DK/NA	b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support
	Somewhat support
	Somewhat oppose
	Strongly oppose	.	.	.	b	.
	DK/NA	.	b	.	b	.

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	203	243
	Strongly support	148 33.2%	61 30.2%	87 35.7%
	Somewhat support	126 28.2%	56 27.8%	69 28.5%
	Somewhat oppose	61 13.7%	30 14.8%	31 12.9%
	Strongly oppose	93 20.8%	47 23.2%	46 18.8%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	8 4.0%	10 4.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022 (A)	94024 (B)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	20	422	3
	Strongly support	148 33.2%	9 44.5%	139 32.9%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat support	126 28.2%	5 22.2%	118 28.0%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat oppose	61 13.7%	5 22.2%	57 13.4%	0 0.0%
	Strongly oppose	93 20.8%	2 11.1%	90 21.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	0 0.0%	18 4.3%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese (A)	English (B)	Other (C)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support			. ^a
	Somewhat support			. ^a
	Somewhat oppose			. ^a
	Strongly oppose			. ^a
	DK/NA	. ^a		. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Strongly support	148 33.2%	34 38.0%	17 26.9%	43 35.2%	53 31.4%
	Somewhat support	126 28.2%	29 32.3%	13 19.5%	39 31.6%	45 26.8%
	Somewhat oppose	61 13.7%	8 9.0%	10 14.9%	19 15.2%	25 14.8%
	Strongly oppose	93 20.8%	18 19.7%	19 29.0%	17 14.1%	39 23.2%
	DK/NA	18 4.1%	1 1.0%	6 9.7%	5 3.9%	6 3.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Strongly support	148	15	25	23	24	61
	Somewhat support	126	17	15	10	20	63
	Somewhat oppose	61	6	14	5	5	31
	Strongly oppose	93	12	14	10	17	39
	DK/NA	18	1	4	2	3	9
		4.1%	1.9%	5.3%	4.0%	3.9%	4.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73
	Strongly support	148	41	28	14	25	16	22
	Somewhat support	124	37	20	14	9	13	29
	Somewhat oppose	61	14	11	9	8	10	5
	Strongly oppose	93	22	14	15	12	11	18
	DK/NA	18	8	3	3	1	3	1
		4.1%	6.5%	3.3%	4.6%	1.2%	5.5%	1.0%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Total	2	10
	Strongly support	0	2
	Somewhat support	1	2
	Somewhat oppose	0	5
	Strongly oppose	1	1
	DK/NA	0	1
		0.0%	10.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support						
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
15. Would you support or oppose building a three story, above ground parking structure, with residential and/or retail space, on an existing parking plaza in Downtown Los Altos?	Strongly support	a,b	
	Somewhat support	a	
	Somewhat oppose	a,b	F
	Strongly oppose	a	
	DK/NA	a,b	

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
	Total	446	446
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	206 46.2%	206 46.2%
	Somewhat support	129 28.9%	129 28.9%
	Somewhat oppose	38 8.5%	38 8.5%
	Strongly oppose	48 10.7%	48 10.7%
	DK/NA	25 5.6%	25 5.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	.
	Somewhat support	.
	Somewhat oppose	.
	Strongly oppose	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
	Total	446	213	231	2
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	206 46.2%	96 44.9%	110 47.8%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat support	129 28.9%	66 30.7%	62 26.7%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat oppose	38 8.5%	17 7.9%	21 9.2%	0 0.0%
	Strongly oppose	48 10.7%	29 13.4%	19 8.3%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	25 5.6%	7 3.1%	18 8.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support			. ^a
	Somewhat support			. ^a
	Somewhat oppose			. ^a
	Strongly oppose			. ^a
	DK/NA		A	. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	206 46.2%	26 44.3%	16 46.6%	48 60.2%	57 41.0%	57 45.8%	1 9.4%
	Somewhat support	129 28.9%	20 33.8%	14 39.6%	19 24.1%	43 31.0%	31 24.8%	2 23.8%
	Somewhat oppose	38 8.5%	6 9.8%	1 2.7%	6 7.9%	13 9.1%	10 8.4%	2 25.4%
	Strongly oppose	48 10.7%	5 8.7%	3 8.9%	5 6.5%	18 12.6%	16 12.9%	1 8.9%
	DK/NA	25 5.6%	2 3.4%	1 2.1%	1 1.4%	9 6.3%	10 8.1%	2 32.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support						
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						A C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Total	446	357	80	9
	Strongly support	206	157	45	4
	Somewhat support	129	110	17	3
	Somewhat oppose	38	32	4	2
	Strongly oppose	48	35	13	0
	DK/NA	25	23	2	0
		5.6%	6.6%	2.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Strongly support	206	102	54	3	47
	Somewhat support	129	47	28	5	49
	Somewhat oppose	38	12	8	2	15
	Strongly oppose	48	22	14	0	11
	DK/NA	25	13	5	2	5
			5.6%	6.6%	4.9%	12.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	D			
	Somewhat support				A
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party							
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other	
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90	
	Strongly support	206	37	33	12	17	73	34	
	Somewhat support	129	22	19	9	14	31	34	
	Somewhat oppose	38	4	3	1	4	16	10	
	Strongly oppose	48	9	7	9	2	14	7	
	DK/NA	25	4	6	2	3	6	5	
			5.6%	5.1%	8.9%	4.8%	6.3%	4.5%	5.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support						
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Strongly support	206	54	30	34	14
	Somewhat support	129	36	15	16	17
	Somewhat oppose	38	15	4	4	4
	Strongly oppose	48	16	2	2	11
	DK/NA	25	6	0	6	4
		5.6%	4.6%	0.0%	9.7%	7.5%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Total	36	36	46	38
	Strongly support	21	15	22	16
	Somewhat support	9	12	14	10
	Somewhat oppose	3	2	3	3
	Strongly oppose	0	6	6	5
	DK/NA	3	1	1	5
		7.6%	2.4%	2.6%	12.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support		D			
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose				C	a
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA		a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Strongly support	206	35	9	84	19	5	8	34	11
	Somewhat support	129	21	6	59	12	3	4	19	7
	Somewhat oppose	38	8	3	16	2	2	1	5	1
	Strongly oppose	48	10	3	21	2	0	2	5	5
	DK/NA	25	6	2	10	1	2	1	3	0
		5.6%	7.8%	8.1%	5.3%	3.7%	17.7%	4.2%	4.1%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support								
	Somewhat support								
	Somewhat oppose								
	Strongly oppose								
	DK/NA								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Total	446	349	97
	Strongly support	206	162	44
		46.2%	46.4%	45.3%
	Somewhat support	129	99	30
		28.9%	28.5%	30.5%
	Somewhat oppose	38	29	9
	8.5%	8.2%	9.7%	
Strongly oppose	48	36	11	
	10.7%	10.4%	11.7%	
DK/NA	25	22	3	
	5.6%	6.4%	2.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Total	446	282	164
	Strongly support	206	129	77
		46.2%	45.5%	47.3%
	Somewhat support	129	83	46
		28.9%	29.3%	28.4%
	Somewhat oppose	38	20	18
	8.5%	7.2%	10.9%	
Strongly oppose	48	30	18	
	10.7%	10.5%	11.1%	
DK/NA	25	21	4	
	5.6%	7.5%	2.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Strongly support	206	1	14	40	24	58
		46.2%	25.9%	50.8%	53.6%	41.5%	52.0%
	Somewhat support	129	3	4	25	18	29
		28.9%	74.1%	12.4%	34.0%	31.2%	25.8%
	Somewhat oppose	38	0	3	3	6	7
		8.5%	0.0%	11.4%	4.5%	10.5%	6.7%
	Strongly oppose	48	0	7	4	7	8
	10.7%	0.0%	25.4%	5.9%	11.6%	7.6%	
DK/NA	25	0	0	1	3	9	
	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	5.1%	7.9%	

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total		167	4
Strongly support		66 39.6%	3 76.9%
Somewhat support		50 30.0%	1 23.1%
Somewhat oppose		18 10.8%	0 0.0%
Strongly oppose		21 12.6%	0 0.0%
DK/NA		12 7.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Strongly support						
Somewhat support		.				
Somewhat oppose		.				
Strongly oppose		.				
DK/NA		.	a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(F)	(G)
Strongly support			
Somewhat support			.
Somewhat oppose			.
Strongly oppose			.
DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
Total		446	203	22	41	19	126
Strongly support		206 46.2%	98 48.3%	15 66.5%	19 46.6%	4 21.6%	55 43.4%
Somewhat support		129 28.9%	63 31.1%	5 23.1%	14 34.4%	8 41.4%	28 21.9%
Somewhat oppose		38 8.5%	15 7.2%	2 7.4%	2 4.5%	2 10.1%	14 10.9%
Strongly oppose		48 10.7%	21 10.2%	1 3.0%	2 5.6%	5 26.9%	17 13.2%
DK/NA		25 5.6%	6 3.2%	0 0.0%	4 8.9%	0 0.0%	13 10.6%

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total		14	16	4
Strongly support		9 63.2%	6 38.7%	0 0.0%
Somewhat support		2 18.0%	6 34.7%	3 68.3%
Somewhat oppose		1 7.2%	2 12.9%	1 31.7%
Strongly oppose		0 0.0%	2 13.7%	0 0.0%
DK/NA		2 11.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status				
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Strongly support						
Somewhat support						
Somewhat oppose						
Strongly oppose						
DK/NA			a		a	A

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)
Strongly support				a
Somewhat support				.
Somewhat oppose				.
Strongly oppose		a		.
DK/NA			a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work						
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
Strongly support	132	2	1	1	5	17	99
	49.6%	54.1%	56.7%	100.0%	43.0%	56.5%	47.7%
Somewhat support	82	2	0	0	3	5	71
	30.9%	45.9%	0.0%	0.0%	20.8%	17.2%	34.4%
Somewhat oppose	18	0	0	0	0	2	15
	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	7.9%	7.1%
Strongly oppose	24	0	0	0	4	4	15
	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	34.7%	12.7%	7.3%
DK/NA	10	0	1	0	0	2	7
	3.8%	0.0%	43.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	3.6%

	County Where Work			
	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	2	0	5	2
Strongly support	2	0	2	2
	100.0%	0.0%	42.1%	100.0%
Somewhat support	0	0	1	0
	0.0%	100.0%	25.1%	0.0%
Somewhat oppose	0	0	1	0
	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%
Strongly oppose	0	0	1	0
	0.0%	0.0%	14.6%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work					
	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Strongly support			a,b			
Somewhat support			a,b			
Somewhat oppose	b	b	a,b			
Strongly oppose	b	b	a,b	F		
DK/NA	b	F	a,b	b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work			
	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
Strongly support	b	a,b		b
Somewhat support	b	a,b		b
Somewhat oppose	b	a,b		b
Strongly oppose	b	a,b		b
DK/NA	b	a,b	b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group				
	Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
Total	446	1	26	5	19
Strongly support	206	1	13	1	11
	46.2%	100.0%	49.4%	14.2%	58.2%
Somewhat support	129	0	8	2	0
	28.9%	0.0%	30.6%	45.0%	0.0%
Somewhat oppose	38	0	3	0	2
	8.5%	0.0%	10.6%	0.0%	12.6%
Strongly oppose	48	0	2	2	6
	10.7%	0.0%	6.7%	40.8%	29.2%
DK/NA	25	0	1	0	0
	5.6%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
Total	4	1	2	5
Strongly support	2	0	1	3
	51.6%	0.0%	52.1%	59.4%
Somewhat support	2	1	1	2
	48.4%	100.0%	47.9%	40.6%
Somewhat oppose	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Strongly oppose	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		330	11	16	5	20
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	154 46.7%	4 35.5%	9 52.6%	2 35.6%	5 27.3%
	Somewhat support	94 28.4%	4 38.0%	4 24.0%	3 64.4%	8 39.4%
	Somewhat oppose	29 8.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 19.6%
	Strongly oppose	32 9.7%	3 26.6%	2 13.1%	0 0.0%	1 6.4%
	DK/NA	21 6.4%	0 0.0%	2 10.3%	0 0.0%	1 7.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	a,b			b
	Somewhat support	a,b			.
	Somewhat oppose	a,b		b	.
	Strongly oppose	a,b		.	.
	DK/NA	a,b		b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support		a,b		
	Somewhat support		a,b		
	Somewhat oppose	b	a,b	b	b
	Strongly oppose	b	a,b	b	b
	DK/NA	b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose		b	b	b	
	Strongly oppose				b	
	DK/NA		b		b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
Total		446	203	243
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	206 46.2%	88 43.3%	118 48.6%
	Somewhat support	129 28.9%	59 29.2%	70 28.7%
	Somewhat oppose	38 8.5%	23 11.4%	15 6.2%
	Strongly oppose	48 10.7%	22 10.8%	26 10.6%
	DK/NA	25 5.6%	11 5.3%	14 5.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose	B	
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total		446	20	422	3
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	206 46.2%	9 44.5%	197 46.6%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat support	129 28.9%	7 34.1%	119 28.2%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat oppose	38 8.5%	4 17.4%	35 8.2%	0 0.0%
	Strongly oppose	48 10.7%	1 4.0%	47 11.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	25 5.6%	0 0.0%	25 5.9%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese (A)	English (B)	Other (C)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support			.a
	Somewhat support			.a
	Somewhat oppose			.a
	Strongly oppose			.a
	DK/NA	.a		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total		446	90	64	123	168
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	206 46.2%	46 50.8%	25 38.6%	62 50.1%	73 43.7%
	Somewhat support	129 28.9%	30 32.7%	11 17.6%	39 31.8%	49 29.2%
	Somewhat oppose	38 8.5%	5 6.1%	8 12.7%	7 5.7%	17 10.4%
	Strongly oppose	48 10.7%	8 9.3%	13 19.7%	7 5.5%	20 11.8%
	DK/NA	25 5.6%	1 1.0%	7 11.4%	8 6.9%	8 4.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose		C		
	DK/NA		A		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total		446	52	70	51	69	204
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	206 46.2%	17 33.2%	36 50.5%	30 59.5%	31 45.2%	92 45.0%
	Somewhat support	129 28.9%	21 39.8%	18 25.0%	8 15.7%	17 25.3%	65 32.1%
	Somewhat oppose	38 8.5%	4 7.2%	9 12.8%	5 9.8%	7 10.0%	14 6.7%
	Strongly oppose	48 10.7%	9 18.0%	5 6.5%	6 11.0%	7 10.9%	21 10.2%
	DK/NA	25 5.6%	1 1.9%	4 5.3%	2 4.0%	6 8.8%	12 6.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
Total		444	121	76	55	54	53	73
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	206 46.4%	65 54.1%	37 48.4%	27 49.0%	27 50.5%	17 32.4%	30 40.4%
	Somewhat support	127 28.6%	28 23.6%	19 24.6%	14 24.7%	13 24.4%	20 36.7%	28 38.8%
	Somewhat oppose	38 8.6%	6 4.8%	7 8.8%	5 9.6%	3 5.9%	9 17.3%	6 8.3%
	Strongly oppose	48 10.8%	11 9.3%	11 14.3%	4 8.1%	10 17.9%	3 6.4%	8 10.5%
	DK/NA	25 5.6%	10 8.2%	3 3.9%	5 8.6%	1 1.2%	4 7.1%	1 1.9%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total		2	10
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	1 41.6%	2 20.9%
	Somewhat support	0 0.0%	5 51.0%
	Somewhat oppose	1 58.4%	1 9.1%
	Strongly oppose	0 0.0%	0 4.2%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	2 14.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support						
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
16. Instead of a three-story structure, would you support or oppose a two-story mixed-use structure?	Strongly support	.a	
	Somewhat support	.a,b	
	Somewhat oppose	.a	
	Strongly oppose	.a,b	
	DK/NA	.a,b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
Total		446	446
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree	235 52.7%	235 52.7%
	Somewhat Agree	131 29.3%	131 29.3%
	Somewhat Disagree	12 2.7%	12 2.7%
	Strongly Disagree	7 1.6%	7 1.6%
	DK/NA	61 13.7%	61 13.7%
	Total		446
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree	189 42.3%	189 42.3%
	Somewhat Agree	143 32.0%	143 32.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	45 10.0%	45 10.0%
	Strongly Disagree	28 6.2%	28 6.2%
	DK/NA	42 9.4%	42 9.4%
	Total		446
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	305 68.4%	305 68.4%
	Somewhat Agree	102 23.0%	102 23.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	13 3.0%	13 3.0%
	Strongly Disagree	4 0.9%	4 0.9%
	DK/NA	21 4.7%	21 4.7%
	Total		446

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree	.
	Somewhat Agree	.
	Somewhat Disagree	.
	Strongly Disagree	.
	DK/NA	.
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree	.
	Somewhat Agree	.
	Somewhat Disagree	.
	DK/NA	.
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	.
	Somewhat Agree	.
	Somewhat Disagree	.
	Strongly Disagree	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	446	213	231	2
	Strongly Agree	235	109	125	0
		52.7%	51.3%	54.4%	0.0%
	Somewhat Agree	131	65	66	0
		29.3%	30.5%	28.5%	0.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	12	8	3	1
		2.7%	3.6%	1.5%	58.7%
Strongly Disagree	7	4	3	0	
	1.6%	1.8%	1.4%	0.0%	
DK/NA	61	27	33	1	
	13.7%	12.8%	14.2%	41.3%	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Total	446	213	231	2
	Strongly Agree	189	77	112	0
		42.3%	35.9%	48.6%	0.0%
	Somewhat Agree	143	70	73	0
		32.0%	32.6%	31.7%	0.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	45	29	16	0
		10.0%	13.7%	6.8%	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	28	17	9	1	
	6.2%	8.0%	4.1%	58.7%	
DK/NA	42	21	20	1	
	9.4%	9.8%	8.8%	41.3%	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Total	446	213	231	2
	Strongly Agree	305	133	172	0
		68.4%	62.3%	74.7%	0.0%
	Somewhat Agree	102	57	45	0
		23.0%	26.9%	19.5%	0.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	13	11	3	0
		3.0%	5.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	4	4	1	0	
	0.9%	1.7%	0.3%	0.0%	
DK/NA	21	9	10	2	
	4.7%	4.1%	4.3%	100.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree			a
	Somewhat Agree			a
	Somewhat Disagree			A B
	Strongly Disagree			a
	DK/NA			
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree		A	a
	Somewhat Agree			a
	Somewhat Disagree	B		a
	Strongly Disagree			A B
DK/NA				
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree		A	a
	Somewhat Agree			a
	Somewhat Disagree	B		a
	Strongly Disagree			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Strongly Agree	235	35	18	34	68	76	4
	Somewhat Agree	131	19	11	25	43	34	0
	Somewhat Disagree	12	0	1	1	7	3	1
	Strongly Disagree	7	2	1	1	2	1	0
	DK/NA	61	4	4	20	20	11	2
		13.7%	6.0%	12.6%	24.5%	14.5%	9.0%	24.5%
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Strongly Agree	189	27	17	34	50	58	2
	Somewhat Agree	143	23	12	22	49	36	1
	Somewhat Disagree	45	2	4	7	18	12	2
	Strongly Disagree	28	4	1	3	13	8	0
	DK/NA	42	3	1	14	11	10	2
		9.4%	5.6%	2.8%	17.5%	7.8%	8.4%	29.8%
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Strongly Agree	305	46	23	55	87	89	4
	Somewhat Agree	102	11	9	16	39	26	2
	Somewhat Disagree	13	0	1	1	7	4	1
	Strongly Disagree	4	0	1	0	1	2	0
	DK/NA	21	2	1	9	6	3	0
		4.7%	2.8%	2.8%	11.0%	4.2%	2.8%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree						a
	Somewhat Agree						
	Somewhat Disagree	a					
	Strongly Disagree						a
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	DK/NA			E			
	Strongly Agree						
	Somewhat Agree						
	Somewhat Disagree						a
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	DK/NA						a
	Strongly Agree						
	Somewhat Agree						
	Somewhat Disagree	a					
	Strongly Disagree	a		a			a
	DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	446	357	80	9
	Strongly Agree	235	180	51	4
		52.7%	50.3%	64.0%	44.8%
	Somewhat Agree	131	102	25	4
		29.3%	28.7%	30.7%	42.5%
	Somewhat Disagree	12	12	0	0
		2.7%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	7	7	0	0	
	1.6%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	61	55	4	1	
	13.7%	15.6%	5.4%	12.8%	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Total	446	357	80	9
	Strongly Agree	189	142	42	4
		42.3%	39.9%	52.6%	46.9%
	Somewhat Agree	143	114	26	2
		32.0%	32.0%	33.0%	22.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	45	41	4	0
		10.0%	11.4%	4.9%	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	28	24	2	2	
	6.2%	6.7%	2.5%	21.8%	
DK/NA	42	36	6	1	
	9.4%	10.0%	7.0%	9.3%	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Total	446	357	80	9
	Strongly Agree	305	236	61	8
		68.4%	66.3%	75.5%	90.7%
	Somewhat Agree	102	89	14	0
		23.0%	24.9%	16.8%	0.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	13	11	2	0
		3.0%	3.2%	2.6%	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	4	4	0	0	
	0.9%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	21	16	4	1	
	4.7%	4.5%	5.0%	9.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree			
	Somewhat Agree			
	Somewhat Disagree		a	a
	Strongly Disagree		a	a
	DK/NA	B		
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree			
	Somewhat Agree			
	Somewhat Disagree			a
	Strongly Disagree			B
DK/NA				
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree			
	Somewhat Agree			a
	Somewhat Disagree			a
	Strongly Disagree		a	a
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Strongly Agree	235	108	57	8	62
	Somewhat Agree	131	52	34	2	43
	Somewhat Disagree	12	4	6	0	3
	Strongly Disagree	7	3	2	1	1
	DK/NA	61	31	11	1	18
		52.7%	54.7%	51.9%	69.3%	48.7%
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Strongly Agree	189	95	41	5	48
	Somewhat Agree	143	63	28	1	50
	Somewhat Disagree	45	12	19	0	13
	Strongly Disagree	28	5	16	1	6
	DK/NA	42	21	6	4	10
		42.3%	48.2%	37.3%	40.0%	37.8%
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Strongly Agree	305	155	64	8	78
	Somewhat Agree	102	30	36	0	37
	Somewhat Disagree	13	4	5	1	4
	Strongly Disagree	4	0	2	1	1
	DK/NA	21	9	4	2	7
		68.4%	78.7%	58.0%	70.1%	61.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree			a	
	Somewhat Agree				
	Somewhat Disagree				
	Strongly Disagree				
	DK/NA				
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree				
	Somewhat Agree				
	Somewhat Disagree		A		
	Strongly Disagree		A		
DK/NA			A B D		
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	B D			
	Somewhat Agree		A	a	A
	Somewhat Disagree				
	Strongly Disagree	a			
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Strongly Agree	235	42	40	14	21	76	42
	Somewhat Agree	131	21	14	13	12	40	32
	Somewhat Disagree	12	1	1	1	3	6	1
	Strongly Disagree	7	1	2	0	2	1	1
	DK/NA	61	10	12	4	2	18	15
		52.7%	55.5%	58.5%	45.3%	53.5%	53.9%	46.2%
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Strongly Agree	189	38	31	11	17	58	34
	Somewhat Agree	143	23	25	8	8	45	34
	Somewhat Disagree	45	4	3	7	6	14	11
	Strongly Disagree	28	1	4	5	6	9	4
	DK/NA	42	9	6	2	3	15	7
		42.3%	50.7%	44.7%	33.7%	42.5%	41.4%	38.0%
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Strongly Agree	305	65	49	18	24	95	53
	Somewhat Agree	102	7	15	11	13	30	27
	Somewhat Disagree	13	1	1	2	0	7	2
	Strongly Disagree	4	0	0	1	0	2	2
	DK/NA	21	2	3	1	3	6	6
		68.4%	86.2%	72.3%	56.2%	60.2%	68.0%	59.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree						
	Somewhat Agree						
	Somewhat Disagree			a			
	DK/NA						
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree						
	Somewhat Agree						
	Somewhat Disagree			A	A		
	DK/NA						
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	C D F					
	Somewhat Agree			A	A		A
	Somewhat Disagree				a		
	Strongly Disagree		a		a		
DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	Registration Date			
			2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Strongly Agree	235	66	29	28	22
	Somewhat Agree	131	37	15	20	17
	Somewhat Disagree	12	2	1	2	2
	Strongly Disagree	7	4	0	0	0
	DK/NA	61	18	6	12	10
		52.7%	51.9%	57.1%	45.3%	43.9%
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Strongly Agree	189	58	22	27	19
	Somewhat Agree	143	37	19	17	17
	Somewhat Disagree	45	10	2	8	7
	Strongly Disagree	28	11	2	1	2
	DK/NA	42	11	6	8	6
		42.3%	45.5%	42.8%	43.7%	38.6%
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Strongly Agree	305	82	41	43	38
	Somewhat Agree	102	33	7	14	10
	Somewhat Disagree	13	6	1	1	1
	Strongly Disagree	4	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	21	6	2	4	2
		68.4%	64.5%	80.4%	69.9%	74.8%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total		36	36	46	38
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree	23 63.3%	19 51.7%	25 53.4%	24 62.7%
	Somewhat Agree	7 19.0%	13 37.2%	12 26.3%	10 26.7%
	Somewhat Disagree	4 10.3%	1 1.9%	1 1.4%	1 2.3%
	Strongly Disagree	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 6.9%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	3 7.4%	3 9.2%	6 11.9%	3 8.3%
	Total	36	36	46	38
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree	16 43.4%	11 29.3%	19 41.0%	18 46.6%
	Somewhat Agree	13 36.0%	18 49.8%	14 29.3%	9 23.8%
	Somewhat Disagree	3 7.2%	3 9.7%	6 11.9%	7 17.7%
	Strongly Disagree	2 5.9%	1 4.1%	5 11.7%	2 6.3%
	DK/NA	3 7.4%	3 7.1%	3 6.0%	2 5.6%
	Total	36	36	46	38
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	24 65.1%	24 65.9%	29 63.2%	25 66.4%
	Somewhat Agree	8 21.3%	8 22.1%	14 29.9%	10 26.2%
	Somewhat Disagree	1 2.3%	1 2.3%	1 1.4%	2 6.1%
	Strongly Disagree	1 2.4%	2 4.6%	2 3.7%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	3 8.9%	2 5.0%	1 1.8%	0 1.3%
	Total	36	36	46	38

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree					
	Somewhat Agree					
	Somewhat Disagree					
	Strongly Disagree		a	a	a	a
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	DK/NA					
	Strongly Agree					
	Somewhat Agree					
	Somewhat Disagree					
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Disagree					
	DK/NA					
	Strongly Agree					
	Somewhat Agree					
Somewhat Disagree						
Strongly Disagree	a	a	a	a		
DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree			
	Somewhat Agree			
	Somewhat Disagree			
	Strongly Disagree	a		a
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	DK/NA			
	Strongly Agree			
	Somewhat Agree			
	Somewhat Disagree			
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Disagree			
	DK/NA			
	Strongly Agree			
	Somewhat Agree			
Somewhat Disagree				
Strongly Disagree			a	
DK/NA				

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Strongly Agree	235	47	12	86	13	5	13	47	11
		52.7%	58.5%	54.8%	45.3%	35.9%	39.9%	88.7%	71.5%	46.7%
	Somewhat Agree	131	18	4	65	16	5	1	13	8
		29.3%	22.0%	18.8%	34.3%	43.6%	44.0%	5.2%	20.2%	35.1%
	Somewhat Disagree	12	3	0	6	0	0	0	1	2
	2.7%	4.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	7.2%	
Strongly Disagree	7	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	
	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	61	12	6	25	8	2	1	4	3	
	13.7%	15.5%	26.4%	13.3%	20.5%	16.1%	6.0%	6.7%	11.0%	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Strongly Agree	189	35	8	76	13	4	12	31	10
		42.3%	44.0%	34.6%	40.0%	35.3%	32.4%	79.8%	47.4%	40.7%
	Somewhat Agree	143	25	5	60	16	5	1	24	8
		32.0%	31.5%	20.6%	31.4%	43.1%	38.7%	9.6%	36.2%	31.7%
	Somewhat Disagree	45	8	3	19	3	2	1	6	3
	10.0%	10.0%	15.6%	9.9%	8.2%	12.8%	5.4%	9.4%	12.0%	
Strongly Disagree	28	4	3	15	0	0	1	3	2	
	6.2%	5.3%	11.3%	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	4.5%	10.1%	
DK/NA	42	7	4	21	5	2	0	2	1	
	9.4%	9.2%	17.8%	11.0%	13.3%	16.1%	0.0%	2.5%	5.5%	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Strongly Agree	305	56	17	118	22	7	13	57	15
		68.4%	70.2%	73.8%	62.1%	58.9%	54.7%	87.8%	87.4%	65.0%
	Somewhat Agree	102	19	4	48	12	5	2	7	5
		23.0%	23.4%	16.5%	25.5%	33.5%	38.7%	12.2%	11.0%	22.9%
	Somewhat Disagree	13	2	1	8	0	1	0	0	2
	3.0%	2.5%	5.9%	4.0%	0.0%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	
Strongly Disagree	4	1	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	
	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	
DK/NA	21	2	1	13	3	0	0	0	1	
	4.7%	3.0%	3.7%	7.1%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree						C D	C D	
	Somewhat Agree								
	Somewhat Disagree		a		a	a	a		
	DK/NA	a	a		a	a	a	a	a
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree								
	Somewhat Agree								
	Somewhat Disagree				a	a			
	DK/NA						a		
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree							C D	
	Somewhat Agree								
	Somewhat Disagree				a		a	a	
	Strongly Disagree		a		a	a	a		a
DK/NA							a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	349	97
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree	235 52.7%	182 52.2%	53 54.3%
	Somewhat Agree	131 29.3%	103 29.7%	27 28.1%
	Somewhat Disagree	12 2.7%	11 3.2%	1 1.1%
	Strongly Disagree	7 1.6%	2 0.5%	5 5.4%
	DK/NA	61 13.7%	50 14.4%	11 11.0%
	Total	446	349	97
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree	189 42.3%	147 42.1%	42 43.2%
	Somewhat Agree	143 32.0%	110 31.6%	32 33.4%
	Somewhat Disagree	45 10.0%	36 10.3%	9 9.0%
	Strongly Disagree	28 6.2%	16 4.5%	12 12.5%
	DK/NA	42 9.4%	40 11.5%	2 1.9%
	Total	446	349	97
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	305 68.4%	238 68.4%	67 68.7%
	Somewhat Agree	102 23.0%	80 22.8%	23 23.3%
	Somewhat Disagree	13 3.0%	10 2.9%	3 3.2%
	Strongly Disagree	4 0.9%	2 0.6%	2 2.1%
	DK/NA	21 4.7%	18 5.2%	3 2.7%
	Total	446	349	97

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree		
	Somewhat Agree		
	Somewhat Disagree		A
	Strongly Disagree		
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree		
	Somewhat Agree		
	Somewhat Disagree		A
	Strongly Disagree	B	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree		
	Somewhat Agree		
	Somewhat Disagree		
	Strongly Disagree		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	446	282	164
	Strongly Agree	235 52.7%	148 52.6%	86 52.8%
	Somewhat Agree	131 29.3%	86 30.5%	45 27.3%
	Somewhat Disagree	12 2.7%	9 3.3%	3 1.8%
	Strongly Disagree	7 1.6%	3 1.0%	4 2.6%
	DK/NA	61 13.7%	36 12.6%	25 15.5%
	Total	446	282	164
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree	189 42.3%	112 39.6%	77 47.1%
	Somewhat Agree	143 32.0%	94 33.4%	48 29.6%
	Somewhat Disagree	45 10.0%	28 10.0%	17 10.1%
	Strongly Disagree	28 6.2%	18 6.3%	10 6.1%
	DK/NA	42 9.4%	30 10.7%	12 7.2%
	Total	446	282	164
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	305 68.4%	194 68.9%	111 67.7%
	Somewhat Agree	102 23.0%	61 21.6%	41 25.4%
	Somewhat Disagree	13 3.0%	11 3.7%	3 1.8%
	Strongly Disagree	4 0.9%	4 1.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	21 4.7%	12 4.4%	9 5.2%
	Total	446	282	164

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Strongly Agree	235 52.7%	2 70.0%	19 66.0%	32 43.1%	30 51.3%	55 49.9%
	Somewhat Agree	131 29.3%	1 30.0%	3 11.9%	26 35.5%	18 31.4%	37 33.4%
	Somewhat Disagree	12 2.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 3.2%	4 4.0%
	Strongly Disagree	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	1 3.3%	1 1.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	61 13.7%	0 0.0%	5 18.7%	15 20.0%	8 14.1%	14 12.7%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree	189 42.3%	1 44.1%	19 68.2%	23 30.4%	26 45.1%	44 39.6%
	Somewhat Agree	143 32.0%	2 55.9%	5 18.1%	33 45.0%	14 23.9%	37 33.4%
	Somewhat Disagree	45 10.0%	0 0.0%	2 6.7%	3 3.9%	7 12.1%	13 12.1%
	Strongly Disagree	28 6.2%	0 0.0%	1 3.3%	4 6.0%	6 9.9%	4 3.6%
	DK/NA	42 9.4%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%	11 14.6%	5 9.0%	13 11.3%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	305 68.4%	3 100.0%	23 82.8%	44 59.0%	42 73.0%	81 72.6%
	Somewhat Agree	102 23.0%	0 0.0%	4 13.9%	21 28.0%	12 20.1%	23 20.6%
	Somewhat Disagree	13 3.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.3%	1 1.3%	1 1.9%	2 1.7%
	Strongly Disagree	4 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.3%	0 0.0%	1 0.6%
	DK/NA	21 4.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 10.3%	3 5.0%	5 4.5%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total		167	4
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree	94 56.3%	2 68.2%
	Somewhat Agree	45 26.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	6 3.5%	0 0.0%
	Strongly Disagree	5 3.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	17 10.3%	1 31.8%
	Total		167
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree	73 43.6%	2 68.2%
	Somewhat Agree	51 30.5%	0 8.7%
	Somewhat Disagree	19 11.6%	0 0.0%
	Strongly Disagree	13 7.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	11 6.8%	1 23.1%
	Total		167
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	109 65.0%	3 76.9%
	Somewhat Agree	43 25.8%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	8 5.1%	0 0.0%
	Strongly Disagree	3 1.5%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	4 2.6%	1 23.1%
	Total		167

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
Total		446	203	22	41	19	126
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree	235 52.7%	104 51.4%	8 34.2%	13 32.3%	15 76.4%	78 61.7%
	Somewhat Agree	131 29.3%	65 32.0%	4 19.6%	14 34.7%	5 23.6%	30 23.8%
	Somewhat Disagree	12 2.7%	4 1.8%	1 3.2%	3 7.2%	0 0.0%	4 3.1%
	Strongly Disagree	7 1.6%	5 2.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.8%
	DK/NA	61 13.7%	25 12.4%	10 43.0%	11 25.8%	0 0.0%	12 9.6%
	Total		446	203	22	41	19
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree	189 42.3%	87 43.0%	10 46.6%	11 27.0%	5 26.9%	58 45.6%
	Somewhat Agree	143 32.0%	68 33.3%	5 24.6%	14 33.6%	11 54.4%	34 26.6%
	Somewhat Disagree	45 10.0%	18 8.9%	2 7.4%	9 21.2%	0 0.0%	14 11.4%
	Strongly Disagree	28 6.2%	14 6.7%	1 2.3%	3 6.8%	2 10.1%	8 6.3%
	DK/NA	42 9.4%	17 8.1%	4 19.2%	5 11.3%	2 8.7%	13 10.1%
	Total		446	203	22	41	19
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	305 68.4%	129 63.8%	14 62.5%	29 70.5%	17 85.9%	93 73.6%
	Somewhat Agree	102 23.0%	54 26.5%	5 24.2%	10 24.3%	3 14.1%	24 19.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	13 3.0%	7 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 3.2%
	Strongly Disagree	4 0.9%	2 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 2.0%
	DK/NA	21 4.7%	11 5.3%	3 13.4%	2 5.2%	0 0.0%	3 2.2%
	Total		446	203	22	41	19

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total		14	16	4
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree	8 60.7%	8 49.4%	1 17.1%
	Somewhat Agree	3 19.8%	7 45.0%	3 63.4%
	Somewhat Disagree	0 0.0%	1 5.5%	0 0.0%
	Strongly Disagree	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	3 19.5%	0 0.0%	1 19.6%
	Total	14	16	4
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree	9 66.5%	8 50.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat Agree	2 18.1%	7 44.3%	2 48.8%
	Somewhat Disagree	1 8.1%	1 5.5%	0 0.0%
	Strongly Disagree	1 7.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 51.2%
	Total	14	16	4
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	11 79.1%	12 72.9%	1 17.1%
	Somewhat Agree	3 20.9%	2 14.2%	1 31.7%
	Somewhat Disagree	0 0.0%	2 12.9%	0 0.0%
	Strongly Disagree	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 51.2%
	Total	14	16	4

		County Where Work						
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
Total		266	5	2	1	12	30	207
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree	125 47.0%	5 100.0%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	6 51.7%	19 64.5%	89 42.9%
	Somewhat Agree	83 31.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 27.2%	8 26.9%	69 33.6%
	Somewhat Disagree	7 2.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 3.0%
	Strongly Disagree	5 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 7.6%	0 0.0%	4 1.9%
	DK/NA	45 17.0%	0 0.0%	1 56.7%	1 100.0%	2 13.5%	3 8.6%	39 18.6%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree	109 40.8%	1 12.3%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	7 58.7%	16 53.1%	78 37.9%
	Somewhat Agree	87 32.6%	2 45.9%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	12 39.0%	70 34.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	28 10.6%	1 15.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.5%	1 2.7%	25 12.3%
	Strongly Disagree	17 6.3%	1 26.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 7.6%	1 2.1%	13 6.3%
	DK/NA	25 9.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3 28.2%	1 3.1%	20 9.6%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	172 64.7%	5 100.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	7 58.7%	22 73.1%	130 63.0%
	Somewhat Agree	69 26.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 18.5%	7 24.2%	59 28.4%
	Somewhat Disagree	7 2.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.7%	6 2.7%
	Strongly Disagree	2 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 0.8%
	DK/NA	16 6.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3 22.8%	0 0.0%	11 5.2%
	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	2	0	5	2
	Strongly Agree	2	0	2	1
	Somewhat Agree	0	0	2	1
	Somewhat Disagree	0	0	1	0
	Strongly Disagree	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Total	2	0	5	2
	Strongly Agree	2	0	1	2
	Somewhat Agree	0	0	2	0
	Somewhat Disagree	0	0	1	0
	Strongly Disagree	0	0	1	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Total	2	0	3	1
	Strongly Agree	2	0	3	1
	Somewhat Agree	0	0	0	1
	Somewhat Disagree	0	0	1	0
	Strongly Disagree	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Strongly Agree	235	0	15	5	8
	Somewhat Agree	131	0	8	0	5
	Somewhat Disagree	12	0	0	0	1
	Strongly Disagree	7	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	61	1	3	0	6
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Strongly Agree	189	0	14	3	13
	Somewhat Agree	143	1	9	2	4
	Somewhat Disagree	45	0	0	0	1
	Strongly Disagree	28	0	1	0	0
	DK/NA	42	0	2	0	1
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Strongly Agree	305	1	19	5	13
	Somewhat Agree	102	0	6	0	4
	Somewhat Disagree	13	0	0	0	0
	Strongly Disagree	4	0	0	0	1
	DK/NA	21	0	1	0	1

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
Total		4	1	2	5
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree	2 33.7%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	3 55.5%
	Somewhat Agree	3 66.3%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Strongly Disagree	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 44.5%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	4	1	2	5
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree	2 48.4%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%	2 40.6%
	Somewhat Agree	2 51.6%	0 0.0%	1 52.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%
	Strongly Disagree	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 44.5%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	4	1	2	5
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	2 33.7%	1 100.0%	2 100.0%	3 59.4%
	Somewhat Agree	3 66.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 40.6%
	Somewhat Disagree	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Strongly Disagree	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Total	4	1	2	5

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		330	11	16	5	20
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree	179 54.2%	2 16.1%	10 60.8%	3 64.4%	6 32.0%
	Somewhat Agree	98 29.9%	5 45.3%	5 28.8%	1 15.2%	5 26.8%
	Somewhat Disagree	9 2.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 13.6%
	Strongly Disagree	3 1.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.4%	1 4.6%
	DK/NA	41 12.3%	4 38.6%	2 10.3%	0 0.0%	5 22.9%
	Total	330	11	16	5	20
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree	137 41.6%	3 29.8%	9 54.1%	1 17.5%	3 15.5%
	Somewhat Agree	102 31.0%	6 52.8%	6 33.7%	3 62.1%	6 31.3%
	Somewhat Disagree	37 11.2%	0 0.0%	1 7.5%	1 20.4%	4 21.3%
	Strongly Disagree	20 6.2%	1 7.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 18.6%
	DK/NA	33 10.1%	1 10.0%	1 4.7%	0 0.0%	3 13.4%
	Total	330	11	16	5	20
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	232 70.4%	5 44.6%	14 83.9%	4 79.6%	4 22.4%
	Somewhat Agree	71 21.6%	5 45.3%	3 16.1%	0 0.0%	8 41.2%
	Somewhat Disagree	11 3.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.4%	2 8.8%
	Strongly Disagree	3 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 4.4%
	DK/NA	13 3.9%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 23.2%
	Total	330	11	16	5	20

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	446	203	243
	Strongly Agree	235 52.7%	104 51.5%	130 53.6%
	Somewhat Agree	131 29.3%	58 28.5%	73 30.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	12 2.7%	5 2.6%	7 2.9%
	Strongly Disagree	7 1.6%	4 2.0%	3 1.2%
	DK/NA	61 13.7%	31 15.4%	30 12.2%
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Total	446	203	243
	Strongly Agree	189 42.3%	83 40.7%	106 43.7%
	Somewhat Agree	143 32.0%	60 29.5%	83 34.1%
	Somewhat Disagree	45 10.0%	23 11.1%	22 9.1%
	Strongly Disagree	28 6.2%	17 8.1%	11 4.6%
	DK/NA	42 9.4%	21 10.5%	21 8.5%
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Total	446	203	243
	Strongly Agree	305 68.4%	138 68.1%	167 68.7%
	Somewhat Agree	102 23.0%	50 24.8%	52 21.4%
	Somewhat Disagree	13 3.0%	3 1.5%	10 4.2%
	Strongly Disagree	4 0.9%	2 0.8%	3 1.0%
	DK/NA	21 4.7%	10 4.8%	11 4.6%

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	446	20	422	3
	Strongly Agree	235 52.7%	10 48.9%	225 53.2%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat Agree	131 29.3%	7 32.7%	121 28.6%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	12 2.7%	0 0.0%	12 2.9%	0 0.0%
	Strongly Disagree	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	7 1.7%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	61 13.7%	4 18.4%	57 13.5%	0 0.0%
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Total	446	20	422	3
	Strongly Agree	189 42.3%	13 61.1%	176 41.7%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat Agree	143 32.0%	6 29.6%	134 31.6%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	45 10.0%	0 0.0%	45 10.6%	0 0.0%
	Strongly Disagree	28 6.2%	1 4.0%	27 6.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	42 9.4%	1 5.3%	41 9.7%	0 0.0%
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Total	446	20	422	3
	Strongly Agree	305 68.4%	13 62.3%	292 69.2%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat Agree	102 23.0%	5 26.0%	94 22.2%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	13 3.0%	0 0.0%	13 3.2%	0 0.0%
	Strongly Disagree	4 0.9%	0 0.0%	4 1.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	21 4.7%	2 11.7%	18 4.4%	0 0.0%

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Strongly Agree	235 52.7%	60 66.6%	42 65.8%	55 45.0%	77 45.8%
	Somewhat Agree	131 29.3%	21 23.6%	13 20.2%	33 26.7%	64 37.9%
	Somewhat Disagree	12 2.7%	2 2.0%	3 3.9%	2 1.3%	6 3.7%
	Strongly Disagree	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	2 3.5%	2 1.6%	3 1.8%
	DK/NA	61 13.7%	7 7.8%	4 6.6%	31 25.5%	18 10.9%
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Strongly Agree	189 42.3%	43 47.1%	31 47.9%	50 40.7%	65 38.8%
	Somewhat Agree	143 32.0%	32 35.3%	12 19.2%	37 30.1%	61 36.5%
	Somewhat Disagree	45 10.0%	8 9.2%	9 13.7%	9 7.1%	19 11.3%
	Strongly Disagree	28 6.2%	4 4.0%	9 13.3%	6 4.8%	10 5.7%
	DK/NA	42 9.4%	4 4.4%	4 5.9%	21 17.3%	13 7.7%
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Strongly Agree	305 68.4%	75 82.8%	49 75.7%	75 60.8%	107 63.5%
	Somewhat Agree	102 23.0%	13 13.9%	10 16.1%	36 29.0%	44 26.0%
	Somewhat Disagree	13 3.0%	1 0.7%	2 2.9%	4 3.5%	7 3.9%
	Strongly Disagree	4 0.9%	1 1.1%	2 2.6%	0 0.0%	2 0.9%
	DK/NA	21 4.7%	1 1.4%	2 2.7%	8 6.7%	10 5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree	C D	C D		
	Somewhat Agree				
	Somewhat Disagree	a			
	DK/NA			A B D	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree				
	Somewhat Agree				
	Somewhat Disagree				
	DK/NA			A	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree	C D			
	Somewhat Agree				
	Somewhat Disagree				
	Strongly Disagree			a	
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Strongly Agree	235	34	33	24	36	109
		52.7%	66.1%	46.2%	46.6%	52.0%	53.3%
	Somewhat Agree	131	13	25	11	17	64
		29.3%	25.8%	35.7%	22.1%	24.2%	31.6%
	Somewhat Disagree	12	0	1	2	2	8
	2.7%	0.0%	0.9%	3.8%	2.8%	3.7%	
Strongly Disagree	7	1	2	0	0	4	
	1.6%	1.8%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	
DK/NA	61	3	10	14	14	19	
	13.7%	6.3%	14.3%	27.5%	21.0%	9.4%	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Strongly Agree	189	28	25	26	32	78
		42.3%	54.0%	36.2%	50.1%	45.8%	38.4%
	Somewhat Agree	143	16	26	10	19	71
		32.0%	31.2%	37.3%	18.7%	28.1%	35.1%
	Somewhat Disagree	45	3	5	9	6	22
	10.0%	5.6%	6.7%	17.0%	8.9%	10.9%	
Strongly Disagree	28	1	8	1	4	14	
	6.2%	1.8%	10.9%	2.4%	5.8%	6.8%	
DK/NA	42	4	6	6	8	18	
	9.4%	7.4%	9.0%	11.7%	11.4%	8.8%	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Strongly Agree	305	34	52	35	52	133
		68.4%	65.4%	73.8%	69.4%	74.6%	65.0%
	Somewhat Agree	102	15	12	9	12	53
		23.0%	29.6%	17.7%	18.4%	18.1%	25.9%
	Somewhat Disagree	13	1	1	1	1	10
	3.0%	1.8%	1.2%	1.6%	1.6%	4.8%	
Strongly Disagree	4	0	1	0	1	3	
	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.3%	1.3%	
DK/NA	21	2	4	5	3	6	
	4.7%	3.2%	6.4%	10.6%	4.5%	3.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree					
	Somewhat Agree					
	Somewhat Disagree	a				
	Strongly Disagree			a	a	
	DK/NA			A E		
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree					
	Somewhat Agree					
	Somewhat Disagree					
	Strongly Disagree					
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Agree					
	Somewhat Agree					
	Somewhat Disagree					
	Strongly Disagree	a		a		
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable		Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Strongly Agree	235 52.9%	62 51.3%	46 60.0%	36 65.2%	21 39.4%	26 48.9%	
	Somewhat Agree	131 29.5%	31 25.8%	20 26.9%	12 22.1%	22 40.9%	22 42.0%	
	Somewhat Disagree	11 2.5%	2 1.4%	2 2.4%	2 3.0%	3 5.8%	0 0.0%	
	Strongly Disagree	7 1.6%	2 1.7%	1 1.3%	1 2.2%	1 1.7%	0 0.0%	
	DK/NA	60 13.5%	24 19.7%	7 9.4%	4 7.4%	7 12.1%	5 9.1%	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos		Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Strongly Agree	189 42.5%	61 50.5%	34 44.7%	25 45.4%	16 29.8%	25 46.1%	
	Somewhat Agree	143 32.2%	38 31.2%	26 33.8%	13 24.1%	15 27.5%	22 41.7%	
	Somewhat Disagree	45 10.1%	3 2.3%	9 12.1%	10 17.6%	10 18.0%	3 6.0%	
	Strongly Disagree	27 6.0%	3 2.5%	2 2.7%	4 8.1%	10 18.3%	2 3.7%	
	DK/NA	41 9.3%	16 13.5%	5 6.7%	3 4.9%	3 6.4%	1 2.5%	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay		Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Strongly Agree	305 68.7%	97 79.9%	58 76.6%	38 68.9%	26 48.1%	36 68.0%	
	Somewhat Agree	102 23.1%	16 13.3%	14 17.9%	15 28.2%	20 37.5%	14 25.5%	
	Somewhat Disagree	13 3.0%	0 0.4%	3 4.2%	0 0.0%	5 8.9%	2 4.0%	
	Strongly Disagree	4 1.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.2%	2 2.9%	0 0.0%	
	DK/NA	19 4.2%	8 6.4%	1 1.3%	1 1.8%	1 2.6%	1 2.5%	

		Party by Gender			
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth	
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable		Total	73	2	10
	Strongly Agree	36 49.0%	2 100.0%	7 64.1%	
	Somewhat Agree	21 28.7%	0 0.0%	2 16.2%	
	Somewhat Disagree	3 3.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
	Strongly Disagree	1 1.4%	0 0.0%	1 9.1%	
	DK/NA	13 17.2%	0 0.0%	1 10.6%	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos		Total	73	2	10
	Strongly Agree	24 32.2%	2 100.0%	3 30.0%	
	Somewhat Agree	28 37.9%	0 0.0%	1 13.8%	
	Somewhat Disagree	10 13.4%	0 0.0%	0 4.2%	
	Strongly Disagree	4 5.8%	0 0.0%	1 9.1%	
	DK/NA	8 10.7%	0 0.0%	4 42.9%	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay		Total	73	2	10
	Strongly Agree	42 57.5%	2 100.0%	7 65.1%	
	Somewhat Agree	23 32.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
	Somewhat Disagree	2 2.6%	0 0.0%	1 9.1%	
	Strongly Disagree	1 1.4%	0 0.0%	1 9.6%	
	DK/NA	5 6.4%	0 0.0%	2 16.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree						
	Somewhat Agree						
	Somewhat Disagree					b	
	DK/NA					b	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	Strongly Agree						
	Somewhat Agree						
	Somewhat Disagree			A	A		A
	Strongly Disagree				A B		
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	DK/NA						
	Strongly Agree	D F	D				
	Somewhat Agree				A		A
	Somewhat Disagree			b	A		
	Strongly Disagree	b	b			b	
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	Strongly Agree	a,b	
	Somewhat Agree	a,b	
	Somewhat Disagree	a,b	b
	Strongly Disagree	a,b	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	DK/NA	a,b	
	Strongly Agree	a,b	
	Somewhat Agree	a,b	
	Somewhat Disagree	a,b	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	Strongly Disagree	a,b	
	DK/NA	a,b	B C D E
	Strongly Agree	a,b	
	Somewhat Agree	a,b	b
	Somewhat Disagree	a,b	
	Strongly Disagree	a,b	
	DK/NA	a,b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
18A. Groceries	Total	446	446
	Los Altos	341	341
	Other Communities	76.5%	76.5%
	Online	94	94
	OTHER	21.1%	21.1%
	DK/NA	8	8
		1.9%	1.9%
18B. Clothing	OTHER	1	1
	DK/NA	0.2%	0.2%
		2	2
		0.4%	0.4%
	Total	446	446
	Los Altos	66	66
	Other Communities	14.7%	14.7%
Online	250	250	
OTHER	56.1%	56.1%	
DK/NA	113	113	
	25.4%	25.4%	
	12	12	
	2.8%	2.8%	
	4	4	
	1.0%	1.0%	
18C. Electronics	Total	446	446
	Los Altos	26	26
	Other Communities	5.9%	5.9%
	Online	166	166
	OTHER	37.2%	37.2%
	DK/NA	225	225
		50.5%	50.5%
	17	17	
	3.9%	3.9%	
	11	11	
	2.5%	2.5%	
Total	446	446	

		Total	
		Total	Total
18D. Furniture	Los Altos	29 6.4%	29 6.4%
	Other Communities	306 68.6%	306 68.6%
	Online	41 9.2%	41 9.2%
	OTHER	29 6.5%	29 6.5%
	DK/NA	41 9.2%	41 9.2%
	Total	446	446
18E. Autos	Los Altos	37 8.3%	37 8.3%
	Other Communities	319 71.5%	319 71.5%
	Online	25 5.6%	25 5.6%
	OTHER	31 7.0%	31 7.0%
	DK/NA	34 7.5%	34 7.5%
	Total	446	446
18F. Housewares	Los Altos	97 21.8%	97 21.8%
	Other Communities	202 45.3%	202 45.3%
	Online	121 27.0%	121 27.0%
	OTHER	15 3.4%	15 3.4%
	DK/NA	11 2.4%	11 2.4%
	Total	446	446
18G. Children's toys	Los Altos	118 26.4%	118 26.4%
	Other Communities	96 21.5%	96 21.5%
	Online	137 30.7%	137 30.7%
	OTHER	20 4.4%	20 4.4%
	DK/NA	76 16.9%	76 16.9%
	Total	446	446

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
18A. Groceries	Los Altos	.
	Other Communities	.
	Online	.
	OTHER	.
18B. Clothing	DK/NA	.
	Los Altos	.
	Other Communities	.
	Online	.
18C. Electronics	OTHER	.
	DK/NA	.
	Los Altos	.
	Other Communities	.
18D. Furniture	Online	.
	OTHER	.
	DK/NA	.
	Los Altos	.
18E. Autos	Other Communities	.
	Online	.
	OTHER	.
	DK/NA	.
18F. Housewares	Los Altos	.
	Other Communities	.
	Online	.
	OTHER	.
18G. Children's toys	DK/NA	.
	Online	.
	OTHER	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^a

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
18A. Groceries	Total	446	213	231	2
	Los Altos	341	165	175	1
		76.5%	77.2%	76.0%	41.3%
	Other Communities	94	43	49	1
		21.1%	20.4%	21.4%	58.7%
	Online	8	3	5	0
		1.9%	1.5%	2.3%	0.0%
OTHER	1	1	0	0	
	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	1	1	0	
	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%	
18B. Clothing	Total	446	213	231	2
	Los Altos	66	22	43	0
		14.7%	10.4%	18.8%	0.0%
	Other Communities	250	127	121	2
		56.1%	59.7%	52.4%	100.0%
	Online	113	54	60	0
		25.4%	25.1%	25.9%	0.0%
OTHER	12	7	5	0	
	2.8%	3.4%	2.3%	0.0%	
DK/NA	4	3	1	0	
	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%	0.0%	
18C. Electronics	Total	446	213	231	2
	Los Altos	26	12	15	0
		5.9%	5.5%	6.3%	0.0%
	Other Communities	166	70	94	1
		37.2%	32.9%	41.0%	58.7%
	Online	225	122	102	1
		50.5%	57.2%	44.4%	41.3%
OTHER	17	6	11	0	
	3.9%	3.0%	4.7%	0.0%	
DK/NA	11	3	8	0	
	2.5%	1.4%	3.6%	0.0%	
18D. Furniture	Total	446	213	231	2
	Los Altos	29	15	14	0
		6.4%	6.9%	6.0%	0.0%
	Other Communities	306	154	151	1
		68.6%	72.1%	65.6%	41.3%
	Online	41	18	23	0
		9.2%	8.6%	9.9%	0.0%
OTHER	29	10	18	1	
	6.5%	4.5%	7.9%	58.7%	
DK/NA	41	17	24	0	
	9.2%	7.8%	10.6%	0.0%	
18E. Autos	Total	446	213	231	2
	Los Altos	37	22	15	0
		8.3%	10.2%	6.6%	0.0%
	Other Communities	319	159	158	2
		71.5%	74.6%	68.4%	100.0%
	Online	25	17	8	0
	5.6%	8.2%	3.3%	0.0%	
OTHER	31	7	25	0	

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
OTHER		7.0%	3.1%	10.7%	0.0%
DK/NA		34	8	25	0
		7.5%	3.9%	10.9%	0.0%
18F. Housewares	Total	446	213	231	2
	Los Altos	97	41	56	0
		21.8%	19.3%	24.3%	0.0%
	Other Communities	202	101	100	1
		45.3%	47.5%	43.4%	41.3%
	Online	121	58	61	1
		27.0%	27.1%	26.7%	58.7%
OTHER	15	5	10	0	
	3.4%	2.2%	4.5%	0.0%	
DK/NA	11	8	3	0	
	2.4%	3.8%	1.2%	0.0%	
18G. Children's toys	Total	446	213	231	2
	Los Altos	118	46	71	1
		26.4%	21.4%	30.8%	58.7%
	Other Communities	96	47	49	0
		21.5%	22.2%	21.1%	0.0%
	Online	137	69	68	0
		30.7%	32.2%	29.5%	0.0%
OTHER	20	9	11	0	
	4.4%	4.0%	4.8%	0.0%	
DK/NA	76	43	32	1	
	16.9%	20.2%	13.7%	41.3%	

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
OTHER	7.0%	2.9%	6.2%	9.1%	6.5%	7.7%	20.5%
DK/NA	34	7	0	6	10	12	0
	7.5%	11.2%	0.0%	7.1%	6.9%	9.3%	0.0%
18F. Housewares							
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
Los Altos	97	16	6	7	23	42	3
Other Communities	202	22	9	45	72	50	4
Online	45.3%	37.5%	27.2%	55.9%	51.3%	39.9%	58.8%
OTHER	121	17	15	26	39	23	0
DK/NA	27.0%	29.0%	44.5%	32.1%	27.7%	18.7%	0.0%
	15	2	4	0	4	5	0
	3.4%	2.9%	11.3%	0.0%	3.2%	4.1%	0.0%
	11	2	0	2	2	5	0
	2.4%	2.9%	0.0%	3.1%	1.2%	3.9%	0.0%
18G. Children's toys							
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
Los Altos	118	14	7	25	34	36	2
Other Communities	96	12	6	16	35	25	2
Online	21.5%	20.5%	17.9%	20.2%	24.8%	19.9%	25.4%
OTHER	137	23	17	35	39	22	1
DK/NA	30.7%	38.5%	49.5%	43.8%	27.7%	17.7%	11.6%
	20	4	1	0	7	8	0
	4.4%	6.1%	2.6%	0.0%	5.4%	6.2%	0.0%
	76	7	4	4	25	34	3
	16.9%	11.7%	10.1%	4.5%	17.6%	27.2%	41.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18A. Groceries						
Los Altos						
Other Communities				a	a	a
Online	a	a	a	a		a
OTHER						a
DK/NA						a
18B. Clothing						
Los Altos						B
Other Communities						
Online	E	C D E				a
OTHER			a			a
DK/NA	a	a				a
18C. Electronics						
Los Altos						
Other Communities					C	
Online			E F			a
OTHER						
DK/NA	a	a				D
18D. Furniture						
Los Altos	C D	a				C
Other Communities			A			
Online		E				a
OTHER						a
DK/NA			a			a
18E. Autos						
Los Altos						
Other Communities					C D	
Online						a
OTHER						a
DK/NA		a				a
18F. Housewares						
Los Altos						
Other Communities						
Online		E				a
OTHER			a			a
DK/NA		a				a
18G. Children's toys						
Los Altos						
Other Communities						
Online	E	E	E			a
OTHER			a			a
DK/NA					C	C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
18A. Groceries	Total	446	357	80	9
	Los Altos	341	270	64	7
		76.5%	75.7%	79.9%	74.7%
	Other Communities	94	80	14	0
		21.1%	22.4%	17.3%	0.0%
	Online	8	4	2	2
		1.9%	1.2%	2.8%	21.8%
OTHER	1	1	0	0	
	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	2	0	0	
	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	3.5%	
18B. Clothing	Total	446	357	80	9
	Los Altos	66	58	7	0
		14.7%	16.2%	9.1%	5.1%
	Other Communities	250	202	44	4
		56.1%	56.7%	54.5%	47.6%
	Online	113	82	27	4
		25.4%	22.9%	34.2%	43.9%
OTHER	12	11	2	0	
	2.8%	3.0%	2.2%	0.0%	
DK/NA	4	4	0	0	
	1.0%	1.1%	0.0%	3.5%	
18C. Electronics	Total	446	357	80	9
	Los Altos	26	21	5	0
		5.9%	5.9%	6.8%	0.0%
	Other Communities	166	138	23	5
		37.2%	38.6%	28.7%	57.4%
	Online	225	181	40	3
		50.5%	50.8%	50.3%	39.1%
OTHER	17	12	5	0	
	3.9%	3.3%	6.8%	0.0%	
DK/NA	11	5	6	0	
	2.5%	1.4%	7.4%	3.5%	
18D. Furniture	Total	446	357	80	9
	Los Altos	29	20	8	0
		6.4%	5.7%	10.3%	0.0%
	Other Communities	306	247	54	5
		68.6%	69.2%	67.3%	56.2%
	Online	41	35	4	2
		9.2%	9.8%	5.3%	21.4%
OTHER	29	23	5	0	
	6.5%	6.6%	6.8%	1.4%	
DK/NA	41	31	8	2	
	9.2%	8.7%	10.2%	20.9%	
18E. Autos	Total	446	357	80	9
	Los Altos	37	23	13	1
		8.3%	6.5%	15.9%	11.6%
	Other Communities	319	273	41	4
		71.5%	76.6%	51.4%	48.2%
Online	25	22	2	1	
	5.6%	6.0%	2.9%	13.5%	

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
OTHER		31	23	8	0
		7.0%	6.6%	9.9%	0.0%
DK/NA		34	15	16	2
		7.5%	4.3%	19.8%	26.7%
18F. Housewares	Total	446	357	80	9
	Los Altos	97	77	16	4
		21.8%	21.7%	20.3%	40.3%
	Other Communities	202	163	35	4
		45.3%	45.8%	43.9%	40.3%
	Online	121	98	22	1
		27.0%	27.4%	27.0%	13.8%
OTHER	15	10	6	0	
	3.4%	2.7%	6.9%	0.0%	
DK/NA	11	9	2	1	
	2.4%	2.4%	2.0%	5.6%	
18G. Children's toys	Total	446	357	80	9
	Los Altos	118	101	16	1
		26.4%	28.2%	20.5%	9.5%
	Other Communities	96	71	23	1
		21.5%	20.0%	29.1%	13.5%
	Online	137	107	28	2
		30.7%	30.1%	34.4%	21.4%
OTHER	20	18	2	0	
	4.4%	4.9%	2.6%	0.0%	
DK/NA	76	60	11	5	
	16.9%	16.8%	13.4%	55.6%	

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
OTHER	7.0%	8.3%	5.7%	0.0%	7.0%
DK/NA	34	21	5	0	7
	7.5%	10.8%	4.2%	3.6%	5.7%
Total	446	197	110	12	127
18F. Housewares					
Los Altos	97	44	32	3	18
Other Communities	202	94	43	5	59
Online	121	44	30	4	43
OTHER	15	11	2	0	3
DK/NA	11	4	2	0	4
Total	446	197	110	12	127
18G. Children's toys					
Los Altos	118	62	25	6	25
Other Communities	96	39	27	1	29
Online	137	55	31	3	48
OTHER	20	6	4	0	10
DK/NA	76	36	22	2	15
Total	446	197	110	12	127

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18A. Groceries	Los Altos				
	Other Communities				
	Online	a	a	A B D	
18B. Clothing	OTHER				
	DK/NA				a
	Los Altos	D	D		
18C. Electronics	Other Communities				
	Online				A
	OTHER				
18D. Furniture	DK/NA				
	Los Altos				
	Other Communities				B D
18E. Autos	Online				
	OTHER				
	DK/NA				
18F. Housewares	Los Altos		D		
	Other Communities				
	Online				
18G. Children's toys	OTHER				
	DK/NA				
	Los Altos				
18G. Children's toys	Other Communities				
	Online				
	OTHER				
18G. Children's toys	DK/NA				
	Los Altos				
	Other Communities				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
18A. Groceries	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Los Altos	341	59	53	25	31	104	69
	Other Communities	76.5%	78.3%	77.5%	77.4%	77.8%	74.3%	76.4%
	Online	94	16	12	6	8	34	19
	OTHER	21.1%	20.7%	17.7%	18.8%	19.8%	24.1%	20.6%
	DK/NA	8	0	3	1	0	2	2
		1.9%	0.0%	4.8%	3.8%	0.0%	1.4%	2.2%
18B. Clothing	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Los Altos	66	19	9	5	8	20	5
	Other Communities	14.7%	24.7%	12.5%	16.9%	20.7%	14.5%	5.1%
	Online	250	31	41	21	21	77	58
	OTHER	56.1%	41.8%	59.9%	66.4%	53.1%	55.0%	64.6%
	DK/NA	113	20	15	5	9	38	26
		25.4%	26.8%	22.6%	16.7%	21.6%	27.1%	28.4%
18C. Electronics	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Los Altos	26	4	2	2	3	12	3
	Other Communities	5.9%	4.9%	3.2%	6.9%	7.9%	8.5%	3.7%
	Online	166	26	25	15	18	51	30
	OTHER	37.2%	34.6%	37.0%	47.7%	44.3%	36.6%	33.6%
	DK/NA	225	36	35	15	17	71	52
		50.5%	48.0%	50.8%	45.4%	41.5%	50.9%	57.5%
18D. Furniture	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Los Altos	29	4	3	2	0	13	6
	Other Communities	6.4%	4.7%	4.7%	6.9%	0.0%	9.6%	6.9%
	Online	306	49	43	25	25	96	68
	OTHER	68.6%	65.1%	62.9%	78.4%	62.5%	68.2%	75.8%
	DK/NA	41	7	6	1	6	15	6
		9.2%	9.5%	8.2%	3.8%	15.4%	10.9%	6.5%
18E. Autos	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Los Altos	37	2	1	3	3	17	11
	Other Communities	8.3%	2.8%	1.7%	9.1%	6.7%	12.5%	11.9%
	Online	319	54	51	21	32	98	62
	OTHER	71.5%	71.6%	74.3%	67.2%	80.7%	70.0%	69.1%
	DK/NA	25	1	3	2	2	13	5
		5.6%	1.3%	3.8%	5.8%	4.3%	9.0%	5.8%
OTHER	31	10	4	4	3	5	6	

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
OTHER	Total	7.0%	13.3%	6.2%	11.6%	6.3%	3.2%	7.1%
	DK/NA	34	8	10	2	1	7	5
18F. Housewares	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Los Altos	97	21	13	8	14	29	12
	Other Communities	21.8%	27.7%	19.1%	24.7%	36.0%	20.6%	13.6%
	Online	202	28	38	16	16	59	46
	OTHER	45.3%	37.8%	55.2%	48.7%	39.9%	41.8%	50.8%
	DK/NA	121	16	13	8	9	47	28
		27.0%	21.2%	18.5%	24.5%	22.1%	33.7%	31.1%
18G. Children's toys	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Los Altos	118	25	22	5	13	35	18
	Other Communities	26.4%	32.7%	32.5%	15.2%	32.4%	25.0%	20.1%
	Online	96	11	13	9	11	35	18
	OTHER	21.5%	14.4%	19.0%	27.0%	26.7%	25.2%	19.5%
	DK/NA	137	21	20	10	4	43	38
		30.7%	28.2%	29.9%	32.4%	9.6%	30.7%	42.1%
OTHER	20	2	3	2	2	4	7	
18H. Home Improvement	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Los Altos	76	16	9	7	11	23	10
	Other Communities	16.9%	21.9%	13.6%	20.7%	26.7%	16.2%	10.9%
	Online	20	2	3	2	2	4	7
	OTHER	4.4%	2.9%	5.0%	4.7%	4.6%	2.9%	7.4%
	DK/NA	11	2	3	1	1	3	2
		2.4%	2.1%	3.8%	2.1%	2.0%	2.0%	2.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18A. Groceries	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online	a		a	a		
	OTHER	a	a	a	a	a	
18B. Clothing	Los Altos	F					
	Other Communities						
	Online			a			
	OTHER			a	a		a
18C. Electronics	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online			a			
	OTHER			a	a		a
18D. Furniture	Los Altos				a		
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18E. Autos	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18F. Housewares	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online	E		a	a		
	OTHER						
18G. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						D
	OTHER						
18H. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18I. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18J. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18K. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18L. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18M. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18N. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18O. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18P. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18Q. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18R. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18S. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18T. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18U. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18V. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18W. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18X. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18Y. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						
18Z. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online						
	OTHER						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
18A. Groceries	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
	Los Altos	341	95	34	47	43	26
	Other Communities	76.5%	75.1%	67.4%	75.7%	84.6%	72.4%
	Online	94	28	15	10	8	9
	OTHER	21.1%	21.8%	30.2%	16.6%	15.4%	25.0%
	DK/NA	8	4	1	3	0	0
	OTHER	1.9%	3.1%	2.4%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
18B. Clothing	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
	Los Altos	66	9	3	6	9	5
	Other Communities	14.7%	7.4%	6.2%	9.7%	18.0%	12.9%
	Online	250	72	30	36	26	25
	OTHER	56.1%	56.7%	58.8%	58.2%	51.3%	68.0%
	DK/NA	113	40	18	15	13	7
	OTHER	25.4%	32.0%	35.0%	24.6%	24.9%	19.1%
18C. Electronics	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
	Los Altos	26	11	2	2	1	0
	Other Communities	5.9%	8.3%	3.9%	3.2%	1.8%	0.0%
	Online	166	47	13	22	14	18
	OTHER	37.2%	37.0%	24.5%	36.4%	28.7%	49.8%
	DK/NA	225	60	36	32	31	16
	OTHER	50.5%	47.5%	69.6%	51.3%	61.5%	44.4%
18D. Furniture	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
	Los Altos	17	8	1	2	3	0
	Other Communities	3.9%	6.6%	2.0%	2.9%	6.2%	0.0%
	Online	11	1	0	4	1	2
	OTHER	2.5%	0.5%	0.0%	6.2%	1.8%	5.7%
	DK/NA	41	13	9	4	5	5
	OTHER	9.2%	10.6%	17.4%	6.0%	10.0%	14.1%
18E. Autos	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
	Los Altos	29	10	0	7	2	0
	Other Communities	6.4%	8.3%	0.0%	11.0%	3.8%	0.0%
	Online	306	82	42	42	36	24
	OTHER	68.6%	65.1%	82.6%	67.2%	72.1%	67.3%
	DK/NA	41	11	0	7	1	6
	OTHER	9.2%	8.4%	0.0%	11.5%	1.8%	15.3%
18F. Housewares	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
	Los Altos	37	20	0	5	1	2
	Other Communities	8.3%	15.5%	0.0%	8.8%	2.7%	5.7%
	Online	319	79	41	45	37	27
	OTHER	71.5%	62.5%	80.3%	73.2%	73.7%	73.7%
	DK/NA	25	9	5	3	1	3
	OTHER	5.6%	7.1%	10.4%	5.4%	1.5%	7.9%
18G. Children's toys	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
	Los Altos	31	9	1	2	8	0
	Other Communities	6.9%	7.1%	2.0%	3.2%	16.0%	0.0%
	Online	415	117	50	60	42	36
	OTHER	92.1%	92.9%	98.0%	96.8%	84.0%	100.0%
	DK/NA	9	9	0	0	0	0
	OTHER	2.0%	2.2%	2.0%	3.2%	16.0%	0.0%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
18A. Groceries	Total	36	46	38
	Los Altos	30 84.4%	36 78.8%	29 77.1%
	Other Communities	6 15.6%	10 21.2%	8 22.1%
	Online	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	OTHER	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.8%
	OTHER			
18B. Clothing	Total	36	46	38
	Los Altos	9 25.1%	13 27.5%	12 30.7%
	Other Communities	21 59.0%	22 48.4%	19 48.6%
	Online	6 15.9%	9 20.3%	5 13.7%
	OTHER	0 0.0%	2 3.8%	2 6.2%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.8%
	OTHER			
18C. Electronics	Total	36	46	38
	Los Altos	2 6.5%	2 4.1%	7 17.9%
	Other Communities	13 37.0%	21 44.6%	18 46.3%
	Online	19 54.3%	21 46.2%	10 26.2%
	OTHER	0 0.0%	2 3.5%	1 3.6%
	DK/NA	1 2.2%	1 1.6%	2 6.1%
	OTHER			
18D. Furniture	Total	36	46	38
	Los Altos	2 4.6%	4 9.4%	3 9.0%
	Other Communities	28 77.9%	29 63.0%	22 58.7%
	Online	1 2.6%	2 3.5%	2 6.5%
	OTHER	2 6.3%	3 5.4%	5 12.1%
	DK/NA	3 8.6%	9 18.7%	5 13.8%
	OTHER			
18E. Autos	Total	36	46	38
	Los Altos	2 5.4%	3 6.4%	4 9.5%
	Other Communities	29 81.6%	34 73.9%	26 69.4%
	Online	1 4.1%	1 1.6%	2 4.3%
	OTHER	1 2.8%	7 15.2%	4 10.5%
	DK/NA			
	OTHER			

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
OTHER		7.0%	6.9%	2.0%	3.6%	16.2%	0.0%
	DK/NA	34 7.5%	10 7.9%	4 7.3%	6 9.1%	3 6.0%	5 12.8%
18F. Housewares	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
	Los Altos	97 21.8%	24 19.2%	6 12.1%	11 18.4%	11 21.5%	4 9.7%
	Other Communities	202 45.3%	57 45.0%	26 50.7%	35 55.9%	19 37.0%	20 56.5%
	Online	121 27.0%	39 31.0%	19 37.2%	13 21.7%	15 29.1%	9 24.7%
	OTHER	15 3.4%	4 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 10.5%	2 4.7%
	DK/NA	11 2.4%	2 1.4%	0 0.0%	2 4.0%	1 1.9%	2 4.4%
	OTHER						
18G. Children's toys	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
	Los Altos	118 26.4%	27 21.2%	6 12.5%	20 32.7%	17 33.9%	8 21.9%
	Other Communities	96 21.5%	27 21.6%	16 30.9%	11 18.1%	7 14.2%	13 34.8%
	Online	137 30.7%	46 36.2%	23 44.1%	22 36.4%	17 33.9%	7 18.5%
	OTHER	20 4.4%	7 5.7%	0 0.0%	1 2.3%	1 2.6%	2 6.0%
	DK/NA	76 16.9%	19 15.3%	6 12.5%	6 10.5%	8 15.3%	7 18.7%
	OTHER						

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
OTHER		2.0%	14.3%	10.5%
	DK/NA	2 6.9%	2 3.8%	2 6.3%
18F. Housewares	Total	36	46	38
	Los Altos	13 35.6%	15 31.7%	14 35.8%
	Other Communities	12 33.4%	18 38.7%	16 41.9%
	Online	10 27.1%	11 24.2%	4 11.7%
	OTHER	1 2.1%	1 2.1%	2 5.8%
	DK/NA	1 1.8%	2 3.3%	2 4.8%
	OTHER			
18G. Children's toys	Total	36	46	38
	Los Altos	11 29.7%	17 37.8%	11 29.7%
	Other Communities	5 13.1%	8 17.7%	9 24.0%
	Online	10 29.1%	8 17.4%	4 10.0%
	OTHER	1 2.3%	2 4.1%	5 12.7%
	DK/NA	9 25.8%	11 23.0%	9 23.6%
	OTHER			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18A. Groceries	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online	a	a		a	a	a
	OTHER	a	a		a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a		a		a
18B. Clothing	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online		a			a	a
	OTHER		a			a	a
	DK/NA		a			a	a
18C. Electronics	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online		H		H		a
	OTHER					a	a
	DK/NA		a				
18D. Furniture	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online		a				
	OTHER		a				
	DK/NA		a				
18E. Autos	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online					a	
	OTHER					a	
	DK/NA						
18F. Housewares	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online		a	a			
	OTHER		a				
	DK/NA		a				
18G. Children's toys	Los Altos						
	Other Communities						
	Online		H				
	OTHER		a				
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
18A. Groceries	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online	a	a
	OTHER	a	a
	DK/NA	a	
18B. Clothing	Los Altos	A	A
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER		
	DK/NA	a	
18C. Electronics	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER		
	DK/NA		
18D. Furniture	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER		
	DK/NA		
18E. Autos	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER		
	DK/NA		
18F. Housewares	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER		
	DK/NA		
18G. Children's toys	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
18A. Groceries	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Los Altos	341	59	19	135	26	12	12	56	21
	Other Communities	76.5%	73.7%	85.9%	71.3%	71.6%	100.0%	76.0%	85.9%	87.5%
	Online	94	18	3	49	10	0	4	7	3
	OTHER	21.1%	22.9%	14.1%	25.7%	28.4%	0.0%	24.0%	10.0%	12.5%
	DK/NA	8	1	0	5	0	0	0	2	0
		1.9%	1.3%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
18B. Clothing	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Los Altos	66	15	5	24	5	2	1	8	6
	Other Communities	14.7%	18.6%	23.4%	12.8%	13.3%	12.7%	9.5%	12.1%	23.2%
	Online	250	43	12	115	21	8	10	27	15
	OTHER	56.1%	53.1%	52.4%	60.7%	56.2%	62.7%	67.6%	41.8%	61.3%
	DK/NA	113	16	5	44	10	2	3	30	3
		25.4%	20.4%	20.5%	23.2%	26.8%	12.5%	22.9%	46.1%	13.2%
18C. Electronics	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Los Altos	26	8	2	3	1	1	0	8	4
	Other Communities	5.9%	9.6%	10.8%	1.6%	3.0%	4.5%	0.0%	12.0%	15.6%
	Online	225	34	13	100	14	8	9	36	11
	OTHER	50.5%	42.2%	59.8%	52.9%	38.8%	61.7%	56.2%	55.2%	46.3%
	DK/NA	17	4	0	11	2	0	0	0	1
		3.9%	4.5%	0.0%	5.7%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
18D. Furniture	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Los Altos	29	8	0	8	1	1	1	7	3
	Other Communities	6.4%	10.3%	0.0%	4.3%	3.0%	4.5%	3.4%	10.5%	12.5%
	Online	306	50	18	137	23	9	12	41	17
	OTHER	68.6%	61.8%	80.1%	72.3%	63.8%	70.1%	77.0%	62.1%	70.9%
	DK/NA	41	6	3	18	4	0	0	8	1
		9.2%	7.5%	14.3%	9.6%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%	12.9%	3.3%
18E. Autos	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Los Altos	37	9	1	8	4	0	0	12	2
	Other Communities	8.3%	11.3%	6.4%	4.0%	11.2%	0.0%	0.0%	18.8%	10.2%
	Online	319	58	16	140	27	10	14	36	16
	OTHER	71.5%	72.6%	72.5%	73.8%	74.0%	80.4%	94.7%	55.3%	69.4%
	DK/NA	25	3	1	16	1	1	0	3	0
		5.6%	4.0%	3.4%	8.5%	2.7%	5.9%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
OTHER	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	DK/NA	7.0%	5.5%	13.3%	9.5%	7.7%	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%
18F. Housewares	Total	34	5	1	8	2	0	1	14	3
	Los Altos	7.5%	6.5%	4.4%	4.1%	4.4%	0.0%	5.3%	21.1%	14.2%
	Other Communities	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Online	97	22	6	40	7	3	2	11	6
	OTHER	21.8%	26.9%	28.3%	21.0%	20.4%	27.6%	12.8%	16.2%	25.8%
	DK/NA	202	40	8	82	16	5	9	30	11
		45.3%	49.9%	37.4%	43.2%	44.9%	42.7%	60.8%	45.1%	47.7%
18G. Children's toys	Total	121	13	7	57	11	3	3	23	4
	Los Altos	27.0%	16.5%	31.4%	29.9%	28.9%	21.8%	20.3%	34.9%	17.5%
	Other Communities	15	4	0	8	0	1	1	0	1
	Online	3.4%	5.5%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	7.9%	6.1%	0.0%	2.3%
	OTHER	11	1	1	3	2	0	0	2	2
	DK/NA	2.4%	1.2%	2.9%	1.6%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.7%
		446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
18G. Children's toys	Total	118	15	6	50	13	4	4	19	6
	Los Altos	26.4%	19.1%	26.5%	26.5%	35.8%	31.2%	29.5%	28.8%	25.2%
	Other Communities	96	14	1	46	4	1	4	18	7
	Online	21.5%	17.1%	4.2%	24.4%	12.2%	7.8%	28.6%	27.8%	29.5%
	OTHER	137	26	4	67	10	2	4	16	7
	DK/NA	30.7%	32.0%	17.7%	35.4%	28.3%	19.8%	25.4%	25.1%	28.8%
		20	5	3	8	2	0	1	1	1
18G. Children's toys	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Los Altos	76	21	9	18	6	5	2	11	3
	Other Communities	16.9%	25.6%	39.0%	9.7%	17.5%	41.2%	12.3%	16.8%	14.2%
	Online	4.4%	6.2%	12.6%	4.0%	6.2%	0.0%	4.2%	1.4%	2.3%
	OTHER	11	1	1	3	2	0	0	2	2
	DK/NA	76	21	9	18	6	5	2	11	3
		16.9%	25.6%	39.0%	9.7%	17.5%	41.2%	12.3%	16.8%	14.2%

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
OTHER	7.0%	6.9%	7.6%
DK/NA	34	30	4
	7.5%	8.6%	3.8%
Total	446	349	97
18F. Housewares			
Los Altos	97	77	21
	21.8%	22.0%	21.1%
Other Communities	202	162	40
	45.3%	46.5%	41.2%
Online	121	89	32
	27.0%	25.4%	32.8%
OTHER	15	10	5
	3.4%	3.0%	4.9%
DK/NA	11	11	0
	2.4%	3.1%	0.0%
Total	446	349	97
18G. Children's toys			
Los Altos	118	85	33
	26.4%	24.4%	33.6%
Other Communities	96	79	17
	21.5%	22.8%	17.2%
Online	137	103	34
	30.7%	29.5%	35.0%
OTHER	20	17	3
	4.4%	4.7%	3.3%
DK/NA	76	65	11
	16.9%	18.6%	11.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
18A. Groceries	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		A
	OTHER		^a
18B. Clothing	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER		
18C. Electronics	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER		
18D. Furniture	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online	B	A
	OTHER		
18E. Autos	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		A
	OTHER		
18F. Housewares	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER		
18G. Children's toys	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		^a
	OTHER		
DK/NA	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
18A. Groceries	Total	446	282	164
	Los Altos	341	214	127
		76.5%	75.9%	77.5%
	Other Communities	94	64	30
		21.1%	22.7%	18.2%
	Online	8	1	7
		1.9%	0.4%	4.4%
OTHER	1	1	0	
	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	2	0	
	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%	
18B. Clothing	Total	446	282	164
	Los Altos	66	48	18
		14.7%	16.8%	11.1%
	Other Communities	250	162	88
		56.1%	57.5%	53.7%
	Online	113	59	54
		25.4%	21.0%	33.0%
OTHER	12	11	2	
	2.8%	3.8%	1.1%	
DK/NA	4	3	2	
	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%	
18C. Electronics	Total	446	282	164
	Los Altos	26	16	10
		5.9%	5.8%	6.2%
	Other Communities	166	114	52
		37.2%	40.3%	31.8%
	Online	225	133	92
		50.5%	47.2%	56.1%
OTHER	17	11	6	
	3.9%	4.0%	3.7%	
DK/NA	11	8	4	
	2.5%	2.7%	2.2%	
18D. Furniture	Total	446	282	164
	Los Altos	29	16	12
		6.4%	5.7%	7.6%
	Other Communities	306	199	107
		68.6%	70.5%	65.3%
	Online	41	23	18
		9.2%	8.2%	11.0%
OTHER	29	17	12	
	6.5%	5.9%	7.6%	
DK/NA	41	27	14	
	9.2%	9.6%	8.5%	
18E. Autos	Total	446	282	164
	Los Altos	37	15	22
		8.3%	5.2%	13.6%
	Other Communities	319	215	104
		71.5%	76.1%	63.5%
	Online	25	11	14
		5.6%	3.9%	8.6%
OTHER	31	21	11	

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
OTHER		7.0%	7.4%	6.5%
DK/NA		34	21	13
		7.5%	7.3%	7.9%
18F. Housewares	Total	446	282	164
	Los Altos	97	69	29
		21.8%	24.3%	17.5%
	Other Communities	202	121	81
		45.3%	42.9%	49.6%
	Online	121	75	45
		27.0%	26.7%	27.6%
OTHER	15	9	6	
	3.4%	3.3%	3.5%	
DK/NA	11	8	3	
	2.4%	2.8%	1.8%	
18G. Children's toys	Total	446	282	164
	Los Altos	118	78	40
		26.4%	27.5%	24.5%
	Other Communities	96	61	35
		21.5%	21.4%	21.7%
	Online	137	76	61
		30.7%	27.0%	37.0%
OTHER	20	14	6	
	4.4%	4.8%	3.8%	
DK/NA	76	54	21	
	16.9%	19.2%	13.0%	

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
18A. Groceries	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Los Altos	341	3	24	53	38	85
		76.5%	100.0%	86.2%	71.6%	66.2%	76.5%
	Other Communities	94	0	4	17	15	24
		21.1%	0.0%	13.8%	22.4%	25.8%	22.0%
	Online	8	0	0	4	4	0
		1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	6.7%	0.0%
OTHER	1	0	0	0	1	0	
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	2	
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	
18B. Clothing	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Los Altos	66	0	3	7	3	18
		14.7%	0.0%	8.9%	8.8%	5.3%	15.8%
	Other Communities	250	3	11	47	35	57
		56.1%	96.3%	39.5%	63.8%	61.0%	51.5%
	Online	113	0	11	20	17	31
		25.4%	3.7%	39.3%	27.3%	29.8%	27.9%
OTHER	12	0	3	0	2	3	
	2.8%	0.0%	12.3%	0.0%	3.9%	2.3%	
DK/NA	4	0	0	0	0	3	
	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	
18C. Electronics	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Los Altos	26	0	1	3	7	3
		5.9%	0.0%	2.6%	3.4%	11.3%	2.6%
	Other Communities	166	0	4	16	18	47
		37.2%	3.7%	15.8%	21.2%	31.1%	41.9%
	Online	225	2	20	50	31	55
		50.5%	70.3%	69.2%	67.5%	53.9%	49.3%
OTHER	17	1	3	4	1	4	
	3.9%	25.9%	12.3%	4.9%	0.9%	3.6%	
DK/NA	11	0	0	2	2	3	
	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	2.8%	2.7%	
18D. Furniture	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Los Altos	29	0	0	1	7	3
		6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	11.6%	3.1%
	Other Communities	306	1	15	56	46	81
		68.6%	30.0%	53.7%	75.1%	79.8%	73.1%
	Online	41	0	5	11	2	11
		9.2%	0.0%	17.4%	14.8%	2.6%	10.3%
OTHER	29	1	2	3	1	9	
	6.5%	29.7%	6.2%	3.5%	1.0%	7.8%	
DK/NA	41	1	6	4	3	6	
	9.2%	40.4%	22.7%	4.8%	5.0%	5.6%	
18E. Autos	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Los Altos	37	0	0	6	8	3
		8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%	13.4%	2.9%
	Other Communities	319	3	14	52	40	86
		71.5%	96.3%	48.8%	69.5%	69.7%	77.3%
Online	25	0	3	8	3	5	
	5.6%	0.0%	8.9%	11.1%	5.9%	4.5%	

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
18A. Groceries	Total	167	4
	Los Altos	133	3
		79.7%	91.3%
	Other Communities	34	0
		20.3%	0.0%
	Online	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%
OTHER	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	
	0.0%	8.7%	
18B. Clothing	Total	167	4
	Los Altos	36	0
		21.5%	0.0%
	Other Communities	93	3
		55.2%	91.3%
	Online	34	0
		20.0%	0.0%
OTHER	4	0	
	2.5%	0.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	
	0.7%	8.7%	
18C. Electronics	Total	167	4
	Los Altos	14	0
		8.2%	0.0%
	Other Communities	81	0
		48.3%	0.0%
	Online	64	3
		38.1%	91.3%
OTHER	5	0	
	2.8%	0.0%	
DK/NA	4	0	
	2.5%	8.7%	
18D. Furniture	Total	167	4
	Los Altos	17	0
		10.2%	0.0%
	Other Communities	103	3
		61.7%	91.3%
	Online	12	0
		7.3%	0.0%
OTHER	14	0	
	8.6%	0.0%	
DK/NA	20	0	
	12.1%	8.7%	
18E. Autos	Total	167	4
	Los Altos	20	1
		11.6%	23.5%
	Other Communities	121	2
		72.5%	67.8%
Online	6	0	
	3.5%	0.0%	

	Years Lived in Los Altos					
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
OTHER	31 7.0%	0 0.0%	6 21.1%	3 4.2%	1 2.3%	8 7.5%
DK/NA	34 7.5%	0 3.7%	6 21.2%	6 7.5%	5 8.6%	9 7.8%
Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
18F. Housewares						
Los Altos	97 21.8%	0 0.0%	1 5.2%	7 8.8%	10 17.0%	20 18.2%
Other Communities	202 45.3%	2 70.3%	14 48.8%	33 44.3%	30 52.6%	55 49.1%
Online	121 27.0%	0 3.7%	8 27.4%	29 38.9%	18 30.4%	30 26.7%
OTHER	15 3.4%	1 25.9%	3 12.3%	2 2.9%	0 0.0%	4 3.7%
DK/NA	11 2.4%	0 0.0%	2 6.2%	4 5.2%	0 0.0%	3 2.3%
Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
18G. Children's toys						
Los Altos	118 26.4%	0 0.0%	5 17.6%	22 29.3%	14 23.5%	30 27.2%
Other Communities	96 21.5%	0 0.0%	4 15.1%	11 15.3%	17 28.5%	28 25.3%
Online	137 30.7%	2 55.9%	13 47.6%	33 45.0%	22 37.6%	31 28.3%
OTHER	20 4.4%	0 0.0%	2 6.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 2.6%
DK/NA	76 16.9%	1 44.1%	4 13.6%	8 10.4%	6 10.4%	19 16.7%

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
OTHER	13 7.6%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	8 4.7%	0 8.7%
Total	167	4
18F. Housewares		
Los Altos	59 35.3%	0 0.0%
Other Communities	66 39.2%	2 67.8%
Online	36 21.3%	1 23.5%
OTHER	5 2.7%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	2 1.4%	0 8.7%
Total	167	4
18G. Children's toys		
Los Altos	46 27.7%	1 23.5%
Other Communities	34 20.5%	2 44.7%
Online	35 20.9%	0 0.0%
OTHER	15 9.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	37 21.9%	1 31.8%

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
18A. Groceries	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Los Altos	341	157	15	31	15	97
	Other Communities	76.5%	77.5%	65.8%	74.6%	78.2%	76.6%
	Online	94	43	4	9	2	28
	OTHER	21.1%	21.0%	19.5%	23.1%	11.7%	22.0%
	DK/NA	8	3	3	0	2	0
		1.9%	1.5%	14.7%	0.0%	10.1%	0.0%
18B. Clothing	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Los Altos	66	25	4	4	0	32
	Other Communities	14.7%	12.1%	17.1%	9.2%	0.0%	25.4%
	Online	250	110	15	23	12	63
	OTHER	56.1%	54.2%	66.4%	56.9%	60.9%	50.3%
	DK/NA	113	60	4	13	8	24
		25.4%	29.5%	16.5%	31.1%	39.1%	19.1%
18C. Electronics	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Los Altos	26	13	1	1	0	11
	Other Communities	5.9%	6.6%	5.4%	2.4%	0.0%	8.6%
	Online	166	66	9	17	8	54
	OTHER	37.2%	32.4%	39.2%	41.5%	41.4%	43.0%
	DK/NA	225	109	8	23	11	52
		50.5%	53.5%	37.6%	56.1%	58.6%	41.3%
18D. Furniture	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Los Altos	29	14	1	3	0	10
	Other Communities	6.4%	7.1%	5.4%	7.4%	0.0%	7.8%
	Online	306	140	18	27	10	80
	OTHER	68.6%	69.0%	81.5%	66.5%	52.8%	63.5%
	DK/NA	41	26	1	3	4	5
		9.2%	13.0%	3.2%	6.4%	23.0%	3.8%
18E. Autos	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
	Los Altos	37	21	2	4	0	10
	Other Communities	8.3%	10.6%	8.1%	9.5%	0.0%	7.8%
	Online	319	144	15	32	11	88
	OTHER	71.5%	71.2%	66.0%	78.2%	54.4%	69.5%
	DK/NA	25	13	1	1	2	4
		5.6%	6.5%	6.6%	2.4%	11.3%	3.1%

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
18A. Groceries	Total	14	16	4
	Los Altos	12	12	2
	Other Communities	90.1%	75.3%	51.2%
	Online	1	4	2
	OTHER	9.9%	24.7%	48.8%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
18B. Clothing	Total	14	16	4
	Los Altos	0	2	0
	Other Communities	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%
	Online	9	14	4
	OTHER	62.9%	85.4%	100.0%
	DK/NA	5	1	0
		33.8%	5.0%	0.0%
18C. Electronics	Total	14	16	4
	Los Altos	0	0	0
	Other Communities	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Online	2	8	2
	OTHER	17.1%	46.5%	48.8%
	DK/NA	11	9	2
		79.6%	53.5%	51.2%
18D. Furniture	Total	14	16	4
	Los Altos	0	0	0
	Other Communities	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Online	13	13	4
	OTHER	96.7%	78.4%	100.0%
	DK/NA	0	2	0
		0.0%	14.1%	0.0%
18E. Autos	Total	14	16	4
	Los Altos	0	0	0
	Other Communities	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Online	12	13	4
	OTHER	89.5%	79.0%	100.0%
	DK/NA	0	3	0
		0.0%	21.0%	0.0%

	Employment Status					
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
OTHER	31 7.0%	14 7.1%	2 9.4%	3 7.6%	0 0.0%	12 9.3%
DK/NA	34 7.5%	9 4.6%	2 10.0%	1 2.4%	7 34.3%	13 10.3%
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
18F. Housewares						
Los Altos	97 21.8%	39 19.3%	3 14.7%	10 24.4%	2 10.1%	38 30.3%
Other Communities	202 45.3%	87 42.7%	15 66.1%	17 42.4%	10 53.4%	54 42.9%
Online	121 27.0%	65 32.1%	4 18.4%	12 30.4%	5 27.4%	23 18.6%
OTHER	15 3.4%	9 4.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 4.4%
DK/NA	11 2.4%	3 1.5%	0 0.9%	1 2.9%	2 9.1%	5 3.7%
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
18G. Children's toys						
Los Altos	118 26.4%	50 24.6%	8 33.9%	16 38.1%	0 0.0%	34 26.8%
Other Communities	96 21.5%	42 20.7%	5 23.4%	9 21.4%	6 31.3%	25 19.8%
Online	137 30.7%	82 40.2%	5 24.1%	10 23.5%	9 46.2%	20 16.2%
OTHER	20 4.4%	8 3.7%	1 2.3%	2 4.7%	0 0.0%	10 7.7%
DK/NA	76 16.9%	22 10.8%	4 16.3%	5 12.4%	4 22.5%	37 29.5%

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
OTHER	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	1 10.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Total	14	16	4
18F. Housewares			
Los Altos	2 13.0%	3 17.8%	0 0.0%
Other Communities	9 68.5%	7 41.0%	3 68.3%
Online	2 15.2%	7 41.2%	1 31.7%
OTHER	0 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Total	14	16	4
18G. Children's toys			
Los Altos	5 39.4%	6 33.5%	0 0.0%
Other Communities	4 28.8%	3 18.6%	2 48.8%
Online	4 28.6%	6 34.8%	1 31.7%
OTHER	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	0 3.3%	2 13.0%	1 19.6%

		County Where Work						
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
18A. Groceries	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
	Los Altos	203	5	2	1	11	27	151
	Other Communities	76.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	92.0%	89.9%	73.2%
	Online	56	0	0	0	1	3	48
	OTHER	21.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	10.1%	23.3%
	DK/NA	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
18B. Clothing	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
	Los Altos	32	1	1	1	4	2	20
	Other Communities	12.1%	26.6%	56.7%	100.0%	31.0%	6.7%	9.8%
	Online	148	3	0	0	2	19	119
	OTHER	55.6%	58.2%	0.0%	0.0%	13.6%	61.6%	57.6%
	DK/NA	76	1	1	0	5	9	60
18C. Electronics	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
	Los Altos	16	1	1	1	1	7	4
	Other Communities	5.8%	26.6%	43.3%	100.0%	12.1%	21.8%	1.9%
	Online	140	1	0	0	6	9	123
	OTHER	52.6%	27.5%	0.0%	0.0%	47.4%	31.1%	59.7%
	DK/NA	14	0	0	0	2	1	12
18D. Furniture	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
	Los Altos	19	1	1	1	1	4	10
	Other Communities	7.0%	12.3%	43.3%	100.0%	12.1%	13.8%	5.0%
	Online	185	2	1	0	8	23	145
	OTHER	69.6%	41.8%	56.7%	0.0%	63.9%	75.6%	70.0%
	DK/NA	30	0	0	0	1	1	27
18E. Autos	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
	Los Altos	27	0	0	1	2	8	16
	Other Communities	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	19.6%	25.8%	7.6%
	Online	191	2	1	0	7	17	155
	OTHER	71.8%	54.1%	56.7%	0.0%	58.0%	56.9%	75.2%
	DK/NA	16	0	0	0	1	0	13
OTHER	20	0	0	0	2	3	15	

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
18A. Groceries	Total	2	0	5	2
	Los Altos	2	0	3	0
	Other Communities	100.0%	100.0%	56.7%	0.0%
	Online	0	0	2	2
	OTHER	0.0%	0.0%	43.3%	100.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
18B. Clothing	Total	2	0	5	2
	Los Altos	2	0	0	0
	Other Communities	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Online	0	0	4	2
	OTHER	0.0%	0.0%	85.4%	100.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0
18C. Electronics	Total	2	0	5	2
	Los Altos	0	0	0	0
	Other Communities	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Online	2	0	5	2
	OTHER	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
18D. Furniture	Total	2	0	5	2
	Los Altos	0	0	0	0
	Other Communities	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Online	2	0	3	2
	OTHER	100.0%	0.0%	60.3%	100.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0
18E. Autos	Total	2	0	5	2
	Los Altos	0	0	0	0
	Other Communities	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Online	2	0	3	2
	OTHER	100.0%	100.0%	74.3%	100.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0
OTHER	0	0	0	0	

	County Where Work						
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
OTHER	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.4%	10.7%	7.1%
DK/NA	13	2	1	0	0	2	8
	4.7%	45.9%	43.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	3.6%
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
18F. Housewares							
Total	52	2	0	0	5	6	40
Los Altos	19.7%	39.0%	0.0%	0.0%	39.9%	18.6%	19.5%
Other Communities	119	1	1	0	3	12	95
	44.6%	15.1%	56.7%	0.0%	28.3%	39.3%	46.2%
Online	82	2	1	1	2	11	61
	30.7%	45.9%	43.3%	100.0%	15.8%	36.5%	29.6%
OTHER	9	0	0	0	2	1	7
	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.4%	2.4%	3.2%
DK/NA	4	0	0	0	0	1	3
	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	3.3%	1.5%
18G. Children's toys							
Total	73	0	2	1	1	3	62
Los Altos	27.5%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	12.1%	10.9%	29.8%
Other Communities	56	1	0	0	6	9	35
	21.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	45.3%	31.6%	17.1%
Online	97	0	0	0	3	14	79
	36.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21.2%	45.8%	38.3%
OTHER	10	0	0	0	0	0	10
	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%
DK/NA	31	4	0	0	3	4	20
	11.5%	87.7%	0.0%	0.0%	21.4%	11.7%	9.9%

	County Where Work			
	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
OTHER	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
18F. Housewares				
Total	2	0	5	2
Los Altos	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Communities	2	0	3	1
	100.0%	0.0%	60.3%	54.9%
Online	0	0	2	1
	0.0%	100.0%	39.7%	45.1%
OTHER	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
18G. Children's toys				
Total	2	0	5	2
Los Altos	2	0	1	0
	100.0%	0.0%	25.1%	0.0%
Other Communities	0	0	3	2
	0.0%	0.0%	60.3%	100.0%
Online	0	0	1	0
	0.0%	100.0%	14.6%	0.0%
OTHER	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
18A. Groceries						
Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
Los Altos	341	1	14	1	14	4
	76.5%	100.0%	55.1%	14.2%	73.0%	100.0%
Other Communities	94	0	12	4	5	0
	21.1%	0.0%	44.9%	85.8%	27.0%	0.0%
Online	8	0	0	0	0	0
	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
OTHER	1	0	0	0	0	0
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	0
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
18B. Clothing						
Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
Los Altos	66	1	1	1	1	1
	14.7%	100.0%	5.0%	14.2%	6.7%	14.7%
Other Communities	250	0	16	4	12	1
	56.1%	0.0%	60.1%	85.8%	64.0%	15.7%
Online	113	0	6	0	6	3
	25.4%	0.0%	22.1%	0.0%	29.2%	69.6%
OTHER	12	0	3	0	0	0
	2.8%	0.0%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	4	0	1	0	0	0
	1.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
18C. Electronics						
Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
Los Altos	26	0	1	1	0	0
	5.9%	0.0%	2.1%	14.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Communities	166	1	9	4	6	3
	37.2%	100.0%	35.5%	85.8%	31.3%	67.3%
Online	225	0	14	0	11	1
	50.5%	0.0%	53.3%	0.0%	57.3%	32.7%
OTHER	17	0	2	0	1	0
	3.9%	0.0%	6.6%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
DK/NA	11	0	1	0	1	0
	2.5%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%
18D. Furniture						
Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
Los Altos	29	0	1	1	0	0
	6.4%	0.0%	2.1%	14.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Communities	306	1	17	4	10	4
	68.6%	100.0%	66.1%	85.8%	54.1%	82.0%
Online	41	0	3	0	5	0
	9.2%	0.0%	11.0%	0.0%	24.1%	0.0%
OTHER	29	0	2	0	1	0
	6.5%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
DK/NA	41	0	3	0	3	1
	9.2%	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%	15.1%	18.0%
18E. Autos						
Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
Los Altos	37	0	1	1	1	0
	8.3%	0.0%	3.2%	14.2%	4.9%	0.0%
Other Communities	319	1	18	2	12	4
	71.5%	100.0%	70.0%	45.0%	60.4%	82.0%
Online	25	0	0	0	3	0

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
18A. Groceries	Total	1	2	5	330	11
	Los Altos	1	1	4	266	6
	Other Communities	100.0%	52.1%	85.1%	80.6%	57.7%
	Online	0	1	1	55	4
	OTHER	0.0%	47.9%	14.9%	16.6%	35.4%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	7	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
18B. Clothing	Total	1	2	5	330	11
	Los Altos	0	1	0	56	1
	Other Communities	0	1	3	183	4
	Online	0	0	2	81	5
	OTHER	0.0%	0.0%	40.6%	24.5%	45.7%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	8	0
		100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%
18C. Electronics	Total	1	2	5	330	11
	Los Altos	0	1	0	23	0
	Other Communities	0	1	4	122	1
	Online	1	0	1	162	10
	OTHER	0	0	0	13	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	10	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%
18D. Furniture	Total	1	2	5	330	11
	Los Altos	0	1	0	25	1
	Other Communities	1	1	5	226	7
	Online	0	0	0	27	1
	OTHER	0	0	0	21	2
	DK/NA	0	0	0	31	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.3%	0.0%
18E. Autos	Total	1	2	5	330	11
	Los Altos	0	1	1	29	3
	Other Communities	1	1	3	239	5
	Online	0	0	0	20	1
		100.0%	47.9%	74.3%	72.4%	42.8%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
18A. Groceries	Total	16	5	20
	Los Altos	13	3	11
	Other Communities	82.0%	62.1%	56.6%
	Online	3	2	8
	OTHER	18.0%	37.9%	38.1%
	DK/NA	0	0	1
		0.0%	0.0%	5.3%
18B. Clothing	Total	16	5	20
	Los Altos	1	0	1
	Other Communities	8	4	15
	Online	7	1	4
	OTHER	1	0	1
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
18C. Electronics	Total	16	5	20
	Los Altos	1	0	0
	Other Communities	5	2	7
	Online	10	3	12
	OTHER	1	0	1
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
18D. Furniture	Total	16	5	20
	Los Altos	1	0	0
	Other Communities	11	3	16
	Online	2	2	1
	OTHER	1	0	1
	DK/NA	1	0	2
		7.8%	0.0%	9.3%
18E. Autos	Total	16	5	20
	Los Altos	0	0	0
	Other Communities	11	5	17
	Online	0	0	2
		64.5%	100.0%	86.5%

	Ethnic Group					
	Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
Online	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.9%	0.0%
OTHER	31	0	5	0	0	0
DK/NA	7.0%	0.0%	17.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	34	0	2	2	4	1
	7.5%	0.0%	9.2%	40.8%	21.8%	18.0%
Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
18F. Housewares						
Los Altos	97	0	2	3	1	0
Other Communities	21.8%	0.0%	7.5%	55.0%	4.7%	0.0%
Online	202	1	13	2	13	2
OTHER	45.3%	100.0%	49.8%	45.0%	64.7%	48.4%
DK/NA	121	0	6	0	6	2
	27.0%	0.0%	21.9%	0.0%	30.7%	51.6%
	15	0	4	0	0	0
	3.4%	0.0%	14.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	11	0	2	0	0	0
	2.4%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
18G. Children's toys						
Los Altos	118	1	4	1	1	0
Other Communities	26.4%	100.0%	15.8%	14.2%	4.7%	0.0%
Online	96	0	6	4	10	2
OTHER	21.5%	0.0%	24.4%	85.8%	49.7%	33.7%
DK/NA	137	0	12	0	9	3
	30.7%	0.0%	44.8%	0.0%	45.7%	66.3%
	20	0	2	0	0	0
	4.4%	0.0%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	76	0	2	0	0	0
	16.9%	0.0%	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group				
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
Online	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	9.1%
OTHER	0	0	0	21	1
DK/NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%	11.9%
	0	0	0	21	1
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%	6.9%
Total	1	2	5	330	11
18F. Housewares					
Los Altos	0	2	0	84	2
Other Communities	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	25.5%	16.6%
Online	0	0	3	143	5
OTHER	0.0%	0.0%	55.5%	43.2%	44.9%
DK/NA	0	0	2	85	4
	0.0%	0.0%	44.5%	25.9%	38.5%
	0	0	0	11	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%
	1	0	0	7	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Total	1	2	5	330	11
18G. Children's toys					
Los Altos	0	0	0	99	6
Other Communities	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30.1%	55.0%
Online	0	1	2	62	1
OTHER	0.0%	47.9%	40.6%	18.8%	8.8%
DK/NA	0	1	2	90	3
	0.0%	52.1%	44.5%	27.3%	29.3%
	0	0	0	15	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%
	1	0	1	63	1
	100.0%	0.0%	14.9%	19.1%	6.9%

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Online	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%
OTHER	4	0	0
	26.9%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	1	0	1
	8.7%	0.0%	4.0%
Total	16	5	20
Los Altos	1	1	2
	4.3%	15.2%	10.6%
Other Communities	8	2	11
	50.2%	37.9%	54.6%
Online	6	2	7
	35.1%	47.0%	34.8%
OTHER	1	0	0
	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	1	0	0
	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	16	5	20
Los Altos	3	2	1
	16.8%	35.6%	5.9%
Other Communities	2	0	6
	15.0%	0.0%	29.4%
Online	5	3	9
	28.0%	64.4%	45.4%
OTHER	1	0	1
	7.5%	0.0%	7.3%
DK/NA	5	0	2
	32.7%	0.0%	12.0%

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
Total	446	203	243
Los Altos	341	162	179
	76.5%	79.9%	73.5%
Other Communities	94	33	61
	21.1%	16.3%	25.0%
Online	8	5	3
	1.9%	2.6%	1.3%
OTHER	1	1	0
	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
DK/NA	2	2	0
	0.4%	0.8%	0.1%
Total	446	203	243
Los Altos	66	29	36
	14.7%	14.5%	14.9%
Other Communities	250	111	139
	56.1%	54.7%	57.3%
Online	113	53	60
	25.4%	26.3%	24.7%
OTHER	12	6	7
	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%
DK/NA	4	4	1
	1.0%	1.7%	0.4%
Total	446	203	243
Los Altos	26	8	19
	5.9%	3.8%	7.7%
Other Communities	166	88	78
	37.2%	43.5%	31.9%
Online	225	92	133
	50.5%	45.3%	54.8%
OTHER	17	8	9
	3.9%	4.1%	3.7%
DK/NA	11	7	5
	2.5%	3.3%	1.9%
Total	446	203	243
Los Altos	29	8	20
	6.4%	4.2%	8.3%
Other Communities	306	142	164
	68.6%	70.2%	67.3%
Online	41	17	25
	9.2%	8.1%	10.1%
OTHER	29	13	16
	6.5%	6.3%	6.7%
DK/NA	41	23	18
	9.2%	11.2%	7.6%
Total	446	203	243
Los Altos	37	14	23
	8.3%	6.7%	9.6%
Other Communities	319	149	170
	71.5%	73.4%	69.9%
Online	25	9	16
	5.6%	4.4%	6.6%
OTHER	31	12	19

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
OTHER	7.0%	6.0%	8.0%
DK/NA	34	19	14
	7.5%	9.5%	5.9%
Total	446	203	243
18F. Housewares			
Total	97	50	47
Los Altos	21.8%	24.6%	19.5%
Other Communities	202	87	115
Online	45.3%	42.9%	47.3%
OTHER	121	51	70
DK/NA	27.0%	25.0%	28.7%
	15	9	6
	3.4%	4.3%	2.6%
	11	6	4
	2.4%	3.2%	1.8%
18G. Children's toys			
Total	118	57	61
Los Altos	26.4%	28.2%	24.9%
Other Communities	96	40	56
Online	21.5%	19.9%	22.9%
OTHER	137	60	77
DK/NA	30.7%	29.7%	31.5%
	20	10	10
	4.4%	4.8%	4.2%
	76	35	40
	16.9%	17.4%	16.5%

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
18A. Groceries	446	20	422	3
Total	341	9	329	3
Los Altos	76.5%	43.1%	77.9%	100.0%
Other Communities	94	12	82	0
Online	21.1%	56.9%	19.5%	0.0%
OTHER	8	0	8	0
DK/NA	1.9%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
	1	0	1	0
	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
	2	0	2	0
	0.4%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
18B. Clothing	446	20	422	3
Total	66	1	65	0
Los Altos	14.7%	3.8%	15.4%	0.0%
Other Communities	250	16	234	0
Online	56.1%	79.5%	55.4%	0.0%
OTHER	113	2	108	3
DK/NA	25.4%	8.8%	25.6%	100.0%
	12	1	12	0
	2.8%	4.8%	2.7%	0.0%
	4	1	4	0
	1.0%	3.1%	0.9%	0.0%
18C. Electronics	446	20	422	3
Total	26	0	26	0
Los Altos	5.9%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%
Other Communities	166	8	158	0
Online	37.2%	40.0%	37.3%	0.0%
OTHER	225	12	210	3
DK/NA	50.5%	56.9%	49.8%	100.0%
	17	0	17	0
	3.9%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%
	11	1	11	0
	2.5%	3.1%	2.5%	0.0%
18D. Furniture	446	20	422	3
Total	29	0	29	0
Los Altos	6.4%	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%
Other Communities	306	19	284	3
Online	68.6%	91.2%	67.3%	100.0%
OTHER	41	1	40	0
DK/NA	9.2%	4.0%	9.6%	0.0%
	29	1	28	0
	6.5%	4.8%	6.7%	0.0%
	41	0	41	0
	9.2%	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%
18E. Autos	446	20	422	3
Total	37	1	33	3
Los Altos	8.3%	4.1%	7.8%	100.0%
Other Communities	319	16	303	0
Online	71.5%	75.8%	71.8%	0.0%
OTHER	25	0	25	0
	5.6%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%
	31	3	28	0

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
OTHER	7.0%	16.9%	6.6%	0.0%
DK/NA	34	1	33	0
	7.5%	3.1%	7.8%	0.0%
Total	446	20	422	3
18F. Housewares				
Total	97	1	96	0
Los Altos	21.8%	6.9%	22.7%	0.0%
Other Communities	202	14	185	3
Online	45.3%	70.4%	43.7%	100.0%
OTHER	121	3	118	0
DK/NA	15	1	14	0
	3.4%	4.8%	3.4%	0.0%
	11	1	10	0
	2.4%	4.8%	2.3%	0.0%
18G. Children's toys				
Total	118	3	112	3
Los Altos	26.4%	12.7%	26.5%	100.0%
Other Communities	96	5	91	0
Online	21.5%	23.6%	21.6%	0.0%
OTHER	137	9	128	0
DK/NA	30.7%	42.1%	30.4%	0.0%
	20	1	19	0
	4.4%	6.1%	4.4%	0.0%
	76	3	72	0
	16.9%	15.6%	17.1%	0.0%

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	446	90	64	123	168
18A. Groceries					
Total	341	76	52	91	122
Los Altos	76.5%	84.1%	80.3%	73.8%	72.8%
Other Communities	94	12	11	30	40
Online	21.1%	13.7%	16.6%	24.8%	24.0%
OTHER	8	2	0	1	5
DK/NA	1.9%	2.2%	0.0%	0.9%	3.2%
	1	0	1	0	0
	0.2%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
	2	0	1	1	0
	0.4%	0.0%	1.9%	0.6%	0.0%
18B. Clothing					
Total	446	90	64	123	168
Los Altos	66	12	22	12	19
Other Communities	14.7%	13.0%	34.6%	10.1%	11.5%
Online	250	44	32	74	99
OTHER	56.1%	49.0%	50.4%	60.4%	58.9%
DK/NA	113	34	9	27	43
	25.4%	37.4%	13.8%	22.1%	25.8%
	12	0	0	8	4
	2.8%	0.0%	0.7%	6.2%	2.6%
	4	1	0	1	2
	1.0%	0.6%	0.5%	1.1%	1.3%
18C. Electronics					
Total	446	90	64	123	168
Los Altos	26	9	14	1	2
Other Communities	5.9%	10.3%	22.0%	1.1%	0.9%
Online	166	26	26	43	70
OTHER	37.2%	28.8%	41.1%	35.2%	41.7%
DK/NA	225	51	17	71	86
	50.5%	56.2%	26.9%	57.6%	51.2%
	17	1	2	7	8
	3.9%	0.6%	2.5%	5.6%	4.9%
	11	4	5	1	2
	2.5%	4.1%	7.4%	0.5%	1.3%
18D. Furniture					
Total	446	90	64	123	168
Los Altos	29	9	13	2	5
Other Communities	6.4%	9.8%	19.7%	1.6%	3.0%
Online	306	58	35	90	124
OTHER	68.6%	63.9%	54.7%	72.7%	73.5%
DK/NA	41	9	3	11	17
	9.2%	10.4%	5.3%	9.0%	10.3%
	29	1	3	9	16
	6.5%	1.5%	4.2%	7.1%	9.7%
	41	13	10	12	6
	9.2%	14.4%	16.1%	9.6%	3.5%
18E. Autos					
Total	446	90	64	123	168
Los Altos	37	18	10	3	7
Other Communities	8.3%	19.6%	15.4%	2.0%	4.1%
Online	319	55	43	95	127
OTHER	71.5%	60.5%	66.8%	76.9%	75.3%
DK/NA	25	3	1	6	15
	5.6%	3.5%	1.5%	5.2%	8.6%
	31	1	4	14	13

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
OTHER	7.0%	0.6%	6.2%	11.4%	7.6%
DK/NA	34	14	7	6	7
	7.5%	15.8%	10.1%	4.5%	4.3%
18F. Housewares					
Total	446	90	64	123	168
Los Altos	97	15	26	19	37
Other Communities	21.8%	16.1%	41.2%	15.5%	22.1%
Online	202	48	25	61	68
OTHER	45.3%	53.2%	39.1%	49.6%	40.4%
DK/NA	121	25	8	36	51
	27.0%	27.4%	12.4%	29.6%	30.5%
OTHER	15	1	2	5	8
DK/NA	3.4%	0.6%	3.2%	4.0%	4.5%
	11	2	3	2	4
	2.4%	2.7%	4.1%	1.3%	2.5%
18G. Children's toys					
Total	446	90	64	123	168
Los Altos	118	25	22	27	44
Other Communities	26.4%	27.9%	33.7%	21.6%	26.4%
Online	96	24	13	17	41
OTHER	21.5%	26.8%	20.5%	14.1%	24.5%
DK/NA	137	26	7	51	53
	30.7%	29.0%	10.5%	41.3%	31.5%
OTHER	20	1	1	8	8
DK/NA	4.4%	1.7%	2.1%	6.9%	5.0%
	76	13	21	20	21
	16.9%	14.6%	33.2%	16.1%	12.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18A. Groceries	Los Altos				
	Other Communities		a		
	Online	a		a	a
	OTHER	a			a
18B. Clothing	Los Altos		A C D		
	Other Communities				
	Online	B			
	OTHER	a			
18C. Electronics	Los Altos	C D	C D		
	Other Communities				
	Online	B		B	B
	OTHER				
18D. Furniture	Los Altos	C	C D		
	Other Communities				B
	Online				
	OTHER				
18E. Autos	Los Altos	D	D		
	Other Communities	C D	C D		
	Online			A	
	OTHER				
18F. Housewares	Los Altos	C D	A C D		
	Other Communities				
	Online				B
	OTHER				
18G. Children's toys	Los Altos				
	Other Communities				
	Online	B		B	B
	OTHER				
DK/NA		A C D			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
18A. Groceries	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Los Altos	341	43	50	37	55	157
	Other Communities	94	7	19	12	11	45
	Online	8	2	2	2	2	0
	OTHER	1	0	0	0	1	0
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	2
		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
18B. Clothing	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Los Altos	66	4	3	3	14	41
	Other Communities	250	26	41	30	38	116
	Online	113	19	23	18	16	37
	OTHER	12	2	2	1	1	8
	DK/NA	4	1	1	0	0	3
		1.0%	1.2%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
18C. Electronics	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Los Altos	26	4	5	1	3	13
	Other Communities	166	14	23	15	28	87
	Online	225	27	42	32	35	90
	OTHER	17	6	1	2	0	9
	DK/NA	11	1	0	2	4	5
		2.5%	1.2%	0.0%	4.3%	5.4%	2.3%
18D. Furniture	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Los Altos	29	6	3	2	7	11
	Other Communities	306	27	50	39	47	143
	Online	41	4	12	6	8	10
	OTHER	29	8	3	2	2	13
	DK/NA	41	6	1	2	5	27
		9.2%	12.6%	2.1%	3.4%	7.0%	13.0%
18E. Autos	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Los Altos	37	9	7	4	4	12
	Other Communities	319	27	48	38	50	156
	Online	25	3	7	3	6	6
	OTHER	31	4	4	4	4	15
		5.6%	6.1%	9.9%	6.3%	8.4%	2.9%
		7.1%	52.6%	68.7%	73.5%	72.1%	76.5%

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
OTHER	Total	7.0%	8.2%	5.8%	8.1%	5.3%	7.5%
	DK/NA	34	8	4	2	6	14
		7.5%	15.0%	5.3%	4.3%	8.2%	7.0%
18F. Housewares	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Los Altos	97	8	12	6	13	58
	Other Communities	202	25	29	28	27	93
	Online	121	14	24	17	27	39
	OTHER	15	3	4	1	0	8
	DK/NA	11	2	1	0	1	6
		2.4%	3.4%	1.7%	0.0%	2.1%	3.1%
18G. Children's toys	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Los Altos	118	12	13	13	18	63
	Other Communities	96	9	13	15	15	44
	Online	137	18	33	16	23	46
	OTHER	20	4	1	2	1	12
	DK/NA	76	8	11	5	13	39
		16.9%	15.9%	15.9%	9.5%	18.3%	19.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18A. Groceries	Los Altos					a
	Other Communities					a
	Online	a	a	a		a
	OTHER	a	a	a	a	a
18B. Clothing	Los Altos				B	B
	Other Communities					
	Online	E				
	OTHER			a	a	
18C. Electronics	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online				a	
	OTHER		a			
18D. Furniture	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online	E				
	OTHER					
18E. Autos	Los Altos					A
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18F. Housewares	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online				E	a
	OTHER			a		
18G. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online		E			
	OTHER					
18H. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18I. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18J. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18K. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18L. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18M. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18N. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18O. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18P. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18Q. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18R. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18S. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18T. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18U. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18V. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18W. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18X. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18Y. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18Z. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AA. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AB. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AC. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AD. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AE. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AF. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AG. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AH. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AI. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AJ. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AK. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AL. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AM. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AN. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AO. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AP. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AQ. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AR. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AS. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AT. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AU. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AV. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AW. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AX. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AY. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18AZ. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BA. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BB. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BC. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BD. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BE. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BF. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BG. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BH. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BI. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BJ. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BK. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BL. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BM. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BN. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BO. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BP. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BQ. Children's toys	Los Altos					
	Other Communities					
	Online					
	OTHER					
18BR.						

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
18A. Groceries	Total	2	10
	Los Altos	1	7
	Other Communities	41.6%	65.1%
	Online	1	2
	OTHER	58.4%	16.2%
	DK/NA	0	2
		0.0%	18.7%
18B. Clothing	Total	2	10
	Los Altos	0	0
	Other Communities	2	6
	Online	0	4
	OTHER	100.0%	60.9%
	DK/NA	0	0
		0.0%	34.9%
18C. Electronics	Total	2	10
	Los Altos	0	0
	Other Communities	2	2
	Online	0	8
	OTHER	100.0%	19.7%
	DK/NA	0	0
18D. Furniture	Total	2	10
	Los Altos	0	3
	Other Communities	2	7
	Online	0	0
	OTHER	100.0%	67.5%
	DK/NA	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%
18E. Autos	Total	2	10
	Los Altos	1	0
	Other Communities	1	8
	Online	0	2
	OTHER	41.6%	4.2%
	DK/NA	58.4%	72.9%
	0.0%	18.7%	
	0	0	

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
OTHER		7.1%	11.5%	3.1%	7.9%	3.4%	12.2%	3.3%
DK/NA		34	17	4	4	1	5	3
		7.6%	14.1%	5.6%	6.4%	2.1%	8.9%	3.5%
18F. Housewares	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73
	Los Altos	97	29	15	19	14	9	9
	Other Communities	21.9%	23.7%	19.7%	33.8%	25.8%	16.6%	12.3%
	Online	201	53	41	23	20	23	36
	OTHER	45.4%	44.1%	54.1%	42.6%	36.8%	42.5%	49.3%
	DK/NA	119	30	14	11	18	20	23
		26.9%	25.0%	18.0%	19.1%	34.0%	37.2%	31.9%
18G. Children's toys	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73
	Los Altos	117	47	15	14	10	10	14
	Other Communities	26.3%	38.8%	20.2%	25.3%	18.9%	19.3%	19.7%
	Online	96	21	18	15	13	13	16
	OTHER	21.6%	17.1%	23.7%	26.9%	23.5%	23.6%	22.0%
	DK/NA	137	35	19	13	18	19	30
		30.8%	29.2%	25.4%	24.1%	32.6%	35.0%	40.6%
18F. Housewares	Total	2	10					
	Los Altos	0	3					
	Other Communities	1	4					
	Online	1	3					
	OTHER	58.4%	25.3%					
	DK/NA	0	0					
		0.0%	0.0%					
18G. Children's toys	Total	2	10					
	Los Altos	0	6					
	Other Communities	1	1					
	Online	1	2					
	OTHER	41.6%	5.4%					
	DK/NA	0	0					
		0.0%	20.4%					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18A. Groceries	Los Altos							a
	Other Communities							a
	Online		b	b			b	a,b
	OTHER	b	b	b	b	b	b	a,b
18B. Clothing	Los Altos			F				a,b
	Other Communities							a,b
	Online							a,b
	OTHER			b		b		a,b
18C. Electronics	Los Altos							a,b
	Other Communities						A	a,b
	Online							a,b
	OTHER					b		a,b
18D. Furniture	Los Altos							a,b
	Other Communities							a,b
	Online							a,b
	OTHER							a,b
18E. Autos	Los Altos							a
	Other Communities							a
	Online	b						a,b
	OTHER							a,b
18F. Housewares	Los Altos							a,b
	Other Communities							a
	Online							a
	OTHER							a,b
18G. Children's toys	Los Altos							a,b
	Other Communities							a
	Online							a
	OTHER							a,b
	DK/NA							a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by	
		Male Oth	
		(H)	
18A. Groceries	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER	b	
18B. Clothing	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER	b	
18C. Electronics	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER	b	
18D. Furniture	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		E
	Online	b	
	OTHER	b	
18E. Autos	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER	b	
18F. Housewares	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER	b	
18G. Children's toys	Los Altos		
	Other Communities		
	Online		
	OTHER	b	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	442	442
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	156
National names/Big box stores	36	36
Longer/Later hours	13	13
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	30	30
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	10	10
Fresh produce	1	1
Can't find what I want	5	5
Book stores	6	6
Better parking	43	43
High end retail/Quality	7	7
Reasonable prices	66	66
Arts/Crafts	3	3
Better dining choices	15	15
Other mention - Positive	1	1
Other mention - Negative	2	2
Other mention	2	2
Nothing/None	73	73
DK/NA	75	75

19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	.
National names/Big box stores	.
Longer/Later hours	.
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	.
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	.
Fresh produce	.
Can't find what I want	.
Book stores	.
Better parking	.
High end retail/Quality	.
Reasonable prices	.
Arts/Crafts	.
Better dining choices	.
Other mention - Positive	.
Other mention - Negative	.
Other mention	.
Nothing/None	.
DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	442	211	229	2
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	64	91	1
National names/Big box stores	36	14	22	0
Longer/Later hours	13	7	7	0
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	30	15	15	0
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	10	3	8	0
Fresh produce	2.3%	1.2%	3.3%	0.0%
Can't find what I want	1	1	0	0
Book stores	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Better parking	5	3	2	0
High end retail/Quality	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Reasonable prices	6	1	5	0
Arts/Crafts	1.3%	0.3%	2.3%	0.0%
Better dining choices	43	17	26	0
Other mention - Positive	9.8%	8.2%	11.4%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	7	3	4	0
Other mention	1.6%	1.5%	1.8%	0.0%
Nothing/None	66	22	44	0
DK/NA	15.0%	10.6%	19.1%	0.0%
	3	0	3	0
	0.8%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
	15	8	7	0
	3.5%	3.9%	3.1%	0.0%
	1	1	0	0
	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
	2	1	1	0
	0.5%	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%
	2	0	2	0
	0.4%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
	73	42	31	0
	16.5%	19.8%	13.6%	0.0%
	75	44	30	1
	17.0%	20.9%	13.0%	58.7%

19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
Better variety of stores/shops/retail			a
National names/Big box stores			a
Longer/Later hours			a
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants			a
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons			a
Fresh produce		a	a
Can't find what I want			a
Book stores			a
Better parking			a
High end retail/Quality			a
Reasonable prices		A	a
Arts/Crafts	a		a
Better dining choices			a
Other mention - Positive		a	a
Other mention - Negative			a
Other mention	a		a
Nothing/None			a
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	442	59	35	81	138	122	7
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	21	10	32	57	33	2
National names/Big box stores	36	4	3	7	10	12	0
Longer/Later hours	13	2	0	4	5	2	1
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	30	0	2	11	11	6	0
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	10	0	0	2	6	2	0
Fresh produce	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	4.2%	1.7%	0.0%
Can't find what I want	5	0	1	0	3	1	0
Book stores	6	2	0	0	1	3	0
Better parking	43	3	3	6	18	13	0
High end retail/Quality	7	0	1	1	3	2	0
Reasonable prices	66	12	4	11	24	14	1
Arts/Crafts	3	0	0	0	2	2	0
Better dining choices	15	2	1	6	5	2	0
Other mention - Positive	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Other mention - Negative	2	0	0	1	1	0	0
Other mention	2	0	0	0	2	0	0
Nothing/None	73	10	6	9	19	24	4
DK/NA	75	12	8	14	16	24	1

19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29 (A)	30-39 (B)	40-49 (C)	50-64 (D)	65+ (E)	Not coded (F)
Better variety of stores/shops/retail						
National names/Big box stores						a
Longer/Later hours		a				
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	a					a
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	a	a				a
Fresh produce	a		a	a	a	a
Can't find what I want	a		a			a
Book stores		a	a			a
Better parking						a
High end retail/Quality	a					a
Reasonable prices	a	a	a			a
Arts/Crafts	a	a	a			a
Better dining choices						a
Other mention - Positive	a	a	a	a		a
Other mention - Negative	a	a			a	a
Other mention	a	a	a		a	a
Nothing/None						
DK/NA						C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	442	352	80	9
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	127	25	4
	35.3%	36.0%	31.1%	48.5%
National names/Big box stores	36	28	8	0
	8.1%	7.9%	9.9%	0.0%
Longer/Later hours	13	13	0	0
	3.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	30	25	4	0
	6.7%	7.2%	5.4%	0.0%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	10	10	0	0
	2.3%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Fresh produce	1	1	0	0
	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Can't find what I want	5	5	0	0
	1.1%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Book stores	6	6	0	0
	1.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Better parking	43	29	12	2
	9.8%	8.2%	15.5%	23.0%
High end retail/Quality	7	7	0	0
	1.6%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reasonable prices	66	48	15	3
	15.0%	13.6%	19.1%	32.0%
Arts/Crafts	3	3	0	0
	0.8%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Better dining choices	15	13	2	0
	3.5%	3.7%	2.8%	0.0%
Other mention - Positive	1	1	0	0
	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	2	2	0	0
	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention	2	2	0	0
	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Nothing/None	73	59	13	0
	16.5%	16.9%	16.0%	5.1%
DK/NA	75	59	15	0
	17.0%	16.8%	19.0%	4.9%

19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
Better variety of stores/shops/retail			.
National names/Big box stores			.
Longer/Later hours		a	.
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants			.
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons		a	.
Fresh produce		a	.
Can't find what I want		a	.
Book stores		a	.
Better parking			.
High end retail/Quality		a	.
Reasonable prices			.
Arts/Crafts		a	.
Better dining choices			.
Other mention - Positive		a	.
Other mention - Negative		a	.
Other mention		a	.
Nothing/None		.	.
DK/NA		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	442	194	109	12	126
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	55	45	3	53
National names/Big box stores	36	19	8	1	9
Longer/Later hours	13	6	3	1	3
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	30	15	4	1	9
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	10	3	4	0	3
Fresh produce	2.3%	1.7%	3.9%	0.0%	2.0%
Can't find what I want	5	2	1	0	2
Book stores	1.1%	1.1%	0.6%	0.0%	1.5%
Better parking	6	6	0	0	0
High end retail/Quality	1.3%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reasonable prices	43	16	13	0	14
Arts/Crafts	9.8%	8.2%	11.9%	0.0%	11.4%
Better dining choices	7	3	4	0	0
Other mention - Positive	1.6%	1.4%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	66	34	15	2	16
Nothing/None	15.0%	17.5%	13.4%	16.2%	12.4%
DK/NA	3	2	2	0	0
	0.8%	0.8%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
	15	6	3	0	7
	3.5%	3.0%	2.7%	0.0%	5.3%
	1	1	0	0	0
	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	2	0	1	0	1
	0.5%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1.1%
	2	1	1	0	0
	0.4%	0.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	73	39	19	0	15
	16.5%	20.1%	17.1%	3.6%	11.6%
	75	31	15	6	22
	17.0%	15.8%	14.2%	53.3%	17.7%

19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Better variety of stores/shops/retail				
National names/Big box stores				
Longer/Later hours				
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants				
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons			a	
Fresh produce	a	a	a	
Can't find what I want			a	
Book stores		a	a	a
Better parking			a	
High end retail/Quality			a	a
Reasonable prices				
Arts/Crafts			a	a
Better dining choices			a	
Other mention - Positive		a	a	a
Other mention - Negative	a		a	
Nothing/None			a	a
DK/NA			A B D	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	442	74	67	31	40	140	89
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	20	19	10	18	53	35
National names/Big box stores	35.3%	27.5%	28.5%	31.2%	46.3%	38.0%	39.4%
Longer/Later hours	36	7	7	1	4	12	5
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	8.1%	8.8%	10.6%	4.6%	10.9%	8.5%	5.3%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	13	2	3	2	0	4	1
Fresh produce	3.0%	3.1%	4.4%	7.5%	0.0%	3.1%	1.5%
Can't find what I want	30	4	8	1	2	10	5
Book stores	6.7%	5.8%	11.1%	4.1%	3.9%	7.4%	5.3%
Better parking	10	2	1	1	2	2	3
High end retail/Quality	2.3%	2.6%	0.8%	4.1%	5.5%	1.2%	2.8%
Reasonable prices	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Arts/Crafts	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Better dining choices	5	1	0	1	0	1	2
Other mention - Positive	1.1%	1.7%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.7%	2.2%
Other mention - Negative	6	0	3	0	0	3	0
Nothing/None	1.3%	0.7%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	43	8	4	3	3	17	9
	9.8%	10.5%	6.1%	11.2%	6.3%	12.0%	9.6%
	7	2	1	0	4	1	0
	1.6%	2.8%	1.1%	0.0%	8.9%	0.6%	0.0%
	66	7	16	8	3	22	11
	15.0%	9.0%	23.6%	25.7%	7.1%	15.4%	12.5%
	3	2	0	1	0	1	0
	0.8%	2.2%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
	15	1	4	0	2	4	4
	3.5%	0.9%	6.1%	0.0%	5.2%	3.0%	4.8%
	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	0.1%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
	2	0	1	0	0	1	0
	0.4%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
	73	14	14	7	7	18	13
	16.5%	18.3%	21.0%	23.2%	18.1%	12.6%	14.7%
	75	15	8	4	6	26	17
	17.0%	20.0%	11.4%	12.2%	14.5%	18.3%	19.3%

19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Better variety of stores/shops/retail						
National names/Big box stores						
Longer/Later hours				a		
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants						
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons						
Fresh produce	a	a	a	a	a	
Can't find what I want		a		a		
Book stores			a	a		a
Better parking						
High end retail/Quality			a	E		a
Reasonable prices						
Arts/Crafts		a		a		a
Better dining choices			a			
Other mention - Positive	a		a	a	a	a
Other mention - Negative	a	a		a	a	
Other mention	a		a	a		a
Nothing/None						
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date				
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	442	126	51	61	49
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156 35.3%	44 34.8%	17 33.2%	25 41.1%	15 30.3%
National names/Big box stores	36 8.1%	11 9.0%	0 1.0%	7 10.8%	4 7.1%
Longer/Later hours	13 3.0%	3 2.6%	1 2.4%	3 4.2%	2 3.2%
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	30 6.7%	5 4.2%	5 10.4%	10 15.8%	3 6.8%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	10 2.3%	1 0.7%	0 0.0%	3 5.2%	3 5.9%
Fresh produce	1 0.2%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Can't find what I want	5 1.1%	2 1.5%	0 0.0%	1 1.7%	1 1.3%
Book stores	6 1.3%	2 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.1%
Better parking	43 9.8%	10 7.7%	7 13.5%	5 7.5%	5 9.5%
High end retail/Quality	7 1.6%	1 0.6%	1 2.0%	1 2.3%	1 1.3%
Reasonable prices	66 15.0%	26 20.5%	7 13.8%	11 17.9%	8 16.2%
Arts/Crafts	3 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.3%
Better dining choices	15 3.5%	1 0.6%	5 10.2%	1 1.6%	1 1.7%
Other mention - Positive	1 0.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	2 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.7%
Other mention	2 0.4%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Nothing/None	73 16.5%	17 13.3%	6 12.7%	5 7.5%	12 25.1%
DK/NA	75 17.0%	28 22.1%	9 17.0%	12 19.9%	5 10.3%

19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	36	36	45	37
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	13 37.1%	13 37.2%	19 42.1%	9 25.3%
National names/Big box stores	6 15.2%	1 2.4%	4 9.6%	3 9.2%
Longer/Later hours	0 0.0%	2 4.4%	2 5.5%	1 1.8%
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	1 4.1%	1 2.7%	3 6.2%	1 2.0%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	0 0.0%	1 3.9%	1 2.2%	1 2.2%
Fresh produce	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Can't find what I want	1 1.7%	0 0.0%	1 1.5%	0 0.0%
Book stores	1 2.2%	1 1.5%	1 3.3%	0 1.3%
Better parking	2 6.8%	5 14.8%	5 10.5%	5 13.2%
High end retail/Quality	1 2.7%	1 2.2%	1 1.8%	1 2.2%
Reasonable prices	2 6.4%	7 19.8%	3 7.1%	2 4.3%
Arts/Crafts	1 2.3%	0 0.0%	1 3.2%	0 1.3%
Better dining choices	4 11.2%	2 5.9%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Positive	1 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	0 0.0%	1 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	0 0.0%	1 3.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Nothing/None	7 19.5%	8 23.6%	6 14.0%	11 29.0%
DK/NA	4 10.7%	3 7.7%	7 15.2%	8 20.8%

19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail				
	National names/Big box stores				
	Longer/Later hours				
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants				
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons		a		
	Fresh produce		a		a
	Can't find what I want		a		
	Book stores		a	a	
	Better parking				
	High end retail/Quality				
	Reasonable prices				
	Arts/Crafts	a	a	a	
	Better dining choices		A		
	Other mention - Positive	a	a	a	a
	Other mention - Negative	a	a	a	
	Other mention		a	a	a
	Nothing/None				
DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail				
	National names/Big box stores				
	Longer/Later hours	a			
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants				
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	a			
	Fresh produce	a	a	a	a
	Can't find what I want		a		a
	Book stores				
	Better parking				
	High end retail/Quality				
	Reasonable prices				
	Arts/Crafts		a		
	Better dining choices	A			a
	Other mention - Positive		a	a	a
	Other mention - Negative	a		a	a
	Other mention	a		a	a
	Nothing/None				
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date						
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8
Total	442	78	22	189	37	12	15	66
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	18	10	62	16	3	5	31
National names/Big box stores	35.3%	23.7%	46.8%	33.0%	44.7%	25.1%	31.4%	46.5%
Longer/Later hours	36	10	1	14	4	1	2	4
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	8.1%	13.2%	6.6%	7.1%	11.0%	6.9%	11.8%	6.1%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	13	2	1	9	1	0	0	0
Fresh produce	3.0%	3.0%	2.9%	5.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Can't find what I want	30	7	3	13	1	0	0	2
Book stores	6.7%	8.8%	14.8%	7.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
Better parking	10	3	0	4	0	0	2	1
High end retail/Quality	2.3%	3.9%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	11.3%	2.1%
Reasonable prices	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arts/Crafts	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Better dining choices	5	2	0	2	0	0	0	1
Other mention - Positive	1.1%	2.4%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Other mention - Negative	6	1	1	3	1	1	0	0
Other mention	1.3%	1.0%	2.5%	1.6%	1.9%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Nothing/None	43	7	1	21	4	3	2	5
DK/NA	9.8%	9.5%	4.2%	11.3%	10.9%	22.0%	14.6%	7.1%
	7	2	0	1	2	0	0	2
	1.6%	2.2%	2.0%	0.4%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%
	66	10	2	31	5	2	2	11
	15.0%	12.4%	9.0%	16.6%	14.5%	13.5%	15.8%	16.5%
	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%	1.2%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	15	2	0	7	1	2	1	2
	3.5%	2.9%	0.0%	3.6%	2.7%	18.5%	5.4%	3.5%
	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	0.5%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.8%	0.0%
	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
	0.4%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	73	18	3	30	1	1	1	12
	16.5%	23.0%	15.4%	15.8%	3.9%	4.2%	5.2%	18.0%
	75	15	2	40	6	3	2	5
	17.0%	19.1%	10.7%	21.3%	17.5%	20.7%	13.9%	7.6%

		Date
		Dec. 9
	Total	23
	Better variety of stores/shops/retail	10 44.1%
	National names/Big box stores	0 0.0%
	Longer/Later hours	0 0.0%
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	3 12.9%
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	0 0.0%
	Fresh produce	0 0.0%
	Can't find what I want	1 2.7%
	Book stores	0 0.0%
	Better parking	0 0.0%
	High end retail/Quality	0 0.0%
	Reasonable prices	3 12.4%
	Arts/Crafts	0 0.0%
	Better dining choices	0 0.0%
	Other mention - Positive	0 0.0%
	Other mention - Negative	0 0.0%
	Other mention	0 0.0%
	Nothing/None	7 30.9%
	DK/NA	1 6.2%

19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail								
	National names/Big box stores								a
	Longer/Later hours					a	a	a	a
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants					a	a		
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons		a		a	a			a
	Fresh produce		a	a		a			a
	Can't find what I want		a		a	a	a		a
	Book stores						a	a	a
	Better parking								a
	High end retail/Quality					a	a		a
	Reasonable prices								
	Arts/Crafts		a			a	a	a	a
	Better dining choices		a	a					a
	Other mention - Positive	a	a	a	a	a		a	a
	Other mention - Negative	a		a	a	a		a	a
	Other mention	a		a		a	a	a	a
Nothing/None									
DK/NA									

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	442	346	96
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	123	33
National names/Big box stores	36	27	9
Longer/Later hours	13	9	4
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	30	22	7
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	10	8	2
Fresh produce	1	1	0
Can't find what I want	5	5	0
Book stores	6	5	1
Better parking	43	36	7
High end retail/Quality	7	7	0
Reasonable prices	66	55	11
Arts/Crafts	3	2	1
Better dining choices	15	15	1
Other mention - Positive	1	1	0
Other mention - Negative	2	2	0
Other mention	2	1	1
Nothing/None	73	55	18
DK/NA	75	53	22
	17.0%	15.4%	22.5%

19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail		
	National names/Big box stores		
	Longer/Later hours		
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants		
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons		
	Fresh produce		a
	Can't find what I want		a
	Book stores		
	Better parking		
	High end retail/Quality		a
	Reasonable prices		
	Arts/Crafts		
	Better dining choices		
	Other mention - Positive		a
	Other mention - Negative		a
	Other mention		
	Nothing/None		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	442	279	163
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	94	62
National names/Big box stores	36	22	14
Longer/Later hours	13	9	4
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	30	15	15
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	10	7	3
Fresh produce	1	1	0
Can't find what I want	5	3	2
Book stores	6	6	0
Better parking	43	30	13
High end retail/Quality	7	7	0
Reasonable prices	66	42	24
Arts/Crafts	3	2	2
Better dining choices	15	11	4
Other mention - Positive	1	1	0
Other mention - Negative	2	2	0
Other mention	4	3	1
Nothing/None	73	47	26
DK/NA	75	42	33

19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
Better variety of stores/shops/retail		
National names/Big box stores		
Longer/Later hours		
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants		
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons		
Fresh produce		a
Can't find what I want		.
Book stores		a
Better parking		
High end retail/Quality		a
Reasonable prices		
Arts/Crafts		
Better dining choices		
Other mention - Positive		a
Other mention - Negative		a
Other mention		a
Nothing/None		
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Years Lived in Los Altos				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years
Total	442	3	28	74	58
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	1	4	29	30
	35.3%	40.4%	12.6%	38.5%	51.6%
National names/Big box stores	36	0	2	4	5
	8.1%	0.0%	6.7%	5.9%	8.3%
Longer/Later hours	13	0	1	3	0
	3.0%	0.0%	2.6%	3.4%	0.0%
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	30	0	3	8	4
	6.7%	0.0%	9.6%	11.3%	6.2%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	10	0	0	1	1
	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	1.6%
Fresh produce	1	0	0	1	0
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Can't find what I want	5	0	0	0	1
	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Book stores	6	0	0	0	0
	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Better parking	43	0	4	4	3
	9.8%	0.0%	13.6%	5.6%	5.8%
High end retail/Quality	7	0	0	2	0
	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Reasonable prices	66	0	4	9	8
	15.0%	0.0%	13.7%	12.3%	14.1%
Arts/Crafts	3	0	0	0	0
	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Better dining choices	15	0	0	6	0
	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	8.4%	0.0%
Other mention - Positive	1	0	0	0	0
	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	2	0	0	1	0
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Other mention	2	0	0	1	1
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.9%
Nothing/None	73	2	4	12	8
	16.5%	55.9%	12.4%	15.6%	13.3%
DK/NA	75	0	10	12	11
	17.0%	3.7%	34.7%	16.1%	18.6%

	Years Lived in Los Altos		
	16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	111	164	4
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	41	50	2
	36.7%	30.4%	67.8%
National names/Big box stores	12	13	0
	11.2%	7.7%	0.0%
Longer/Later hours	4	6	0
	3.9%	3.5%	0.0%
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	6	7	2
	5.5%	4.5%	44.7%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	2	6	0
	1.9%	3.6%	0.0%
Fresh produce	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Can't find what I want	2	3	0
	1.4%	1.6%	0.0%
Book stores	3	3	0
	2.9%	1.6%	0.0%
Better parking	14	17	1
	12.5%	10.6%	23.5%
High end retail/Quality	3	2	0
	3.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Reasonable prices	20	25	0
	17.8%	15.4%	0.0%
Arts/Crafts	1	3	0
	0.6%	1.7%	0.0%
Better dining choices	6	3	0
	5.1%	2.1%	0.0%
Other mention - Positive	1	0	0
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	0	1	0
	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Other mention	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nothing/None	20	28	0
	18.0%	17.2%	0.0%
DK/NA	9	33	0
	8.4%	20.0%	8.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail	.	.	.	B	.
	National names/Big box stores	a
	Longer/Later hours	a	.	.	a	.
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	a
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	a	a	.	.	.
	Fresh produce	a	a	.	a	a
	Can't find what I want	a	a	a	.	.
	Book stores	a	a	.	a	.
	Better parking	a
	High end retail/Quality	a	a	.	a	.
	Reasonable prices	a
	Arts/Crafts	a	a	a	a	.
	Better dining choices	a	a	.	a	.
	Other mention - Positive	a	a	a	.	.
	Other mention - Negative	a	a	.	a	a
	Other mention	a	a	.	.	a
	Nothing/None
	DK/NA	.	E	.	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(F)	(G)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail	.	.
	National names/Big box stores	.	a
	Longer/Later hours	.	a
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	.	E F
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	.	a
	Fresh produce	a	a
	Can't find what I want	.	a
	Book stores	.	a
	Better parking	.	.
	High end retail/Quality	.	a
	Reasonable prices	.	a
	Arts/Crafts	.	a
	Better dining choices	.	a
	Other mention - Positive	a	a
	Other mention - Negative	.	a
	Other mention	a	a
	Nothing/None	.	a
	DK/NA	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Employment Status				
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student
Total	442	201	22	41	19
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	73	11	19	7
National names/Big box stores	35.3%	36.3%	51.7%	46.9%	35.5%
Longer/Later hours	36	12	2	4	3
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	8.1%	6.1%	10.9%	10.8%	14.1%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	13	7	0	3	0
Fresh produce	3.0%	3.7%	0.0%	6.4%	0.0%
Can't find what I want	30	11	5	5	1
Book stores	6.7%	5.6%	21.5%	11.7%	3.8%
Better parking	10	4	0	2	0
High end retail/Quality	2.3%	2.1%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Reasonable prices	1	1	0	0	0
Arts/Crafts	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Better dining choices	5	3	1	1	0
Other mention - Positive	1.1%	1.3%	4.4%	1.6%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	6	3	0	0	0
Other mention	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nothing/None	43	15	7	1	0
DK/NA	9.8%	7.5%	31.4%	2.1%	0.0%
	7	1	2	2	0
	1.6%	0.5%	7.0%	6.0%	0.0%
	66	30	2	9	5
	15.0%	14.9%	9.5%	21.2%	23.9%
	3	0	0	1	1
	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	4.4%
	15	10	1	2	0
	3.5%	5.1%	3.5%	5.1%	0.0%
	1	0	0	0	0
	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	2	0	0	0	0
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	2	1	0	0	0
	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	73	28	3	4	4
	16.5%	14.2%	15.0%	10.8%	20.8%
	75	41	1	5	4
	17.0%	20.4%	3.7%	11.9%	20.4%

	Employment Status			
	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	124	14	16	4
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	32	4	9	1
National names/Big box stores	25.8%	28.5%	54.6%	19.6%
Longer/Later hours	10	1	4	0
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	7.8%	6.3%	21.7%	0.0%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	2	0	1	0
Fresh produce	1.8%	0.0%	6.4%	0.0%
Can't find what I want	5	3	0	0
Book stores	4.3%	20.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Better parking	2	0	2	0
High end retail/Quality	1.7%	0.0%	13.0%	0.0%
Reasonable prices	0	0	0	0
Arts/Crafts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Better dining choices	1	0	0	0
Other mention - Positive	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	3	0	0	0
Other mention	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nothing/None	14	4	3	0
DK/NA	11.3%	28.2%	16.5%	0.0%
	1	1	0	0
	1.0%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
	15	1	4	1
	11.9%	7.1%	26.0%	17.1%
	2	0	0	0
	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	2	0	0	0
	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	1	0	0	0
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	1	0	1	0
	0.7%	0.0%	8.2%	0.0%
	0	1	0	0
	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
	31	1	0	0
	25.1%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%
	21	1	0	3
	16.8%	6.5%	0.0%	63.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status				
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?					
Better variety of stores/shops/retail					
National names/Big box stores					
Longer/Later hours		a		a	
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants		.		.	
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons		a		a	
Fresh produce		a	a	a	a
Can't find what I want	
Book stores		a	a	a	a
Better parking		A C		a	
High end retail/Quality				a	
Reasonable prices					
Arts/Crafts	a	a			
Better dining choices				a	
Other mention - Positive	a	a	a	a	a
Other mention - Negative	a	a	a	a	a
Other mention	.	a	a	a	a
Nothing/None	
DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(F)	(G)	(H)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?			
Better variety of stores/shops/retail			a
National names/Big box stores			a
Longer/Later hours	a		a
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants		a	a
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	a		a
Fresh produce	a	a	a
Can't find what I want	a	a	a
Book stores	a	a	a
Better parking	C		a
High end retail/Quality		a	a
Reasonable prices			
Arts/Crafts	a	a	a
Better dining choices	a	a	a
Other mention - Positive	a	a	a
Other mention - Negative	a	E	a
Other mention	.	a	a
Nothing/None		a	a
DK/NA		a	B

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work					
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
Total	264	5	2	1	12	30
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	103	1	1	0	4	11
	39.2%	27.5%	56.7%	0.0%	33.4%	36.3%
National names/Big box stores	19	1	0	0	1	0
	7.3%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%
Longer/Later hours	10	0	0	0	0	2
	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	21	0	0	0	0	1
	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	6	0	0	0	0	1
	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%
Fresh produce	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
Can't find what I want	4	0	0	0	0	1
	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
Book stores	3	0	0	0	0	0
	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Better parking	23	0	0	0	3	3
	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.0%	9.8%
High end retail/Quality	5	0	0	0	0	1
	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%
Reasonable prices	41	0	0	0	1	8
	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	27.7%
Arts/Crafts	1	0	0	0	0	0
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Better dining choices	13	0	0	0	1	2
	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%	6.0%
Other mention - Positive						
Other mention - Negative						
Other mention	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%
Nothing/None	36	3	1	1	3	4
	13.7%	72.5%	43.3%	100.0%	23.8%	12.9%
DK/NA	47	0	0	0	1	6
	17.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	19.9%

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

	County Where Work				
	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	205	2	0	5	2
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	82	2	0	1	1
	40.1%	100.0%	0.0%	18.2%	45.1%
National names/Big box stores	17	0	0	0	1
	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	54.9%
Longer/Later hours	8	0	0	0	0
	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	20	0	0	0	0
	9.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	5	0	0	0	0
	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fresh produce	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Can't find what I want	3	0	0	0	0
	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Book stores	3	0	0	0	0
	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Better parking	15	0	0	1	0
	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	25.7%	0.0%
High end retail/Quality	4	0	0	0	0
	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reasonable prices	30	0	0	1	0
	14.9%	0.0%	0.0%	25.7%	0.0%
Arts/Crafts	1	0	0	0	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Better dining choices	10	0	0	0	0
	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention - Positive					
Other mention - Negative					
Other mention	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nothing/None	23	0	0	1	0
	11.2%	0.0%	100.0%	14.6%	0.0%
DK/NA	38	0	0	2	0
	18.5%	0.0%	0.0%	41.5%	0.0%

19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail			a,b			
	National names/Big box stores		b	a,b		b	
	Longer/Later hours	b		a,b	b		
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	b	b	a,b	b		
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	b	b	a,b	b		
	Fresh produce	b	b	a,b	b		b
	Can't find what I want	b	b	a,b	b		
	Book stores	b	b	a,b	b	b	
	Better parking	b	b	a,b			
	High end retail/Quality	b	b	a,b	b		
	Reasonable prices	b	b	a,b			
	Arts/Crafts	b	b	a,b	b	b	
	Better dining choices	b	b	a,b			
	Other mention	b	b	a,b	b		b
	Nothing/None	E F		a,b			
DK/NA	b	b	a,b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail	b	a,b		
	National names/Big box stores	b	a,b	b	
	Longer/Later hours	b	a,b	b	b
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	b	a,b	b	b
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	b	a,b	b	b
	Fresh produce	b	a,b	b	b
	Can't find what I want	b	a,b	b	b
	Book stores	b	a,b	b	b
	Better parking	b	a,b		b
	High end retail/Quality	b	a,b	b	b
	Reasonable prices	b	a,b		b
	Arts/Crafts	b	a,b	b	b
	Better dining choices	b	a,b	b	b
	Other mention	b	a,b	b	b
	Nothing/None	b	a,b		b
DK/NA	b	a,b		b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Total	442	1	26	4
	Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	1	10	1
	National names/Big box stores	35.3%	100.0%	37.6%	20.7%
	Longer/Later hours	36	0	2	0
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	8.1%	0.0%	8.9%	0.0%
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	13	0	0	0
	Fresh produce	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Can't find what I want	30	0	4	0
	Book stores	6.7%	0.0%	14.7%	0.0%
	Better parking	10	0	0	0
	High end retail/Quality	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Reasonable prices	1	0	1	0
	Arts/Crafts	0.2%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%
	Better dining choices	5	0	0	0
	Other mention	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Nothing/None	6	0	0	0
	DK/NA	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		43	0	1	3
		9.8%	0.0%	5.3%	79.3%
		7	0	0	0
		1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		66	0	2	2
		15.0%	0.0%	6.2%	47.5%
		3	0	0	0
		0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	15	0	2	0	
	3.5%	0.0%	7.3%	0.0%	
	1	0	0	0	
	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	2	0	0	0	
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	2	0	0	0	
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	73	0	4	0	
	16.5%	0.0%	15.9%	0.0%	
	75	0	4	0	
	17.0%	0.0%	16.0%	0.0%	

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese
Total	19	4	1	2
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	8 40.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
National names/Big box stores	0 0.0%	1 15.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Longer/Later hours	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	2 8.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	0 0.0%	1 18.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Fresh produce	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Can't find what I want	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%
Book stores	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Better parking	0 0.0%	1 30.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
High end retail/Quality	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Reasonable prices	5 28.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%
Arts/Crafts	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Better dining choices	1 5.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Positive	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 52.1%
Nothing/None	3 14.8%	2 51.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	4 18.1%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	5	326	11	16
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	1 29.8%	118 36.1%	6 54.0%	6 34.8%
National names/Big box stores	2 44.5%	29 9.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Longer/Later hours	0 0.0%	9 2.9%	0 0.0%	1 3.7%
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	0 0.0%	24 7.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	0 0.0%	9 2.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Fresh produce	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Can't find what I want	0 0.0%	4 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Book stores	0 0.0%	6 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Better parking	0 0.0%	34 10.4%	0 0.0%	2 14.8%
High end retail/Quality	0 0.0%	6 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Reasonable prices	1 14.9%	47 14.6%	3 25.4%	1 4.3%
Arts/Crafts	0 0.0%	3 1.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Better dining choices	0 0.0%	11 3.3%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%
Other mention - Positive	0 0.0%	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	0 0.0%	2 0.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 4.4%
Nothing/None	0 0.0%	56 17.2%	2 19.3%	3 16.2%
DK/NA	1 25.7%	49 14.9%	1 10.0%	6 35.8%

	Ethnic Group	
	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	5	20
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	0	6
	0.0%	28.1%
National names/Big box stores	1	1
	20.4%	2.6%
Longer/Later hours	0	2
	0.0%	9.5%
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
Fresh produce	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
Can't find what I want	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
Book stores	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
Better parking	1	0
	15.2%	0.0%
High end retail/Quality	0	1
	0.0%	4.0%
Reasonable prices	0	4
	0.0%	21.7%
Arts/Crafts	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
Better dining choices	0	1
	0.0%	4.0%
Other mention - Positive	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention - Negative	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
Other mention	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
Nothing/None	1	2
	17.5%	8.8%
DK/NA	2	7
	47.0%	34.8%

19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail	a,b	.	.	.
	National names/Big box stores	a,b	.	.	b
	Longer/Later hours	a,b	b	b	.
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	a,b	.	b	.
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	a,b	b	b	b
	Fresh produce	a,b	.	b	.
	Can't find what I want	a,b	b	b	b
	Book stores	a,b	b	b	b
	Better parking	a,b	.	B I	.
	High end retail/Quality	a,b	b	b	b
	Reasonable prices	a,b	.	.	.
	Arts/Crafts	a,b	b	b	b
	Better dining choices	a,b	.	b	.
	Other mention - Positive	a,b	b	b	b
	Other mention - Negative	a,b	b	b	b
	Other mention	a,b	b	b	b
	Nothing/None	a,b	.	b	.
	DK/NA	a,b	.	b	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail	b	a,b	b	.
	National names/Big box stores	.	a,b	b	.
	Longer/Later hours	b	a,b	b	b
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	b	a,b	b	b
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	.	a,b	b	b
	Fresh produce	b	a,b	b	b
	Can't find what I want	b	a,b		b
	Book stores	b	a,b	b	b
	Better parking	.	a,b	b	b
	High end retail/Quality	b	a,b	b	b
	Reasonable prices	b	a,b	.	.
	Arts/Crafts	b	a,b	b	b
	Better dining choices	b	a,b	b	b
	Other mention - Positive	b	a,b	b	b
	Other mention - Negative	b	a,b	b	b
	Other mention	b	a,b	K	b
	Nothing/None	.	a,b	b	.
DK/NA	b	a,b	b	.	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail	.	.	.	b
	National names/Big box stores	.	b	b	.
	Longer/Later hours	.	b	.	b
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	.	b	b	b
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	.	b	b	b
	Fresh produce	b	b	b	b
	Can't find what I want	.	b	b	b
	Book stores	.	b	b	b
	Better parking	.	b	.	b
	High end retail/Quality	.	b	b	b
	Reasonable prices	.	.	.	b
	Arts/Crafts	.	b	b	b
	Better dining choices	.	b	.	b
	Other mention - Positive	.	b	b	b
	Other mention - Negative	.	b	b	b
	Other mention	b	b	.	b
	Nothing/None
DK/NA	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail	
	National names/Big box stores	
	Longer/Later hours	
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	. b
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	. b
	Fresh produce	. b
	Can't find what I want	. b
	Book stores	. b
	Better parking	. b
	High end retail/Quality	
	Reasonable prices	
	Arts/Crafts	. b
	Better dining choices	
	Other mention - Positive	. b
	Other mention - Negative	. b
	Other mention	. b
	Nothing/None	
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Total	442	201	240
	Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156 35.3%	72 35.7%	84 35.1%
	National names/Big box stores	36 8.1%	14 7.2%	22 9.0%
	Longer/Later hours	13 3.0%	4 2.2%	9 3.7%
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	30 6.7%	17 8.6%	12 5.1%
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	10 2.3%	4 2.2%	6 2.4%
	Fresh produce	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	1 0.4%
	Can't find what I want	5 1.1%	1 0.5%	4 1.6%
	Book stores	6 1.3%	2 0.9%	4 1.7%
	Better parking	43 9.8%	20 9.8%	24 9.8%
	High end retail/Quality	7 1.6%	7 3.6%	0 0.0%
	Reasonable prices	66 15.0%	28 13.7%	38 16.0%
	Arts/Crafts	3 0.8%	2 0.8%	2 0.8%
	Better dining choices	15 3.5%	6 3.1%	9 3.8%
	Other mention - Positive	1 0.1%	1 0.3%	0 0.0%
	Other mention - Negative	2 0.5%	0 0.0%	2 0.9%
	Other mention	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	2 0.8%
	Nothing/None	73 16.5%	37 18.4%	36 14.9%
	DK/NA	75 17.0%	32 16.1%	43 17.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail		
	National names/Big box stores		
	Longer/Later hours		
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants		
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons		
	Fresh produce	a	
	Can't find what I want		
	Book stores		
	Better parking		a
	High end retail/Quality		
	Reasonable prices		
	Arts/Crafts		
	Better dining choices		
	Other mention - Positive		a
	Other mention - Negative	a	
	Other mention	a	
	Nothing/None		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Total	442	20	418	3
	Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	10	143	3
	National names/Big box stores	35.3%	48.2%	34.2%	100.0%
	Longer/Later hours	36	1	35	0
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	8.1%	5.3%	8.3%	0.0%
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	13	0	13	0
	Fresh produce	3.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%
	Can't find what I want	30	1	29	0
	Book stores	6.7%	4.0%	6.9%	0.0%
	Better parking	10	0	10	0
	High end retail/Quality	2.3%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
	Reasonable prices	1	0	1	0
	Arts/Crafts	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
	Better dining choices	5	0	5	0
	Other mention - Positive	1.1%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
	Other mention - Negative	6	0	6	0
	Other mention	1.3%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
	Nothing/None	43	1	42	0
	DK/NA	9.8%	4.1%	10.2%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail			a
	National names/Big box stores			a
	Longer/Later hours	a		a
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants			a
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	a		a
	Fresh produce	a		a
	Can't find what I want	a		a
	Book stores	a		a
	Better parking			a
	High end retail/Quality	a		a
	Reasonable prices	a		a
	Arts/Crafts	a		a
	Better dining choices			a
	Other mention - Positive	a		a
	Other mention - Negative	a		a
	Other mention	a		a
	Nothing/None			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Total	442	90	60	123	168
	Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	43	20	35	57
	National names/Big box stores	35.3%	48.1%	34.2%	28.6%	33.8%
	Longer/Later hours	36	9	5	13	9
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	8.1%	9.7%	9.1%	10.7%	5.1%
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	13	1	3	1	9
	Fresh produce	3.0%	1.0%	4.9%	0.6%	5.2%
	Can't find what I want	30	5	3	10	11
	Book stores	6.7%	5.5%	5.1%	8.5%	6.6%
	Better parking	10	1	1	5	4
	High end retail/Quality	2.3%	0.9%	0.9%	3.9%	2.4%
	Reasonable prices	1	0	0	1	0
	Arts/Crafts	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
	Better dining choices	5	1	0	3	1
	Other mention - Positive	1.1%	1.4%	0.0%	2.1%	0.6%
	Other mention - Negative	6	0	0	3	3
	Other mention	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	1.9%
	Nothing/None	43	7	4	15	17
	DK/NA	9.8%	7.8%	7.5%	12.0%	10.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?				
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	C			
National names/Big box stores				
Longer/Later hours				
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants				
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons				
Fresh produce	a	a		a
Can't find what I want		a		
Book stores	a	a		
Better parking				
High end retail/Quality				
Reasonable prices				
Arts/Crafts	a			
Better dining choices		a		
Other mention - Positive	a	a	a	
Other mention - Negative		a		a
Other mention		a		a
Nothing/None		A C D	A B	A
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	442	52	70	51	68	200
Total	442	52	70	51	68	200
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	156	18	27	16	23	72
National names/Big box stores	35.3%	34.3%	39.0%	30.4%	33.8%	36.1%
Longer/Later hours	36	4	5	6	5	16
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	8.1%	7.3%	7.2%	10.8%	7.6%	8.2%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	13	3	0	0	3	7
Fresh produce	3.0%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	3.3%
Can't find what I want	30	1	8	6	3	13
Book stores	6.7%	1.8%	10.7%	10.8%	4.1%	6.4%
Better parking	10	0	0	1	2	7
High end retail/Quality	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	3.2%	3.4%
Reasonable prices	1	0	1	0	0	0
Arts/Crafts	0.2%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Better dining choices	5	0	1	0	1	3
Other mention - Positive	1.1%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.5%	1.6%
Other mention - Negative	6	0	2	0	1	3
Other mention	1.3%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	1.0%	1.6%
Nothing/None	43	3	4	9	2	24
DK/NA	9.8%	5.7%	6.4%	18.0%	3.3%	12.1%
	7	0	1	1	3	3
	1.6%	0.0%	1.0%	1.8%	4.1%	1.4%
	66	9	12	9	8	28
	15.0%	18.1%	16.8%	17.9%	11.1%	14.1%
	3	0	0	1	1	2
	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	1.2%	0.8%
	15	0	6	3	1	5
	3.5%	0.0%	8.9%	6.1%	1.2%	2.6%
	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
	2	0	0	1	0	1
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.4%
	2	0	0	0	1	1
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.6%
	73	11	5	7	16	34
	16.5%	21.6%	7.1%	13.0%	23.3%	17.0%
	75	13	15	7	12	28
	17.0%	24.7%	21.6%	13.7%	18.2%	13.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail					
	National names/Big box stores					
	Longer/Later hours		a	a		
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants					
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	a	a			
	Fresh produce	a		a	a	a
	Can't find what I want	a		a		
	Book stores	a		a		
	Better parking					
	High end retail/Quality	a				
	Reasonable prices					
	Arts/Crafts	a	a			
	Better dining choices	a				
	Other mention - Positive	a	a	a	a	
	Other mention - Negative	a	a		a	
	Other mention	a	a	a		
	Nothing/None					
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Total	440	120	75	54	53	53
	Better variety of stores/shops/retail	155	37	18	22	22	32
	National names/Big box stores	35.3%	30.8%	24.1%	41.1%	42.2%	60.5%
	Longer/Later hours	36	14	5	7	1	2
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	8.2%	11.5%	6.9%	12.1%	2.4%	3.2%
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	13	5	2	0	3	2
	Fresh produce	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%	0.0%	5.8%	3.6%
	Can't find what I want	30	10	5	2	2	3
	Book stores	6.7%	8.2%	7.3%	3.8%	4.5%	5.3%
	Better parking	10	2	1	4	0	1
	High end retail/Quality	2.3%	2.1%	1.2%	7.9%	0.0%	1.5%
	Reasonable prices	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Arts/Crafts	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Better dining choices	5	2	1	0	1	0
	Other mention - Positive	1.1%	1.3%	0.8%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
	Other mention - Negative	6	5	1	0	0	0
	Other mention	1.3%	4.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Nothing/None	43	10	6	12	1	4
	DK/NA	9.8%	8.7%	7.4%	22.1%	1.7%	6.9%
		7	1	1	3	2	0
	1.6%	1.2%	1.9%	4.9%	3.3%	0.0%	
	66	27	7	9	5	6	
	15.0%	22.6%	9.2%	17.5%	9.4%	11.5%	
	3	2	0	2	0	0	
	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
	15	5	1	1	2	1	
	3.5%	4.0%	1.3%	2.5%	2.9%	1.8%	
	1	0	1	0	0	0	
	0.1%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	2	0	0	0	1	1	
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	2.5%	
	2	1	0	1	0	0	
	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	73	19	20	7	11	5	
	16.6%	16.0%	26.7%	13.5%	21.2%	8.5%	
	74	17	14	5	10	8	
	16.8%	13.8%	19.1%	8.6%	17.9%	14.9%	

	Party by Gender		
	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	72	2	10
Better variety of stores/shops/retail	20 27.7%	0 0.0%	3 31.5%
National names/Big box stores	7 9.5%	0 0.0%	1 5.4%
Longer/Later hours	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%
Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants	6 8.5%	0 0.0%	1 9.1%
Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons	2 2.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Fresh produce	1 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Can't find what I want	2 2.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Book stores	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Better parking	11 14.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
High end retail/Quality	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Reasonable prices	10 13.2%	1 58.4%	1 9.1%
Arts/Crafts	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Better dining choices	6 8.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Positive	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention - Negative	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other mention	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Nothing/None	10 13.9%	0 0.0%	0 4.2%
DK/NA	14 19.9%	1 41.6%	6 55.2%

19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail					
	National names/Big box stores					A B F
	Longer/Later hours			b		
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants					
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons				b	b
	Fresh produce	b	b	b	b	b
	Can't find what I want			b	b	b
	Book stores			b	b	b
	Better parking			D		
	High end retail/Quality					b
	Reasonable prices					
	Arts/Crafts		b		b	b
	Better dining choices					
	Other mention - Positive	b		b	b	b
	Other mention - Negative	b	b	b	b	b
	Other mention		b		b	b
	Nothing/None					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
19. What would make you shop in Los Altos more often?	Better variety of stores/shops/retail		a,b	
	National names/Big box stores		a,b	
	Longer/Later hours		a,b	
	Casual dining/Bars/Restaurants		a,b	
	Fewer boutiques/Nail and hair salons		a,b	b
	Fresh produce		a,b	b
	Can't find what I want		a,b	b
	Book stores	b	a,b	b
	Better parking		a,b	b
	High end retail/Quality	b	a,b	b
	Reasonable prices		a	
	Arts/Crafts	b	a,b	b
	Better dining choices		a,b	b
	Other mention - Positive	b	a,b	b
	Other mention - Negative	b	a,b	b
	Other mention	b	a,b	b
	Nothing/None		a,b	
	DK/NA		a	A C

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
	Total	446	446
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	114 25.5%	114 25.5%
	Somewhat support	134 30.1%	134 30.1%
	Somewhat oppose	73 16.3%	73 16.3%
	Strongly oppose	98 21.9%	98 21.9%
	DK/NA	28 6.2%	28 6.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	.
	Somewhat support	.
	Somewhat oppose	.
	Strongly oppose	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
	Total	446	213	231	2
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	114 25.5%	56 26.0%	58 25.3%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat support	134 30.1%	59 27.4%	76 32.9%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	73 16.3%	36 16.8%	37 15.9%	0 0.0%
	Strongly oppose	98 21.9%	54 25.1%	42 18.3%	2 100.0%
	DK/NA	28 6.2%	10 4.7%	18 7.6%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	.	.	a
	Somewhat support	.	.	a
	Somewhat oppose	.	.	a
	Strongly oppose	.	.	a
	DK/NA	.	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	114 25.5%	17 28.1%	18 51.6%	14 17.8%	37 26.5%	26 21.1%	2 21.6%
	Somewhat support	134 30.1%	24 40.0%	6 16.0%	23 29.0%	41 29.2%	37 29.6%	4 56.6%
	Somewhat oppose	73 16.3%	7 12.6%	5 13.8%	15 19.0%	21 15.3%	23 18.2%	1 12.9%
	Strongly oppose	98 21.9%	6 9.8%	6 16.5%	24 29.9%	34 24.3%	28 22.1%	1 8.9%
	DK/NA	28 6.2%	6 9.5%	1 2.1%	4 4.4%	7 4.7%	11 9.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support		C E				
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Total	446	357	80	9
	Strongly support	114	82	31	1
	Somewhat support	134	99	30	5
	Somewhat oppose	73	69	4	0
	Strongly oppose	98	90	6	1
	DK/NA	28	17	9	1

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support		A	
	Somewhat support			a
	Somewhat oppose	B		
	DK/NA	B		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Strongly support	114	65	16	2	31
	Somewhat support	134	59	29	3	44
	Somewhat oppose	73	25	24	3	22
	Strongly oppose	98	33	35	5	25
	DK/NA	28	16	5	0	6

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	B			
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose		A		
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Strongly support	114	22	28	5	8	32	19
	Somewhat support	134	22	19	8	8	43	34
	Somewhat oppose	73	11	7	7	9	26	13
	Strongly oppose	98	13	10	9	15	33	18
	DK/NA	28	7	5	3	0	6	6
		6.2%	9.9%	7.3%	9.7%	0.0%	4.6%	6.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support						
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Strongly support	114	32	18	20	10
	Somewhat support	134	47	8	21	12
	Somewhat oppose	73	14	14	8	14
	Strongly oppose	98	28	8	8	11
	DK/NA	28	6	4	4	4
		6.2%	4.5%	6.9%	6.1%	8.3%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Total	36	36	46	38
	Strongly support	9	8	9	8
	Somewhat support	10	11	13	12
	Somewhat oppose	5	5	7	7
	Strongly oppose	8	11	14	10
	DK/NA	4	2	2	1
		11.7%	6.8%	5.3%	3.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Strongly support	114	18	4	50	11	1	4	21	5
	Somewhat support	134	28	4	40	11	4	3	37	7
	Somewhat oppose	73	17	7	33	7	4	2	1	1
	Strongly oppose	98	12	5	55	7	2	4	5	6
	DK/NA	28	5	1	11	0	2	2	2	4
		6.2%	6.4%	6.4%	5.9%	0.0%	12.5%	14.3%	2.6%	18.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support								
	Somewhat support								
	Somewhat oppose	G	G	G	G	G		C	
	Strongly oppose			G					
	DK/NA								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Total	446	349	97
	Strongly support	114	86	28
		25.5%	24.7%	28.5%
	Somewhat support	134	104	30
		30.1%	29.9%	30.8%
	Somewhat oppose	73	60	12
	16.3%	17.3%	12.7%	
Strongly oppose	98	75	23	
	21.9%	21.4%	23.8%	
DK/NA	28	23	4	
	6.2%	6.7%	4.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Total	446	282	164
	Strongly support	114	74	40
		25.5%	26.2%	24.3%
	Somewhat support	134	77	58
		30.1%	27.2%	35.2%
	Somewhat oppose	73	49	24
	16.3%	17.2%	14.6%	
Strongly oppose	98	65	33	
	21.9%	23.0%	20.2%	
DK/NA	28	18	9	
	6.2%	6.4%	5.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Strongly support	114	0	15	17	15	23
		25.5%	0.0%	52.9%	22.9%	26.0%	20.5%
	Somewhat support	134	0	3	26	21	42
		30.1%	3.7%	10.5%	35.7%	36.8%	38.1%
	Somewhat oppose	73	1	2	11	11	12
		16.3%	25.9%	5.4%	14.4%	18.2%	10.9%
	Strongly oppose	98	1	8	14	10	21
	21.9%	30.0%	28.6%	19.1%	17.6%	18.8%	
DK/NA	28	1	1	6	1	13	
	6.2%	40.4%	2.6%	7.9%	1.4%	11.7%	

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total		167	4
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	44 26.3%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat support	38 22.9%	3 76.9%
	Somewhat oppose	37 21.9%	0 0.0%
	Strongly oppose	43 25.5%	1 23.1%
	DK/NA	6 3.4%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	. ^a	C E F			
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA	D F				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(F)	(G)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support		. ^a
	Somewhat support		B
	Somewhat oppose		. ^a
	Strongly oppose		. ^a
	DK/NA		. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
Total		446	203	22	41	19	126
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	114 25.5%	62 30.7%	7 32.1%	8 19.4%	4 19.6%	23 18.5%
	Somewhat support	134 30.1%	50 24.9%	9 38.9%	20 47.7%	14 70.7%	32 25.4%
	Somewhat oppose	73 16.3%	29 14.2%	4 16.7%	5 13.2%	2 9.7%	29 22.6%
	Strongly oppose	98 21.9%	49 23.9%	1 2.3%	8 19.6%	0 0.0%	31 24.6%
	DK/NA	28 6.2%	13 6.3%	2 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	11 8.8%

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total		14	16	4
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	2 18.2%	7 41.1%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat support	5 40.3%	4 27.2%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat oppose	2 12.7%	1 5.5%	1 31.7%
	Strongly oppose	3 23.3%	3 21.3%	3 68.3%
	DK/NA	1 5.4%	1 5.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status				
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support				A E	
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose				. ^a	. ^a
	Strongly oppose				. ^a	. ^a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support			. ^a
	Somewhat support			. ^a
	Somewhat oppose			. ^a
	Strongly oppose			B

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work						
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?							
Strongly support	77	1	0	0	7	3	65
Somewhat support	29.1%	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%	59.2%	10.1%	31.6%
Somewhat oppose	79	3	2	0	2	14	56
Strongly oppose	29.6%	58.2%	100.0%	0.0%	12.4%	45.9%	27.0%
DK/NA	15	0	0	0	3	7	42
	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.4%	23.3%	20.5%
	0	0	0	0	0	4	9
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	14.4%	4.5%

	County Where Work			
	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	2	0	5	2
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?				
Strongly support	0	0	1	0
Somewhat support	0.0%	0.0%	25.7%	0.0%
Somewhat oppose	2	0	1	0
Strongly oppose	100.0%	0.0%	14.6%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	1
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	45.1%
	0	0	3	1
	0.0%	100.0%	59.7%	54.9%
	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support		a	a,b	E		
	Somewhat support		a	a,b			
	Somewhat oppose		a	a,b	a		
	Strongly oppose	a	a	a,b			
	DK/NA	a	a	a,b	a	F	

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	a	a,b		a
	Somewhat support	a	a,b		a
	Somewhat oppose	a	a,b	a	
	Strongly oppose	a	a,b		
	DK/NA	a	a,b	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
Total		446	1	26	5	19
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?						
Strongly support		114	0	7	1	9
Somewhat support		25.5%	0.0%	25.1%	14.2%	47.2%
Somewhat oppose		134	1	6	1	5
Strongly oppose		30.1%	100.0%	22.7%	17.7%	27.5%
DK/NA		73	0	7	0	2
		16.3%	0.0%	25.5%	0.0%	7.9%
		98	0	7	1	3
		21.9%	0.0%	26.6%	27.3%	17.4%
		28	0	0	2	0
		6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	40.8%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
Total		4	1	2	5
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?					
Strongly support		0	0	2	3
Somewhat support		0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	59.4%
Somewhat oppose		1	1	0	1
Strongly oppose		14.7%	100.0%	0.0%	25.7%
DK/NA		1	0	0	1
		15.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%
		0	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		3	0	0	0
		69.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		330	11	16	5	20
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	84 25.6%	0 0.0%	4 23.6%	1 20.4%	3 16.7%
	Somewhat support	101 30.6%	7 66.5%	3 20.6%	3 64.4%	3 16.2%
	Somewhat oppose	55 16.8%	2 14.3%	2 14.9%	0 0.0%	4 18.0%
	Strongly oppose	70 21.3%	2 19.2%	6 36.4%	0 0.0%	8 39.8%
	DK/NA	19 5.8%	0 0.0%	1 4.5%	1 15.2%	2 9.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	a,b			
	Somewhat support	a,b			
	Somewhat oppose	a,b		b	
	Strongly oppose	a,b			
	DK/NA	a,b	b		b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	b	a,b	b	
	Somewhat support		a,b	b	
	Somewhat oppose		a,b	b	
	Strongly oppose	b	a,b	b	b
	DK/NA	I,K	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support		b			
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose				b	
	Strongly oppose				b	
DK/NA		b				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
Total		446	203	243
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	114 25.5%	44 21.6%	70 28.8%
	Somewhat support	134 30.1%	70 34.4%	64 26.5%
	Somewhat oppose	73 16.3%	34 16.9%	38 15.7%
	Strongly oppose	98 21.9%	40 19.8%	58 23.7%
	DK/NA	28 6.2%	15 7.3%	13 5.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total		446	20	422	3
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	114 25.5%	6 29.5%	108 25.5%	0 0.0%
	Somewhat support	134 30.1%	3 14.2%	128 30.4%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat oppose	73 16.3%	5 24.3%	68 16.0%	0 0.0%
	Strongly oppose	98 21.9%	7 31.9%	91 21.6%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	28 6.2%	0 0.0%	28 6.5%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese (A)	English (B)	Other (C)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support			.a
	Somewhat support			.a
	Somewhat oppose			.a
	Strongly oppose			.a
	DK/NA	.a		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total		446	90	64	123	168
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	114 25.5%	25 27.8%	11 17.2%	25 20.6%	52 31.1%
	Somewhat support	134 30.1%	44 48.7%	16 24.2%	42 34.4%	32 19.2%
	Somewhat oppose	73 16.3%	4 4.8%	14 21.7%	25 20.7%	29 17.1%
	Strongly oppose	98 21.9%	12 13.3%	18 27.7%	24 19.1%	44 26.4%
	DK/NA	28 6.2%	5 5.4%	6 9.1%	6 5.2%	10 6.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support	B D		D	
	Somewhat oppose		A	A	A
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total		446	52	70	51	69	204
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	114 25.5%	11 21.6%	13 18.0%	18 35.3%	24 34.1%	48 23.7%
	Somewhat support	134 30.1%	20 39.4%	31 43.4%	10 19.1%	19 26.8%	55 27.0%
	Somewhat oppose	73 16.3%	7 13.2%	12 17.7%	6 11.0%	11 15.5%	37 18.1%
	Strongly oppose	98 21.9%	10 18.7%	15 20.9%	13 24.8%	12 18.1%	48 23.7%
	DK/NA	28 6.2%	4 7.1%	0 0.0%	5 9.7%	4 5.5%	15 7.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA	.a				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
Total		444	121	76	55	54	53	73
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	114 25.6%	39 32.1%	26 34.3%	5 9.2%	11 21.0%	13 25.2%	17 23.4%
	Somewhat support	134 30.3%	40 33.5%	18 24.2%	17 31.2%	12 22.4%	18 34.3%	25 34.7%
	Somewhat oppose	73 16.3%	15 12.7%	9 12.2%	13 23.9%	10 19.3%	8 15.6%	13 18.2%
	Strongly oppose	96 21.6%	15 12.8%	17 22.8%	17 30.7%	17 32.3%	9 17.2%	15 20.4%
	DK/NA	28 6.2%	11 8.9%	5 6.5%	3 5.0%	3 5.1%	4 7.7%	2 3.2%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total		2	10
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	1 58.4%	1 9.6%
	Somewhat support	0 0.0%	3 25.8%
	Somewhat oppose	0 0.0%	3 26.8%
	Strongly oppose	1 41.6%	4 37.9%
	DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	C	C				
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
20. The Bay Area is currently facing a housing crisis. Do you support or oppose encouraging the development of more housing in Los Altos?	Strongly support	a	
	Somewhat support	a,b	
	Somewhat oppose	a,b	
	Strongly oppose	a	
	DK/NA	a,b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
Total		248	248
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	4 story buildings	80 32.4%	80 32.4%
	3 story buildings	79 31.9%	79 31.9%
	2 story buildings	51 20.5%	51 20.5%
	1 story buildings	5 2.0%	5 2.0%
	Single family homes	19 7.7%	19 7.7%
	DK/NA	14 5.6%	14 5.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	4 story buildings	.
	3 story buildings	.
	2 story buildings	.
	1 story buildings	.
	Single family homes	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	248	114	134	
4 story buildings	80 32.4%	48 42.3%	32 24.0%	
3 story buildings	79 31.9%	24 20.8%	55 41.3%	
2 story buildings	51 20.5%	24 21.2%	27 19.8%	
1 story buildings	5 2.0%	4 3.6%	1 0.7%	
Single family homes	19 7.7%	5 4.7%	14 10.2%	
DK/NA	14 5.6%	9 7.5%	5 4.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Respondent's Gender	
	Male	Female
	(A)	(B)
4 story buildings	B	
3 story buildings		A
2 story buildings		
1 story buildings		
Single family homes		
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	248	40	23	38	78	63	6
4 story buildings	80 32.4%	17 42.0%	9 37.6%	12 33.1%	27 34.4%	15 23.3%	1 12.1%
3 story buildings	79 31.9%	10 25.6%	7 29.5%	9 24.1%	27 35.0%	25 40.2%	0 0.0%
2 story buildings	51 20.5%	7 16.3%	3 14.8%	9 24.5%	17 21.4%	13 20.8%	2 30.4%
1 story buildings	5 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.3%	2 3.7%	1 16.0%
Single family homes	19 7.7%	2 4.3%	2 9.1%	5 14.0%	4 4.8%	5 8.5%	1 14.8%
DK/NA	14 5.6%	5 11.9%	2 9.0%	2 4.3%	2 2.1%	2 3.5%	1 26.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4 story buildings						
3 story buildings						a
2 story buildings	a	a	a			
1 story buildings						
Single family homes						
DK/NA						D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	248	181	61	6
4 story buildings	80 32.4%	50 27.5%	25 41.0%	6 87.3%
3 story buildings	79 31.9%	62 34.2%	17 28.4%	0 0.0%
2 story buildings	51 20.5%	43 23.7%	8 12.7%	0 3.0%
1 story buildings	5 2.0%	3 1.7%	2 2.9%	0 2.0%
Single family homes	19 7.7%	13 7.2%	6 10.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	14 5.6%	10 5.7%	3 5.0%	1 7.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	4 story buildings			A
	3 story buildings			a
	2 story buildings			.
	1 story buildings			.
	Single family homes			a
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	Total	248	124	46	5	74
	4 story buildings	80	37	11	2	30
		32.4%	29.6%	24.7%	42.9%	41.1%
	3 story buildings	79	49	12	2	16
		31.9%	39.8%	25.7%	35.8%	22.1%
	2 story buildings	51	23	9	0	19
		20.5%	19.0%	18.8%	0.0%	25.3%
	1 story buildings	5	4	1	0	1
		2.0%	2.8%	1.5%	0.0%	1.1%
Single family homes	19	6	9	1	3	
	7.7%	4.9%	20.0%	12.0%	4.6%	
DK/NA	14	5	4	0	4	
	5.6%	3.8%	9.4%	9.3%	5.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	4 story buildings				
	3 story buildings				
	2 story buildings			a	
	1 story buildings			a	
	Single family homes		A D		
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	Total	248	44	46	13	16	75	53
	4 story buildings	80	11	11	4	6	27	21
		32.4%	25.2%	23.9%	27.2%	39.3%	36.6%	39.2%
	3 story buildings	79	20	19	2	5	21	11
		31.9%	45.3%	41.0%	17.7%	33.5%	28.0%	21.2%
	2 story buildings	51	6	10	4	2	17	12
		20.5%	14.1%	22.3%	28.0%	11.5%	22.1%	22.6%
	1 story buildings	5	2	0	1	0	2	1
		2.0%	4.3%	0.0%	4.9%	0.0%	2.2%	1.5%
	Single family homes	19	4	2	3	2	5	4
	7.7%	8.7%	4.8%	22.2%	9.9%	6.1%	7.4%	
DK/NA	14	1	4	0	1	4	4	
	5.6%	2.4%	7.9%	0.0%	5.9%	5.1%	8.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?		a		a		
4 story buildings						
3 story buildings						
2 story buildings						
1 story buildings						
Single family homes			a			
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	248	78	26	41	22
4 story buildings	80	25	13	21	7
3 story buildings	79	20	5	14	8
2 story buildings	51	19	3	2	6
1 story buildings	5	2	0	0	0
Single family homes	19	6	4	2	0
DK/NA	14	8	1	2	0
	5.6%	9.9%	4.1%	4.4%	2.2%

	Total	Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	19	18	23	20	
4 story buildings	4	6	5	1	
3 story buildings	8	6	12	6	
2 story buildings	5	5	5	6	
1 story buildings	0	0	1	2	
Single family homes	4	1	1	2	
DK/NA	0	1	0	2	
	0.0%	3.8%	0.8%	9.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date				
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?		a	a	a	a
4 story buildings			H		
3 story buildings					
2 story buildings					
1 story buildings					
Single family homes				a	
DK/NA					a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(F)	(G)	(H)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	a		
4 story buildings			
3 story buildings			
2 story buildings			
1 story buildings			
Single family homes			
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date								
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9	
Total	248	45	9	90	22	5	7	58	12	
4 story buildings	80	10	3	29	8	2	3	20	4	
3 story buildings	79	18	2	31	7	1	4	15	1	
2 story buildings	51	8	2	19	4	1	0	14	2	
1 story buildings	5	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	2	
Single family homes	19	7	0	6	1	0	0	3	2	
DK/NA	14	1	1	4	1	0	0	7	0	
	5.6%	3.3%	13.5%	4.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	11.3%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date							
	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?								
4 story buildings								
3 story buildings								
2 story buildings	a	a				a		
1 story buildings						a	a	
Single family homes		a			a	a		
DK/NA					a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	248	190	58
4 story buildings	80 32.4%	64 33.5%	17 28.8%
3 story buildings	79 31.9%	63 33.3%	16 27.0%
2 story buildings	51 20.5%	34 18.0%	17 28.6%
1 story buildings	5 2.0%	5 2.6%	0 0.0%
Single family homes	19 7.7%	13 6.7%	6 10.9%
DK/NA	14 5.6%	11 5.9%	3 4.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?		
4 story buildings		
3 story buildings		
2 story buildings		
1 story buildings		a
Single family homes		
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	248	151	97
4 story buildings	80 32.4%	46 30.4%	35 35.6%
3 story buildings	79 31.9%	57 38.1%	22 22.2%
2 story buildings	51 20.5%	25 16.7%	26 26.3%
1 story buildings	5 2.0%	3 2.1%	2 1.9%
Single family homes	19 7.7%	12 8.1%	7 7.0%
DK/NA	14 5.6%	7 4.7%	7 7.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	4 story buildings	B	
	3 story buildings		
	2 story buildings		
	1 story buildings		
	Single family homes		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	Total	248	0	18	43	36	65
	4 story buildings	80	0	5	18	12	25
	3 story buildings	79	0	4	8	8	25
	2 story buildings	51	0	2	8	11	10
	1 story buildings	5	0	2	0	0	1
	Single family homes	19	0	4	4	2	4
	DK/NA	14	0	2	5	4	1
		5.6%	0.0%	9.8%	10.7%	10.5%	1.1%

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more (F)	Not sure/ DK /NA (G)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	Total	82	3
	4 story buildings	20	1
	3 story buildings	34	0
	2 story buildings	20	0
	1 story buildings	2	0
	Single family homes	4	2
	DK/NA	3	0
		3.2%	11.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year (A)	1-3 years (B)	4 to 9 years (C)	10-15 years (D)	16-25 years (E)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	4 story buildings	a,b				
	3 story buildings	a,b				
	2 story buildings	a,b				
	1 story buildings	a,b		b	b	
	Single family homes	a,b				
	DK/NA	a,b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more (F)	Not sure/ DK /NA (G)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	4 story buildings		b
	3 story buildings		b
	2 story buildings		b
	1 story buildings		b
	Single family homes		DEF
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status					
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	Total	248	113	16	28	17	55
	4 story buildings	80	46	1	10	3	13
	3 story buildings	79	29	9	7	8	22
	2 story buildings	51	16	4	10	5	10
	1 story buildings	5	2	1	0	0	3
	Single family homes	19	13	0	1	0	3
	DK/NA	14	6	1	0	2	4
		5.6%	5.7%	7.9%	0.0%	10.0%	8.0%
		32.4%	40.8%	5.7%	34.9%	15.4%	24.4%
		31.9%	25.8%	55.0%	26.1%	43.8%	39.0%

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	8	11	
4 story buildings	2 25.3%	6 51.2%	
3 story buildings	1 8.3%	4 36.8%	
2 story buildings	4 46.3%	1 12.1%	
1 story buildings	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Single family homes	2 20.1%	0 0.0%	
DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status				
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4 story buildings					
3 story buildings					
2 story buildings			a	a	
1 story buildings				a	
Single family homes		a			
DK/NA			a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status	
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed
	(F)	(G)
4 story buildings		
3 story buildings		
2 story buildings		a
1 story buildings	a	a
Single family homes		a
DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work						
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
Total	156	3	2		9	17	121
4 story buildings	56 36.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%		4 45.6%	4 25.6%	45 37.2%
3 story buildings	45 28.9%	2 62.6%	1 56.7%		1 15.1%	3 16.8%	38 31.0%
2 story buildings	30 19.3%	0 0.0%	1 43.3%		0 0.0%	6 33.0%	23 18.9%
1 story buildings	2 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%		2 27.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Single family homes	14 9.2%	1 16.8%	0 0.0%		1 9.2%	1 6.6%	12 9.9%
DK/NA	8 4.9%	1 20.6%	0 0.0%		0 2.2%	3 18.1%	4 3.1%

	County Where Work			
	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	2		2	
4 story buildings	2 100.0%		1 63.8%	
3 story buildings	0 0.0%		0 0.0%	
2 story buildings	0 0.0%		1 36.2%	
1 story buildings	0 0.0%		0 0.0%	
Single family homes	0 0.0%		0 0.0%	
DK/NA	0 0.0%		0 0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work					
	Alameda (A)	Marin (B)	San Francisco (C)	San Mateo (D)	Santa Clara (E)	Santa Cruz (F)
4 story buildings	a	a				a
3 story buildings	a		a			a
2 story buildings	a					a
1 story buildings	a	a		a	a	a
Single family homes		a				a
DK/NA		a		E		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County	
	Other	(G)
4 story buildings	b	
3 story buildings	a,b	
2 story buildings	b	
1 story buildings	a,b	
Single family homes	a,b	
DK/NA	a,b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
Total	248	1	13	2	14
4 story buildings	80 32.4%	0 0.0%	2 15.5%	0 0.0%	6 41.1%
3 story buildings	79 31.9%	1 100.0%	4 33.1%	1 55.5%	5 31.3%
2 story buildings	51 20.5%	0 0.0%	2 17.6%	0 0.0%	1 6.5%
1 story buildings	5 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Single family homes	19 7.7%	0 0.0%	2 19.8%	1 44.5%	3 21.2%
DK/NA	14 5.6%	0 0.0%	2 14.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Total	Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
Total	1	1	2	4	
4 story buildings	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
3 story buildings	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%	0 0.0%	
2 story buildings	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 82.5%	
1 story buildings	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Single family homes	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 52.1%	0 0.0%	
DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 17.5%	

	Total	Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	185	7	7	4	7	
4 story buildings	63 33.9%	4 57.1%	1 7.0%	1 24.0%	3 46.2%	
3 story buildings	61 32.9%	3 42.9%	1 17.1%	0 0.0%	1 20.4%	
2 story buildings	38 20.3%	0 0.0%	3 46.6%	2 55.4%	1 17.3%	
1 story buildings	5 2.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Single family homes	9 4.7%	0 0.0%	2 29.3%	1 20.6%	0 0.0%	
DK/NA	10 5.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Total	Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
4 story buildings	a,b	.	a,b	.	
3 story buildings	a,b	.	a	.	
2 story buildings	a,b	.	a,b	.	
1 story buildings	a,b	b	a,b	b	
Single family homes	a,b	.	a	.	
DK/NA	a,b	.	a,b	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Total	Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
4 story buildings	a,b	a,b	b	b	
3 story buildings	a,b	a,b	.	b	
2 story buildings	a,b	a,b	b	D	
1 story buildings	a,b	a,b	b	b	
Single family homes	a,b	a,b		b	
DK/NA	a,b	a,b	b	.	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group				
	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?					
4 story buildings					
3 story buildings				b	
2 story buildings		b			
1 story buildings		b	b	b	b
Single family homes		b			b
DK/NA		b	b	b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?			
Total	248	114	134
4 story buildings	80	29	52
	32.4%	25.4%	38.3%
3 story buildings	79	46	33
	31.9%	40.4%	24.6%
2 story buildings	51	23	28
	20.5%	19.9%	20.9%
1 story buildings	5	2	3
	2.0%	1.3%	2.6%
Single family homes	19	9	10
	7.7%	7.9%	7.6%
DK/NA	14	6	8
	5.6%	5.0%	6.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Zip Code	
	94022	94024
	(A)	(B)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?		
4 story buildings		A
3 story buildings		
2 story buildings	B	
1 story buildings		
Single family homes		
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?				
Total	248	9	236	3
4 story buildings	80	3	74	3
	32.4%	35.7%	31.4%	100.0%
3 story buildings	79	3	76	0
	31.9%	37.4%	32.1%	0.0%
2 story buildings	51	2	49	0
	20.5%	18.4%	20.8%	0.0%
1 story buildings	5	0	5	0
	2.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Single family homes	19	1	18	0
	7.7%	8.5%	7.8%	0.0%
DK/NA	14	0	14	0
	5.6%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?			
4 story buildings			a
3 story buildings			a
2 story buildings			a
1 story buildings	a		a
Single family homes			a
DK/NA	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?					
Total	248	69	27	68	85
4 story buildings	80	26	6	21	28
	32.4%	36.9%	21.6%	31.2%	33.1%
3 story buildings	79	17	6	24	32
	31.9%	24.4%	23.7%	35.6%	37.5%
2 story buildings	51	16	7	11	16
	20.5%	22.6%	28.0%	16.6%	19.4%
1 story buildings	5	0	2	0	3
	2.0%	0.0%	5.9%	0.2%	3.9%
Single family homes	19	5	3	7	4
	7.7%	7.6%	10.8%	10.2%	4.8%
DK/NA	14	6	3	4	1
	5.6%	8.5%	10.1%	6.2%	1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?				
4 story buildings				
3 story buildings				
2 story buildings				
1 story buildings	a			
Single family homes				
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?						
Total	248	31	43	28	42	103
4 story buildings	80	9	13	16	20	22
	32.4%	29.2%	30.4%	56.7%	48.6%	21.1%
3 story buildings	79	8	10	3	8	50
	31.9%	25.3%	22.5%	10.7%	20.2%	48.2%
2 story buildings	51	6	14	6	7	19
	20.5%	17.5%	32.2%	20.7%	15.6%	18.4%
1 story buildings	5	2	0	1	1	2
	2.0%	6.0%	0.0%	2.9%	1.7%	1.5%
Single family homes	19	2	5	1	4	6
	7.7%	6.8%	12.7%	5.3%	8.5%	6.3%
DK/NA	14	5	1	1	2	5
	5.6%	15.2%	2.2%	3.8%	5.4%	4.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?		a	E	E	B C D
4 story buildings			E	E	
3 story buildings					B C D
2 story buildings					
1 story buildings					
Single family homes					
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender					
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
Total	248	79	44	22	23	32
4 story buildings	80	19	17	4	7	8
3 story buildings	79	37	12	6	6	12
2 story buildings	51	15	9	7	1	5
1 story buildings	5	0	3	0	1	1
Single family homes	19	6	0	4	5	3
DK/NA	14	2	3	1	3	3
	5.6%	2.2%	6.8%	4.1%	14.4%	8.4%

	Party by Gender		
	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	43	1	4
4 story buildings	22	1	1
3 story buildings	5	0	2
2 story buildings	14	0	0
1 story buildings	0	0	0
Single family homes	0	0	1
DK/NA	2	0	0
	4.0%	0.0%	11.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by Gender					
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	F		b			A
4 story buildings						
3 story buildings						
2 story buildings			b			b
1 story buildings						b
Single family homes		b				b
DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by Gender	
	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(G)	(H)
21. What is the highest density housing that you would support?	a,b	
4 story buildings	a,b	
3 story buildings	a,b	b
2 story buildings	a,b	b
1 story buildings	a,b	
Single family homes	a,b	
DK/NA	a,b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	446	446
Bay Area News Group	75	75
	16.9%	16.9%
Blogs	14	14
	3.1%	3.1%
City Website	72	72
	16.2%	16.2%
City emails	72	72
	16.2%	16.2%
Community member emails	55	55
	12.3%	12.3%
Facebook	54	54
	12.1%	12.1%
Instagram	3	3
	0.7%	0.7%
Internet	92	92
	20.7%	20.7%
KRON – Channel 4	42	42
	9.5%	9.5%
Next Door	125	125
	28.0%	28.0%
Los Altos Town Crier	261	261
	58.5%	58.5%
San Francisco Chronicle	29	29
	6.6%	6.6%
San Jose Mercury	104	104
	23.4%	23.4%
Snapchat	1	1
	0.2%	0.2%
Social media generic	18	18
	4.1%	4.1%
Twitter	4	4
	1.0%	1.0%
Other	20	20
	4.6%	4.6%
Not sure / DK/ NA	37	37
	8.3%	8.3%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
Bay Area News Group	.
Blogs	.
City Website	.
City emails	.
Community member emails	.
Facebook	.
Instagram	.
Internet	.
KRON – Channel 4	.
Next Door	.
Los Altos Town Crier	.
San Francisco Chronicle	.
San Jose Mercury	.
Snapchat	.
Social media generic	.
Twitter	.
Other	.
Not sure / DK/ NA	.

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}
 a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	446	213	231	2
Bay Area News Group	75	42	33	0
	16.9%	19.7%	14.4%	0.0%
Blogs	14	9	5	0
	3.1%	4.1%	2.1%	0.0%
City Website	72	36	36	0
	16.2%	16.9%	15.6%	0.0%
City emails	72	36	36	0
	16.2%	16.8%	15.7%	0.0%
Community member emails	55	24	31	0
	12.3%	11.0%	13.5%	0.0%
Facebook	54	29	25	0
	12.1%	13.6%	10.8%	0.0%
Instagram	3	2	1	0
	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Internet	92	54	38	0
	20.7%	25.2%	16.6%	0.0%
KRON – Channel 4	42	23	20	0
	9.5%	10.6%	8.5%	0.0%
Next Door	125	57	68	0
	28.0%	26.8%	29.3%	0.0%
Los Altos Town Crier	261	120	140	1
	58.5%	56.3%	60.5%	58.7%
San Francisco Chronicle	29	20	9	0
	6.6%	9.4%	4.0%	0.0%
San Jose Mercury	104	52	52	0
	23.4%	24.6%	22.6%	0.0%
Snapchat	1	0	1	0
	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Social media generic	18	10	9	0
	4.1%	4.5%	3.7%	0.0%
Twitter	4	2	2	0
	1.0%	0.9%	1.0%	0.0%
Other	20	11	9	1
	4.6%	5.0%	3.8%	41.3%
Not sure / DK/ NA	37	19	19	0
	8.3%	8.7%	8.1%	0.0%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group			a
	Blogs			a
	City Website			a
	City emails			a
	Community member emails			a
	Facebook			a
	Instagram			a
	Internet	B		a
	KRON – Channel 4			a
	Next Door			a
	Los Altos Town Crier			a
	San Francisco Chronicle	B		a
	San Jose Mercury			a
	Snapchat	a		a
	Social media generic			a
	Twitter			a
Other			B	
Not sure / DK/ NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Age						Not coded
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
Bay Area News Group	75	8	2	14	29	23	0
	16.9%	12.8%	5.8%	17.2%	20.7%	18.5%	0.0%
Blogs	14	0	3	6	4	1	0
	3.1%	0.0%	8.6%	7.5%	2.7%	0.6%	0.0%
City Website	72	10	8	16	18	20	0
	16.2%	16.7%	22.7%	20.4%	12.8%	16.0%	0.0%
City emails	72	0	8	19	24	19	1
	16.2%	0.0%	24.4%	24.1%	17.1%	15.6%	12.9%
Community member emails	55	0	9	6	23	16	1
	12.3%	0.0%	26.7%	7.4%	16.4%	12.6%	12.9%
Facebook	54	11	7	10	23	3	0
	12.1%	18.1%	20.8%	12.5%	16.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Instagram	3	0	0	0	3	1	0
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.5%	0.0%
Internet	92	6	11	18	35	23	0
	20.7%	9.8%	31.9%	22.5%	24.7%	18.3%	0.0%
KRON – Channel 4	42	3	5	8	11	13	2
	9.5%	5.3%	15.2%	10.0%	7.5%	10.8%	25.4%
Next Door	125	7	18	30	44	26	0
	28.0%	12.0%	52.2%	37.0%	31.2%	20.9%	0.0%
Los Altos Town Crier	261	19	26	49	89	75	3
	58.5%	32.1%	73.9%	60.5%	63.4%	60.6%	46.8%
San Francisco Chronicle	29	4	3	3	9	11	0
	6.6%	7.1%	7.6%	3.3%	6.4%	8.8%	0.0%
San Jose Mercury	104	4	12	12	45	32	0
	23.4%	7.3%	33.7%	15.3%	31.9%	25.3%	0.0%
Snapchat	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Social media generic	18	6	2	3	6	1	0
	4.1%	10.4%	4.8%	3.3%	4.6%	0.9%	0.0%
Twitter	4	0	1	0	2	2	0
	1.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	1.4%	1.3%	0.0%
Other	20	2	1	1	8	8	1
	4.6%	3.3%	2.5%	1.7%	5.5%	6.2%	12.2%
Not sure / DK/ NA	37	8	0	5	9	14	2
	8.3%	12.6%	0.0%	6.5%	6.3%	10.9%	28.5%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Bay Area News Group						a
Blogs	a	E	E			a
City Website						a
City emails	a					
Community member emails	a	C				
Facebook	E	E	E	E		a
Instagram	a					a
Internet						a
KRON – Channel 4						
Next Door		A E	A	A		a
Los Altos Town Crier		A	A	A	A	
San Francisco Chronicle						a
San Jose Mercury		A		A	A	a
Snapchat	a		a	a		a
Social media generic	E					a
Twitter	a		a			a
Other						
Not sure / DK/ NA		a				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	446	357	80	9
Bay Area News Group	75	61	14	0
	16.9%	17.1%	17.4%	5.1%
Blogs	14	9	4	0
	3.1%	2.6%	5.4%	0.0%
City Website	72	52	20	0
	16.2%	14.5%	25.3%	0.0%
City emails	72	59	13	0
	16.2%	16.6%	16.1%	0.0%
Community member emails	55	46	9	0
	12.3%	12.9%	10.6%	0.0%
Facebook	54	35	19	0
	12.1%	9.9%	23.1%	2.1%
Instagram	3	1	2	0
	0.7%	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Internet	92	77	15	0
	20.7%	21.5%	19.1%	0.0%
KRON – Channel 4	42	32	9	1
	9.5%	9.0%	11.5%	9.5%
Next Door	125	106	17	2
	28.0%	29.8%	20.9%	19.7%
Los Altos Town Crier	261	233	24	3
	58.5%	65.3%	30.3%	37.1%
San Francisco Chronicle	29	28	2	0
	6.6%	7.8%	2.2%	0.0%
San Jose Mercury	104	86	18	0
	23.4%	24.1%	22.5%	3.6%
Snapchat	1	1	0	0
	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Social media generic	18	15	2	1
	4.1%	4.2%	2.6%	9.5%
Twitter	4	4	0	0
	1.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	20	16	3	1
	4.6%	4.5%	4.3%	11.4%
Not sure / DK/ NA	37	28	7	2
	8.3%	7.8%	8.9%	25.3%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
Bay Area News Group			
Blogs			. ^a
City Website		A	. ^a
City emails			. ^a
Community member emails			. ^a
Facebook		A	
Instagram			. ^a
Internet			. ^a
KRON – Channel 4			
Next Door			
Los Altos Town Crier	B		
San Francisco Chronicle			. ^a
San Jose Mercury			
Snapchat		. ^a	. ^a
Social media generic			
Twitter		. ^a	. ^a
Other			
Not sure / DK/ NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	446	197	110	12	127
Bay Area News Group	75	27	19	4	25
	16.9%	13.8%	17.5%	32.6%	19.7%
Blogs	14	7	2	0	4
	3.1%	3.7%	2.1%	0.0%	3.1%
City Website	72	36	18	2	16
	16.2%	18.2%	16.5%	18.8%	12.5%
City emails	72	38	16	1	17
	16.2%	19.1%	15.0%	8.4%	13.3%
Community member emails	55	30	13	0	11
	12.3%	15.3%	12.3%	0.0%	8.6%
Facebook	54	25	10	1	18
	12.1%	12.8%	9.0%	7.8%	14.0%
Instagram	3	2	1	0	1
	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%	0.7%
Internet	92	38	19	2	32
	20.7%	19.5%	17.7%	17.3%	25.4%
KRON – Channel 4	42	10	11	1	19
	9.5%	5.3%	10.2%	9.1%	15.3%
Next Door	125	62	21	1	41
	28.0%	31.6%	19.2%	7.8%	31.9%
Los Altos Town Crier	261	106	74	6	74
	58.5%	53.7%	67.5%	52.7%	58.5%
San Francisco Chronicle	29	8	9	2	11
	6.6%	4.0%	7.8%	16.0%	8.7%
San Jose Mercury	104	42	22	2	39
	23.4%	21.5%	19.7%	16.0%	30.4%
Snapchat	1	0	0	0	1
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Social media generic	18	4	1	0	13
	4.1%	2.1%	0.7%	0.0%	10.4%
Twitter	4	2	0	0	2
	1.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
Other	20	8	7	0	5
	4.6%	4.0%	6.3%	3.6%	4.2%
Not sure / DK/ NA	37	15	11	2	9
	8.3%	7.8%	10.3%	13.9%	6.9%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Bay Area News Group				
Blogs			a	
City Website				
City emails				
Community member emails			a	
Facebook				
Instagram			a	
Internet				
KRON – Channel 4				A
Next Door				
Los Altos Town Crier				
San Francisco Chronicle				
San Jose Mercury				
Snapchat	a	a	a	
Social media generic			a	AB
Twitter		a	a	
Other				
Not sure / DK/ NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Bay Area News Group	75	8	14	7	3	23	20
Blogs	14	5	2	1	0	2	3
City Website	72	13	13	4	4	26	13
City emails	72	12	12	5	3	26	14
Community member emails	55	11	11	1	5	17	9
Facebook	54	11	6	4	1	22	11
Instagram	3	2	0	0	0	1	0
Internet	92	21	15	6	6	17	27
KRON – Channel 4	42	7	3	3	5	8	17
Next Door	125	26	17	7	3	41	30
Los Altos Town Crier	261	41	38	20	29	81	51
San Francisco Chronicle	29	4	3	2	2	9	9
San Jose Mercury	104	20	13	5	6	32	29
Snapchat	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Social media generic	18	0	4	0	0	4	10
Twitter	4	2	0	0	0	2	1
Other	20	4	2	1	5	4	4
Not sure / DK/ NA	37	7	3	4	4	13	7
	8.3%	9.7%	4.6%	11.2%	9.1%	9.1%	7.6%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Bay Area News Group						
Blogs				a		
City Website						
City emails						
Community member emails						
Facebook						
Instagram		a	a	a		a
Internet						E
KRON – Channel 4						E
Next Door	D					
Los Altos Town Crier						
San Francisco Chronicle						
San Jose Mercury						
Snapchat	a	a	a	a	a	
Social media generic	a		a	a		E
Twitter		a	a	a		
Other						
Not sure / DK/ NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date				
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	446	126	51	62	50
Bay Area News Group	75 16.9%	21 16.5%	8 16.4%	15 23.8%	7 13.2%
Blogs	14 3.1%	1 0.7%	1 2.2%	5 7.8%	4 7.4%
City Website	72 16.2%	25 19.9%	8 14.8%	8 12.3%	6 12.4%
City emails	72 16.2%	17 13.6%	8 16.3%	11 17.1%	8 15.2%
Community member emails	55 12.3%	14 11.1%	5 10.5%	9 14.9%	7 13.9%
Facebook	54 12.1%	17 13.8%	10 19.4%	12 18.7%	3 6.8%
Instagram	3 0.7%	2 1.4%	1 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Internet	92 20.7%	23 18.3%	12 24.1%	16 26.1%	11 22.6%
KRON – Channel 4	42 9.5%	11 9.0%	1 2.8%	7 11.4%	7 14.4%
Next Door	125 28.0%	34 26.9%	13 26.3%	22 35.4%	16 32.5%
Los Altos Town Crier	261 58.5%	63 50.0%	26 50.6%	33 53.3%	34 67.6%
San Francisco Chronicle	29 6.6%	10 7.9%	4 7.6%	5 7.9%	1 1.1%
San Jose Mercury	104 23.4%	29 22.6%	9 16.7%	12 19.7%	13 26.2%
Snapchat	1 0.2%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Social media generic	18 4.1%	11 8.9%	2 3.4%	1 2.2%	0 0.0%
Twitter	4 1.0%	1 0.6%	2 3.6%	1 1.2%	1 1.8%
Other	20 4.6%	1 0.4%	4 8.0%	4 6.9%	2 4.1%
Not sure / DK/ NA	37 8.3%	12 9.4%	1 2.4%	7 11.6%	6 11.0%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	36	36	46	38
Bay Area News Group	7 19.2%	8 22.8%	6 12.8%	4 9.9%
Blogs	2 5.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.3%
City Website	6 17.4%	8 23.3%	7 15.1%	4 10.3%
City emails	11 30.6%	8 21.0%	7 14.8%	3 7.5%
Community member emails	7 20.2%	2 6.3%	6 13.1%	3 9.2%
Facebook	3 9.2%	2 5.0%	5 11.9%	1 2.3%
Instagram	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.4%	0 0.0%
Internet	7 20.3%	7 18.4%	10 21.2%	5 14.2%
KRON – Channel 4	2 5.7%	0 0.0%	7 16.0%	6 14.7%
Next Door	9 24.4%	10 26.6%	16 33.8%	5 13.3%
Los Altos Town Crier	24 65.7%	23 64.3%	35 75.6%	23 60.2%
San Francisco Chronicle	2 4.7%	2 6.2%	1 3.1%	5 12.4%
San Jose Mercury	11 29.5%	11 29.8%	14 29.6%	7 18.4%
Snapchat	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Social media generic	1 2.3%	1 2.0%	1 2.8%	1 2.3%
Twitter	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other	2 6.8%	2 5.0%	3 6.8%	2 5.4%
Not sure / DK/ NA	2 6.6%	3 7.7%	1 1.7%	6 14.4%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group				
	Blogs				
	City Website				
	City emails				
	Community member emails				
	Facebook				
	Instagram			a	a
	Internet				
	KRON – Channel 4				
	Next Door				
	Los Altos Town Crier				
	San Francisco Chronicle				
	San Jose Mercury				
	Snapchat		a	a	a
	Social media generic				a
	Twitter				
Other					
Not sure / DK/ NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group		a	a	
	Blogs				
	City Website				
	City emails				
	Community member emails				
	Facebook				
	Instagram	a	a		a
	Internet				
	KRON – Channel 4				
	Next Door				
	Los Altos Town Crier				
	San Francisco Chronicle				
	San Jose Mercury				
	Snapchat	a	a	a	a
	Social media generic				
	Twitter	a	a	a	a
Other					
Not sure / DK/ NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66
	Bay Area News Group	75	10	5	35	15	2	2	6
	Blogs	14	2	0	8	1	0	0	2
	City Website	72	8	3	33	2	4	3	15
	City emails	72	15	2	36	3	3	4	7
	Community member emails	55	14	1	21	5	1	3	8
	Facebook	54	10	0	22	4	0	4	14
	Instagram	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	1
	Internet	92	14	6	42	12	1	3	12
	KRON – Channel 4	42	7	3	20	3	1	1	4
	Next Door	125	22	9	53	13	4	5	15
	Los Altos Town Crier	261	47	13	115	23	11	8	27
	San Francisco Chronicle	29	9	2	14	2	0	0	1
	San Jose Mercury	104	17	6	51	9	5	4	7
	Snapchat	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Social media generic	18	4	0	8	4	0	0	0
	Twitter	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	1
	Other	20	3	2	11	3	1	0	1
	Not sure / DK/ NA	37	10	1	16	0	1	0	4
		8.3%	12.3%	5.4%	8.5%	0.0%	6.6%	0.0%	6.5%

		Date
		Dec. 9
	Total	24
	Bay Area News Group	1 2.8%
	Blogs	0 0.0%
	City Website	4 18.6%
	City emails	2 8.5%
	Community member emails	1 5.0%
	Facebook	0 0.0%
	Instagram	0 0.0%
	Internet	3 12.7%
	KRON – Channel 4	4 16.4%
	Next Door	4 17.1%
	Los Altos Town Crier	16 68.1%
	San Francisco Chronicle	1 6.1%
	San Jose Mercury	5 19.3%
	Snapchat	0 0.0%
	Social media generic	3 10.8%
	Twitter	0 0.0%
	Other	0 0.0%
	Not sure / DK/ NA	5 21.2%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group				A G H				
	Blogs		a			a	a		a
	City Website								
	City emails								
	Community member emails								
	Facebook		a			a	a		a
	Instagram		a		a	a	a		a
	Internet								
	KRON – Channel 4								
	Next Door								
	Los Altos Town Crier								
	San Francisco Chronicle					a	a		
	San Jose Mercury								
	Snapchat	a	a	a	a	a	a		a
	Social media generic		a			a	a	a	
Twitter	a	a		a	a	a	a	a	
Other							a	a	
Not sure / DK/ NA					a	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	349	97
Bay Area News Group	75 16.9%	64 18.4%	11 11.6%
Blogs	14 3.1%	7 2.1%	6 6.4%
City Website	72 16.2%	58 16.6%	14 14.5%
City emails	72 16.2%	54 15.5%	18 18.6%
Community member emails	55 12.3%	39 11.2%	16 15.9%
Facebook	54 12.1%	38 10.8%	16 16.6%
Instagram	3 0.7%	3 0.9%	0 0.0%
Internet	92 20.7%	74 21.2%	18 18.8%
KRON – Channel 4	42 9.5%	30 8.7%	12 12.3%
Next Door	125 28.0%	106 30.3%	19 19.7%
Los Altos Town Crier	261 58.5%	203 58.2%	58 59.4%
San Francisco Chronicle	29 6.6%	23 6.5%	7 6.8%
San Jose Mercury	104 23.4%	82 23.5%	23 23.2%
Snapchat	1 0.2%	1 0.2%	0 0.0%
Social media generic	18 4.1%	13 3.7%	5 5.3%
Twitter	4 1.0%	2 0.7%	2 2.0%
Other	20 4.6%	15 4.4%	5 5.2%
Not sure / DK/ NA	37 8.3%	27 7.6%	11 11.0%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group		
	Blogs		A
	City Website		
	City emails		
	Community member emails		
	Facebook		
	Instagram		a
	Internet		
	KRON – Channel 4		
	Next Door	B	
	Los Altos Town Crier		
	San Francisco Chronicle		
	San Jose Mercury		
	Snapchat		a
	Social media generic		
	Twitter		
Other			
Not sure / DK/ NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	282	164
Bay Area News Group	75 16.9%	51 18.1%	24 14.9%
Blogs	14 3.1%	7 2.4%	7 4.2%
City Website	72 16.2%	48 17.0%	24 14.7%
City emails	72 16.2%	56 19.7%	16 10.1%
Community member emails	55 12.3%	35 12.2%	20 12.3%
Facebook	54 12.1%	30 10.6%	24 14.6%
Instagram	3 0.7%	1 0.5%	2 1.1%
Internet	92 20.7%	63 22.4%	29 17.7%
KRON – Channel 4	42 9.5%	26 9.1%	17 10.2%
Next Door	125 28.0%	87 30.8%	38 23.0%
Los Altos Town Crier	261 58.5%	181 64.0%	80 48.8%
San Francisco Chronicle	29 6.6%	20 7.0%	10 6.0%
San Jose Mercury	104 23.4%	80 28.4%	24 14.8%
Snapchat	1 0.2%	0 0.0%	1 0.5%
Social media generic	18 4.1%	6 2.2%	12 7.3%
Twitter	4 1.0%	2 0.8%	2 1.2%
Other	20 4.6%	16 5.8%	4 2.5%
Not sure / DK/ NA	37 8.3%	20 7.0%	17 10.6%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
Bay Area News Group		
Blogs		
City Website		
City emails	B	
Community member emails		
Facebook		
Instagram		
Internet		
KRON – Channel 4		
Next Door		
Los Altos Town Crier	B	
San Francisco Chronicle		
San Jose Mercury	B	
Snapchat	a	
Social media generic		A
Twitter		
Other		
Not sure / DK/ NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Years Lived in Los Altos				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years
Total	446	3	28	74	58
Bay Area News Group	75	0	4	9	12
	16.9%	0.0%	14.2%	12.4%	20.2%
Blogs	14	0	0	5	4
	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%	6.6%
City Website	72	1	8	15	7
	16.2%	30.0%	29.2%	19.8%	11.7%
City emails	72	0	4	13	11
	16.2%	0.0%	13.0%	16.8%	18.3%
Community member emails	55	0	2	11	6
	12.3%	0.0%	6.7%	14.6%	10.0%
Facebook	54	0	3	12	11
	12.1%	0.0%	10.0%	16.3%	19.1%
Instagram	3	0	2	0	1
	0.7%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%	1.5%
Internet	92	1	11	17	15
	20.7%	30.0%	40.2%	22.8%	26.5%
KRON – Channel 4	42	1	4	9	4
	9.5%	30.0%	13.1%	12.6%	7.5%
Next Door	125	3	13	25	15
	28.0%	74.1%	47.2%	34.2%	25.6%
Los Altos Town Crier	261	2	12	39	25
	58.5%	55.9%	41.0%	53.0%	43.9%
San Francisco Chronicle	29	0	2	2	6
	6.6%	0.0%	6.2%	2.9%	9.6%
San Jose Mercury	104	1	5	16	8
	23.4%	33.7%	19.0%	21.3%	14.5%
Snapchat	1	0	0	0	0
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Social media generic	18	0	0	2	5
	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	9.5%
Twitter	4	0	0	1	1
	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.9%
Other	20	0	0	0	5
	4.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	9.2%
Not sure / DK/ NA	37	0	0	11	5
	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	14.4%	9.2%

	Years Lived in Los Altos		
	16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	111	167	4
Bay Area News Group	23	28	0
	20.5%	16.5%	0.0%
Blogs	1	4	0
	0.8%	2.2%	0.0%
City Website	21	21	0
	18.4%	12.5%	0.0%
City emails	22	23	0
	20.1%	13.7%	0.0%
Community member emails	17	19	0
	15.1%	11.6%	0.0%
Facebook	11	16	0
	10.3%	9.8%	0.0%
Instagram	0	1	0
	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Internet	21	27	0
	18.5%	16.1%	0.0%
KRON – Channel 4	10	13	1
	8.6%	8.0%	23.5%
Next Door	31	38	0
	27.6%	22.6%	0.0%
Los Altos Town Crier	70	111	2
	62.9%	66.3%	44.7%
San Francisco Chronicle	8	12	0
	7.0%	7.2%	0.0%
San Jose Mercury	33	41	0
	29.6%	24.4%	0.0%
Snapchat	0	1	0
	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Social media generic	3	7	1
	2.3%	4.3%	23.5%
Twitter	0	2	0
	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Other	6	8	1
	5.1%	5.0%	23.1%
Not sure / DK/ NA	7	14	0
	6.3%	8.3%	8.7%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group	a				
	Blogs	a	a			
	City Website					
	City emails	a				
	Community member emails	a				
	Facebook	a				
	Instagram	a	F	a		a
	Internet		F			
	KRON – Channel 4					
	Next Door					
	Los Altos Town Crier					
	San Francisco Chronicle	a				
	San Jose Mercury					
	Snapchat	a	a	a	a	a
	Social media generic	a	a			
Twitter	a	a			a	
Other	a		a			
Not sure / DK/ NA	a	a				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(F)	(G)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group		a
	Blogs		a
	City Website		a
	City emails		a
	Community member emails		a
	Facebook		a
	Instagram		a
	Internet		a
	KRON – Channel 4		
	Next Door		a
	Los Altos Town Crier		
	San Francisco Chronicle		a
	San Jose Mercury		a
	Snapchat		a
	Social media generic		
Twitter		a	
Other			
Not sure / DK/ NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status				
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Total	446	203	22	41	19
	Bay Area News Group	75	41	4	5	2
		16.9%	20.3%	16.8%	12.0%	9.7%
	Blogs	14	8	2	1	0
		3.1%	4.0%	10.0%	2.7%	0.0%
	City Website	72	36	5	12	3
		16.2%	17.8%	22.3%	29.7%	15.1%
	City emails	72	37	6	5	0
		16.2%	18.3%	25.7%	13.4%	0.0%
	Community member emails	55	29	4	4	1
		12.3%	14.1%	18.0%	10.0%	4.4%
	Facebook	54	34	3	5	5
		12.1%	16.7%	14.0%	13.2%	27.9%
	Instagram	3	2	0	0	1
		0.7%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%
	Internet	92	52	3	8	4
		20.7%	25.5%	11.7%	19.1%	20.8%
	KRON – Channel 4	42	23	1	4	0
		9.5%	11.2%	6.6%	10.0%	0.0%
	Next Door	125	69	7	11	1
		28.0%	34.0%	33.4%	25.7%	4.4%
	Los Altos Town Crier	261	114	15	24	7
	58.5%	56.2%	68.2%	59.2%	36.0%	
San Francisco Chronicle	29	17	1	1	0	
	6.6%	8.4%	3.0%	2.4%	0.0%	
San Jose Mercury	104	57	5	8	1	
	23.4%	27.9%	20.6%	19.0%	4.4%	
Snapchat	1	1	0	0	0	
	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Social media generic	18	8	1	0	4	
	4.1%	3.9%	4.4%	0.0%	21.5%	
Twitter	4	3	0	0	0	
	1.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Other	20	7	1	2	0	
	4.6%	3.3%	2.3%	4.4%	0.0%	
Not sure / DK/ NA	37	14	0	3	3	
	8.3%	6.7%	0.0%	6.9%	13.9%	

	Employment Status			
	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	126	14	16	4
Bay Area News Group	22 17.6%	0 0.0%	1 8.2%	0 0.0%
Blogs	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	1 8.2%	0 0.0%
City Website	15 12.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 17.1%
City emails	19 14.7%	1 6.5%	4 26.6%	0 0.0%
Community member emails	12 9.6%	2 13.6%	3 19.6%	0 0.0%
Facebook	4 3.1%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Instagram	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Internet	21 16.3%	1 6.5%	5 27.7%	0 0.0%
KRON – Channel 4	13 10.3%	0 0.0%	1 5.7%	0 0.0%
Next Door	24 18.9%	7 50.1%	4 24.4%	2 48.8%
Los Altos Town Crier	75 59.6%	12 89.0%	11 66.1%	2 48.8%
San Francisco Chronicle	10 7.8%	0 0.0%	1 5.7%	0 0.0%
San Jose Mercury	27 21.6%	2 14.9%	5 27.6%	1 17.1%
Snapchat	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Social media generic	3 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 48.8%
Twitter	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other	9 7.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 51.2%
Not sure / DK/ NA	15 11.6%	0 0.0%	4 21.7%	0 0.0%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status				
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Bay Area News Group					
Blogs		E		a	
City Website				a	
City emails					
Community member emails					
Facebook	E			E	a
Instagram		a	a		a
Internet					
KRON – Channel 4				a	
Next Door					
Los Altos Town Crier					
San Francisco Chronicle				a	
San Jose Mercury				a	
Snapchat		a	a		a
Social media generic			a	A E	
Twitter		a	a		
Other				a	
Not sure / DK/ NA		a			

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(F)	(G)	(H)
Bay Area News Group	a		a
Blogs	a		a
City Website	a	a	
City emails			a
Community member emails			a
Facebook		a	a
Instagram	a	a	a
Internet			a
KRON – Channel 4	a		a
Next Door			
Los Altos Town Crier			a
San Francisco Chronicle	a		
San Jose Mercury			
Snapchat	a	a	a
Social media generic	a	a	A E
Twitter	a	a	a
Other	a	a	A B C E
Not sure / DK/ NA	a	a	a

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 ^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work					
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
Bay Area News Group	50	0	1	0	1	8
	18.8%	0.0%	43.3%	0.0%	7.0%	27.9%
Blogs	12	0	1	0	0	1
	4.3%	0.0%	56.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
City Website	53	1	1	0	1	4
	20.0%	12.3%	43.3%	0.0%	11.4%	13.4%
City emails	48	2	0	0	0	5
	18.1%	45.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.9%
Community member emails	37	0	1	0	2	4
	13.7%	0.0%	56.7%	0.0%	18.9%	14.6%
Facebook	42	0	1	0	1	4
	15.9%	0.0%	56.7%	0.0%	8.2%	14.0%
Instagram	2	0	0	0	2	0
	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.4%	0.0%
Internet	62	0	1	0	5	9
	23.4%	0.0%	43.3%	0.0%	38.1%	31.0%
KRON – Channel 4	28	0	1	0	1	1
	10.6%	0.0%	43.3%	0.0%	5.5%	4.3%
Next Door	87	0	0	0	4	11
	32.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	37.3%
Los Altos Town Crier	154	1	0	0	6	17
	57.7%	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%	51.1%	55.2%
San Francisco Chronicle	19	0	1	0	3	4
	7.0%	0.0%	43.3%	0.0%	27.6%	14.2%
San Jose Mercury	69	0	0	0	2	7
	25.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.3%	23.6%
Snapchat	1	0	0	0	1	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	0.0%
Social media generic	9	0	0	0	0	0
	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Twitter	3	0	0	0	1	1
	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	2.9%
Other	9	0	0	0	2	0
	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.8%	0.0%
Not sure / DK/ NA	16	1	0	1	0	2
	6.2%	26.6%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	6.0%

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

	County Where Work				
	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	207	2	0	5	2
Bay Area News Group	39	0	0	0	0
	19.1%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Blogs	9	0	0	0	0
	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
City Website	46	0	0	0	0
	22.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
City emails	40	0	0	1	0
	19.5%	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%
Community member emails	29	0	0	0	0
	13.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Facebook	36	0	0	0	0
	17.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Instagram	1	0	0	0	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Internet	45	0	0	0	2
	21.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
KRON – Channel 4	25	0	0	0	0
	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Next Door	71	0	0	1	0
	34.2%	0.0%	100.0%	16.4%	0.0%
Los Altos Town Crier	123	2	0	3	1
	59.7%	100.0%	100.0%	69.0%	54.9%
San Francisco Chronicle	10	0	0	0	0
	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
San Jose Mercury	60	0	0	0	0
	29.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Snapchat	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Social media generic	9	0	0	0	0
	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Twitter	2	0	0	0	0
	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	7	0	0	0	0
	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Not sure / DK/ NA	11	0	0	1	0
	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	14.6%	0.0%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group	a		a,b			
	Blogs	a	E F	a,b	a		
	City Website			a,b			
	City emails		a	a,b	a		
	Community member emails	a		a,b			
	Facebook	a		a,b			
	Instagram	a	a	a,b	F	a	
	Internet	a		a,b			
	KRON – Channel 4	a		a,b			
	Next Door	a	a	a,b			
	Los Altos Town Crier		a	a,b			
	San Francisco Chronicle	a		a,b	F		
	San Jose Mercury	a	a	a,b			
	Snapchat	a	a	a,b		a	a
	Social media generic	a	a	a,b	a	a	
	Twitter	a	a	a,b			
Other	a	a	a,b		a		
Not sure / DK/ NA		a	a,b	a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group	a	a,b	a	a
	Blogs	a	a,b	a	a
	City Website	a	a,b	a	a
	City emails	a	a,b	a	a
	Community member emails	a	a,b	a	a
	Facebook	a	a,b	a	a
	Instagram	a	a,b	a	a
	Internet	a	a,b	a	a
	KRON – Channel 4	a	a,b	a	a
	Next Door	a	a,b	a	a
	Los Altos Town Crier	a	a,b	a	a
	San Francisco Chronicle	a	a,b	a	a
	San Jose Mercury	a	a,b	a	a
	Snapchat	a	a,b	a	a
	Social media generic	a	a,b	a	a
	Twitter	a	a,b	a	a
Other	a	a,b	a	a	
Not sure / DK/ NA	a	a,b	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Total	446	1	26	5
	Bay Area News Group	75	0	7	2
		16.9%	0.0%	26.3%	40.8%
	Blogs	14	1	2	0
		3.1%	100.0%	9.3%	0.0%
	City Website	72	0	1	0
		16.2%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%
	City emails	72	0	7	0
		16.2%	0.0%	26.3%	0.0%
	Community member emails	55	1	2	0
		12.3%	100.0%	7.9%	0.0%
	Facebook	54	1	2	1
		12.1%	100.0%	8.0%	17.7%
	Instagram	3	0	0	0
		0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Internet	92	0	11	3
		20.7%	0.0%	41.2%	58.5%
	KRON – Channel 4	42	0	3	0
		9.5%	0.0%	12.8%	0.0%
	Next Door	125	0	6	0
		28.0%	0.0%	24.5%	0.0%
	Los Altos Town Crier	261	0	17	4
	58.5%	0.0%	64.3%	85.8%	
San Francisco Chronicle	29	0	0	1	
	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	14.2%	
San Jose Mercury	104	0	5	0	
	23.4%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	
Snapchat	1	0	0	0	
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Social media generic	18	0	3	0	
	4.1%	0.0%	10.8%	0.0%	
Twitter	4	0	0	0	
	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Other	20	0	2	0	
	4.6%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	
Not sure / DK/ NA	37	0	0	0	
	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese
Total	19	4	1	2
Bay Area News Group	4	0	0	1
	21.0%	0.0%	0.0%	52.1%
Blogs	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
City Website	5	0	0	0
	26.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
City emails	3	0	0	0
	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Community member emails	2	1	0	0
	9.9%	14.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Facebook	1	0	0	0
	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Instagram	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Internet	6	0	0	1
	29.0%	0.0%	0.0%	47.9%
KRON – Channel 4	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Next Door	9	1	0	0
	44.0%	30.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Los Altos Town Crier	10	2	0	1
	49.3%	48.4%	0.0%	47.9%
San Francisco Chronicle	0	2	0	1
	0.0%	51.6%	0.0%	47.9%
San Jose Mercury	2	3	0	0
	9.7%	69.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Snapchat	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Social media generic	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Twitter	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Not sure / DK/ NA	1	0	1	0
	3.4%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	5	330	11	16
Bay Area News Group	1	52	0	7
	14.9%	15.8%	0.0%	43.4%
Blogs	0	10	0	0
	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
City Website	1	55	2	6
	14.9%	16.6%	19.3%	36.6%
City emails	1	51	1	5
	14.9%	15.4%	11.9%	27.4%
Community member emails	0	38	1	4
	0.0%	11.7%	11.9%	22.1%
Facebook	0	42	0	1
	0.0%	12.9%	0.0%	7.5%
Instagram	0	3	0	0
	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Internet	2	60	2	6
	40.6%	18.3%	16.5%	33.9%
KRON – Channel 4	0	30	4	4
	0.0%	9.0%	38.0%	26.4%
Next Door	0	96	0	5
	0.0%	29.0%	0.0%	31.3%
Los Altos Town Crier	1	195	7	9
	29.8%	59.0%	63.9%	55.7%
San Francisco Chronicle	0	24	0	1
	0.0%	7.2%	0.0%	5.9%
San Jose Mercury	1	83	1	6
	14.9%	25.0%	8.8%	38.3%
Snapchat	0	1	0	0
	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Social media generic	2	11	0	0
	44.5%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Twitter	0	4	0	0
	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0	14	0	0
	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Not sure / DK/ NA	0	32	1	1
	0.0%	9.7%	6.9%	4.5%

	Ethnic Group	
	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	5	20
Bay Area News Group	0 0.0%	1 7.4%
Blogs	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
City Website	0 0.0%	2 11.1%
City emails	0 0.0%	4 22.1%
Community member emails	0 0.0%	5 26.8%
Facebook	2 47.0%	3 13.7%
Instagram	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Internet	1 17.5%	2 7.8%
KRON – Channel 4	0 0.0%	1 3.4%
Next Door	2 35.6%	6 29.2%
Los Altos Town Crier	2 35.6%	13 66.2%
San Francisco Chronicle	0 0.0%	1 3.4%
San Jose Mercury	1 15.2%	3 15.1%
Snapchat	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Social media generic	0 0.0%	2 10.3%
Twitter	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other	0 0.0%	5 24.2%
Not sure / DK/ NA	0 0.0%	2 9.5%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group	a,b			
	Blogs	a,b		b	b
	City Website	a,b		b	
	City emails	a,b		b	
	Community member emails	a,b		b	
	Facebook	a,b		b	
	Instagram	a,b	b	b	b
	Internet	a,b			
	KRON – Channel 4	a,b		b	b
	Next Door	a,b		b	
	Los Altos Town Crier	a,b			
	San Francisco Chronicle	a,b	b		b
	San Jose Mercury	a,b		b	
	Snapchat	a,b	b	b	b
	Social media generic	a,b		b	b
	Twitter	a,b		b	b
	Other	a,b		b	b
	Not sure / DK/ NA	a,b	b	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group	b	a,b		
	Blogs	b	a,b	b	b
	City Website	b	a,b	b	
	City emails	b	a,b	b	
	Community member emails		a,b	b	b
	Facebook	b	a,b	b	b
	Instagram	b	a,b	b	b
	Internet	b	a,b		
	KRON – Channel 4	b	a,b	b	b
	Next Door		a,b	b	b
	Los Altos Town Crier		a,b		
	San Francisco Chronicle		a,b		b
	San Jose Mercury		a,b	b	
	Snapchat	b	a,b	b	b
	Social media generic	b	a,b	b	
	Twitter	b	a,b	b	b
	Other	b	a,b	b	b
	Not sure / DK/ NA	b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group		b		b
	Blogs		b	b	b
	City Website				b
	City emails				b
	Community member emails				b
	Facebook				
	Instagram		b	b	b
	Internet				
	KRON – Channel 4		l		b
	Next Door		b		
	Los Altos Town Crier				
	San Francisco Chronicle		b		b
	San Jose Mercury				
	Snapchat		b	b	b
	Social media generic		b	b	b
	Twitter		b	b	b
	Other		b	b	b
Not sure / DK/ NA				b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group	
	Blogs	b
	City Website	
	City emails	
	Community member emails	
	Facebook	
	Instagram	b
	Internet	
	KRON – Channel 4	
	Next Door	
	Los Altos Town Crier	
	San Francisco Chronicle	
	San Jose Mercury	
	Snapchat	b
	Social media generic	
	Twitter	b
	Other	l
Not sure / DK/ NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
Total	446	203	243
Bay Area News Group	75	36	39
	16.9%	17.9%	16.1%
Blogs	14	7	7
	3.1%	3.2%	2.9%
City Website	72	31	41
	16.2%	15.3%	16.9%
City emails	72	31	41
	16.2%	15.5%	16.7%
Community member emails	55	20	34
	12.3%	10.1%	14.1%
Facebook	54	26	28
	12.1%	13.0%	11.3%
Instagram	3	1	3
	0.7%	0.3%	1.1%
Internet	92	48	45
	20.7%	23.5%	18.3%
KRON – Channel 4	42	19	24
	9.5%	9.2%	9.7%
Next Door	125	55	70
	28.0%	27.1%	28.7%
Los Altos Town Crier	261	122	139
	58.5%	60.0%	57.2%
San Francisco Chronicle	29	18	12
	6.6%	8.8%	4.8%
San Jose Mercury	104	50	55
	23.4%	24.6%	22.4%
Snapchat	1	1	0
	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Social media generic	18	11	7
	4.1%	5.6%	2.8%
Twitter	4	2	2
	1.0%	1.1%	0.8%
Other	20	8	12
	4.6%	4.0%	5.1%
Not sure / DK/ NA	37	20	17
	8.3%	10.1%	6.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group		
	Blogs		
	City Website		
	City emails		
	Community member emails		
	Facebook		
	Instagram		
	Internet		
	KRON – Channel 4		
	Next Door		
	Los Altos Town Crier		
	San Francisco Chronicle		
	San Jose Mercury		
	Snapchat		a
	Social media generic		
	Twitter		
	Other		
Not sure / DK/ NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Total	446	20	422	3
	Bay Area News Group	75	9	66	0
	Blogs	14	1	12	0
	City Website	72	1	71	0
	City emails	72	5	67	0
	Community member emails	55	5	50	0
	Facebook	54	1	53	0
	Instagram	3	0	3	0
	Internet	92	9	83	0
	KRON – Channel 4	42	4	35	3
	Next Door	125	9	116	0
	Los Altos Town Crier	261	11	250	0
	San Francisco Chronicle	29	0	29	0
	San Jose Mercury	104	4	100	0
	Snapchat	1	0	1	0
	Social media generic	18	4	14	0
	Twitter	4	0	4	0
	Other	20	1	20	0
	Not sure / DK/ NA	37	0	37	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group	B		a
	Blogs			a
	City Website			a
	City emails			a
	Community member emails			a
	Facebook			a
	Instagram	a		a
	Internet	B		a
	KRON – Channel 4			a
	Next Door			a
	Los Altos Town Crier			a
	San Francisco Chronicle	a		a
	San Jose Mercury			a
	Snapchat	a		a
	Social media generic	B		a
	Twitter	a		a
	Other			a
Not sure / DK/ NA	a		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	446	90	64	123	168
Bay Area News Group	75	10	5	25	37
16.9%	10.5%	7.3%	19.9%	21.8%	
Blogs	14	4	0	2	8
3.1%	3.9%	0.0%	1.4%	5.0%	
City Website	72	17	4	22	29
16.2%	19.0%	6.8%	17.9%	17.0%	
City emails	72	10	2	29	30
16.2%	11.5%	3.1%	23.8%	18.1%	
Community member emails	55	9	1	26	19
12.3%	9.9%	2.2%	20.8%	11.1%	
Facebook	54	13	3	11	26
12.1%	14.8%	5.0%	9.2%	15.4%	
Instagram	3	0	0	1	3
0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	1.6%	
Internet	92	17	10	25	40
20.7%	18.8%	15.5%	20.2%	23.9%	
KRON – Channel 4	42	4	10	14	13
9.5%	5.0%	16.2%	11.4%	7.9%	
Next Door	125	22	6	51	46
28.0%	24.8%	9.1%	41.3%	27.1%	
Los Altos Town Crier	261	45	33	80	102
58.5%	49.6%	51.8%	65.1%	60.9%	
San Francisco Chronicle	29	0	6	11	13
6.6%	0.0%	8.6%	9.0%	7.6%	
San Jose Mercury	104	13	5	38	48
23.4%	14.5%	8.2%	30.7%	28.7%	
Snapchat	1	1	0	0	0
0.2%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Social media generic	18	5	3	4	7
4.1%	5.3%	4.3%	3.0%	4.0%	
Twitter	4	1	0	0	3
1.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	
Other	20	2	3	6	9
4.6%	1.8%	5.4%	4.9%	5.5%	
Not sure / DK/ NA	37	5	13	9	11
8.3%	5.3%	19.7%	7.2%	6.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group			
	Blogs	a		
	City Website			
	City emails			B
	Community member emails			B
	Facebook			
	Instagram	a	a	
	Internet			
	KRON – Channel 4			
	Next Door			B
	Los Altos Town Crier			B
	San Francisco Chronicle	a		
	San Jose Mercury			A B
	Snapchat		a	a
	Social media generic			
	Twitter		a	a
Other				
Not sure / DK/ NA		A D		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
Bay Area News Group	75	8	8	8	10	42
Bay Area News Group	16.9%	15.4%	11.2%	14.9%	14.5%	20.6%
Blogs	14	0	3	2	0	8
Blogs	3.1%	0.0%	4.7%	4.3%	0.0%	4.0%
City Website	72	14	10	4	7	37
City Website	16.2%	27.5%	13.5%	8.5%	9.8%	18.3%
City emails	72	2	10	7	9	44
City emails	16.2%	4.1%	14.4%	13.7%	13.1%	21.5%
Community member emails	55	2	5	4	7	37
Community member emails	12.3%	3.7%	6.6%	7.1%	10.7%	18.2%
Facebook	54	9	8	6	11	19
Facebook	12.1%	18.4%	11.2%	12.6%	15.3%	9.6%
Instagram	3	2	0	0	0	1
Instagram	0.7%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Internet	92	11	14	10	16	41
Internet	20.7%	22.1%	19.5%	19.7%	22.5%	20.3%
KRON – Channel 4	42	7	8	5	2	20
KRON – Channel 4	9.5%	13.9%	11.2%	9.7%	3.4%	9.7%
Next Door	125	10	17	17	15	66
Next Door	28.0%	19.4%	23.5%	33.5%	21.9%	32.3%
Los Altos Town Crier	261	19	40	30	37	136
Los Altos Town Crier	58.5%	36.0%	56.2%	59.1%	52.9%	66.7%
San Francisco Chronicle	29	7	3	3	1	15
San Francisco Chronicle	6.6%	14.5%	4.5%	5.5%	1.5%	7.3%
San Jose Mercury	104	9	12	5	15	64
San Jose Mercury	23.4%	16.6%	17.5%	9.1%	21.7%	31.4%
Snapchat	1	0	1	0	0	0
Snapchat	0.2%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Social media generic	18	7	5	2	2	2
Social media generic	4.1%	13.4%	7.3%	4.1%	2.6%	1.1%
Twitter	4	0	1	0	1	2
Twitter	1.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	1.6%	1.2%
Other	20	0	1	2	4	13
Other	4.6%	0.0%	1.9%	3.9%	5.6%	6.5%
Not sure / DK/ NA	37	2	9	5	5	17
Not sure / DK/ NA	8.3%	4.1%	12.3%	9.4%	7.3%	8.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Bay Area News Group					
	Blogs	a			a	
	City Website					
	City emails					A
	Community member emails					
	Facebook					
	Instagram		a	a	a	
	Internet					
	KRON – Channel 4					
	Next Door					
	Los Altos Town Crier					A
	San Francisco Chronicle					
	San Jose Mercury					C
	Snapchat	a		a	a	a
	Social media generic	E				
	Twitter	a		a		
Other	a					
Not sure / DK/ NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Bay Area News Group	75	13	14	9	10	10
	Blogs	17.0%	11.1%	18.2%	17.0%	18.4%	18.1%
	City Website	14	4	4	0	2	1
	City emails	3.1%	2.9%	5.1%	0.0%	4.3%	2.5%
	Community member emails	72	22	14	6	12	7
	Facebook	16.2%	18.2%	18.1%	11.6%	21.9%	13.0%
	Instagram	72	21	17	6	10	8
	Internet	16.2%	17.4%	21.8%	11.6%	18.7%	14.5%
	KRON – Channel 4	55	19	11	6	7	5
	Next Door	12.3%	16.0%	14.3%	11.7%	13.1%	10.0%
	Los Altos Town Crier	54	14	11	3	7	7
	San Francisco Chronicle	12.1%	11.8%	14.5%	6.2%	12.1%	13.6%
	San Jose Mercury	3	0	2	0	1	1
	Snapchat	0.7%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	1.2%	1.6%
	Social media generic	92	17	21	9	10	12
	Twitter	20.8%	14.2%	28.0%	16.3%	19.5%	23.0%
	Other	42	7	3	6	5	6
	Not sure / DK/ NA	9.5%	6.0%	4.2%	10.9%	9.7%	11.9%
		125	38	24	12	9	18
		28.1%	31.4%	31.9%	22.0%	16.7%	33.1%
		260	65	41	40	33	34
	58.5%	53.8%	53.7%	73.4%	60.8%	63.2%	
	29	4	4	1	7	4	
	6.6%	3.1%	5.5%	2.7%	13.1%	7.7%	
	104	27	16	6	15	19	
	23.5%	22.1%	20.5%	11.8%	28.1%	35.6%	
	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	
	18	3	1	0	1	6	
	4.1%	2.3%	1.7%	0.0%	1.5%	10.9%	
	4	2	1	0	0	1	
	1.0%	1.2%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	
	20	5	2	2	5	1	
	4.4%	4.4%	3.3%	4.0%	8.7%	2.5%	
	37	7	8	8	3	3	
	8.4%	6.0%	10.8%	15.4%	5.3%	5.5%	

	Party by Gender		
	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	73	2	10
Bay Area News Group	15	1	3
Blogs	21.1%	58.4%	28.3%
City Website	3	0	0
City emails	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Community member emails	9	1	2
Facebook	12.3%	41.6%	15.0%
Instagram	9	1	0
Internet	12.7%	58.4%	0.0%
KRON – Channel 4	6	0	0
Next Door	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Los Altos Town Crier	11	0	1
San Francisco Chronicle	14.4%	0.0%	9.1%
San Jose Mercury	0	0	0
Snapchat	20	0	2
Social media generic	27.4%	0.0%	20.2%
Twitter	13	0	1
Other	17.9%	0.0%	10.6%
Not sure / DK/ NA	23	0	1
	31.4%	0.0%	9.1%
	41	1	6
	55.8%	41.6%	54.5%
	7	0	2
	9.5%	0.0%	18.7%
	20	0	2
	27.0%	0.0%	18.7%
	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	7	0	0
	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%
	1	0	0
	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
	3	0	0
	4.3%	0.0%	4.2%
	6	0	2
	8.1%	0.0%	16.2%

22. When you get news and information Online about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by Gender					
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Bay Area News Group						
Blogs			b			
City Website						
City emails						
Community member emails						
Facebook						
Instagram	b		b			b
Internet						
KRON – Channel 4						
Next Door						
Los Altos Town Crier						
San Francisco Chronicle						
San Jose Mercury						
Snapchat	b	b	b	b		b
Social media generic			b			
Twitter			b	b		
Other						
Not sure / DK/ NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by Gender	
	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(G)	(H)
Bay Area News Group	a	
Blogs	a,b	b
City Website	a	
City emails	a	b
Community member emails	a,b	b
Facebook	a,b	
Instagram	a,b	b
Internet	a,b	
KRON – Channel 4	a,b	
Next Door	a,b	
Los Altos Town Crier	a	
San Francisco Chronicle	a,b	
San Jose Mercury	a,b	
Snapchat	a,b	b
Social media generic	a,b	b
Twitter	a,b	b
Other	a,b	
Not sure / DK/ NA	a,b	

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 ^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
Total		446	446
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	343
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	41
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	126
	Other	30	30
	Not sure / DK/ NA	28	28
		6.3%	6.3%
		77.0%	77.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	.
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	.
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	.
	Other	.
	Not sure / DK/ NA	.
		.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 ^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Total		446	213	231	2
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	159	183	2
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	27	14	0
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	53	73	0
	Other	30	13	17	0
	Not sure / DK/ NA	28	16	13	0
		6.3%	7.3%	5.5%	0.0%
		77.0%	74.4%	79.3%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper			a
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	B		a
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper			a
	Other			a
	Not sure / DK/ NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 ^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total		446	59	35	81	140	124	7
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	31	25	67	116	97	7
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	13	1	1	10	16	0
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	22	9	11	39	44	1
	Other	30	6	0	5	7	12	0
	Not sure / DK/ NA	28	6	3	7	9	3	0
		6.3%	9.6%	9.2%	8.7%	6.5%	2.6%	0.0%
		77.0%	53.0%	71.6%	83.5%	82.9%	77.9%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper			A	A	A	. ^a
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	C D					. ^a
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	C				C	. ^a
	Other		. ^a				. ^a
	Not sure / DK/ NA						. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		446	357	80	9
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	297	40	7
		77.0%	83.2%	49.5%	76.8%
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	28	13	0
		9.3%	7.9%	16.3%	0.0%
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	88	38	0
		28.3%	24.7%	46.9%	1.4%
Other	30	25	5	0	
	6.8%	7.0%	6.7%	0.0%	
Not sure / DK/ NA	28	18	8	2	
	6.3%	5.2%	9.8%	21.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	B		
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper		A	. ^a
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper		A C	. ^a
	Other			. ^a
	Not sure / DK/ NA			. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total		446	197	110	12	127
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	149	93	10	91
		77.0%	75.7%	84.6%	83.4%	71.8%
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	13	14	2	12
		9.3%	6.7%	13.1%	16.0%	9.3%
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	65	25	5	31
		28.3%	32.9%	23.0%	42.3%	24.4%
	Other	30	10	10	0	10
		6.8%	5.0%	9.0%	0.0%	8.2%
Not sure / DK/ NA	28	14	4	0	10	
	6.3%	7.2%	3.9%	0.0%	7.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper				
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper				
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper				
	Other			a	
	Not sure / DK/ NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total		446	75	68	32	40	140	90
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	57	51	26	37	106	66
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	4	5	3	5	19	6
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	23	21	6	9	42	25
	Other	30	5	5	3	3	7	9
	Not sure / DK/ NA	28	4	7	3	0	7	8
		6.3%	5.6%	10.4%	8.3%	0.0%	4.8%	8.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper						
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper						
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper						
	Other					a	
	Not sure / DK/ NA					a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total		446	126	51	62	50
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	80	33	53	44
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	13	7	6	2
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	46	8	17	10
	Other	30	8	5	2	3
	Not sure / DK/ NA	28	14	5	4	1
		6.3%	11.0%	9.6%	7.1%	1.1%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total		36	36	46	38
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	30	30	40	34
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	1	1	4	6
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	9	13	14	9
	Other	3	3	4	2
	Not sure / DK/ NA	1	2	0	2
		4.1%	4.4%	0.0%	3.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper			A	A
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper				
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper				
	Other				
	Not sure / DK/ NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper				
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper				
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper				
	Other			a	
	Not sure / DK/ NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8
Total		446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	69	20	152	26	12	13	33
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	10	3	14	3	1	0	9
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	25	6	46	12	3	5	22
	Other	30	8	1	15	3	1	0	3
	Not sure / DK/ NA	28	1	0	14	2	0	1	7
		6.3%	0.8%	0.0%	7.5%	6.7%	0.0%	6.0%	11.3%

		Date
		Dec. 9
Total		24
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	18 75.8%
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	2 8.3%
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	7 30.5%
	Other	0 0.0%
	Not sure / DK/ NA	3 10.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date								
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	G	G	G		a				
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper						a			
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper							a		
	Other								a	
	Not sure / DK/ NA		a			a				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	349	97
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	269	75
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	33	8
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	103	23
	Other	30	23	8
	Not sure / DK/ NA	28	23	5
		6.3%	6.6%	5.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper		
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper		
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper		
	Other		
	Not sure / DK/ NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Total	446	282	164
	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	239	105
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	21	20
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	85	41
	Other	30	20	10
	Not sure / DK/ NA	28	12	16
		6.3%	4.4%	9.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	B	
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper		
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper		
	Other		
	Not sure / DK/ NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b.

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Total	446	3	28	74	58
	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	3	18	43	37
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	0	2	1	10
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	1	9	17	14
	Other	30	0	0	7	6
	Not sure / DK/ NA	28	0	5	13	3
		6.3%	0.0%	17.4%	16.9%	4.8%

		Years Lived in Los Altos		
		16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Total	111	167	4
	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	94	143	4
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	8	20	0
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	34	50	0
	Other	8	9	0
	Not sure / DK/ NA	2	6	0
		1.5%	3.8%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper					C D
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	. ^a			C	
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	. ^a	. ^a			
	Other	. ^a		E F	E F	
	Not sure / DK/ NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(F)	(G)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	C D	. ^a
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper		. ^a
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper		. ^a
	Other		. ^a
	Not sure / DK/ NA		. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status				
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student
Total		446	203	22	41	19
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	151	20	35	8
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	26	1	0	0
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	63	4	6	6
	Other	30	15	1	1	2
	Not sure / DK/ NA	28	14	2	4	4
		6.3%	7.0%	10.0%	9.1%	22.9%

		Employment Status			
		Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total		126	14	16	4
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	98	14	15	2
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	14	0	0	0
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	43	2	2	0
	Other	9	0	1	1
	Not sure / DK/ NA	3	0	0	1
		7.2%	0.0%	7.4%	31.7%
		2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	17.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status				
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	D	D	D		D
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper			. ^a	. ^a	
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper					
	Other					
	Not sure / DK/ NA				E	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	. ^a	D	
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper			. ^a
	Other	. ^a		
	Not sure / DK/ NA	. ^a	. ^a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work					
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
Total		266	5	2	1	12	30
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	206	2	1	0	10	22
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	27	0	0	0	5	6
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	73	3	1	0	2	12
	Other	16	0	0	0	2	2
	Not sure / DK/ NA	20	0	0	1	0	2
		7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	7.2%

		County Where Work				
		Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total		207	2	0	5	2
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	162	2	0	4	2
		78.4%	100.0%	100.0%	83.6%	100.0%
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	17	0	0	0	0
		8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	55	0	0	0	0
		26.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other	12	0	0	0	0
	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Not sure / DK/ NA	16	0	0	1	0	
	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	16.4%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper			a,b			
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	b	b	a,b	F		
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper			a,b			
	Other	b	b	a,b			
	Not sure / DK/ NA	b	b	a,b	b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	b	a,b		b
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	b	a,b	b	b
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	b	a,b	b	b
	Other	b	a,b	b	b
	Not sure / DK/ NA	b	a,b		b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
Total		446	1	26	5
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	1	23	5
		77.0%	100.0%	87.1%	100.0%
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	0	1	1
		9.3%	0.0%	3.1%	14.2%
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	0	4	0
		28.3%	0.0%	15.9%	0.0%
	Other	30	0	0	2
	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	40.8%	
Not sure / DK/ NA	28	0	2	0	
	6.3%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese
		Total		19	4
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	14	4	1	2
		72.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	0	2	0	0
		0.0%	51.6%	0.0%	0.0%
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	5	3	0	0
		27.8%	69.6%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other	1	0	0	0
	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Not sure / DK/ NA	1	0	0	0	
	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total		5	330	11	16
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	1	258	6	12
		29.8%	78.4%	52.0%	70.5%
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	0	36	0	0
		0.0%	11.0%	0.0%	2.7%
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	0	97	5	8
		0.0%	29.3%	44.9%	49.0%
	Other	2	15	0	2
	44.5%	4.5%	0.0%	11.8%	
Not sure / DK/ NA	1	21	1	0	
	25.7%	6.5%	10.0%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group	
		Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		5	20
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	2	14
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	35.6%	69.8%
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	0	1
	Other	0.0%	3.4%
	Other	0	4
	Other	0.0%	18.4%
Other	2	6	
Other	47.0%	29.1%	
Not sure / DK/ NA	1	1	
Not sure / DK/ NA	17.5%	3.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	a,b		b	
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	a,b			b
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	a,b		b	
	Other	a,b	b	l	
	Not sure / DK/ NA	a,b		b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	b	a,b	b	
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	B	a,b	b	b
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper		a,b	b	b
	Other	b	a,b	b	l
	Not sure / DK/ NA	b	a,b	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper				
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper		b		b
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper				b
	Other		b		l
	Not sure / DK/ NA			b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group	
		Not sure/DK/NA	(M)
		23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper
San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper			
San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper			
Other			l
Not sure / DK/ NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
Total		446	203	243
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	164	180
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	77.0%	80.8%	73.9%
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	41	14	27
	Other	9.3%	6.9%	11.3%
	Other	126	52	74
	Other	28.3%	25.7%	30.4%
Other	30	12	18	
Other	6.8%	5.9%	7.5%	
Other	28	16	12	
Not sure / DK/ NA	6.3%	7.9%	5.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper		
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper		
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper		
	Other		
	Not sure / DK/ NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Total	446	20	422	3
	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	17	326	0
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	1	41	0
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	5	118	3
	Other	30	3	28	0
	Not sure / DK/ NA	28	0	28	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper			a
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper			a
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper			a
	Other			a
	Not sure / DK/ NA	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	51	49	108	135
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	10	5	13	13
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	26	21	37	42
	Other	30	2	3	11	14
	Not sure / DK/ NA	28	11	0	2	15
		6.8%	2.5%	4.5%	8.8%	8.5%
		6.3%	12.4%	0.0%	1.3%	9.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper		A	A	A
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper				
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper				
	Other				
	Not sure / DK/ NA	C	a		C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	343	30	43	40	54	176
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	9	4	5	7	16
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	24	14	5	20	64
	Other	30	2	8	1	5	14
	Not sure / DK/ NA	28	4	9	4	6	5
		6.3%	7.1%	12.8%	8.1%	8.7%	2.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper					A B
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper					
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	B C				C
	Other					
	Not sure / DK/ NA		E			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	341	89	60	50	42	43
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	41	8	5	1	13	5
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	126	44	20	11	14	17
	Other	30	6	4	7	3	4
	Not sure / DK/ NA	28	8	6	2	3	3
			6.4%	6.6%	8.1%	3.1%	4.9%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Total	73	2	10
	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	48	1	9
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	7	0	2
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	14	1	4
	Other	7	0	0
	Not sure / DK/ NA	7	0	0
		9.3%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper			F		
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper				A C	
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper					
	Other					
	Not sure / DK/ NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
23. When you get news and information from printed newspapers about the local community, local events, and the City government, which of the following do you primarily get it from?	Los Altos Town Crier Printed Newspaper	a		
	San Francisco Chronicle Printed Newspaper	a,b		
	San Jose Mercury Printed Newspaper	a		
	Other	a,b	b	
	Not sure / DK/ NA	a,b	b	

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
	Total	446	446
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	307	307
		68.9%	68.9%
	No	121	121
		27.1%	27.1%
	DK/NA	18	18
		3.9%	3.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	.
	No	.
	DK/NA	.
		.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
	Total	446	213	231	2
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	307	145	161	1
		68.9%	67.9%	70.0%	58.7%
	No	121	62	59	0
		27.1%	28.9%	25.7%	0.0%
	DK/NA	18	7	10	1
		3.9%	3.2%	4.3%	41.3%

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes			.
	No			.
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	307	27	30	74	106	70	1
		68.9%	46.2%	85.2%	91.3%	75.7%	56.5%	11.6%
	No	121	27	5	7	29	48	5
		27.1%	45.2%	14.8%	8.7%	20.8%	38.2%	75.5%
	DK/NA	18	5	0	0	5	7	1
		3.9%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	5.3%	12.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes		A E F	A E F	A E F		
	No	B C D				C D	B C D
	DK/NA		.	.			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		446	357	80	9
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	307 68.9%	254 71.2%	53 65.5%	1 11.6%
	No	121 27.1%	88 24.8%	28 34.5%	5 55.0%
	DK/NA	18 3.9%	15 4.1%	0 0.0%	3 33.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	C	C	
	No			
	DK/NA		^a	A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total		446	197	110	12	127
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	307 68.9%	126 64.0%	80 72.9%	7 59.0%	94 74.1%
	No	121 27.1%	63 31.9%	24 21.5%	3 27.1%	31 24.6%
	DK/NA	18 3.9%	8 4.1%	6 5.6%	2 13.9%	2 1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes				
	No				
	DK/NA			D	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total		446	75	68	32	40	140	90
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	307 68.9%	45 59.5%	44 64.5%	23 70.8%	28 70.5%	100 71.3%	68 75.3%
	No	121 27.1%	29 38.2%	20 28.7%	7 23.2%	8 21.3%	35 25.1%	21 23.8%
	DK/NA	18 3.9%	2 2.3%	5 6.8%	2 6.1%	3 8.2%	5 3.7%	1 0.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes						
	No						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Total		446	126	51	62	50	36
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	307	81	36	50	38	29
		68.9%	64.3%	70.6%	80.8%	75.4%	80.2%
	No	121	41	14	8	12	6
		27.1%	32.1%	26.5%	13.7%	23.0%	17.6%
	DK/NA	18	4	1	3	1	1
		3.9%	3.6%	2.9%	5.5%	1.6%	2.2%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total		36	46	38
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	24	30	19
		65.8%	65.6%	50.5%
	No	11	13	17
		29.7%	28.1%	44.2%
	DK/NA	2	3	2
		4.5%	6.3%	5.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes			H			
	No						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes		
	No		C
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9	
Total		446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24	
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	307	50	12	147	26	11	11	37	13	
		68.9%	62.6%	55.2%	77.3%	70.0%	85.2%	75.5%	56.6%	56.5%	
	No	121	26	8	35	9	1	4	28	10	
		27.1%	32.3%	35.1%	18.6%	25.1%	8.3%	24.5%	42.1%	43.5%	
	DK/NA	18	4	2	8	2	1	0	1	0	
		3.9%	5.1%	9.7%	4.1%	4.9%	6.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes			G					
	No						C		
	DK/NA						. ^a		. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	349	97
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	307	240	68
		68.9%	68.7%	69.6%
	No	121	94	27
		27.1%	27.0%	27.6%
	DK/NA	18	15	3
		3.9%	4.3%	2.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Total	446	282	164
	Yes	307 68.9%	195 69.1%	112 68.7%
	No	121 27.1%	73 25.9%	48 29.2%
	DK/NA	18 3.9%	14 5.0%	3 2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Yes	307 68.9%	2 55.9%	22 78.3%	53 71.4%	48 83.4%	81 72.8%
	No	121 27.1%	1 44.1%	5 19.5%	21 28.6%	8 14.2%	26 23.4%
	DK/NA	18 3.9%	0 0.0%	1 2.2%	0 0.0%	1 2.4%	4 3.7%

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Total	167	4
	Yes	99 59.0%	2 68.2%
	No	58 34.9%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	10 6.1%	1 31.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes				F		
	No						D
	DK/NA	. ^a		. ^a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in ..
		Not sure/ DK /NA
		(G)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	
	No	. ^a
	DK/NA	D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status						Dont work out of the home
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	
Total		446	203	22	41	19	126	14
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	307	148	16	35	9	69	12
		68.9%	72.9%	73.2%	84.3%	46.3%	54.7%	89.5%
	No	121	47	4	6	10	50	1
		27.1%	23.4%	20.0%	15.7%	49.3%	39.9%	10.5%
	DK/NA	18	7	2	0	1	7	0
		3.9%	3.7%	6.8%	0.0%	4.4%	5.4%	0.0%

		Employment Status	
		Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total		16	4
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	16	3
		95.8%	63.4%
	No	1	1
		4.2%	17.1%
	DK/NA	0	1
		0.0%	19.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status					
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	E		E			
	No					A	
	DK/NA			a			a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status	
		Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total			
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	D	E
	No		
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work							
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz
Total		266	5	2	1	12	30	207	2
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	199	1	2	0	7	24	161	0
		74.7%	15.1%	100.0%	0.0%	59.7%	80.1%	77.7%	0.0%
	No	58	4	0	1	4	6	38	2
		21.9%	84.9%	0.0%	100.0%	33.4%	19.9%	18.3%	100.0%
	DK/NA	9	0	0	0	1	0	8	0
		3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%

		County Where Work		
		Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total		0	5	2
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes	0	3	1
		0.0%	57.9%	54.9%
	No	0	2	1
		100.0%	42.1%	45.1%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work							
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes		a	a,b		A	A	a	a,b
	No	E F	a	a,b				a	a,b
	DK/NA	a	a	a,b		a		a	a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where	
		Other	DK/NA
Total			
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?		Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
	Yes	307	1	17	5	16	4	
	No	121	0	9	0	3	1	
	DK/NA	18	0	0	0	0	0	
		68.9%	100.0%	63.8%	100.0%	82.9%	85.3%	
		27.1%	0.0%	36.2%	0.0%	17.1%	14.7%	
		3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?		Total	1	2	5	330	11
	Yes	1	1	3	221	7	
	No	0	1	2	94	4	
	DK/NA	0	0	0	15	0	
		100.0%	52.1%	55.5%	67.0%	60.8%	
		0.0%	47.9%	44.5%	28.6%	39.2%	
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA	
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?		Total	16	5	20
	Yes	15	2	16	
	No	1	3	1	
	DK/NA	1	0	2	
		88.7%	32.6%	80.9%	
		8.2%	67.4%	6.9%	
		3.1%	0.0%	12.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group					
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?		Yes	a,b		b		
	No	a,b		b			
	DK/NA	a,b	b	b	b	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?		Yes	a,b				
	No	a,b					
	DK/NA	a,b	b	b		b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?		Yes		
	No			
	DK/NA		b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code			
		Total	94022	94024	
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?		Total	446	203	243
	Yes	307	141	166	
		68.9%	69.7%	68.3%	
	No	121	54	67	
		27.1%	26.8%	27.4%	
	DK/NA	18	7	10	
		3.9%	3.5%	4.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Total	446	20	422	3
	Yes	307	17	290	0
	No	121	3	114	3
	DK/NA	18	0	18	0
		3.9%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes			.a
	No			.a
	DK/NA	.a		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Yes	307	55	26	96	130
	No	121	35	37	20	29
	DK/NA	18	0	1	7	10
		3.9%	0.0%	1.5%	5.7%	5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes			B	A B
	No	C D	C D		
	DK/NA	.a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Yes	307	31	51	41	49	136
	No	121	19	18	9	18	57
	DK/NA	18	2	2	1	2	11
		3.9%	3.2%	2.3%	2.2%	3.5%	5.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2
	Yes	306	77	48	39	40	43	51	2
	No	121	37	25	12	11	9	22	0
	DK/NA	17	6	2	3	3	1	0	0
		3.8%	4.9%	2.8%	5.8%	5.6%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%

		Party by ..
		Male Oth
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Total	10
	Yes	5
	No	3
	DK/NA	2
		52.2%
		31.7%
		16.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
24. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Los Altos website - losaltosca.gov?	Yes								a,b
	No								a,b
	DK/NA						b		a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	446	446
Brochures or flyers in the mail	116	116
	26.1%	26.1%
City Website	79	79
	17.6%	17.6%
Email	228	228
	51.1%	51.1%
Facebook	20	20
	4.5%	4.5%
Flyers or posters around town	36	36
	8.1%	8.1%
Los Altos Patch	18	18
	4.1%	4.1%
NextDoor	74	74
	16.5%	16.5%
Text message	32	32
	7.2%	7.2%
Twitter	6	6
	1.4%	1.4%
None	10	10
	2.3%	2.3%
Other	27	27
	6.1%	6.1%
Not sure / DK/ NA	13	13
	2.9%	2.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	.
	City Website	.
	Email	.
	Facebook	.
	Flyers or posters around town	.
	Los Altos Patch	.
	NextDoor	.
	Text message	.
	Twitter	.
	None	.
	Other	.
	Not sure / DK/ NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
	Total	446	213	231	2
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	116 26.1%	55 25.8%	61 26.6%	0 0.0%
	City Website	79 17.6%	32 14.8%	46 19.9%	1 58.7%
	Email	228 51.1%	118 55.3%	110 47.7%	0 0.0%
	Facebook	20 4.5%	9 4.2%	11 4.9%	0 0.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	36 8.1%	14 6.5%	20 8.9%	2 100.0%
	Los Altos Patch	18 4.1%	12 5.6%	6 2.8%	0 0.0%
	NextDoor	74 16.5%	35 16.3%	39 16.9%	0 0.0%
	Text message	32 7.2%	14 6.8%	18 7.7%	0 0.0%
	Twitter	6 1.4%	4 1.8%	2 1.0%	0 0.0%
	None	10 2.3%	4 1.9%	6 2.6%	0 0.0%
	Other	27 6.1%	12 5.6%	15 6.6%	0 0.0%
	Not sure / DK/ NA	13 2.9%	6 2.9%	6 2.8%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail			a
	City Website			.
	Email			a
	Facebook			a
	Flyers or posters around town			a
	Los Altos Patch			a
	NextDoor			a
	Text message			a
	Twitter			a
	None			a
	Other			a
	Not sure / DK/ NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						Not coded
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total		446	59	35	81	140	124	7
Brochures or flyers in the mail		116	10	7	23	31	39	6
		26.1%	16.9%	20.3%	28.6%	22.3%	31.6%	78.9%
City Website		79	8	3	15	32	20	0
		17.6%	14.1%	9.2%	18.1%	23.1%	16.3%	0.0%
Email		228	35	22	37	77	55	3
		51.1%	58.1%	62.1%	46.3%	54.8%	44.5%	36.6%
Facebook		20	7	0	5	7	1	0
		4.5%	12.5%	0.0%	6.0%	4.9%	0.9%	0.0%
Flyers or posters around town		36	5	1	0	13	15	2
		8.1%	9.1%	2.2%	0.0%	9.5%	12.3%	22.3%
Los Altos Patch		18	5	1	1	9	3	0
		4.1%	8.4%	2.1%	1.7%	6.1%	2.2%	0.0%
NextDoor		74	4	11	22	24	12	1
		16.5%	6.3%	32.3%	27.7%	17.0%	9.6%	12.2%
Text message		32	2	5	9	9	7	0
		7.2%	3.0%	13.1%	11.2%	6.6%	6.0%	0.0%
Twitter		6	0	1	4	1	1	0
		1.4%	0.0%	3.0%	4.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%
None		10	2	0	1	1	6	0
		2.3%	3.0%	0.0%	1.5%	1.0%	4.7%	0.0%
Other		27	0	0	4	4	19	0
		6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	2.8%	15.5%	0.0%
Not sure / DK/ NA		13	0	0	1	5	6	1
		2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	3.4%	4.9%	8.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	
Brochures or flyers in the mail							A B D
City Website							. ^a
Email							.
Facebook		E	. ^a				. ^a
Flyers or posters around town					. ^a		.
Los Altos Patch							. ^a
NextDoor			A E	A E			.
Text message							. ^a
Twitter		. ^a					. ^a
None			. ^a				. ^a
Other		. ^a	. ^a			D	. ^a
Not sure / DK/ NA		. ^a	. ^a				. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		446	357	80	9
Brochures or flyers in the mail		116	103	12	1
		26.1%	28.9%	14.9%	16.5%
City Website		79	56	21	2
		17.6%	15.6%	26.7%	17.4%
Email		228	188	36	4
		51.1%	52.8%	44.9%	41.7%
Facebook		20	13	5	2
		4.5%	3.7%	6.2%	21.8%
Flyers or posters around town		36	35	0	2
		8.1%	9.7%	0.0%	18.7%
Los Altos Patch		18	14	5	0
		4.1%	3.8%	6.0%	0.0%
NextDoor		74	55	19	0
		16.5%	15.3%	23.3%	4.4%
Text message		32	26	6	0
		7.2%	7.4%	7.3%	0.0%
Twitter		6	6	0	0
		1.4%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
None		10	7	3	0
		2.3%	1.9%	4.0%	0.0%
Other		27	22	5	0
		6.1%	6.2%	6.2%	0.0%
Not sure / DK/ NA		13	12	0	1
		2.9%	3.4%	0.0%	5.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	B		
	City Website			
	Email			
	Facebook			A
	Flyers or posters around town		a	
	Los Altos Patch			a
	NextDoor			a
	Text message			a
	Twitter		a	a
	None			a
	Other			a
	Not sure / DK/ NA		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	116	43	40	4	29
	City Website	79	29	20	2	28
	Email	228	100	51	3	74
	Facebook	51.1%	50.9%	46.6%	21.7%	58.1%
	Flyers or posters around town	20	10	5	0	5
	Los Altos Patch	4.5%	5.2%	4.2%	0.0%	4.3%
	NextDoor	36	17	15	2	3
	Text message	8.1%	8.4%	13.5%	13.9%	2.6%
	Twitter	18	6	6	3	4
	None	4.1%	3.3%	5.0%	22.0%	2.9%
	Other	74	35	12	1	26
	Not sure / DK/ NA	16.5%	17.9%	10.8%	8.4%	20.1%
		32	17	4	1	10
		7.2%	8.5%	3.9%	5.0%	8.2%
		6	2	1	0	3
		1.4%	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	2.7%
		10	7	2	0	1
	2.3%	3.7%	1.5%	0.0%	1.0%	
	27	13	7	3	4	
	6.1%	6.9%	6.7%	20.9%	2.9%	
	13	5	6	0	2	
	2.9%	2.4%	5.8%	0.0%	1.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		A		
	City Website				
	Email				
	Facebook			a	
	Flyers or posters around town		D		
	Los Altos Patch			A D	
	NextDoor				
	Text message				
	Twitter			a	
	None			a	
	Other			D	
	Not sure / DK/ NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Brochures or flyers in the mail	116	20	12	14	11	38	21
	26.1%	26.4%	18.3%	44.8%	28.4%	26.7%	23.1%
City Website	79	11	9	3	8	24	24
	17.6%	14.5%	13.2%	10.5%	20.6%	16.8%	26.1%
Email	228	29	35	16	19	77	52
	51.1%	38.1%	51.2%	49.9%	48.4%	55.2%	57.2%
Facebook	20	3	3	2	0	8	4
	4.5%	4.1%	4.4%	5.5%	0.0%	5.8%	4.7%
Flyers or posters around town	36	6	9	3	8	8	2
	8.1%	7.6%	12.9%	10.0%	21.1%	5.5%	2.7%
Los Altos Patch	18	2	3	0	1	11	2
	4.1%	2.3%	3.9%	0.0%	2.4%	7.7%	2.3%
NextDoor	74	17	12	3	1	22	18
	16.5%	23.2%	17.0%	10.8%	1.9%	16.0%	20.1%
Text message	32	11	4	1	1	8	7
	7.2%	14.4%	6.3%	3.9%	1.9%	5.5%	8.0%
Twitter	6	0	2	0	1	0	3
	1.4%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	3.8%
None	10	3	4	1	0	2	0
	2.3%	4.3%	6.1%	2.9%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Other	27	7	5	2	2	7	5
	6.1%	9.1%	7.2%	7.3%	3.9%	4.9%	5.1%
Not sure / DK/ NA	13	0	3	3	3	2	2
	2.9%	0.6%	4.2%	8.1%	7.1%	1.6%	1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Brochures or flyers in the mail						
City Website						
Email						
Facebook				a		
Flyers or posters around town				E F		
Los Altos Patch			a			
NextDoor	D					
Text message			a		a	
Twitter	a					
None				a		a
Other						
Not sure / DK/ NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date				
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	446	126	51	62	50
Brochures or flyers in the mail	116	23	14	8	13
	26.1%	18.3%	28.4%	12.9%	25.0%
City Website	79	28	6	11	10
	17.6%	22.1%	10.9%	17.4%	19.7%
Email	228	77	28	32	21
	51.1%	60.6%	54.6%	51.7%	41.2%
Facebook	20	4	3	10	0
	4.5%	3.3%	5.7%	15.5%	0.0%
Flyers or posters around town	36	6	3	3	5
	8.1%	5.0%	5.3%	5.1%	10.9%
Los Altos Patch	18	8	2	1	1
	4.1%	6.5%	4.1%	1.2%	1.6%
NextDoor	74	20	10	13	11
	16.5%	16.0%	20.5%	21.6%	22.7%
Text message	32	13	3	2	4
	7.2%	10.6%	6.2%	2.5%	8.6%
Twitter	6	1	2	2	1
	1.4%	0.8%	4.7%	3.4%	1.4%
None	10	2	3	2	1
	2.3%	1.3%	5.5%	2.9%	1.3%
Other	27	2	0	6	6
	6.1%	1.3%	0.0%	9.3%	11.1%
Not sure / DK/ NA	13	2	0	2	1
	2.9%	1.8%	0.0%	3.8%	1.1%

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	36	36	46	38
Brochures or flyers in the mail	8	16	13	21
	21.3%	44.4%	28.4%	55.9%
City Website	7	6	6	6
	19.6%	16.2%	13.1%	14.8%
Email	17	18	23	13
	45.8%	50.9%	50.6%	33.1%
Facebook	1	1	2	0
	3.3%	1.9%	3.5%	0.0%
Flyers or posters around town	6	3	3	7
	16.2%	8.2%	6.7%	17.7%
Los Altos Patch	1	1	4	1
	2.0%	2.0%	9.0%	2.1%
NextDoor	9	6	3	1
	23.7%	15.5%	6.7%	2.6%
Text message	2	1	2	4
	6.4%	3.2%	5.4%	9.7%
Twitter	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
None	0	0	1	2
	1.3%	0.0%	2.6%	4.2%
Other	5	1	6	2
	13.1%	4.0%	13.0%	5.2%
Not sure / DK/ NA	0	2	2	3
	0.0%	6.5%	4.6%	8.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail				
	City Website				
	Email				
	Facebook			A	a
	Flyers or posters around town				
	Los Altos Patch				
	NextDoor				
	Text message				
	Twitter				
	None		a		
	Other		a		
	Not sure / DK/ NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		A C		A C
	City Website				
	Email				
	Facebook				a
	Flyers or posters around town				
	Los Altos Patch				
	NextDoor				
	Text message				
	Twitter	a	a	a	a
	None		a		
	Other	A		A	
	Not sure / DK/ NA	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	116	25	8	49	4	4	4	19
	City Website	79	8	3	43	5	5	3	9
	Email	228	42	12	95	20	8	8	33
	Facebook	51.1%	52.5%	53.8%	49.9%	55.7%	61.3%	54.9%	50.8%
	Flyers or posters around town	20	4	1	10	2	0	0	3
	Los Altos Patch	4.5%	5.4%	3.1%	5.1%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%
	NextDoor	36	6	3	13	4	1	1	7
	Text message	8.1%	8.1%	15.3%	7.0%	11.6%	11.0%	4.3%	10.5%
	Twitter	18	2	0	9	1	1	1	3
	None	4.1%	1.9%	0.0%	4.7%	3.7%	6.2%	3.4%	4.6%
	Other	74	17	4	35	5	2	3	8
	Not sure / DK/ NA	16.5%	20.9%	17.8%	18.2%	14.8%	17.7%	18.2%	12.3%
		32	1	1	22	3	0	2	2
		7.2%	0.8%	4.4%	11.5%	8.5%	0.0%	14.2%	2.8%
		6	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
		1.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.7%	3.7%	0.0%	6.9%	2.8%
	10	2	0	5	2	0	0	0	
	2.3%	2.8%	0.0%	2.5%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	27	7	2	8	1	1	0	1	
	6.1%	8.5%	9.1%	4.0%	4.1%	6.6%	0.0%	1.5%	
	13	2	1	4	3	0	0	1	
	2.9%	2.9%	3.0%	2.1%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	

		Date
		Dec. 9
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	24
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	3
	City Website	13.2%
	Email	3
	Facebook	10.8%
	Flyers or posters around town	9
	Los Altos Patch	39.8%
	NextDoor	0
	Text message	0.0%
	Twitter	0
	None	0.0%
	Other	2
	Not sure / DK/ NA	9.3%
		0
	0.0%	
	2	
	6.7%	
	0	
	0.0%	
	1	
	5.9%	
	7	
	31.1%	
	2	
	7.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail								
	City Website								
	Email					a	a		a
	Facebook					a			a
	Flyers or posters around town								a
	Los Altos Patch		a						
	NextDoor								a
	Text message					a			
	Twitter					a			a
	None		a				a	a	
	Other						a		
	Not sure / DK/ NA					a	a		C G

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	349	97
Brochures or flyers in the mail		116	83	34
		26.1%	23.7%	34.6%
City Website		79	60	18
		17.6%	17.3%	18.9%
Email		228	189	39
		51.1%	54.2%	40.1%
Facebook		20	14	7
		4.5%	3.9%	6.8%
Flyers or posters around town		36	26	10
		8.1%	7.4%	10.8%
Los Altos Patch		18	15	3
		4.1%	4.3%	3.3%
NextDoor		74	62	12
		16.5%	17.7%	12.3%
Text message		32	22	10
		7.2%	6.4%	10.1%
Twitter		6	6	0
		1.4%	1.8%	0.0%
None		10	9	1
		2.3%	2.7%	1.0%
Other		27	19	8
		6.1%	5.6%	7.9%
Not sure / DK/ NA		13	10	3
		2.9%	2.8%	3.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		A
	City Website		
	Email	B	
	Facebook		
	Flyers or posters around town		
	Los Altos Patch		
	NextDoor		
	Text message		
	Twitter		a
	None		
	Other		
	Not sure / DK/ NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	282	164
Brochures or flyers in the mail	116 26.1%	81 28.7%	35 21.5%
City Website	79 17.6%	49 17.3%	30 18.2%
Email	228 51.1%	136 48.3%	92 56.0%
Facebook	20 4.5%	13 4.5%	7 4.5%
Flyers or posters around town	36 8.1%	24 8.6%	12 7.4%
Los Altos Patch	18 4.1%	9 3.1%	10 5.9%
NextDoor	74 16.5%	49 17.4%	25 15.0%
Text message	32 7.2%	18 6.2%	15 8.9%
Twitter	6 1.4%	5 1.8%	1 0.6%
None	10 2.3%	10 3.6%	0 0.0%
Other	27 6.1%	20 7.2%	7 4.1%
Not sure / DK/ NA	13 2.9%	11 3.8%	2 1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
Brochures or flyers in the mail		
City Website		
Email		
Facebook		
Flyers or posters around town		
Los Altos Patch		
NextDoor		
Text message		
Twitter		
None		a
Other		
Not sure / DK/ NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions

	Years Lived in Los Altos				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years
Total	446	3	28	74	58
Brochures or flyers in the mail	116 26.1%	1 25.9%	6 20.6%	22 29.1%	8 13.3%
City Website	79 17.6%	1 40.4%	6 21.8%	11 14.5%	15 25.7%
Email	228 51.1%	1 33.7%	16 55.4%	41 54.6%	28 48.0%
Facebook	20 4.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.4%	10 18.0%
Flyers or posters around town	36 8.1%	0 0.0%	2 8.8%	2 3.0%	3 4.6%
Los Altos Patch	18 4.1%	0 0.0%	2 6.2%	0 0.0%	6 10.9%
NextDoor	74 16.5%	1 33.7%	9 32.0%	17 22.6%	10 16.8%
Text message	32 7.2%	0 0.0%	2 5.5%	9 12.3%	4 7.7%
Twitter	6 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 3.2%	3 4.8%
None	10 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	2 2.7%
Other	27 6.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.1%	2 4.1%
Not sure / DK/ NA	13 2.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 3.0%	0 0.0%

		Years Lived in Los Altos		
		16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total		111	167	4
Brochures or flyers in the mail		26 23.2%	55 32.6%	0 0.0%
City Website		22 19.6%	24 14.2%	0 0.0%
Email		64 57.8%	79 46.9%	0 0.0%
Facebook		1 1.3%	6 3.9%	0 0.0%
Flyers or posters around town		12 10.6%	16 9.3%	2 46.6%
Los Altos Patch		2 1.9%	8 4.9%	0 0.0%
NextDoor		19 16.8%	18 11.0%	0 0.0%
Text message		6 5.2%	11 6.6%	0 0.0%
Twitter		1 0.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
None		1 0.6%	7 4.0%	0 0.0%
Other		8 6.8%	14 8.4%	2 44.7%
Not sure / DK/ NA		3 2.8%	7 4.2%	0 8.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Brochures or flyers in the mail						
City Website						
Email						
Facebook		a	a		C E F	
Flyers or posters around town		a				
Los Altos Patch		a		a		
NextDoor			F			
Text message		a				
Twitter		a	a			
None		a	a			
Other		a	a			
Not sure / DK/ NA		a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(F)	(G)
Brochures or flyers in the mail			a
City Website			a
Email			a
Facebook			a
Flyers or posters around town			C D
Los Altos Patch			a
NextDoor			a
Text message			a
Twitter		a	a
None			a
Other			C D
Not sure / DK/ NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Employment Status				
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student
Total	446	203	22	41	19
Brochures or flyers in the mail	116 26.1%	49 24.0%	5 21.3%	11 25.6%	4 23.0%
City Website	79 17.6%	39 19.0%	6 26.1%	8 19.3%	1 4.4%
Email	228 51.1%	114 56.4%	10 46.5%	24 57.6%	13 68.3%
Facebook	20 4.5%	8 3.9%	2 8.9%	2 4.4%	4 21.8%
Flyers or posters around town	36 8.1%	9 4.3%	2 9.4%	2 4.4%	3 17.7%
Los Altos Patch	18 4.1%	13 6.6%	1 3.2%	1 2.0%	0 0.0%
NextDoor	74 16.5%	39 19.3%	5 20.6%	8 19.0%	0 0.0%
Text message	32 7.2%	19 9.2%	1 6.6%	5 12.0%	1 4.4%
Twitter	6 1.4%	2 1.2%	0 0.0%	1 1.7%	0 0.0%
None	10 2.3%	5 2.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other	27 6.1%	4 1.8%	1 4.2%	1 3.6%	0 0.0%
Not sure / DK/ NA	13 2.9%	3 1.5%	1 4.5%	1 2.0%	0 0.0%

	Employment Status			
	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	126	14	16	4
Brochures or flyers in the mail	43 33.7%	2 15.9%	3 19.0%	0 0.0%
City Website	17 13.8%	2 16.2%	4 27.4%	1 31.7%
Email	56 44.2%	6 44.9%	3 19.4%	1 31.7%
Facebook	3 2.4%	1 9.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Flyers or posters around town	16 13.1%	2 17.4%	1 4.0%	1 19.6%
Los Altos Patch	1 1.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 48.8%
NextDoor	11 9.0%	3 21.7%	8 47.8%	0 0.0%
Text message	4 3.1%	0 0.0%	2 12.9%	0 0.0%
Twitter	1 0.6%	1 7.6%	0 0.0%	1 31.7%
None	4 3.5%	0 0.0%	1 7.4%	0 0.0%
Other	18 14.3%	2 15.1%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%
Not sure / DK/ NA	7 5.2%	0 0.0%	1 7.5%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status				
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?					
Brochures or flyers in the mail					
City Website					
Email					
Facebook				A	E
Flyers or posters around town				.	.
Los Altos Patch				.	.
NextDoor				.	.
Text message				.	.
Twitter		a		.	.
None		a	a	.	.
Other	
Not sure / DK/ NA				.	A

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(F)	(G)	(H)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?			
Brochures or flyers in the mail			a
City Website			.
Email			.
Facebook		a	a
Flyers or posters around town			.
Los Altos Patch	a	a	A C E
NextDoor		E	.
Text message	a		.
Twitter		a	A C E
None	a		a
Other	A		a
Not sure / DK/ NA	.		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work					
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
Brochures or flyers in the mail	64	3	2	0	3	7
	24.0%	72.5%	100.0%	0.0%	28.3%	24.8%
City Website	52	0	1	0	2	10
	19.7%	0.0%	43.3%	0.0%	13.2%	33.7%
Email	148	0	0	0	6	16
	55.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.1%	53.3%
Facebook	12	1	1	0	0	1
	4.4%	15.1%	56.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
Flyers or posters around town	13	0	0	0	1	2
	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	5.7%
Los Altos Patch	15	0	0	0	2	3
	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.4%	10.1%
NextDoor	52	0	0	0	2	7
	19.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.4%	24.0%
Text message	25	0	0	0	1	1
	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	2.0%
Twitter	3	0	0	0	0	0
	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
None	5	0	0	0	0	1
	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
Other	6	1	0	0	0	0
	2.3%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Not sure / DK/ NA	5	0	0	1	0	0
	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	1.6%	0.0%

	County Where Work				
	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	207	2	0	5	2
Brochures or flyers in the mail	44	2	0	1	0
	21.3%	100.0%	100.0%	25.7%	0.0%
City Website	39	0	0	1	0
	18.6%	0.0%	0.0%	25.1%	0.0%
Email	125	0	0	1	0
	60.4%	0.0%	0.0%	31.0%	0.0%
Facebook	9	0	0	0	0
	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Flyers or posters around town	8	0	0	2	0
	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	43.3%	0.0%
Los Altos Patch	9	0	0	0	0
	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NextDoor	41	0	0	0	1
	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	45.1%
Text message	22	0	0	1	1
	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	16.4%	54.9%
Twitter	2	0	0	1	0
	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	14.6%	0.0%
None	4	0	0	0	0
	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	5	0	0	0	0
	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Not sure / DK/ NA	3	0	0	0	0
	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	.	a	a,b	.	.	.
	City Website	a	.	a,b	.	.	.
	Email	a	a	a,b	.	.	.
	Facebook	.	E F	a,b	.	a	.
	Flyers or posters around town	a	a	a,b	.	.	.
	Los Altos Patch	a	a	a,b	.	.	.
	NextDoor	a	a	a,b	.	.	.
	Text message	a	a	a,b	.	.	.
	Twitter	a	a	a,b	.	.	a
	None	a	a	a,b	.	a	.
	Other	.	a	a,b	.	a	.
	Not sure / DK/ NA	a	a	a,b	.	.	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	a	a,b	.	a
	City Website	a	a,b	.	a
	Email	a	a,b	.	a
	Facebook	a	a,b	a	a
	Flyers or posters around town	a	a,b	F	a
	Los Altos Patch	a	a,b	a	a
	NextDoor	a	a,b	a	.
	Text message	a	a,b	.	E
	Twitter	a	a,b	F	a
	None	a	a,b	a	a
	Other	a	a,b	a	a
	Not sure / DK/ NA	a	a,b	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Group		
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
Total	446	1	26	5
Brochures or flyers in the mail	116 26.1%	1 100.0%	6 21.5%	3 55.0%
City Website	79 17.6%	0 0.0%	5 18.1%	3 68.0%
Email	228 51.1%	0 0.0%	13 49.5%	2 32.0%
Facebook	20 4.5%	1 100.0%	1 4.2%	0 0.0%
Flyers or posters around town	36 8.1%	0 0.0%	4 15.0%	0 0.0%
Los Altos Patch	18 4.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
NextDoor	74 16.5%	0 0.0%	5 19.5%	0 0.0%
Text message	32 7.2%	0 0.0%	1 4.8%	0 0.0%
Twitter	6 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
None	10 2.3%	0 0.0%	2 6.3%	0 0.0%
Other	27 6.1%	0 0.0%	2 6.5%	0 0.0%
Not sure / DK/ NA	13 2.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Total	Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese
Total	19	4	1	2	
Brochures or flyers in the mail	4 23.1%	2 33.7%	1 100.0%	2 100.0%	
City Website	1 3.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Email	11 59.2%	4 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Facebook	1 4.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Flyers or posters around town	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Los Altos Patch	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
NextDoor	7 34.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Text message	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Twitter	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
None	1 6.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Other	2 8.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Not sure / DK/ NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	5	330	11	16
Brochures or flyers in the mail	0 0.0%	85 25.7%	3 28.5%	5 28.2%
City Website	1 14.9%	57 17.1%	1 11.9%	6 33.9%
Email	4 85.1%	163 49.4%	6 54.9%	9 54.0%
Facebook	1 14.9%	15 4.5%	0 0.0%	1 3.1%
Flyers or posters around town	0 0.0%	28 8.5%	0 0.0%	1 4.4%
Los Altos Patch	0 0.0%	16 4.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
NextDoor	0 0.0%	55 16.7%	0 0.0%	3 21.0%
Text message	0 0.0%	24 7.3%	3 25.4%	2 13.9%
Twitter	0 0.0%	5 1.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
None	0 0.0%	7 2.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other	0 0.0%	22 6.8%	1 6.9%	1 4.7%
Not sure / DK/ NA	0 0.0%	12 3.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group	
	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	5	20
Brochures or flyers in the mail	3 62.1%	2 10.3%
City Website	0 0.0%	6 29.3%
Email	5 100.0%	11 54.8%
Facebook	0 0.0%	1 4.0%
Flyers or posters around town	0 0.0%	4 18.0%
Los Altos Patch	0 0.0%	3 13.7%
NextDoor	0 0.0%	3 16.6%
Text message	2 37.9%	0 0.0%
Twitter	0 0.0%	1 6.7%
None	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Not sure / DK/ NA	0 0.0%	1 5.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	a,b			
	City Website	a,b		D	
	Email	a,b			
	Facebook	a,b		b	
	Flyers or posters around town	a,b		b	b
	Los Altos Patch	a,b	b	b	b
	NextDoor	a,b		b	
	Text message	a,b		b	b
	Twitter	a,b	b	b	b
	None	a,b		b	
	Other	a,b		b	
	Not sure / DK/ NA	a,b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		a,b	b	b
	City Website	b	a,b	b	
	Email	b	a,b	b	
	Facebook	b	a,b	b	
	Flyers or posters around town	b	a,b	b	b
	Los Altos Patch	b	a,b	b	b
	NextDoor	b	a,b	b	b
	Text message	b	a,b	b	b
	Twitter	b	a,b	b	b
	None	b	a,b	b	b
	Other	b	a,b	b	b
	Not sure / DK/ NA	b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail				
	City Website				b
	Email				b
	Facebook		b		b
	Flyers or posters around town		b		b
	Los Altos Patch		b	b	b
	NextDoor		b		b
	Text message				
	Twitter		b	b	b
	None		b	b	b
	Other				b
	Not sure / DK/ NA		b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	
	City Website	
	Email	
	Facebook	
	Flyers or posters around town	
	Los Altos Patch	
	NextDoor	
	Text message	b
	Twitter	
	None	b
	Other	b
	Not sure / DK/ NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
Total	446	203	243
Brochures or flyers in the mail	116 26.1%	49 24.2%	67 27.7%
City Website	79 17.6%	38 18.5%	41 17.0%
Email	228 51.1%	107 52.9%	121 49.6%
Facebook	20 4.5%	10 4.9%	10 4.2%
Flyers or posters around town	36 8.1%	20 9.8%	17 6.8%
Los Altos Patch	18 4.1%	4 1.8%	15 6.0%
NextDoor	74 16.5%	32 15.8%	42 17.1%
Text message	32 7.2%	14 6.9%	18 7.5%
Twitter	6 1.4%	3 1.4%	3 1.4%
None	10 2.3%	6 2.7%	5 1.9%
Other	27 6.1%	13 6.6%	14 5.7%
Not sure / DK/ NA	13 2.9%	3 1.7%	9 3.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Zip Code	
	94022	94024
	(A)	(B)
Brochures or flyers in the mail		
City Website		
Email		
Facebook		
Flyers or posters around town		
Los Altos Patch		A
NextDoor		
Text message		
Twitter		
None		
Other		
Not sure / DK/ NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total	446	20	422	3
Brochures or flyers in the mail	116 26.1%	5 23.6%	111 26.4%	0 0.0%
City Website	79 17.6%	9 45.5%	69 16.4%	0 0.0%
Email	228 51.1%	11 54.2%	214 50.6%	3 100.0%
Facebook	20 4.5%	0 0.0%	20 4.8%	0 0.0%
Flyers or posters around town	36 8.1%	2 7.9%	35 8.2%	0 0.0%
Los Altos Patch	18 4.1%	0 0.0%	18 4.3%	0 0.0%
NextDoor	74 16.5%	5 23.7%	69 16.3%	0 0.0%
Text message	32 7.2%	0 0.0%	32 7.6%	0 0.0%
Twitter	6 1.4%	0 0.0%	6 1.5%	0 0.0%
None	10 2.3%	2 8.1%	9 2.0%	0 0.0%
Other	27 6.1%	0 0.0%	27 6.4%	0 0.0%
Not sure / DK/ NA	13 2.9%	0 0.0%	13 3.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
Brochures or flyers in the mail			a
City Website	B		a
Email			a
Facebook	a		a
Flyers or posters around town			a
Los Altos Patch	a		a
NextDoor			a
Text message	a		a
Twitter	a		a
None			a
Other	a		a
Not sure / DK/ NA	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	446	90	64	123	168
Brochures or flyers in the mail	116	18	27	32	39
	26.1%	19.7%	42.3%	26.2%	23.3%
City Website	79	13	7	29	30
	17.6%	13.9%	10.7%	23.8%	17.8%
Email	228	46	15	80	87
	51.1%	51.0%	24.0%	64.9%	51.5%
Facebook	20	4	3	2	11
	4.5%	4.8%	4.6%	1.7%	6.5%
Flyers or posters around town	36	9	10	8	9
	8.1%	9.6%	15.4%	6.8%	5.6%
Los Altos Patch	18	6	0	3	10
	4.1%	6.4%	0.0%	2.3%	5.8%
NextDoor	74	9	3	31	31
	16.5%	10.4%	4.3%	25.1%	18.2%
Text message	32	3	2	5	23
	7.2%	3.4%	2.5%	3.8%	13.5%
Twitter	6	2	1	2	1
	1.4%	2.3%	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
None	10	0	1	3	6
	2.3%	0.0%	2.2%	2.4%	3.4%
Other	27	5	11	6	5
	6.1%	5.8%	17.8%	4.6%	2.9%
Not sure / DK/ NA	13	3	2	3	5
	2.9%	2.8%	3.8%	2.1%	3.1%

25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		A D		
	City Website				
	Email	B		B	B
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town		a		
	Los Altos Patch				
	NextDoor			A B	B
	Text message				C
	Twitter				
	None	a			
	Other		C D		
	Not sure / DK/ NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total		446	52	70	51	69	204
Brochures or flyers in the mail		116	12	16	7	18	64
		26.1%	23.1%	22.3%	13.0%	26.1%	31.4%
City Website		79	14	13	8	11	33
		17.6%	27.2%	18.1%	15.7%	15.9%	16.2%
Email		228	30	37	29	30	102
		51.1%	57.8%	53.1%	57.7%	43.0%	49.9%
Facebook		20	2	5	2	6	6
		4.5%	4.4%	6.9%	3.4%	8.1%	2.8%
Flyers or posters around town		36	3	2	4	5	23
		8.1%	6.6%	2.3%	7.2%	7.0%	11.2%
Los Altos Patch		18	7	2	0	2	8
		4.1%	13.1%	3.1%	0.0%	2.2%	3.9%
NextDoor		74	8	8	12	11	35
		16.5%	15.8%	11.4%	22.8%	15.8%	17.2%
Text message		32	1	11	5	5	10
		7.2%	2.8%	15.0%	9.3%	7.2%	5.1%
Twitter		6	0	2	0	2	1
		1.4%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	3.6%	0.6%
None		10	0	3	0	3	4
		2.3%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	4.9%	1.9%
Other		27	0	2	2	4	19
		6.1%	0.0%	3.0%	4.1%	5.2%	9.5%
Not sure / DK/ NA		13	0	1	2	2	8
		2.9%	0.0%	1.3%	4.0%	3.0%	3.8%

25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail					
	City Website					
	Email					
	Facebook					
	Flyers or posters around town					
	Los Altos Patch			a		
	NextDoor					
	Text message					
	Twitter	a		a		
	None	a				
	Other	a				
	Not sure / DK/ NA	a				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	116	24	19	24	16	12
	City Website	78	21	8	9	10	17
	Email	228	61	39	22	29	27
	Facebook	20	8	2	0	5	3
	Flyers or posters around town	34	11	6	9	5	1
	Los Altos Patch	18	3	3	1	5	1
	NextDoor	74	21	15	5	7	12
	Text message	32	11	6	3	1	4
	Twitter	6	0	2	1	0	1
	None	10	4	3	1	1	1
	Other	27	8	5	5	2	2
	Not sure / DK/ NA	13	3	2	3	4	1

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	73	2	10
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	17	1	3
	City Website	11	0	2
	Email	47	0	3
	Facebook	2	0	0
	Flyers or posters around town	2	0	2
	Los Altos Patch	2	1	2
	NextDoor	13	1	0
	Text message	6	0	1
	Twitter	2	0	0
	None	0	0	0
	Other	2	0	3
	Not sure / DK/ NA	1	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail			A		
	City Website					
	Email					
	Facebook			b		
	Flyers or posters around town					
	Los Altos Patch					
	NextDoor					
	Text message					
	Twitter	b			b	
	None					
	Other					
Not sure / DK/ NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
25. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	.	a	.
	City Website	.	a,b	.
	Email	.	a,b	.
	Facebook	.	a,b	b
	Flyers or posters around town	.	a,b	.
	Los Altos Patch	.	a	.
	NextDoor	.	a	b
	Text message	.	a,b	.
	Twitter	.	a,b	b
	None	b	a,b	b
	Other	.	a,b	.
	Not sure / DK/ NA	.	a,b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	446	446
	Male	213	213
	Female	231	231
	Other	2	2
		0.4%	0.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male
	Female
	Other

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	446	213	231	2
	Male	213	213	0	0
	Female	231	0	231	0
	Other	2	0	0	2
		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male	a	a	a
	Female	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Male	213 47.9%	29 48.2%	16 45.9%	38 46.6%	66 47.0%	63 51.1%	2 29.5%
	Female	231 51.7%	31 51.8%	19 54.1%	43 53.4%	72 51.6%	61 48.9%	5 70.5%
	Other	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male						
	Female						
	Other	.a	.a	.a		.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	446	357	80	9
	Male	213 47.9%	175 49.1%	36 44.5%	3 29.4%
	Female	231 51.7%	180 50.6%	45 55.5%	5 61.3%
	Other	2 0.4%	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	1 9.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male			
	Female			
	Other		.a	A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Male	213 47.9%	76 38.6%	54 49.0%	10 85.7%	73 57.6%
	Female	231 51.7%	121 61.4%	55 50.0%	2 14.3%	53 41.8%
	Other	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	1 1.1%	0 0.0%	1 0.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male			A	A
	Female	C D		.a	
	Other	.a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Male	213	28	30	16	17	71	52
	Female	231	47	39	16	22	69	37
	Other	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
		47.9%	37.0%	43.2%	50.3%	42.1%	50.8%	57.5%
		51.7%	63.0%	56.8%	49.7%	54.9%	49.2%	41.5%
		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male
	Female
	Other

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
	Male	213	61	32	29	18	18	16
	Female	231	65	19	33	32	17	19
	Other	2	0	0	0	1	1	0
		47.9%	48.3%	62.5%	46.8%	35.3%	49.6%	45.7%
		51.7%	51.7%	37.5%	53.2%	63.0%	47.1%	54.3%
		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	0.0%

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	46	38
	Male	21	18
	Female	25	20
	Other	0	0
		45.3%	48.4%
		54.7%	51.6%
		0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male
	Female
	Other

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male	.	.
	Female	.	.
	Other	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Male	213	34	11	95	15	6	7	33	12
	Female	231	46	10	94	22	6	8	33	12
	Other	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
		47.9%	42.8%	50.3%	49.9%	41.4%	49.3%	45.4%	49.9%	51.5%
		51.7%	57.2%	46.0%	49.5%	58.6%	50.7%	54.6%	50.1%	48.5%
		0.4%	0.0%	3.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male
	Female
	Other

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	349	97
A. Respondent's Gender	Male	213 47.9%	167 48.0%	46 47.2%
	Female	231 51.7%	179 51.4%	51 52.8%
	Other	2 0.4%	2 0.6%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male		
	Female		a
	Other		.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	282	164
A. Respondent's Gender	Male	213 47.9%	128 45.4%	85 52.0%
	Female	231 51.7%	152 53.8%	78 48.0%
	Other	2 0.4%	2 0.7%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male		
	Female		a
	Other		.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
Total		446	3	28	74	58	111	167
A. Respondent's Gender	Male	213 47.9%	2 55.9%	13 44.6%	46 62.1%	30 51.8%	43 38.5%	79 47.2%
	Female	231 51.7%	1 44.1%	16 55.4%	28 37.9%	28 48.2%	67 60.5%	88 52.8%
	Other	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.1%	0 0.0%

		Years Lived in ... Not sure/ DK /NA
Total		4
A. Respondent's Gender	Male	1 32.2%
	Female	2 44.7%
	Other	1 23.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos					
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
A. Respondent's Gender			E			
Male						
Female						
Other	.a	.a	.a	.a		.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in ...
	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(G)
A. Respondent's Gender	
Male	
Female	
Other	E

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status						
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	Male	213	115	3	18	11	60	0
	Female	231	88	19	22	9	66	13
	Other	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
		47.9%	56.8%	12.7%	44.7%	54.7%	47.5%	3.3%
		51.7%	43.2%	87.3%	52.5%	45.3%	52.5%	96.7%
		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Employment Status	
	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(G)	(H)
A. Respondent's Gender		
Total	16	4
Male	6	0
Female	10	3
Other	0	1
	36.9%	0.0%
	63.1%	80.4%
	0.0%	19.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status					
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
A. Respondent's Gender	B F	A		F	B F	A E
Male						
Female						
Other	.a	.a		.a	.a	.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status	
	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(G)	(H)
A. Respondent's Gender		
Male		.a
Female		
Other	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work							
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207	2
	Male	137	2	0	0	7	11	109	2
	Female	129	2	2	1	5	19	97	0
	Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		51.3%	54.1%	0.0%	0.0%	60.3%	36.7%	53.0%	100.0%
		48.3%	45.9%	100.0%	100.0%	39.7%	63.3%	47.0%	0.0%
		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	County Where Work		
	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
	(G)	(H)	(I)
A. Respondent's Gender			
Total	0	5	2
Male	0	3	1
Female	0	1	1
Other	0	1	0
	100.0%	56.7%	54.9%
	0.0%	18.2%	45.1%
	0.0%	25.1%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work							
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male		a	a,b				a	a,b
	Female		a	a,b				a	a,b
	Other	a	a	a,b	a	a	a	a	a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where	
		Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male		
	Female		a
	Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
	Male	213	0	15	0	10	0
	Female	231	1	12	5	9	4
	Other	2	0	0	0	0	0
		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	1	2	5	330	11
	Male	1	1	3	162	5
	Female	0	1	2	167	6
	Other	0	0	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(H)
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	16	5	20
	Male	3	3	10
	Female	13	2	8
	Other	0	0	2
		19.9%	67.4%	49.5%
		80.1%	32.6%	40.5%
		0.0%	0.0%	10.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male	a,b		b		b
	Female	a,b		b		b
	Other	a,b	b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male	a,b				
	Female	a,b			b	
	Other	a,b	b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male			
	Female			
	Other	b	b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	446	203	243
	Male	213	88	125
		47.9%	43.4%	51.6%
	Female	231	113	118
		51.7%	55.6%	48.4%
	Other	2	2	0
		0.4%	1.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male		
	Female		
	Other		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^b

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	446	20	422	3
	Male	213	8	203	3
		47.9%	37.1%	48.0%	100.0%
	Female	231	13	218	0
		51.7%	62.9%	51.5%	0.0%
	Other	2	0	2	0
		0.4%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male			a
	Female			a
	Other	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Male	213	44	34	45	90
		47.9%	48.8%	52.7%	36.7%	53.7%
	Female	231	46	30	77	77
		51.7%	51.2%	47.3%	62.6%	45.6%
	Other	2	0	0	1	1
		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male				
	Female			D	C
	Other	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Male	213	30	33	27	35	89
	Female	231	21	38	25	34	113
	Other	2	0	0	0	0	2
		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male
	Female
	Other

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2
	Male	213	0	76	0	54	0	73	0
	Female	231	121	0	55	0	53	0	2
	Other	51.9%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%

		Party by ..
		Male Oth
A. Respondent's Gender	Total	10
	Male	10
	Female	0
	Other	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
A. Respondent's Gender	Male
	Female

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Total	446	446
	Less than 1 year	3	3
		0.8%	0.8%
	1 to 3 years	28	28
		6.3%	6.3%
	4 to 9 years	74	74
		16.6%	16.6%
	10 to 15 years	58	58
		13.0%	13.0%
	16 to 25 years	111	111
	24.9%	24.9%	
26 years or more	167	167	
	37.5%	37.5%	
DK/NA	4	4	
	0.8%	0.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year	.
	1 to 3 years	.
	4 to 9 years	.
	10 to 15 years	.
	16 to 25 years	.
	26 years or more	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Total		446	213	231	2
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year	3 0.8%	2 0.9%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%
	1 to 3 years	28 6.3%	13 5.9%	16 6.8%	0 0.0%
	4 to 9 years	74 16.6%	46 21.6%	28 12.2%	0 0.0%
	10 to 15 years	58 13.0%	30 14.1%	28 12.1%	0 0.0%
	16 to 25 years	111 24.9%	43 20.0%	67 29.2%	1 58.7%
	26 years or more	167 37.5%	79 37.0%	88 38.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	4 0.8%	1 0.5%	2 0.7%	1 41.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year			. ^a
	1 to 3 years			. ^a
	4 to 9 years	B		. ^a
	10 to 15 years			. ^a
	16 to 25 years			. ^a
	26 years or more			. ^a
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total		446	59	35	81	140	124	7
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year	3 0.8%	1 2.3%	2 5.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.1%	0 0.0%
	1 to 3 years	28 6.3%	6 10.8%	8 23.2%	10 12.7%	3 1.9%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%
	4 to 9 years	74 16.6%	7 12.3%	11 32.8%	35 43.1%	15 11.0%	5 4.4%	0 0.0%
	10 to 15 years	58 13.0%	11 18.7%	2 7.0%	18 22.2%	19 13.4%	8 6.2%	0 0.0%
	16 to 25 years	111 24.9%	17 28.3%	1 3.0%	11 13.2%	59 42.1%	24 19.1%	0 0.0%
	26 years or more	167 37.5%	16 27.6%	10 28.6%	5 6.8%	43 30.4%	86 69.2%	7 100.0%
	DK/NA	4 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.0%	2 1.2%	0 0.3%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year		E	. ^a	. ^a		. ^a
	1 to 3 years	E	DE	DE			. ^a
	4 to 9 years		DE	ADE			. ^a
	10 to 15 years			E			. ^a
	16 to 25 years	B			BCE		. ^a
	26 years or more	C	C		C	ABCD	. ^a
	DK/NA	. ^a	. ^a				. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		446	357	80	9
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year	3 0.8%	2 0.5%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%
	1 to 3 years	28 6.3%	17 4.7%	11 13.9%	0 5.1%
	4 to 9 years	74 16.6%	49 13.7%	25 31.4%	0 0.0%
	10 to 15 years	58 13.0%	45 12.7%	11 13.4%	2 21.8%
	16 to 25 years	111 24.9%	92 25.7%	18 22.3%	2 18.5%
	26 years or more	167 37.5%	151 42.3%	15 19.0%	1 15.7%
	DK/NA	4 0.8%	2 0.4%	0 0.0%	2 22.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year		. ^a	A
	1 to 3 years		A	
	4 to 9 years		A	. ^a
	10 to 15 years			
	16 to 25 years			
	26 years or more	B		
	DK/NA		. ^a	A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total		446	197	110	12	127
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year	3 0.8%	1 0.8%	1 0.8%	0 0.0%	1 0.8%
	1 to 3 years	28 6.3%	21 10.6%	0 0.0%	1 7.8%	6 5.0%
	4 to 9 years	74 16.6%	34 17.4%	10 8.9%	1 8.2%	29 23.0%
	10 to 15 years	58 13.0%	19 9.5%	12 10.7%	3 25.1%	24 19.2%
	16 to 25 years	111 24.9%	51 26.0%	31 28.0%	3 23.5%	26 20.8%
	26 years or more	167 37.5%	70 35.7%	57 51.4%	4 35.4%	36 28.7%
	DK/NA	4 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.3%	0 0.0%	3 2.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year			a	
	1 to 3 years		a		
	4 to 9 years				B
	10 to 15 years				
	16 to 25 years				
	26 years or more		A D		
DK/NA		a		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party							
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other	
Total		446	75	68	32	40	140	90	
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year	3 0.8%	1 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.6%	1 1.1%	
	1 to 3 years	28 6.3%	10 13.2%	7 9.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 3.7%	6 7.1%	
	4 to 9 years	74 16.6%	21 27.4%	11 15.5%	6 18.4%	1 1.9%	11 7.9%	25 28.1%	
	10 to 15 years	58 13.0%	9 11.7%	4 6.4%	2 6.3%	2 5.2%	23 16.2%	18 19.9%	
	16 to 25 years	111 24.9%	16 21.3%	17 24.7%	9 27.0%	11 27.4%	47 33.7%	11 12.7%	
	26 years or more	167 37.5%	18 24.4%	30 43.5%	15 48.3%	26 65.5%	53 37.7%	25 27.5%	
	DK/NA		4 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 0.2%	3 3.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year		a	a	a		
	1 to 3 years			a	a		
	4 to 9 years	D E					D E
	10 to 15 years						
	16 to 25 years					F	
	26 years or more				A E F		
DK/NA		a	a	a	a		E

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	
Total		446	126	51	62	50	36	
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year	3 0.8%	1 1.1%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
	1 to 3 years	28 6.3%	21 16.9%	3 6.2%	1 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
	4 to 9 years	74 16.6%	26 20.9%	19 37.3%	11 17.4%	11 21.5%	3 9.5%	
	10 to 15 years	58 13.0%	17 13.7%	8 15.3%	18 28.9%	7 14.0%	3 9.1%	
	16 to 25 years	111 24.9%	24 18.9%	9 18.0%	12 19.1%	18 36.6%	20 56.5%	
	26 years or more	167 37.5%	36 28.6%	12 23.3%	18 29.1%	13 26.2%	8 22.5%	
	DK/NA		4 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.6%	1 1.6%	1 2.3%

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	36	46	38
Less than 1 year	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.6%
1 to 3 years	0 0.7%	0 0.0%	3 7.2%
4 to 9 years	2 6.4%	0 0.0%	1 3.8%
10 to 15 years	3 7.2%	2 4.3%	0 0.0%
16 to 25 years	21 58.1%	5 11.7%	1 3.2%
26 years or more	10 27.6%	39 84.0%	31 82.3%
DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date				
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Less than 1 year		a		a	a
1 to 3 years	C			a	a
4 to 9 years		F H			
10 to 15 years			G		
16 to 25 years				H	A B C G H
26 years or more					
DK/NA	a	a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(F)	(G)	(H)
Less than 1 year	a	a	
1 to 3 years		a	
4 to 9 years		a	
10 to 15 years			a
16 to 25 years	A B C G H		
26 years or more		A B C D E F	A B C D E F
DK/NA	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Date								
	Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
Less than 1 year	3 0.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 9.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
1 to 3 years	28 6.3%	3 3.7%	0 0.0%	13 7.1%	1 3.5%	1 5.9%	0 0.0%	9 13.1%	1 5.5%
4 to 9 years	74 16.6%	7 9.1%	2 8.6%	36 19.2%	4 10.4%	0 0.0%	5 29.7%	14 22.0%	6 24.5%
10 to 15 years	58 13.0%	8 10.4%	3 12.5%	19 10.2%	11 28.7%	1 6.6%	0 0.0%	14 21.4%	2 8.1%
16 to 25 years	111 24.9%	20 24.4%	6 25.8%	47 24.9%	8 22.8%	4 33.8%	6 38.7%	19 28.5%	1 5.8%
26 years or more	167 37.5%	42 52.4%	11 49.4%	71 37.3%	12 32.3%	7 53.7%	3 22.6%	10 15.1%	12 49.3%
DK/NA	4 0.8%	0 0.0%	1 3.7%	0 0.2%	1 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 6.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date							
	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Less than 1 year	a	a		a	a	C	a	a
1 to 3 years		a				a		
4 to 9 years					a			
10 to 15 years						a		
16 to 25 years								
26 years or more	G	G	G		a	a	a	G
DK/NA	a							C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	349	97
Less than 1 year		3 0.8%	3 1.0%	0 0.0%
1 to 3 years		28 6.3%	20 5.8%	8 8.4%
4 to 9 years		74 16.6%	55 15.7%	19 19.9%
10 to 15 years		58 13.0%	39 11.2%	19 19.5%
16 to 25 years		111 24.9%	94 27.0%	17 17.6%
26 years or more		167 37.5%	136 39.1%	31 32.1%
DK/NA		4 0.8%	1 0.3%	2 2.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
Less than 1 year			a
1 to 3 years			
4 to 9 years			
10 to 15 years			A
16 to 25 years			
26 years or more			
DK/NA			A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	282	164
Less than 1 year		3 0.8%	1 0.4%	2 1.4%
1 to 3 years		28 6.3%	8 2.9%	20 12.3%
4 to 9 years		74 16.6%	43 15.4%	31 18.8%
10 to 15 years		58 13.0%	29 10.4%	29 17.5%
16 to 25 years		111 24.9%	80 28.3%	31 19.0%
26 years or more		167 37.5%	119 42.3%	48 29.4%
DK/NA		4 0.8%	1 0.4%	2 1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
Less than 1 year			
1 to 3 years			A
4 to 9 years			
10 to 15 years			A
16 to 25 years		B	
26 years or more		B	
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Years Lived in Los Altos					
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
Less than 1 year	3	3	0	0	0	0
	0.8%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1 to 3 years	28	0	28	0	0	0
	6.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
4 to 9 years	74	0	0	74	0	0
	16.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10 to 15 years	58	0	0	0	58	0
	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
16 to 25 years	111	0	0	0	0	111
	24.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
26 years or more	167	0	0	0	0	0
	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	4	0	0	0	0	0
	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	167	4
Less than 1 year	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
1 to 3 years	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
4 to 9 years	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
10 to 15 years	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
16 to 25 years	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
26 years or more	167	0
	100.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	4
	0.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos				
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?					
Less than 1 year	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
1 to 3 years	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
4 to 9 years	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
10 to 15 years	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
16 to 25 years	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
26 years or more	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
DK/NA	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(F)	(G)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?		
Less than 1 year	.a	.a
1 to 3 years	.a	.a
4 to 9 years	.a	.a
10 to 15 years	.a	.a
16 to 25 years	.a	.a
26 years or more	.a	.a
DK/NA	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Employment Status					
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
Less than 1 year	3	3	0	0	0	0
	0.8%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
1 to 3 years	28	19	0	1	5	0
	6.3%	9.5%	0.0%	2.5%	24.2%	0.2%
4 to 9 years	74	53	5	2	3	5
	16.6%	26.4%	21.3%	5.6%	15.5%	4.0%
10 to 15 years	58	26	5	5	7	8
	13.0%	12.7%	21.4%	11.9%	36.0%	6.0%
16 to 25 years	111	54	5	15	4	22
	24.9%	26.5%	23.0%	36.6%	20.0%	17.8%
26 years or more	167	47	8	18	1	90
	37.5%	23.4%	34.3%	43.5%	4.4%	71.0%
DK/NA	4	0	0	0	0	1
	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	14	16	4
Less than 1 year	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
1 to 3 years	3 23.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
4 to 9 years	1 5.3%	5 29.9%	0 0.0%
10 to 15 years	2 16.2%	3 18.9%	3 63.4%
16 to 25 years	6 40.5%	5 28.8%	1 17.1%
26 years or more	0 3.3%	4 22.5%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	2 11.8%	0 0.0%	1 19.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status				
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Less than 1 year		a	a	a	
1 to 3 years	E	a		E	
4 to 9 years	E			E	
10 to 15 years				E	
16 to 25 years					
26 years or more			D		A B C D F G
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(F)	(G)	(H)
Less than 1 year	a	a	a
1 to 3 years	E	a	a
4 to 9 years		E	a
10 to 15 years			E
16 to 25 years			a
26 years or more			a
DK/NA	E	a	E

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work						
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
Less than 1 year	3 1.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 1.6%
1 to 3 years	20 7.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 14.4%	2 8.3%	16 7.6%
4 to 9 years	61 22.7%	1 26.6%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	1 8.0%	4 13.8%	51 24.6%
10 to 15 years	35 13.3%	0 0.0%	1 56.7%	0 0.0%	2 17.0%	8 28.0%	22 10.6%
16 to 25 years	74 27.8%	3 73.4%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	4 31.0%	11 35.7%	52 25.1%
26 years or more	73 27.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 29.5%	4 14.3%	63 30.5%
DK/NA							

	County Where Work			
	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	2	0	5	2
Less than 1 year	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
1 to 3 years	0 0.0%	0 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
4 to 9 years	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
10 to 15 years	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.6%	1 45.1%
16 to 25 years	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 43.3%	1 54.9%
26 years or more	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 42.1%	0 0.0%
DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work						
	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Less than 1 year	a	a	a,b	a	a		a
1 to 3 years	a	a	a,b				a
4 to 9 years		a	a,b				a
10 to 15 years	a		a,b				a
16 to 25 years			a,b				a
26 years or more	a	a	a,b				a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work		
	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
	(H)	(I)	(J)
Less than 1 year	a,b	a	a
1 to 3 years	a,b	a	a
4 to 9 years	a,b	a	a
10 to 15 years	a,b		
16 to 25 years	a,b		
26 years or more	a,b		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
Total	446	1	26	5	19
Less than 1 year	3	0	0	0	0
	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1 to 3 years	28	0	4	1	7
	6.3%	0.0%	17.2%	27.3%	36.3%
4 to 9 years	74	0	3	0	5
	16.6%	0.0%	12.8%	0.0%	25.6%
10 to 15 years	58	1	3	1	2
	13.0%	100.0%	12.2%	17.7%	8.1%
16 to 25 years	111	0	5	2	3
	24.9%	0.0%	20.8%	40.8%	17.8%
26 years or more	167	0	9	1	1
	37.5%	0.0%	33.8%	14.2%	4.0%
DK/NA	4	0	1	0	2
	0.8%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	8.3%

		Ethnic Group				Caucasian or White
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	
Total	4	1	2	5	330	
Less than 1 year	0	0	0	0	3	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	
1 to 3 years	0	0	0	0	9	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	
4 to 9 years	0	0	0	1	50	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.7%	15.0%	
10 to 15 years	0	0	1	0	44	
	0.0%	0.0%	52.1%	0.0%	13.5%	
16 to 25 years	3	1	0	1	83	
	66.3%	100.0%	0.0%	14.9%	25.0%	
26 years or more	2	0	1	3	141	
	33.7%	0.0%	47.9%	59.4%	42.7%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	11	16	5	20	
Less than 1 year	0	0	0	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
1 to 3 years	1	5	1	0	
	9.1%	28.0%	15.2%	1.0%	
4 to 9 years	4	3	3	5	
	39.2%	16.3%	67.4%	25.2%	
10 to 15 years	1	1	1	3	
	8.8%	5.8%	17.5%	13.4%	
16 to 25 years	3	4	0	6	
	26.2%	24.7%	0.0%	30.1%	
26 years or more	2	4	0	5	
	16.6%	25.2%	0.0%	26.2%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	1	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year	a,b	b	b	b	b
	1 to 3 years	a,b				b
	4 to 9 years	a,b	.	b	.	b
	10 to 15 years	a,b	.	.	.	b
	16 to 25 years	a,b
	26 years or more	a,b	.	b	.	b
DK/NA	a,b		b		b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year	a,b	b	b	.	b
	1 to 3 years	a,b	b	b	.	.
	4 to 9 years	a,b	b	.	.	.
	10 to 15 years	a,b	.	b	.	.
	16 to 25 years	a,b	b	.	.	.
	26 years or more	a,b	.	.	D	.
DK/NA	a,b	b	b	.	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year	b	b	b
	1 to 3 years		.	.
	4 to 9 years			.
	10 to 15 years	.	b	.
	16 to 25 years	.	b	.
	26 years or more	.	b	.
DK/NA	b	.		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Total	446	203	243
	Less than 1 year	3 0.8%	2 1.2%	1 0.4%
	1 to 3 years	28 6.3%	16 7.7%	13 5.2%
	4 to 9 years	74 16.6%	32 15.8%	42 17.3%
	10 to 15 years	58 13.0%	24 11.7%	34 14.1%
	16 to 25 years	111 24.9%	60 29.5%	51 21.1%
	26 years or more	167 37.5%	68 33.3%	100 41.1%
	DK/NA	4 0.8%	2 0.8%	2 0.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year		
	1 to 3 years		
	4 to 9 years		
	10 to 15 years		
	16 to 25 years	B	
	26 years or more		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total	446	20	422	3
Less than 1 year	3 0.8%	0 0.0%	3 0.8%	0 0.0%
1 to 3 years	28 6.3%	4 17.3%	25 5.9%	0 0.0%
4 to 9 years	74 16.6%	4 17.7%	67 16.0%	3 100.0%
10 to 15 years	58 13.0%	5 22.1%	53 12.6%	0 0.0%
16 to 25 years	111 24.9%	5 23.8%	106 25.2%	0 0.0%
26 years or more	167 37.5%	3 15.0%	164 38.9%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	4 0.8%	1 4.1%	3 0.6%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
Less than 1 year	. ^a		. ^a
1 to 3 years	B		. ^a
4 to 9 years			. ^a
10 to 15 years			. ^a
16 to 25 years			. ^a
26 years or more		A	. ^a
DK/NA			. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	446	90	64	123	168
Less than 1 year	3 0.8%	1 1.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.1%	2 1.1%
1 to 3 years	28 6.3%	10 11.0%	0 0.3%	8 6.7%	10 5.8%
4 to 9 years	74 16.6%	21 22.8%	4 6.8%	17 13.7%	32 19.2%
10 to 15 years	58 13.0%	21 23.6%	6 9.1%	17 13.5%	14 8.4%
16 to 25 years	111 24.9%	22 24.5%	9 14.5%	38 31.1%	41 24.6%
26 years or more	167 37.5%	13 13.9%	44 68.8%	42 34.1%	69 40.9%
DK/NA	4 0.8%	2 2.7%	0 0.5%	1 0.7%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Less than 1 year		. ^a		
1 to 3 years	B			
4 to 9 years	B			
10 to 15 years	D			
16 to 25 years				
26 years or more		A C D	A	A ^a
DK/NA				. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
Less than 1 year	3	2	0	0	1	0
	0.8%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
1 to 3 years	28	15	4	4	2	3
	6.3%	29.4%	5.1%	8.4%	3.3%	1.4%
4 to 9 years	74	7	24	12	14	18
	16.6%	14.4%	33.8%	23.1%	19.8%	8.6%
10 to 15 years	58	10	10	9	12	16
	13.0%	19.5%	14.0%	18.5%	18.1%	7.9%
16 to 25 years	111	7	12	11	21	60
	24.9%	13.5%	16.7%	22.5%	29.8%	29.6%
26 years or more	167	10	19	14	19	106
	37.5%	18.5%	27.0%	27.5%	27.6%	51.9%
DK/NA	4	0	2	0	0	1
	0.8%	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Less than 1 year		. ^a	. ^a		. ^a
1 to 3 years	B D E				
4 to 9 years		E	E		
10 to 15 years					
16 to 25 years					
26 years or more					A B C D
DK/NA	. ^a		. ^a	. ^a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender						
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73
Less than 1 year	3	1	0	0	1	0	1
	0.8%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	1.4%
1 to 3 years	28	12	9	0	0	4	3
	6.4%	10.0%	11.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	3.9%
4 to 9 years	74	16	18	3	7	9	21
	16.7%	13.7%	23.4%	5.7%	12.3%	16.0%	28.3%
10 to 15 years	58	12	7	5	6	10	14
	13.0%	10.1%	8.6%	9.9%	11.7%	19.4%	19.2%
16 to 25 years	110	37	14	17	12	13	14
	24.8%	30.7%	18.5%	31.5%	22.8%	24.3%	18.5%
26 years or more	167	41	29	29	27	16	20
	37.7%	34.3%	37.8%	52.9%	51.0%	30.6%	27.6%
DK/NA	3	0	0	0	0	2	1
	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	3.0%	1.2%

	Party by Gender	
	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	2	10
Less than 1 year	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
1 to 3 years	0	1
	0.0%	9.1%
4 to 9 years	0	1
	0.0%	9.6%
10 to 15 years	0	3
	0.0%	29.3%
16 to 25 years	0	3
	0.0%	27.4%
26 years or more	2	3
	100.0%	24.6%
DK/NA	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year		a	a		a		a,b
	1 to 3 years			a	a			a,b
	4 to 9 years						C	a,b
	10 to 15 years							a,b
	16 to 25 years							a,b
	26 years or more							a,b
	DK/NA	a	a	a				a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by ..
		Male Oth
		(H)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Los Altos?	Less than 1 year	a
	1 to 3 years	
	4 to 9 years	
	10 to 15 years	
	16 to 25 years	
	26 years or more	
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	446
	Own	357 80.0%	357 80.0%
	Rent	80 18.0%	80 18.0%
	DK/NA	9 2.0%	9 2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	.
	Rent	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	213	231	2
	Own	357 80.0%	175 82.0%	180 78.3%	1 58.7%
	Rent	80 18.0%	36 16.7%	45 19.4%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	9 2.0%	3 1.2%	5 2.4%	1 41.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own			a
	Rent			
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Own	357	39	20	70	112	109	7
	Rent	80	15	15	9	26	15	0
	DK/NA	9	5	0	1	2	1	0
		80.0%	65.8%	57.3%	87.3%	79.8%	87.3%	100.0%
		18.0%	25.8%	42.7%	11.2%	18.8%	12.0%	0.0%
		2.0%	8.4%	0.0%	1.5%	1.4%	0.7%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own			AB		AB	.a
	Rent		CDE				.a
	DK/NA	E	.a				.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	357	80	9
	Own	357	357	0	0
	Rent	80	0	80	0
	DK/NA	9	0	0	9
		80.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		18.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
		2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	.a	.a	.a
	Rent	.a	.a	.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Own	357	147	97	12	101
	Rent	80	46	13	0	21
	DK/NA	9	4	0	0	5
		80.0%	74.6%	88.2%	100.0%	79.4%
		18.0%	23.5%	11.5%	0.0%	16.8%
		2.0%	1.9%	0.3%	0.0%	3.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own		A	.a	
	Rent	B		.a	
	DK/NA			.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Own	357	50	55	28	38	115	71
	Rent	80	24	13	4	2	24	14
	DK/NA	9	2	0	0	0	2	5
		2.0%	2.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	5.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent DK/NA	D		a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
	Own	357	89	38	48	38	30
	Rent	80	31	13	14	12	5
	DK/NA	9	6	0	0	1	1
		2.0%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	2.3%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	36	46	38
	Own	32	44	38
	Rent	3	2	0
	DK/NA	0	0	1
		0.7%	0.4%	1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent DK/NA	G	a	a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent DK/NA	A	A a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Own	357	72	22	152	34	12	12	33	19
	Rent	80	6	0	35	2	0	2	30	5
	DK/NA	9	2	1	2	1	0	1	2	0
		2.0%	2.3%	3.7%	1.1%	2.3%	0.0%	9.0%	3.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent DK/NA	G	G a	G	G	a a a		A C D	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	349	97
	Own	357 80.0%	276 79.3%	80 82.4%
	Rent	80 18.0%	67 19.3%	13 13.5%
	DK/NA	9 2.0%	5 1.4%	4 4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own		
	Rent		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	282	164
	Own	357 80.0%	240 85.1%	116 71.2%
	Rent	80 18.0%	40 14.3%	40 24.4%
	DK/NA	9 2.0%	2 0.6%	7 4.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	B	
	Rent		A
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Own	357 80.0%	2 55.9%	17 58.8%	49 66.0%	45 78.1%	92 82.4%
	Rent	80 18.0%	0 0.0%	11 39.6%	25 34.0%	11 18.5%	18 16.1%
	DK/NA	9 2.0%	1 44.1%	0 1.6%	0 0.0%	2 3.4%	2 1.5%

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	167	4
	Own	151 90.0%	2 44.7%
	Rent	15 9.1%	0 0.0%
	DK/NA	1 0.8%	2 55.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent	a	F	E F			B C
	DK/NA	B D E F		a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in . .
		Not sure/ DK /NA
		(G)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent	a
	DK/NA	B D E F

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status						
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	Own	357	146	18	37	14	113	14
	Rent	80	53	4	4	3	12	0
	DK/NA	9	4	0	0	2	1	0
		2.0%	2.2%	0.9%	0.0%	10.1%	1.2%	0.0%

		Employment Status	
		Not currently employed	DK / NA
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	16	4
	Own	12	3
	Rent	4	0
	DK/NA	0	1
		0.0%	19.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status					
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent	E				A	a
	DK/NA			a			a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status	
		Not currently employed	DK / NA
		(G)	(H)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent		a
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work							
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207	2
	Own	200	2	2	1	6	20	162	0
	Rent	61	2	0	0	6	10	42	2
	DK/NA	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
		1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%

		County Where Work		
		Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	0	5	2
	Own	0	3	2
	Rent	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	1	0
		100.0%	25.7%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work							
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own		a	a,b				a	a,b
	Rent		a	a,b				a	a,b
	DK/NA	a	a	a,b		a		a	a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where .	
		Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own		a
	Rent	a	a
	DK/NA	F	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 ^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
	Own	357	1	24	3	16	4
	Rent	80	0	2	2	3	0
	DK/NA	9	0	1	0	0	0
		2.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	1	2	5	330	11
	Own	1	2	5	257	8
	Rent	0	0	0	66	3
	DK/NA	0	0	0	7	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	16	5	20
	Own	12	5	19
	Rent	5	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	1

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	a,b				b
	Rent	a,b				b
	DK/NA	a,b		b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	a,b	b	b		
	Rent	a,b	b	b		
	DK/NA	a,b	b	b		b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own		b	
	Rent		b	b
	DK/NA	b	b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 ^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	203	243
	Own	357 80.0%	159 78.4%	198 81.3%
	Rent	80 18.0%	37 18.1%	44 17.9%
	DK/NA	9 2.0%	7 3.5%	2 0.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022 (A)	94024 (B)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own		
	Rent		
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	20	422	3
	Own	357 80.0%	15 75.7%	341 80.8%	0 0.0%
	Rent	80 18.0%	4 20.2%	73 17.3%	3 100.0%
	DK/NA	9 2.0%	1 4.1%	8 1.9%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese (A)	English (B)	Other (C)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own			a
	Rent			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Own	357 80.0%	54 60.1%	55 85.3%	110 89.6%	137 81.6%
	Rent	80 18.0%	32 35.3%	9 13.9%	10 8.3%	29 17.4%
	DK/NA	9 2.0%	4 4.6%	1 0.8%	3 2.1%	2 1.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own				
	Rent	B C D	A	A	A
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Own	357	29	54	42	50	182
	Rent	80	19	12	9	19	20
	DK/NA	9	3	4	0	0	2
		2.0%	5.2%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own					
	Rent	E			E	A D
	DK/NA		E	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2
	Own	356	87	59	51	45	40	61	2
	Rent	80	30	16	4	9	11	11	0
	DK/NA	8	4	0	0	0	2	2	0
		1.8%	2.9%	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	3.7%	2.8%	0.0%

		Party by
		Male Oth
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	10
	Own	10
	Rent	0
	DK/NA	0
		0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own			A				a,b	b
	Rent							a,b	b
	DK/NA			b				a,b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446
	Employed full time	203
		45.5%
	Employed part-time	22
		5.0%
	Self-employed	41
		9.2%
	Student	19
		4.3%
	Retired	126
		28.3%
	Don't work out of the home	14
		3.1%
	Not currently employed	16
		3.7%
	DK/NA	4
		0.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	.
	Employed part-time	.
	Self-employed	.
	Student	.
	Retired	.
	Don't work out of the home	.
	Not currently employed	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	213	231	2
	Employed full time	203	115	88	0
	Employed part-time	22	3	19	0
	Self-employed	41	18	22	1
	Student	19	11	9	0
	Retired	126	60	66	0
	Don't work out of the home	14	0	13	0
	Not currently employed	16	6	10	0
	DK/NA	4	0	3	1
			0.9%	0.0%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	B	.	a
	Employed part-time	.	A	a
	Self-employed	.	.	A
	Student	.	.	a
	Retired	.	.	a
	Don't work out of the home	.	A	a
	Not currently employed	.	.	a
	DK/NA	a	.	B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Employed full time	203	37	27	51	73	15	0
	Employed part-time	22	0	3	7	6	6	0
	Self-employed	41	4	0	8	24	5	1
	Student	19	17	0	0	2	1	0
	Retired	126	2	2	2	17	96	6
	Don't work out of the home	14	0	3	4	6	0	0
	Not currently employed	16	0	0	5	10	1	0
	DK/NA	4	0	0	3	2	0	0
			0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	1.1%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29 (A)	30-39 (B)	40-49 (C)	50-64 (D)	65+ (E)	Not coded (F)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	E	E	E	E		.a
	Employed part-time	.a					.a
	Self-employed		.a		E		.a
	Student	D E	.a	.a			.a
	Retired					A B C D	A B C D
	Don't work out of the home	.a	E				.a
	Not currently employed	.a	.a		E		.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a			.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	357	80	9
	Employed full time	203	146	53	4
		45.5%	40.9%	65.6%	50.3%
	Employed part-time	22	18	4	0
		5.0%	5.0%	5.3%	2.1%
	Self-employed	41	37	4	0
		9.2%	10.3%	5.2%	0.0%
	Student	19	14	3	2
		4.3%	4.1%	3.6%	21.8%
	Retired	126	113	12	1
		28.3%	31.6%	14.6%	16.5%
Don't work out of the home	14	14	0	0	
	3.1%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	
Not currently employed	16	12	4	0	
	3.7%	3.4%	5.5%	0.0%	
DK/NA	4	3	0	1	
	0.9%	1.0%	0.0%	9.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own (A)	Rent (B)	Not sure/DK/NA (C)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time		A	
	Employed part-time			
	Self-employed			.a
	Student			A
	Retired	B		
	Don't work out of the home		.a	.a
	Not currently employed			.a
	DK/NA		.a	A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Employed full time	203	93	40	9	61
		45.5%	47.3%	36.5%	70.6%	48.0%
	Employed part-time	22	15	4	0	3
		5.0%	7.5%	4.1%	0.0%	2.4%
	Self-employed	41	13	12	0	15
		9.2%	6.8%	11.2%	0.0%	12.1%
	Student	19	5	1	2	11
		4.3%	2.7%	0.8%	13.9%	9.0%
	Retired	126	62	45	2	17
		28.3%	31.4%	41.1%	15.6%	13.6%
Don't work out of the home	14	5	4	0	5	
	3.1%	2.5%	3.6%	0.0%	3.7%	
Not currently employed	16	3	3	0	11	
	3.7%	1.3%	2.7%	0.0%	8.5%	
DK/NA	4	1	0	0	4	
	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time			.a	
	Employed part-time			.a	
	Self-employed			B	B
	Student				
	Retired	D	D		
	Don't work out of the home			.a	
	Not currently employed			.a	A
	DK/NA		.a	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Employed full time	203	43	21	12	10	69	47
	Employed part-time	22	1	8	1	2	9	1
	Self-employed	41	8	3	3	6	13	8
	Student	19	0	5	0	0	8	6
	Retired	126	21	27	16	18	31	14
	Don't work out of the home	14	2	2	0	2	5	3
	Not currently employed	16	1	1	0	1	5	8
	DK/NA	4	0	1	0	0	0	4
			0.9%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	B D					
	Employed part-time		F				
	Self-employed						
	Student	.a		.a	.a		
	Retired		F	E F	F		
	Don't work out of the home			.a			
	Not currently employed			.a			
	DK/NA	.a		.a	.a	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	Employed full time	203	70	33	28	25
	Employed part-time	22	3	3	5	4
	Self-employed	41	12	5	7	3
	Student	19	17	1	0	0
	Retired	126	17	6	11	11
	Don't work out of the home	14	4	1	4	4
	Not currently employed	16	3	1	5	3
	DK/NA	4	0	1	1	1
			0.9%	0.0%	2.6%	2.2%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	36	36	46	38
	Employed full time	14	12	14	7
	Employed part-time	39.3%	32.4%	30.2%	18.9%
	Self-employed	1	3	2	2
	Student	2.0%	7.8%	4.3%	5.3%
	Retired	5	5	3	1
	Don't work out of the home	13.9%	13.8%	6.3%	2.3%
	Not currently employed	1	0	0	1
	DK/NA	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
		13	14	27	27
		37.2%	37.8%	57.7%	70.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	H	G H		
	Employed part-time				
	Self-employed				
	Student			a	a
	Retired				
	Don't work out of the home				
	Not currently employed				
	DK/NA	a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time				
	Employed part-time				
	Self-employed		a	a	
	Student				
	Retired	A	A	A B C D	A B C D
	Don't work out of the home	a		a	
	Not currently employed				a
	DK/NA	a		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66
	Employed full time	203	26	10	102	13	3	7	32
	Employed part-time	45.5%	32.4%	44.6%	53.9%	35.2%	20.8%	44.2%	48.4%
	Self-employed	22	6	1	10	4	1	1	0
	Student	5.0%	7.7%	2.3%	5.3%	10.2%	4.5%	3.6%	0.0%
	Retired	41	5	1	21	2	0	1	10
	Don't work out of the home	9.2%	6.8%	6.1%	10.9%	4.4%	0.0%	6.0%	15.5%
	Not currently employed	19	2	0	2	2	0	0	14
	DK/NA	4.3%	2.8%	0.0%	0.8%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	20.7%
		126	37	9	41	12	6	5	7
		28.3%	46.3%	40.0%	21.7%	31.8%	47.4%	33.0%	10.8%

		Date
		Dec. 9
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	24
	Employed full time	11
	Employed part-time	45.3%
	Self-employed	1
	Student	2.8%
	Retired	1
	Don't work out of the home	3.4%
	Not currently employed	0
	DK/NA	0.0%
		9
		38.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time			A					
	Employed part-time						a		
	Self-employed					a			
	Student		a			a		A C	
	Retired	C G				G			
	Don't work out of the home					C			
	Not currently employed		a					a	
	DK/NA	a				a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	349	97
	Employed full time	203	154	49
	Employed part-time	45.5%	44.2%	50.2%
	Self-employed	22	18	5
	Student	5.0%	5.1%	4.7%
	Retired	41	35	6
	Don't work out of the home	9.2%	10.1%	6.1%
	Not currently employed	19	11	9
	DK/NA	4.3%	3.1%	8.9%
		126	105	21
	28.3%	30.2%	21.5%	
	14	10	4	
	3.1%	2.8%	3.8%	
	16	12	5	
	3.7%	3.4%	4.8%	
	4	4	0	
	0.9%	1.2%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time		
	Employed part-time		
	Self-employed		
	Student		A
	Retired		
	Don't work out of the home		
	Not currently employed		
	DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	282	164
	Employed full time	203	116	86
	Employed part-time	45.5%	41.2%	52.9%
	Self-employed	22	15	7
	Student	5.0%	5.4%	4.3%
	Retired	41	20	21
	Don't work out of the home	9.2%	7.1%	12.9%
	Not currently employed	19	2	18
	DK/NA	4.3%	0.6%	10.8%
		126	107	19
	28.3%	37.9%	11.7%	
	14	9	5	
	3.1%	3.1%	2.9%	
	16	10	6	
	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	
	4	3	1	
	0.9%	1.0%	0.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time		A
	Employed part-time		
	Self-employed		A
	Student		A
	Retired		
	Don't work out of the home	B	
	Not currently employed		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	3	28	74	58
	Employed full time	203	3	19	53	26
		45.5%	96.3%	68.1%	72.0%	44.4%
	Employed part-time	22	0	0	5	5
		5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%	8.2%
	Self-employed	41	0	1	2	5
		9.2%	0.0%	3.6%	3.1%	8.4%
	Student	19	0	5	3	7
		4.3%	0.0%	16.6%	4.0%	12.0%
	Retired	126	0	0	5	8
		28.3%	3.7%	0.7%	6.9%	13.2%
	Don't work out of the home	14	0	3	1	2
	3.1%	0.0%	11.1%	1.0%	3.8%	
Not currently employed	16	0	0	5	3	
	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	5.4%	
DK/NA	4	0	0	0	3	
	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	

		Years Lived in Los Altos		
		16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	111	167	4
	Employed full time	54	47	0
		48.4%	28.3%	0.0%
	Employed part-time	5	8	0
		4.6%	4.6%	0.0%
	Self-employed	15	18	0
		13.5%	10.7%	0.0%
	Student	4	1	0
		3.5%	0.5%	0.0%
	Retired	22	90	1
		20.2%	53.5%	32.2%
Don't work out of the home	6	0	2	
	5.0%	0.3%	44.7%	
Not currently employed	5	4	0	
	4.2%	2.2%	0.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	1	
	0.7%	0.0%	23.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	.a	F	DEF		F
	Employed part-time	.a	.a			
	Self-employed	.a				
	Student	.a	F		F	
	Retired	.a				
	Don't work out of the home	.a	F			
	Not currently employed	.a	.a			
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(F)	(G)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time		.a
	Employed part-time		.a
	Self-employed		.a
	Student		.a
	Retired	BCDE	
	Don't work out of the home		CDEF
	Not currently employed		.a
	DK/NA	.a	E

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Employment Status			
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student
Total	446	203	22	41	19
Employed full time	203	203	0	0	0
45.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Employed part-time	22	0	22	0	0
5.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Self-employed	41	0	0	41	0
9.2%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Student	19	0	0	0	19
4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Retired	126	0	0	0	0
28.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Don't work out of the home	14	0	0	0	0
3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Not currently employed	16	0	0	0	0
3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	4	0	0	0	0
0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Retired	Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	126	14	16	4
Employed full time	0	0	0	0
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Employed part-time	0	0	0	0
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Self-employed	0	0	0	0
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Student	0	0	0	0
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Retired	126	0	0	0
100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Don't work out of the home	0	14	0	0
0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Not currently employed	0	0	16	0
0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	4
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status				
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	a	a	a	a	a
	Employed part-time	a	a	a	a	a
	Self-employed	a	a	a	a	a
	Student	a	a	a	a	a
	Retired	a	a	a	a	a
	Don't work out of the home	a	a	a	a	a
	Not currently employed	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status		
		Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	a	a	a
	Employed part-time	a	a	a
	Self-employed	a	a	a
	Student	a	a	a
	Retired	a	a	a
	Don't work out of the home	a	a	a
	Not currently employed	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work					
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
	Employed full time	203	5	1	1	11	27
	Employed part-time	22	0	0	0	1	2
	Self-employed	41	0	1	0	0	1
	Student	15.4%	0.0%	43.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%
	Retired						
	Don't work out of the home						
	Not currently employed						
	DK/NA						

		County Where Work				
		Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	207	2	0	5	2
	Employed full time	151	2	0	3	1
	Employed part-time	20	0	0	0	0
	Self-employed	36	0	0	2	1
	Student	17.5%	0.0%	0.0%	39.7%	45.1%
	Retired					
	Don't work out of the home					
	Not currently employed					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda (A)	Marin (B)	Napa (C)	San Francisco (D)	San Mateo (E)	Santa Clara (F)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	.a		a,b			
	Employed part-time	.a	.a	a,b			
	Self-employed	.a		a,b	.a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz (G)	Sonoma (H)	Other (I)	DK/NA (J)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	.a	a,b		
	Employed part-time	.a	a,b	.a	.a
	Self-employed	.a	a,b		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	1	26	5	19
	Employed full time	203	1	12	2	12
	Employed part-time	22	0	1	0	1
	Self-employed	41	0	4	0	0
	Student	19	0	2	0	3
	Retired	126	0	6	2	1
	Don't work out of the home	28.3%	0.0%	24.3%	32.0%	4.0%
	Not currently employed	14	0	0	1	2
	DK/NA	4	0	0	0	0
		0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
Total	4	1	2	5
Employed full time	3 66.3%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	5 100.0%
Employed part-time	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Self-employed	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Student	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Retired	2 33.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Don't work out of the home	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Not currently employed	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
Total	330	11	16	5
Employed full time	143 43.4%	5 48.4%	8 51.1%	2 37.9%
Employed part-time	17 5.2%	1 11.9%	1 6.0%	0 0.0%
Self-employed	30 9.1%	3 25.4%	1 5.9%	0 0.0%
Student	12 3.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 47.0%
Retired	105 31.9%	2 14.3%	5 32.6%	0 0.0%
Don't work out of the home	8 2.5%	0 0.0%	1 4.4%	1 15.2%
Not currently employed	14 4.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group	
		Not sure/DK/NA
Total	20	
Employed full time	7 36.9%	
Employed part-time	2 7.8%	
Self-employed	2 9.9%	
Student	0 0.0%	
Retired	4 19.8%	
Don't work out of the home	1 4.4%	
Not currently employed	0 0.0%	
DK/NA	4 21.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	.a,b			
	Employed part-time	.a,b		.b	
	Self-employed	.a,b		.b	.b
	Student	.a,b		.b	
	Retired	.a,b			
	Don't work out of the home	.a,b	.b		
	Not currently employed	.a,b		.b	
	DK/NA	.a,b	.b	.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time		.a,b	.b	.b
	Employed part-time	.b	.a,b	.b	.b
	Self-employed	.b	.a,b	.b	.b
	Student	.b	.a,b	.b	.b
	Retired		.a,b	.b	.b
	Don't work out of the home	.b	.a,b	.b	.b
	Not currently employed	.b	.a,b	.b	.b
	DK/NA	.b	.a,b	.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time				.
	Employed part-time				.
	Self-employed				.
	Student		.	.	
	Retired				.
	Don't work out of the home		.	.	.
	Not currently employed		.	.	.
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	
	Employed part-time	
	Self-employed	
	Student	.
	Retired	
	Don't work out of the home	
	Not currently employed	.
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	203	243
	Employed full time	203	86	117
	Employed part-time	45.5%	42.5%	48.0%
	Self-employed	22	14	8
	Student	5.0%	6.9%	3.4%
	Retired	41	24	17
	Don't work out of the home	9.2%	11.7%	7.1%
	Not currently employed	19	6	13
	DK/NA	4.3%	2.9%	5.5%
		126	61	66
		28.3%	29.9%	27.0%
		14	7	7

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time		
	Employed part-time		
	Self-employed		
	Student		
	Retired		
	Don't work out of the home		
	Not currently employed		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	20	422	3
	Employed full time	203	11	189	3
		45.5%	54.0%	44.7%	100.0%
	Employed part-time	22	0	22	0
		5.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
	Self-employed	41	1	40	0
		9.2%	5.0%	9.5%	0.0%
	Student	19	0	19	0
		4.3%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%
	Retired	126	5	121	0
		28.3%	22.8%	28.8%	0.0%
Don't work out of the home	14	1	13	0	
	3.1%	5.1%	3.0%	0.0%	
Not currently employed	16	1	15	0	
	3.7%	6.6%	3.6%	0.0%	
DK/NA	4	1	3	0	
	0.9%	6.6%	0.7%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time			a
	Employed part-time	a		a
	Self-employed			a
	Student	a		a
	Retired			a
	Don't work out of the home			a
	Not currently employed			a
	DK/NA	B		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 ^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Employed full time	203	45	13	56	89
		45.5%	49.3%	20.9%	45.7%	52.7%
	Employed part-time	22	1	4	9	9
		5.0%	1.2%	5.5%	7.2%	5.3%
	Self-employed	41	11	4	8	18
		9.2%	12.1%	5.9%	6.8%	10.7%
	Student	19	15	2	0	2
		4.3%	16.2%	3.5%	0.0%	1.4%
	Retired	126	11	40	39	36
		28.3%	12.3%	62.4%	31.8%	21.3%
Don't work out of the home	14	5	0	4	4	
	3.1%	5.2%	0.7%	3.3%	2.6%	
Not currently employed	16	3	1	3	10	
	3.7%	3.0%	1.0%	2.4%	6.0%	
DK/NA	4	1	0	4	0	
	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	B		B	B
	Employed part-time				
	Self-employed				
	Student	B D		a	
	Retired		A C D	A	
	Don't work out of the home				
	Not currently employed				
	DK/NA		a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 ^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Employed full time	203	32	37	27	44	63
	Employed part-time	22	0	1	4	6	12
	Self-employed	41	4	11	5	4	17
	Student	19	13	4	0	1	2
	Retired	126	3	11	7	9	96
	Don't work out of the home	14	0	2	4	3	5
	Not currently employed	16	0	3	4	2	8
	DK/NA	4	0	1	1	0	2
		0.9%	0.0%	1.9%	2.6%	0.0%	0.8%
		45.5%	62.5%	52.7%	52.8%	63.4%	30.8%
	5.0%	0.0%	2.1%	7.2%	8.0%	5.7%	
	9.2%	7.4%	16.2%	9.0%	6.2%	8.3%	
	4.3%	24.8%	5.9%	0.0%	1.2%	0.8%	
	28.3%	5.3%	15.3%	14.4%	12.7%	47.3%	
	3.1%	0.0%	2.3%	7.1%	5.0%	2.4%	
	3.7%	0.0%	3.6%	6.9%	3.4%	3.9%	
	0.9%	0.0%	1.9%	2.6%	0.0%	0.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	E	E	E	E	
	Employed part-time	.				
	Self-employed	.				
	Student	B D E		.		
	Retired	.				A B C D
	Don't work out of the home	.				
	Not currently employed	.				
DK/NA	.			.		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	Employed full time	203	53	40	13	27	21
	Employed part-time	22	13	1	3	1	3
	Self-employed	40	11	3	3	8	8
	Student	19	3	2	1	0	5
	Retired	126	35	27	28	17	2
	Don't work out of the home	14	4	0	4	0	5
	Not currently employed	16	1	2	3	0	7
	DK/NA	3	1	0	0	0	3
		0.8%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%
		45.7%	44.0%	52.7%	24.0%	50.1%	38.7%
	5.0%	11.1%	1.9%	5.6%	2.6%	5.7%	
	9.0%	8.9%	3.4%	5.1%	15.6%	15.0%	
	4.4%	2.4%	3.3%	1.5%	0.0%	9.4%	
	28.4%	28.8%	35.6%	51.1%	31.7%	4.5%	
	3.1%	3.7%	0.6%	7.3%	0.0%	8.9%	
	3.7%	0.5%	2.6%	5.5%	0.0%	12.6%	
	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Total	73	2	10
	Employed full time	41	1	8
	Employed part-time	0	0	0
	Self-employed	7	0	0
	Student	6	0	2
	Retired	15	1	1
	Don't work out of the home	0	0	0
	Not currently employed	4	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		55.3%	41.6%	75.4%
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
	8.8%	0.0%	16.2%	
	20.2%	58.4%	8.4%	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time		C				C
	Employed part-time						b
	Self-employed						.
	Student				b		.
	Retired	E	E	E F	E		.
	Don't work out of the home				b	A	b
	Not currently employed				b		.
	DK/NA		b	b	b		b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
D. What is your current employment status? Are you...	Employed full time	a	C
	Employed part-time	a,b	b
	Self-employed	a,b	b
	Student	a,b	.
	Retired	a	.
	Don't work out of the home	a,b	b
	Not currently employed	a,b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	446
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	1
	Asian - Chinese	0.3%	0.3%
	Asian - Filipino	26	26
	Asian - Indian	5.9%	5.9%
	Asian - Japanese	5	5
	Asian - Korean	1.1%	1.1%
	Asian - Vietnamese	19	19
	Asian - Other	4.3%	4.3%
	Caucasian or White	4	4
	Latino or Hispanic	1.0%	1.0%
	Two or more races	1	1
	Other (Please specify:)	0.3%	0.3%
	DK/NA	2	2
		0.5%	0.5%
		5	5
		1.0%	1.0%
	330	330	
	73.9%	73.9%	
	11	11	
	2.4%	2.4%	
	16	16	
	3.7%	3.7%	
	5	5	
	1.1%	1.1%	
	20	20	
	4.5%	4.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	.
	Asian - Chinese	.
	Asian - Filipino	.
	Asian - Indian	.
	Asian - Japanese	.
	Asian - Korean	.
	Asian - Vietnamese	.
	Asian - Other	.
	Caucasian or White	.
	Latino or Hispanic	.
	Two or more races	.
	Other (Please specify:)	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	213	231	2
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1	0
	Asian - Chinese	26	15	12	0
	Asian - Filipino	5	0	5	0
	Asian - Indian	19	10	9	0
	Asian - Japanese	4	0	4	0
	Asian - Korean	1	1	0	0
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	1	1	0
	Asian - Other	5	3	2	0
	Caucasian or White	330	162	167	0
	Latino or Hispanic	11	5	6	0
	Two or more races	16	3	13	0
	Other (Please specify:)	5	3	2	0
	DK/NA	20	10	8	2
		4.5%	4.6%	3.5%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a		a
	Asian - Chinese			a
	Asian - Filipino	a		a
	Asian - Indian			a
	Asian - Japanese	a		a
	Asian - Korean		a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese			a
	Asian - Other			a
	Caucasian or White			a
	Latino or Hispanic			a
	Two or more races		A	a
	Other (Please specify:)			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded	
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7	
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
	Asian - Chinese	26	3	2	8	6	6	0	
	Asian - Filipino	5	0	0	1	3	1	0	
	Asian - Indian	19	3	2	8	4	1	0	
	Asian - Japanese	4	2	0	0	1	2	0	
	Asian - Korean	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	
	Asian - Other	5	2	0	1	1	0	0	
	Caucasian or White	330	40	24	48	104	106	7	
	Latino or Hispanic	11	5	0	2	1	2	0	
	Two or more races	16	0	2	4	7	3	0	
	Other (Please specify:)	5	2	1	1	1	0	0	
	DK/NA	20	2	2	4	10	3	0	
			4.5%	3.2%	5.6%	4.9%	6.8%	2.1%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age						
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a		a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese						a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a				a
	Asian - Indian						a
	Asian - Japanese		a	E			a
	Asian - Korean	a	a		a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a		a		a	a
	Asian - Other		a			a	a
	Caucasian or White					A C	a
	Latino or Hispanic	D	a				a
	Two or more races	a					a
	Other (Please specify:)					a	a
	DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status				
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA	
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	357	80	9
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0
	Asian - Chinese	26	24	2	1
	Asian - Filipino	5	3	2	0
	Asian - Indian	19	16	3	0
	Asian - Japanese	4	4	0	0
	Asian - Korean	1	1	0	0
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	2	0	0
	Asian - Other	5	5	0	0
	Caucasian or White	330	257	66	7
	Latino or Hispanic	11	8	3	0
	Two or more races	16	12	5	0
	Other (Please specify:)	5	5	0	0
	DK/NA	20	19	0	1
		4.5%	5.3%	0.0%	11.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native		a	a
	Asian - Chinese			
	Asian - Filipino			a
	Asian - Indian			a
	Asian - Japanese		a	a
	Asian - Korean		a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese		a	a
	Asian - Other		a	a
	Caucasian or White			
	Latino or Hispanic			a
	Two or more races			a
	Other (Please specify:)		a	a
	DK/NA		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0	0
	Asian - Chinese	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian - Chinese	26	12	5	0	9
	Asian - Chinese	5.9%	6.1%	4.3%	0.0%	7.4%
	Asian - Filipino	5	1	4	0	0
	Asian - Filipino	1.1%	0.7%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian - Indian	19	11	2	0	6
	Asian - Indian	4.3%	5.6%	1.9%	0.0%	5.0%
	Asian - Japanese	4	1	1	0	3
	Asian - Japanese	1.0%	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	2.4%
	Asian - Korean	1	0	0	0	1
	Asian - Korean	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	1	0	1
	Asian - Vietnamese	0.5%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%
	Asian - Other	5	3	0	0	1
Asian - Other	1.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	
Caucasian or White	330	148	85	11	85	
Caucasian or White	73.9%	75.2%	77.3%	95.0%	67.1%	
Latino or Hispanic	11	5	1	0	5	
Latino or Hispanic	2.4%	2.4%	0.7%	0.0%	4.1%	
Two or more races	16	7	2	1	7	
Two or more races	3.7%	3.7%	1.8%	5.0%	5.2%	
Other (Please specify:)	5	2	1	0	2	
Other (Please specify:)	1.1%	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%	1.8%	
DK/NA	20	5	9	0	6	
DK/NA	4.5%	2.7%	8.3%	0.0%	4.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native		a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese			a	
	Asian - Filipino			a	a
	Asian - Indian			a	
	Asian - Japanese			a	
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a	
	Asian - Vietnamese	a		a	
	Asian - Other		a	a	
	Caucasian or White			a	
	Latino or Hispanic			a	
	Two or more races			a	
	Other (Please specify:)			a	
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Asian - Chinese	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian - Chinese	26	6	6	2	3	2	7
	Asian - Chinese	5.9%	8.0%	8.8%	5.6%	7.4%	1.6%	7.9%
	Asian - Filipino	5	0	0	4	0	1	0
	Asian - Filipino	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	11.2%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
	Asian - Indian	19	1	3	2	0	9	5
	Asian - Indian	4.3%	0.9%	4.3%	6.7%	0.0%	6.1%	5.7%
	Asian - Japanese	4	1	0	1	0	0	3
	Asian - Japanese	1.0%	0.9%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%
	Asian - Korean	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Asian - Korean	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Asian - Vietnamese	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	1.1%
	Asian - Other	5	0	3	0	0	1	0
Asian - Other	1.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	
Caucasian or White	330	58	48	21	34	113	56	
Caucasian or White	73.9%	77.0%	70.7%	64.8%	85.3%	80.2%	62.3%	
Latino or Hispanic	11	3	2	0	1	2	3	
Latino or Hispanic	2.4%	4.0%	2.6%	0.0%	2.0%	1.4%	3.5%	
Two or more races	16	3	1	2	0	3	7	
Two or more races	3.7%	4.4%	1.8%	6.1%	0.0%	2.4%	7.4%	
Other (Please specify:)	5	2	0	0	0	1	2	
Other (Please specify:)	1.1%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	2.5%	
DK/NA	20	1	5	1	2	6	6	
DK/NA	4.5%	0.9%	6.8%	3.4%	5.3%	4.2%	6.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native		a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese						
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	E	a		a
	Asian - Indian				a		
	Asian - Japanese		a		a	a	
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a		a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a		
	Asian - Other	a		a	a		a
	Caucasian or White					F	
	Latino or Hispanic			a			
	Two or more races				a		
	Other (Please specify:)		a	a	a		
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	126	51	62	50
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0	1	0
	Asian - Chinese	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
	Asian - Chinese	26	11	4	2	3
	Asian - Filipino	5.9%	8.9%	8.6%	3.4%	6.6%
	Asian - Filipino	5	1	0	0	2
	Asian - Indian	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%
	Asian - Indian	19	8	4	4	1
	Asian - Japanese	4.3%	6.1%	8.2%	7.2%	1.8%
	Asian - Japanese	4	2	0	1	0
	Asian - Korean	1.0%	1.8%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
	Asian - Korean	1	0	0	1	0
	Asian - Vietnamese	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0	1	0
	Asian - Other	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
	Asian - Other	5	4	0	0	0
	Caucasian or White	1.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	330	76	38	46	40	
Latino or Hispanic	73.9%	59.8%	75.0%	73.7%	78.4%	
Latino or Hispanic	11	6	1	1	1	
Two or more races	2.4%	4.7%	2.1%	1.2%	2.5%	
Two or more races	16	9	1	1	1	
Other (Please specify:)	3.7%	7.3%	1.9%	0.8%	1.9%	
Other (Please specify:)	5	4	0	1	0	
DK/NA	1.1%	3.2%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	
DK/NA	20	5	2	3	2	
	4.5%	4.0%	4.1%	5.7%	4.7%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total		36	36	46	38
America Indian or Alaska Native		0	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese		3	1	2	0
		7.4%	1.5%	4.1%	0.0%
Asian - Filipino		0	0	1	1
		0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	1.8%
Asian - Indian		1	1	1	0
		1.8%	1.9%	1.7%	0.0%
Asian - Japanese		0	0	0	2
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%
Asian - Korean		0	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese		0	1	0	0
		0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Other		0	1	0	0
		0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White		29	30	38	35
		79.1%	83.3%	81.3%	91.1%
Latino or Hispanic		0	1	0	1
		0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	2.6%
Two or more races		1	1	2	0
		3.4%	4.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Other (Please specify:)		0	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA		3	1	3	0
		8.3%	2.0%	6.6%	0.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
America Indian or Alaska Native		a	a		a
Asian - Chinese			a	a	
Asian - Filipino					
Asian - Indian					
Asian - Japanese			a		a
Asian - Korean		a	a		a
Asian - Vietnamese		a	a		a
Asian - Other			a	a	a
Caucasian or White					
Latino or Hispanic					
Two or more races					
Other (Please specify:)			a		a
DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
America Indian or Alaska Native		a	a	a	a
Asian - Chinese					a
Asian - Filipino		a	a		
Asian - Indian					a
Asian - Japanese		a	a	a	
Asian - Korean		a	a	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese		a		a	a
Asian - Other		a		a	a
Caucasian or White					A
Latino or Hispanic		a		a	
Two or more races					
Other (Please specify:)		a	a	a	a
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8
Total		446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									
Total									

	Total	Date						
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
Asian - Chinese	26	6	1	10	3	2	1	2
	5.9%	7.6%	3.7%	5.5%	8.0%	12.4%	8.2%	2.7%
Asian - Filipino	5	1	0	4	0	0	0	0
	1.1%	0.9%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	19	0	1	9	3	0	0	4
	4.3%	0.0%	4.2%	4.6%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%
Asian - Japanese	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	0
	1.0%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Other	5	0	1	3	1	0	0	0
	1.0%	0.0%	3.1%	1.4%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	330	62	18	134	26	9	12	50
	73.9%	77.9%	79.8%	70.6%	70.1%	71.8%	81.3%	75.8%
Latino or Hispanic	11	1	0	5	0	0	1	4
	2.4%	0.9%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	6.3%
Two or more races	16	3	1	9	1	1	0	2
	3.7%	3.8%	3.2%	4.7%	3.4%	5.7%	0.0%	3.0%
Other (Please specify:)	5	0	0	2	0	1	0	2
	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	3.5%
DK/NA	20	3	1	12	1	1	0	1
	4.5%	4.3%	6.0%	6.2%	3.7%	4.2%	0.0%	1.4%

	Date
	Dec. 9
America Indian or Alaska Native	0
	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	1
	5.6%
Asian - Filipino	0
	0.0%
Asian - Indian	3
	12.3%
Asian - Japanese	0
	0.0%
Asian - Korean	0
	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	0
	0.0%
Asian - Other	0
	0.0%
Caucasian or White	19
	79.1%
Latino or Hispanic	0
	0.0%
Two or more races	0
	0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	0
	0.0%
DK/NA	1
	3.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a	a	a	a		a
	Asian - Chinese								
	Asian - Filipino		a		a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	a				a	a		
	Asian - Japanese		a	a	a	a		a	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a		a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a			a	a	a	a
	Asian - Other	a				a		a	
	Caucasian or White								
	Latino or Hispanic		a		a	a			a
	Two or more races								
	Other (Please specify:)	a	a		a		a		a
	DK/NA							a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	349	97
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1
	0.3%	0.0%	1.3%
Asian - Chinese	26	21	5
	5.9%	5.9%	5.6%
Asian - Filipino	5	5	0
	1.1%	1.4%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	19	14	6
	4.3%	3.9%	6.0%
Asian - Japanese	4	2	2
	1.0%	0.6%	2.4%
Asian - Korean	1	1	0
	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	1	1
	0.5%	0.3%	1.1%
Asian - Other	5	3	2
	1.0%	0.7%	2.1%
Caucasian or White	330	264	66
	73.9%	75.7%	67.6%
Latino or Hispanic	11	7	4
	2.4%	1.9%	4.2%
Two or more races	16	12	4
	3.7%	3.5%	4.2%
Other (Please specify:)	5	3	2
	1.1%	0.7%	2.3%
DK/NA	20	17	3
	4.5%	4.9%	3.2%

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	
	Asian - Chinese		
	Asian - Filipino		a
	Asian - Indian		
	Asian - Japanese		
	Asian - Korean		a
	Asian - Vietnamese		
	Asian - Other		
	Caucasian or White		
	Latino or Hispanic		
	Two or more races		
	Other (Please specify:)		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	282	164
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1
	0.3%	0.0%	0.8%
Asian - Chinese	26	15	11
	5.9%	5.3%	6.9%
Asian - Filipino	5	5	0
	1.1%	1.7%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	19	9	11
	4.3%	3.0%	6.6%
Asian - Japanese	4	1	3
	1.0%	0.5%	1.8%
Asian - Korean	1	0	1
	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	2	0
	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Asian - Other	5	1	4
	1.0%	0.2%	2.4%
Caucasian or White	330	223	107
	73.9%	78.9%	65.3%
Latino or Hispanic	11	3	8
	2.4%	0.9%	5.0%
Two or more races	16	9	7
	3.7%	3.2%	4.5%
Other (Please specify:)	5	3	2
	1.1%	0.9%	1.4%
DK/NA	20	13	7
	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
America Indian or Alaska Native	a	.
Asian - Chinese	.	a
Asian - Filipino	.	.
Asian - Indian	.	.
Asian - Japanese	.	.
Asian - Korean	a	.
Asian - Vietnamese	.	a
Asian - Other	.	A
Caucasian or White	B	.
Latino or Hispanic	.	A
Two or more races	.	.
Other (Please specify:)	.	.
DK/NA	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Years Lived in Los Altos				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years
Total	446	3	28	74	58
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0	0	1
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
Asian - Chinese	26	0	4	3	3
	5.9%	0.0%	15.9%	4.5%	5.5%
Asian - Filipino	5	0	1	0	1
	1.1%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	1.5%
Asian - Indian	19	0	7	5	2
	4.3%	0.0%	24.9%	6.7%	2.7%
Asian - Japanese	4	0	0	0	0
	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	1	0	0	0	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0	0	1
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
Asian - Other	5	0	0	1	0
	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	330	3	9	50	44
	73.9%	100.0%	31.3%	66.8%	76.8%
Latino or Hispanic	11	0	1	4	1
	2.4%	0.0%	3.5%	5.7%	1.6%
Two or more races	16	0	5	3	1
	3.7%	0.0%	16.3%	3.6%	1.7%
Other (Please specify:)	5	0	1	3	1
	1.1%	0.0%	2.6%	4.4%	1.5%
DK/NA	20	0	0	5	3
	4.5%	0.0%	0.7%	6.8%	4.6%

	Years Lived in Los Altos		
	16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	111	167	4
America Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	5	9	1
	4.9%	5.3%	23.5%
Asian - Filipino	2	1	0
	1.8%	0.4%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	3	1	2
	3.1%	0.5%	44.7%
Asian - Japanese	3	2	0
	2.7%	0.9%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	1	0	0
	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	0	1	0
	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Asian - Other	1	3	0
	0.6%	1.6%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	83	141	0
	74.2%	84.0%	8.7%
Latino or Hispanic	3	2	0
	2.5%	1.1%	0.0%
Two or more races	4	4	0
	3.7%	2.5%	0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	6	5	1
	5.4%	3.1%	23.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a		a
	Asian - Chinese	a				
	Asian - Filipino	a		a		
	Asian - Indian	a	D E F			
	Asian - Japanese	a	a	a	a	
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a	
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a		a
	Asian - Other	a	a		a	
	Caucasian or White	a		B	B G	B
	Latino or Hispanic	a				
	Two or more races	a	F			
	Other (Please specify:)	a				a
	DK/NA	a				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(F)	(G)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a
	Asian - Chinese		a
	Asian - Filipino		a
	Asian - Indian		D E F
	Asian - Japanese		a
	Asian - Korean	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese		a
	Asian - Other		a
	Caucasian or White	B C G	
	Latino or Hispanic		a
	Two or more races		a
	Other (Please specify:)	a	a
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status				
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	203	22	41	19
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0	0
	Asian - Chinese	26	12	1	4	2
	Asian - Filipino	5	2	0	0	0
	Asian - Indian	19	12	1	0	3
	Asian - Japanese	4	3	0	0	0
	Asian - Korean	1	0	0	1	0
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	2	0	0	0
	Asian - Other	5	5	0	0	0
	Caucasian or White	330	143	17	30	12
	Latino or Hispanic	11	5	1	3	0
	Two or more races	16	8	1	1	0
	Other (Please specify:)	5	2	0	0	2
	DK/NA	20	7	2	2	0
		4.5%	3.6%	7.0%	4.8%	0.0%
		0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		5.9%	6.0%	2.5%	9.9%	9.1%
		1.1%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		4.3%	5.9%	4.1%	0.0%	15.1%
		1.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	
	0.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	1.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	73.9%	70.5%	76.3%	73.3%	64.1%	
	2.4%	2.6%	5.8%	6.7%	0.0%	
	3.7%	4.1%	4.4%	2.4%	0.0%	
	1.1%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	11.7%	

	Employment Status			
	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	126	14	16	4
America Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0
Asian - Chinese	6	0	1	0
Asian - Filipino	2	1	0	0
Asian - Indian	1	2	1	0
Asian - Japanese	2	0	0	0
Asian - Korean	0	0	0	0
Asian - Vietnamese	0	0	0	0
Asian - Other	0	0	0	0
Caucasian or White	105	8	14	0
Latino or Hispanic	2	0	0	0
Two or more races	5	1	0	0
Other (Please specify:)	0	1	0	0
DK/NA	4	1	0	4
	3.1%	6.5%	0.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status				
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :					
America Indian or Alaska Native		a	a	a	a
Asian - Chinese					
Asian - Filipino		a	a	a	
Asian - Indian			a	E	
Asian - Japanese		a	a	a	
Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese		a	a	a	a
Asian - Other		a	a	a	a
Caucasian or White					
Latino or Hispanic				a	
Two or more races				a	
Other (Please specify:)		a	a	A	a
DK/NA				a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(F)	(G)	(H)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :			
America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a
Asian - Chinese	a		a
Asian - Filipino	A	a	a
Asian - Indian	E		a
Asian - Japanese	a	a	a
Asian - Korean	a	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a
Asian - Other	a	a	a
Caucasian or White			a
Latino or Hispanic	a	a	a
Two or more races		a	a
Other (Please specify:)		a	a
DK/NA		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work					
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1	0	0	0
	0.5%	0.0%	56.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	17	0	0	0	0	1
	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
Asian - Filipino	2	0	0	0	0	2
	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%
Asian - Indian	13	0	0	0	1	1
	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	3.0%
Asian - Japanese	3	0	0	0	1	2
	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	7.7%
Asian - Korean	1	0	0	0	0	0
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0	0	0	2
	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%
Asian - Other	5	1	0	0	0	1
	1.7%	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%
Caucasian or White	190	4	0	1	10	15
	71.4%	84.9%	0.0%	100.0%	78.9%	51.5%
Latino or Hispanic	9	0	0	0	0	0
	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or more races	10	0	1	0	0	5
	3.9%	0.0%	43.3%	0.0%	0.0%	16.8%
Other (Please specify:)	2	0	0	0	0	0
	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	11	0	0	0	1	0
	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%	0.0%

	County Where Work				
	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	207	2	0	5	2
America Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	13	0	0	0	2
	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Asian - Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	11	0	0	0	0
	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Japanese	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	1	0	0	0	0
	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Other	3	0	0	0	0
	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	154	2	0	4	0
	74.6%	100.0%	100.0%	74.9%	0.0%
Latino or Hispanic	9	0	0	0	0
	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or more races	4	0	0	0	0
	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	2	0	0	0	0
	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	9	0	0	1	0
	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	25.1%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	.	a,b	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	a	a	a,b	a	.	.
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a,b	a	.	a
	Asian - Indian	a	a	a,b	.	.	.
	Asian - Japanese	a	a	a,b	.	.	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a,b	a	a	.
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a,b	a	.	a
	Asian - Other	F	.	a,b	.	.	.
	Caucasian or White	.	a	a,b	.	.	.
	Latino or Hispanic	a	a	a,b	a	a	.
	Two or more races	a	F	a,b	a	F	.
	Other (Please specify:)	a	a	a,b	a	a	.
	DK/NA	a	a	a,b	.	a	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a,b	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	a	a,b	a	a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a,b	a	a
	Asian - Indian	a	a,b	a	a
	Asian - Japanese	a	a,b	a	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a,b	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a,b	a	a
	Asian - Other	a	a,b	a	a
	Caucasian or White	a	a,b	.	a
	Latino or Hispanic	a	a,b	a	a
	Two or more races	a	a,b	a	a
	Other (Please specify:)	a	a,b	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a,b	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	1	26	5
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian - Chinese	26	0	26	0
	Asian - Filipino	5	0	0	5
	Asian - Indian	19	0	0	0
	Asian - Japanese	4	0	0	0
	Asian - Korean	1	0	0	0
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0	0
	Asian - Other	5	0	0	0
	Caucasian or White	330	0	0	0
	Latino or Hispanic	11	0	0	0
	Two or more races	16	0	0	0
	Other (Please specify:)	5	0	0	0
	DK/NA	20	0	0	0
			4.5%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese
Total	19	4	1	2
America Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Filipino	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	19	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Japanese	0	4	0	0
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	0	0	1	0
	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	0	0	0	2
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Asian - Other	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Latino or Hispanic	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or more races	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	5	330	11	16
America Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Filipino	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Japanese	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Other	5	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	0	330	0	0
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Latino or Hispanic	0	0	11	0
	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Two or more races	0	0	0	16
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Other (Please specify:)	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group	
	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	5	20
America Indian or Alaska Native	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Chinese	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Filipino	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Indian	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Japanese	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Korean	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Other	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Caucasian or White	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Latino or Hispanic	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Two or more races	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	5 100.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	0 0.0%	20 100.0%

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group	Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a,b	b	b	b
	Asian - Chinese	a,b	b	b	b
	Asian - Filipino	a,b	b	b	b
	Asian - Indian	a,b	b	b	b
	Asian - Japanese	a,b	b	b	b
	Asian - Korean	a,b	b	b	b
	Asian - Vietnamese	a,b	b	b	b
	Asian - Other	a,b	b	b	b
	Caucasian or White	a,b	b	b	b
	Latino or Hispanic	a,b	b	b	b
	Two or more races	a,b	b	b	b
	Other (Please specify:)	a,b	b	b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group	Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	b	a,b	b	b
	Asian - Chinese	b	a,b	b	b
	Asian - Filipino	b	a,b	b	b
	Asian - Indian	b	a,b	b	b
	Asian - Japanese	b	a,b	b	b
	Asian - Korean	b	a,b	b	b
	Asian - Vietnamese	b	a,b	b	b
	Asian - Other	b	a,b	b	b
	Caucasian or White	b	a,b	b	b
	Latino or Hispanic	b	a,b	b	b
	Two or more races	b	a,b	b	b
	Other (Please specify:)	b	a,b	b	b
	DK/NA	b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group	Ethnic Group			
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	b	b	b	b
	Asian - Chinese	b	b	b	b
	Asian - Filipino	b	b	b	b
	Asian - Indian	b	b	b	b
	Asian - Japanese	b	b	b	b
	Asian - Korean	b	b	b	b
	Asian - Vietnamese	b	b	b	b
	Asian - Other	b	b	b	b
	Caucasian or White	b	b	b	b
	Latino or Hispanic	b	b	b	b
	Two or more races	b	b	b	b
	Other (Please specify:)	b	b	b	b
	DK/NA	b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group	Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	b
	Asian - Chinese	b
	Asian - Filipino	b
	Asian - Indian	b
	Asian - Japanese	b
	Asian - Korean	b
	Asian - Vietnamese	b
	Asian - Other	b
	Caucasian or White	b
	Latino or Hispanic	b
	Two or more races	b
	Other (Please specify:)	b
	DK/NA	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Zip Code	
		94022	94024
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	446	203	243
Total	1	1	0
America Indian or Alaska Native	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	26	15	11
Asian - Filipino	5.9%	7.3%	4.7%
Asian - Indian	5	4	1
Asian - Japanese	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%
Asian - Korean	19	4	16
Asian - Vietnamese	4.3%	1.9%	6.4%
Asian - Other	4	4	1
Caucasian or White	1.0%	1.8%	0.3%
Latino or Hispanic	1	1	0
Two or more races	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	2	1	1
DK/NA	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
	5	2	3
	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%
	330	147	183
	73.9%	72.3%	75.3%
	11	4	7
	2.4%	2.0%	2.7%
	16	8	8
	3.7%	4.1%	3.4%
	5	1	4
	1.1%	0.4%	1.7%
	20	12	8
	4.5%	5.9%	3.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native		a
	Asian - Chinese		
	Asian - Filipino		
	Asian - Indian		A
	Asian - Japanese		
	Asian - Korean		a
	Asian - Vietnamese		
	Asian - Other		
	Caucasian or White		
	Latino or Hispanic		
	Two or more races		
	Other (Please specify:)		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	20	422	3
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1	0
	Asian - Chinese	26	12	14	0
	Asian - Filipino	5	0	5	0
	Asian - Indian	19	0	19	0
	Asian - Japanese	4	0	4	0
	Asian - Korean	1	0	1	0
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	2	0
	Asian - Other	5	0	5	0
	Caucasian or White	330	1	329	0
	Latino or Hispanic	11	0	8	3
	Two or more races	16	5	12	0
	Other (Please specify:)	5	0	5	0
	DK/NA	20	3	17	0
		4.5%	12.9%	4.1%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a		a
	Asian - Chinese	B		a
	Asian - Filipino	a		a
	Asian - Indian	a		a
	Asian - Japanese	a		a
	Asian - Korean	a		a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a		a
	Asian - Other	a		a
	Caucasian or White		A	a
	Latino or Hispanic	a		a
	Two or more races	B		a
	Other (Please specify:)	a		a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0	0
	Asian - Chinese	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian - Chinese	26	7	2	9	9
	Asian - Chinese	5.9%	7.2%	2.4%	7.3%	5.4%
	Asian - Filipino	5	0	1	1	3
	Asian - Filipino	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	0.7%	2.0%
	Asian - Indian	19	6	1	2	10
	Asian - Indian	4.3%	7.2%	1.4%	1.6%	6.0%
	Asian - Japanese	4	0	0	4	0
	Asian - Japanese	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%
	Asian - Korean	1	0	0	0	1
	Asian - Korean	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	1	0	0	1
	Asian - Vietnamese	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
	Asian - Other	5	1	1	1	2
Asian - Other	1.0%	1.3%	1.1%	0.6%	1.2%	
Caucasian or White	330	65	59	84	122	
Caucasian or White	73.9%	71.7%	92.2%	67.8%	72.7%	
Latino or Hispanic	11	4	0	3	4	
Latino or Hispanic	2.4%	4.6%	0.0%	2.3%	2.3%	
Two or more races	16	1	1	9	5	
Two or more races	3.7%	1.1%	1.5%	7.3%	3.2%	
Other (Please specify:)	5	2	0	1	2	
Other (Please specify:)	1.1%	2.5%	0.0%	0.8%	0.9%	
DK/NA	20	2	0	10	8	
DK/NA	4.5%	1.8%	0.3%	8.0%	5.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native		a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese				
	Asian - Filipino	a			
	Asian - Indian				
	Asian - Japanese	a	a		a
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a	
	Asian - Vietnamese		a	a	
	Asian - Other				
	Caucasian or White		A C D		
	Latino or Hispanic		a		
	Two or more races				
	Other (Please specify:)		a		
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Asian - Chinese	26	2	11	2	2	9
	Asian - Filipino	5	0	0	1	0	4
	Asian - Indian	19	6	3	5	3	2
	Asian - Japanese	4	2	0	1	0	1
	Asian - Korean	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0	0	1	1
	Asian - Other	5	0	3	1	0	1
	Caucasian or White	330	29	41	38	57	164
	Latino or Hispanic	11	4	4	0	1	2
	Two or more races	16	6	2	1	1	7
	Other (Please specify:)	5	2	0	0	2	1
	DK/NA	20	0	5	3	2	10
		4.5%	0.0%	6.5%	6.0%	2.8%	5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a	a	
	Asian - Chinese		E			
	Asian - Filipino	a	a		a	
	Asian - Indian	E		E		
	Asian - Japanese		a		a	
	Asian - Korean	a		a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a		
	Asian - Other	a	E			
	Caucasian or White				A B	A B
	Latino or Hispanic	E		a		
	Two or more races					
	Other (Please specify:)		a	a		
	DK/NA	a				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Asian - Chinese	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian - Chinese	26	6	6	1	4	4
	Asian - Chinese	5.9%	5.3%	7.4%	1.3%	7.5%	8.4%
	Asian - Filipino	5	1	0	4	0	0
	Asian - Filipino	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian - Indian	19	7	4	0	2	3
	Asian - Indian	4.4%	5.5%	5.7%	0.0%	3.9%	5.3%
	Asian - Japanese	4	1	0	1	0	3
	Asian - Japanese	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	5.9%
	Asian - Korean	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Asian - Korean	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0	1	0	0
	Asian - Vietnamese	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian - Other	5	2	1	0	0	0
	Asian - Other	1.0%	1.7%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	330	91	57	42	42	32	
Caucasian or White	74.3%	75.1%	75.5%	77.3%	78.9%	61.1%	
Latino or Hispanic	11	4	1	1	0	1	
Latino or Hispanic	2.4%	3.2%	1.3%	1.4%	0.0%	1.8%	
Two or more races	16	5	3	2	0	7	
Two or more races	3.7%	3.8%	3.5%	3.5%	0.0%	12.5%	
Other (Please specify:)	5	1	1	1	0	0	
Other (Please specify:)	1.1%	0.6%	1.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	
DK/NA	18	3	3	3	5	3	
DK/NA	4.1%	2.2%	3.5%	5.1%	9.6%	5.1%	

	Party by Gender		
	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	73	2	10
America Indian or Alaska Native	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Chinese	5 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Filipino	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Indian	4 4.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Japanese	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Korean	1 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	1 1.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Other	1 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Caucasian or White	53 72.2%	2 100.0%	10 94.1%
Latino or Hispanic	4 5.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Two or more races	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%
Other (Please specify:)	2 3.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	2 2.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native		a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese					
	Asian - Filipino		a	A	a	a
	Asian - Indian			a		
	Asian - Japanese		a		a	
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a		a	a
	Asian - Other			a		a
	Caucasian or White				a	
	Two or more races				a	
	Other (Please specify:)				a	a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Chinese		a,b	a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Indian		a,b	a
	Asian - Japanese	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Korean		a,b	a
	Asian - Vietnamese		a,b	a
	Asian - Other		a,b	a
	Caucasian or White		a,b	a
	Latino or Hispanic		a,b	a
	Two or more races	a	a,b	a
	Other (Please specify:)		a,b	a
	DK/NA		a,b	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	446	446
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	1
	0.3%	0.3%
Asian - Chinese	26	26
	5.9%	5.9%
Asian - Filipino	5	5
	1.1%	1.1%
Asian - Indian	19	19
	4.3%	4.3%
Asian - Japanese	4	4
	1.0%	1.0%
Asian - Korean	1	1
	0.3%	0.3%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	2
	0.5%	0.5%
Asian - Other	5	5
	1.0%	1.0%
Caucasian or White	330	330
	73.9%	73.9%
Latino or Hispanic	11	11
	2.4%	2.4%
Two or more races	16	16
	3.7%	3.7%
Other (Please specify:)	5	5
	1.1%	1.1%
DK/NA	20	20
	4.5%	4.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
America Indian or Alaska Native	.
Asian - Chinese	.
Asian - Filipino	.
Asian - Indian	.
Asian - Japanese	.
Asian - Korean	.
Asian - Vietnamese	.
Asian - Other	.
Caucasian or White	.
Latino or Hispanic	.
Two or more races	.
Other (Please specify:)	.
DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	446	213	231	2
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	26	15	12	0
	5.9%	6.8%	5.0%	0.0%
Asian - Filipino	5	0	5	0
	1.1%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	19	10	9	0
	4.3%	4.7%	4.1%	0.0%
Asian - Japanese	4	0	4	0
	1.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	1	1	0	0
	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	1	1	0
	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Asian - Other	5	3	2	0
	1.0%	1.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	330	162	167	0
	73.9%	76.1%	72.6%	0.0%
Latino or Hispanic	11	5	6	0
	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Two or more races	16	3	13	0
	3.7%	1.5%	5.7%	0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	5	3	2	0
	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%	0.0%
DK/NA	20	10	8	2
	4.5%	4.6%	3.5%	100.0%

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
America Indian or Alaska Native	a		a
Asian - Chinese	.		a
Asian - Filipino	a		a
Asian - Indian	.		a
Asian - Japanese	a		a
Asian - Korean		a	a
Asian - Vietnamese		.	a
Asian - Other			a
Caucasian or White			a
Latino or Hispanic			a
Two or more races		A	a
Other (Please specify:)			a
DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Age						Not coded
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	26	3	2	8	6	6	0
	5.9%	5.9%	6.6%	10.0%	4.6%	4.7%	0.0%
Asian - Filipino	5	0	0	1	3	1	0
	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	2.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	19	3	2	8	4	1	0
	4.3%	4.9%	6.5%	10.5%	3.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Asian - Japanese	4	2	0	0	1	2	0
	1.0%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	1	0	1	0	0
	0.5%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Other	5	2	0	1	1	0	0
	1.0%	3.5%	0.0%	1.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	330	40	24	48	104	106	7
	73.9%	66.5%	70.1%	59.8%	74.5%	85.7%	100.0%
Latino or Hispanic	11	5	0	2	1	2	0
	2.4%	8.3%	0.0%	2.8%	0.8%	2.0%	0.0%
Two or more races	16	0	2	4	7	3	0
	3.7%	0.0%	6.2%	4.6%	5.3%	2.6%	0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	5	2	1	1	1	0	0
	1.1%	3.8%	2.1%	1.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	20	2	2	4	10	3	0
	4.5%	3.2%	5.6%	4.9%	6.8%	2.1%	0.0%

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a		a	a	a
Asian - Chinese	a
Asian - Filipino	a	a				a
Asian - Indian			E			a
Asian - Japanese		a	a			a
Asian - Korean	a	a		a	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese	a		a		a	a
Asian - Other		.				a
Caucasian or White					A C	a
Latino or Hispanic	D	a				a
Two or more races	a					a
Other (Please specify:)					a	a
DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	446	357	80	9
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0
	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	26	24	2	1
	5.9%	6.6%	2.1%	9.5%
Asian - Filipino	5	3	2	0
	1.1%	0.8%	2.5%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	19	16	3	0
	4.3%	4.6%	3.6%	0.0%
Asian - Japanese	4	4	0	0
	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	1	1	0	0
	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	2	0	0
	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Other	5	5	0	0
	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	330	257	66	7
	73.9%	72.0%	82.2%	79.1%
Latino or Hispanic	11	8	3	0
	2.4%	2.1%	3.9%	0.0%
Two or more races	16	12	5	0
	3.7%	3.3%	5.7%	0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	5	5	0	0
	1.1%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	20	19	0	1
	4.5%	5.3%	0.0%	11.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :			
America Indian or Alaska Native		a	a
Asian - Chinese		.	.
Asian - Filipino		.	a
Asian - Indian		.	a
Asian - Japanese		a	a
Asian - Korean		a	a
Asian - Vietnamese		a	a
Asian - Other		a	a
Caucasian or White		.	.
Latino or Hispanic		.	a
Two or more races		.	a
Other (Please specify:)		a	a
DK/NA		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	446	197	110	12	127
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0	0
	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	26	12	5	0	9
	5.9%	6.1%	4.3%	0.0%	7.4%
Asian - Filipino	5	1	4	0	0
	1.1%	0.7%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	19	11	2	0	6
	4.3%	5.6%	1.9%	0.0%	5.0%
Asian - Japanese	4	1	1	0	3
	1.0%	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	2.4%
Asian - Korean	1	0	0	0	1
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	1	0	1
	0.5%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Asian - Other	5	3	0	0	1
	1.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
Caucasian or White	330	148	85	11	85
	73.9%	75.2%	77.3%	95.0%	67.1%
Latino or Hispanic	11	5	1	0	5
	2.4%	2.4%	0.7%	0.0%	4.1%
Two or more races	16	7	2	1	7
	3.7%	3.7%	1.8%	5.0%	5.2%
Other (Please specify:)	5	2	1	0	2
	1.1%	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%	1.8%
DK/NA	20	5	9	0	6
	4.5%	2.7%	8.3%	0.0%	4.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party	Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native		a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese			a	
	Asian - Filipino			a	a
	Asian - Indian			a	
	Asian - Japanese			a	
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a	
	Asian - Vietnamese	a		a	
	Asian - Other		a	a	
	Caucasian or White				
	Latino or Hispanic			a	
	Two or more races				
	Other (Please specify:)			a	
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	26	6	6	2	3	2	7
	5.9%	8.0%	8.8%	5.6%	7.4%	1.6%	7.9%
Asian - Filipino	5	0	0	4	0	1	0
	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	11.2%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	19	1	3	2	0	9	5
	4.3%	0.9%	4.3%	6.7%	0.0%	6.1%	5.7%
Asian - Japanese	4	1	0	1	0	0	3
	1.0%	0.9%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%
Asian - Korean	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	1.1%
Asian - Other	5	0	3	0	0	1	0
	1.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	330	58	48	21	34	113	56
	73.9%	77.0%	70.7%	64.8%	85.3%	80.2%	62.3%
Latino or Hispanic	11	3	2	0	1	2	3
	2.4%	4.0%	2.6%	0.0%	2.0%	1.4%	3.5%
Two or more races	16	3	1	2	0	3	7
	3.7%	4.4%	1.8%	6.1%	0.0%	2.4%	7.4%
Other (Please specify:)	5	2	0	0	0	1	2
	1.1%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	2.5%
DK/NA	20	1	5	1	2	6	6
	4.5%	0.9%	6.8%	3.4%	5.3%	4.2%	6.2%

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
America Indian or Alaska Native		a	a	a	a	a
Asian - Chinese						
Asian - Filipino	a	a	E	a		a
Asian - Indian				a		
Asian - Japanese		a		a	a	
Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a		a
Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a		
Asian - Other	a		a	a		
Caucasian or White					F	
Latino or Hispanic			a			
Two or more races						
Other (Please specify:)		a	a	a		
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date				
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	446	126	51	62	50
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0	1	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	26	11	4	2	3
	5.9%	8.9%	8.6%	3.4%	6.6%
Asian - Filipino	5	1	0	0	2
	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%
Asian - Indian	19	8	4	4	1
	4.3%	6.1%	8.2%	7.2%	1.8%
Asian - Japanese	4	2	0	1	0
	1.0%	1.8%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	1	0	0	1	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0	1	0
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Asian - Other	5	4	0	0	0
	1.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	330	76	38	46	40
	73.9%	59.8%	75.0%	73.7%	78.4%
Latino or Hispanic	11	6	1	1	1
	2.4%	4.7%	2.1%	1.2%	2.5%
Two or more races	16	9	1	1	1
	3.7%	7.3%	1.9%	0.8%	1.9%
Other (Please specify:)	5	4	0	1	0
	1.1%	3.2%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
DK/NA	20	5	2	3	2
	4.5%	4.0%	4.1%	5.7%	4.7%

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	36	36	46	38
America Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	3	1	2	0
	7.4%	1.5%	4.1%	0.0%
Asian - Filipino	0	0	1	1
	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	1.8%
Asian - Indian	1	1	1	0
	1.8%	1.9%	1.7%	0.0%
Asian - Japanese	0	0	0	2
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%
Asian - Korean	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	0	1	0	0
	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Other	0	1	0	0
	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	29	30	38	35
	79.1%	83.3%	81.3%	91.1%
Latino or Hispanic	0	1	0	1
	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	2.6%
Two or more races	1	1	2	0
	3.4%	4.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	3	1	3	0
	8.3%	2.0%	6.6%	0.5%

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date			
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
America Indian or Alaska Native
Asian - Chinese
Asian - Filipino
Asian - Indian
Asian - Japanese
Asian - Korean
Asian - Vietnamese
Asian - Other
Caucasian or White
Latino or Hispanic
Two or more races
Other (Please specify:)
DK/NA

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	.	.	.	a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	.	.
	Asian - Indian	.	.	.	a
	Asian - Japanese	a	a	a	.
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	.	a	a
	Asian - Other	a	.	a	a
	Caucasian or White	.	.	.	A
	Latino or Hispanic	a	.	a	.
	Two or more races	.	.	.	a
	Other (Please specify:)	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Date							
	Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8
Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66

	Date
	Dec. 9
Total	24

		Date							
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
		0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
	Asian - Chinese	26	6	1	10	3	2	1	2
		5.9%	7.6%	3.7%	5.5%	8.0%	12.4%	8.2%	2.7%
	Asian - Filipino	5	1	0	4	0	0	0	0
		1.1%	0.9%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian - Indian	19	0	1	9	3	0	0	4
		4.3%	0.0%	4.2%	4.6%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%
	Asian - Japanese	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	0
		1.0%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
	Asian - Korean	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
		0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
		0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian - Other	5	0	1	3	1	0	0	0
		1.0%	0.0%	3.1%	1.4%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Caucasian or White	330	62	18	134	26	9	12	50
		73.9%	77.9%	79.8%	70.6%	70.1%	71.8%	81.3%	75.8%
	Latino or Hispanic	11	1	0	5	0	0	1	4
	2.4%	0.9%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	6.3%	
Two or more races	16	3	1	9	1	1	0	2	
	3.7%	3.8%	3.2%	4.7%	3.4%	5.7%	0.0%	3.0%	
Other (Please specify:)	5	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	
	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	3.5%	
DK/NA	20	3	1	12	1	1	0	1	
	4.5%	4.3%	6.0%	6.2%	3.7%	4.2%	0.0%	1.4%	

		Date
		Dec. 9
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	0
		0.0%
	Asian - Chinese	1
		5.6%
	Asian - Filipino	0
		0.0%
	Asian - Indian	3
		12.3%
	Asian - Japanese	0
		0.0%
	Asian - Korean	0
		0.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	0
		0.0%
	Asian - Other	0
	0.0%	
Caucasian or White	19	
	79.1%	
Latino or Hispanic	0	
	0.0%	
Two or more races	0	
	0.0%	
Other (Please specify:)	0	
	0.0%	
DK/NA	1	
	3.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a	a	a	a		a
	Asian - Chinese								
	Asian - Filipino		a		a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	a				a	a		
	Asian - Japanese		a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a		a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a			a	a	a	a
	Asian - Other	a				a	a	a	a
	Caucasian or White								
	Latino or Hispanic		a		a	a			a
	Two or more races						a		a
	Other (Please specify:)	a	a		a		a		a
	DK/NA						a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	349	97
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1
	Asian - Chinese	26	21	5
	Asian - Filipino	5	5	0
	Asian - Indian	19	14	6
	Asian - Japanese	4	2	2
	Asian - Korean	1	1	0
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	1	1
	Asian - Other	5	3	2
	Caucasian or White	330	264	66
	Latino or Hispanic	11	7	4
	Two or more races	16	12	4
	Other (Please specify:)	5	3	2
DK/NA	20	17	3	
	4.5%	4.9%	3.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	
	Asian - Chinese		
	Asian - Filipino		a
	Asian - Indian		
	Asian - Japanese		
	Asian - Korean		a
	Asian - Vietnamese		
	Asian - Other		
	Caucasian or White		
	Latino or Hispanic		
	Two or more races		
	Other (Please specify:)		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	282	164
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1
	Asian - Chinese	0.3%	0.0%	0.8%
	Asian - Chinese	26	15	11
	Asian - Chinese	5.9%	5.3%	6.9%
	Asian - Filipino	5	5	0
	Asian - Filipino	1.1%	1.7%	0.0%
	Asian - Indian	19	9	11
	Asian - Indian	4.3%	3.0%	6.6%
	Asian - Japanese	4	1	3
	Asian - Japanese	1.0%	0.5%	1.8%
	Asian - Korean	1	0	1
	Asian - Korean	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	2	0
	Asian - Vietnamese	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%
	Asian - Other	5	1	4
Asian - Other	1.0%	0.2%	2.4%	
Caucasian or White	330	223	107	
Caucasian or White	73.9%	78.9%	65.3%	
Latino or Hispanic	11	3	8	
Latino or Hispanic	2.4%	0.9%	5.0%	
Two or more races	16	9	7	
Two or more races	3.7%	3.2%	4.5%	
Other (Please specify:)	5	3	2	
Other (Please specify:)	1.1%	0.9%	1.4%	
DK/NA	20	13	7	
DK/NA	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	.
	Asian - Chinese	.	a
	Asian - Filipino	.	.
	Asian - Indian	.	.
	Asian - Japanese	.	.
	Asian - Korean	a	.
	Asian - Vietnamese	.	a
	Asian - Other	.	A
	Caucasian or White	B	A
	Latino or Hispanic	.	A
	Two or more races	.	.
	Other (Please specify:)	.	.
DK/NA	.	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	Total	446	3	28	74	58
	America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0	0	1
	Asian - Chinese	26	0	4	3	3
	Asian - Filipino	5	0	1	0	1
	Asian - Indian	19	0	7	5	2
	Asian - Japanese	4	0	0	0	0
	Asian - Korean	1	0	0	0	0
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0	0	1
	Asian - Other	5	0	0	1	0
	Caucasian or White	330	3	9	50	44
	Latino or Hispanic	11	0	1	4	1
	Two or more races	16	0	5	3	1
	Other (Please specify:)	5	0	1	3	1
	DK/NA	20	0	0	5	3
		4.5%	0.0%	0.7%	6.8%	4.6%
		0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
		5.9%	0.0%	15.9%	4.5%	5.5%
		1.1%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	1.5%
		4.3%	0.0%	24.9%	6.7%	2.7%
		1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	
	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	
	73.9%	100.0%	31.3%	66.8%	76.8%	
	2.4%	0.0%	3.5%	5.7%	1.6%	
	3.7%	0.0%	16.3%	3.6%	1.7%	
	1.1%	0.0%	2.6%	4.4%	1.5%	

	Years Lived in Los Altos		
	16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	111	167	4
America Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0
Asian - Chinese	5	9	1
Asian - Filipino	2	1	0
Asian - Indian	3	1	2
Asian - Japanese	3	2	0
Asian - Korean	1	0	0
Asian - Vietnamese	0	1	0
Asian - Other	1	3	0
Caucasian or White	83	141	0
Latino or Hispanic	3	2	0
Two or more races	4	4	0
Other (Please specify:)	0	0	0
DK/NA	6	5	1
	5.4%	3.1%	23.1%

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos				
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a		a
Asian - Chinese	a				
Asian - Filipino	a		a		
Asian - Indian	a	D E F			
Asian - Japanese	a	a	a	a	
Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a	
Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a		a
Asian - Other	a	a		a	
Caucasian or White	a		B	B G	B
Latino or Hispanic	a				
Two or more races	a	F			
Other (Please specify:)	a				a
DK/NA	a				

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(F)	(G)
America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a
Asian - Chinese		a
Asian - Filipino		a
Asian - Indian		D E F
Asian - Japanese		a
Asian - Korean	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese		a
Asian - Other		a
Caucasian or White	B C G	
Latino or Hispanic		a
Two or more races		a
Other (Please specify:)	a	a
DK/NA		

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Employment Status				
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student
Total	446	203	22	41	19
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0	0
	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	26	12	1	4	2
	5.9%	6.0%	2.5%	9.9%	9.1%
Asian - Filipino	5	2	0	0	0
	1.1%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	19	12	1	0	3
	4.3%	5.9%	4.1%	0.0%	15.1%
Asian - Japanese	4	3	0	0	0
	1.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	1	0	0	1	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	2	0	0	0
	0.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Other	5	5	0	0	0
	1.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	330	143	17	30	12
	73.9%	70.5%	76.3%	73.3%	64.1%
Latino or Hispanic	11	5	1	3	0
	2.4%	2.6%	5.8%	6.7%	0.0%
Two or more races	16	8	1	1	0
	3.7%	4.1%	4.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	5	2	0	0	2
	1.1%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	11.7%
DK/NA	20	7	2	2	0
	4.5%	3.6%	7.0%	4.8%	0.0%

	Employment Status			
	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	126	14	16	4
America Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	6	0	1	0
	5.0%	0.0%	8.2%	0.0%
Asian - Filipino	2	1	0	0
	1.3%	9.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	1	2	1	0
	0.6%	11.8%	7.4%	0.0%
Asian - Japanese	2	0	0	0
	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Other	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	105	8	14	0
	83.3%	61.2%	84.4%	0.0%
Latino or Hispanic	2	0	0	0
	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or more races	5	1	0	0
	4.3%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	0	1	0	0
	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	4	1	0	4
	3.1%	6.5%	0.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status				
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :					
America Indian or Alaska Native		a	a	a	a
Asian - Chinese					
Asian - Filipino		a	a	a	
Asian - Indian			a	E	
Asian - Japanese		a	a	a	
Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese		a	a	a	a
Asian - Other		a	a	a	a
Caucasian or White					
Latino or Hispanic				a	
Two or more races				a	
Other (Please specify:)		a	a	A	a
DK/NA				a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(F)	(G)	(H)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :			
America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a
Asian - Chinese	a		a
Asian - Filipino	A	a	a
Asian - Indian	E		a
Asian - Japanese	a	a	a
Asian - Korean	a	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a
Asian - Other	a	a	a
Caucasian or White			a
Latino or Hispanic	a	a	a
Two or more races		a	a
Other (Please specify:)		a	a
DK/NA		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work					
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :						
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1	0	0	0
Asian - Chinese	17	0	0	0	0	1
Asian - Filipino	2	0	0	0	0	2
Asian - Indian	13	0	0	0	1	1
Asian - Japanese	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	3.0%
Asian - Korean	3	0	0	0	1	2
Asian - Vietnamese	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	7.7%
Asian - Other	1	0	0	0	0	0
Caucasian or White	190	4	0	1	10	15
Latino or Hispanic	9	0	0	0	0	0
Two or more races	10	0	1	0	0	5
Other (Please specify:)	2	0	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	11	0	0	0	1	0

	County Where Work				
	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	207	2	0	5	2
America Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian - Chinese	13	0	0	0	2
Asian - Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
Asian - Indian	11	0	0	0	0
Asian - Japanese	0	0	0	0	0
Asian - Korean	1	0	0	0	0
Asian - Vietnamese	0	0	0	0	0
Asian - Other	3	0	0	0	0
Caucasian or White	154	2	0	4	0
Latino or Hispanic	9	0	0	0	0
Two or more races	4	0	0	0	0
Other (Please specify:)	2	0	0	0	0
DK/NA	9	0	0	1	0

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work					
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	.	a,b	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	a	a	a,b	a	.	a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a,b	a	.	a
	Asian - Indian	a	a	a,b	.	.	.
	Asian - Japanese	a	a	a,b	.	.	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a,b	a	a	.
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a,b	a	.	a
	Asian - Other	F	.	a,b	a	.	.
	Caucasian or White	a	a	a,b	a	a	.
	Latino or Hispanic	a	a	a,b	a	a	.
	Two or more races	a	F	a,b	a	F	.
	Other (Please specify:)	a	a	a,b	a	a	.
	DK/NA	a	a	a,b	.	a	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work			
		Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a,b	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	a	a,b	a	a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a,b	a	a
	Asian - Indian	a	a,b	a	a
	Asian - Japanese	a	a,b	a	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a,b	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a,b	a	a
	Asian - Other	a	a,b	a	a
	Caucasian or White	a	a,b	a	a
	Latino or Hispanic	a	a,b	a	a
	Two or more races	a	a,b	a	a
	Other (Please specify:)	a	a,b	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a,b	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Group		
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
Total	446	1	26	5
America Indian or Alaska Native	1 0.3%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Chinese	26 5.9%	0 0.0%	26 100.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Filipino	5 1.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%
Asian - Indian	19 4.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Japanese	4 1.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Korean	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Other	5 1.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Caucasian or White	330 73.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Latino or Hispanic	11 2.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Two or more races	16 3.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	5 1.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	20 4.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Total	Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese
Total	19	4	1	2	
America Indian or Alaska Native	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Asian - Chinese	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Asian - Filipino	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Asian - Indian	19 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Asian - Japanese	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Asian - Korean	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	
Asian - Vietnamese	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	
Asian - Other	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Caucasian or White	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Latino or Hispanic	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Two or more races	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
Other (Please specify:)	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	5	330	11	16
America Indian or Alaska Native	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Chinese	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Filipino	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Indian	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Japanese	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Korean	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Other	5 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Caucasian or White	0 0.0%	330 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Latino or Hispanic	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	11 100.0%	0 0.0%
Two or more races	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	16 100.0%
Other (Please specify:)	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group	
	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	5	20
America Indian or Alaska Native	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Chinese	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Filipino	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Indian	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Japanese	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Korean	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Asian - Other	5 100.0%	0 0.0%
Caucasian or White	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Latino or Hispanic	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Two or more races	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	5 100.0%	0 0.0%
DK/NA	0 0.0%	20 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a,b	b	b	b
	Asian - Chinese	a,b	b	b	b
	Asian - Filipino	a,b	b	b	b
	Asian - Indian	a,b	b	b	b
	Asian - Japanese	a,b	b	b	b
	Asian - Korean	a,b	b	b	b
	Asian - Vietnamese	a,b	b	b	b
	Asian - Other	a,b	b	b	b
	Caucasian or White	a,b	b	b	b
	Latino or Hispanic	a,b	b	b	b
	Two or more races	a,b	b	b	b
	Other (Please specify:)	a,b	b	b	b
	DK/NA	a,b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	b	a,b	b	b
	Asian - Chinese	b	a,b	b	b
	Asian - Filipino	b	a,b	b	b
	Asian - Indian	b	a,b	b	b
	Asian - Japanese	b	a,b	b	b
	Asian - Korean	b	a,b	b	b
	Asian - Vietnamese	b	a,b	b	b
	Asian - Other	b	a,b	b	b
	Caucasian or White	b	a,b	b	b
	Latino or Hispanic	b	a,b	b	b
	Two or more races	b	a,b	b	b
	Other (Please specify:)	b	a,b	b	b
	DK/NA	b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group			
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	b	b	b	b
	Asian - Chinese	b	b	b	b
	Asian - Filipino	b	b	b	b
	Asian - Indian	b	b	b	b
	Asian - Japanese	b	b	b	b
	Asian - Korean	b	b	b	b
	Asian - Vietnamese	b	b	b	b
	Asian - Other	b	b	b	b
	Caucasian or White	b	b	b	b
	Latino or Hispanic	b	b	b	b
	Two or more races	b	b	b	b
	Other (Please specify:)	b	b	b	b
	DK/NA	b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	b
	Asian - Chinese	b
	Asian - Filipino	b
	Asian - Indian	b
	Asian - Japanese	b
	Asian - Korean	b
	Asian - Vietnamese	b
	Asian - Other	b
	Caucasian or White	b
	Latino or Hispanic	b
	Two or more races	b
	Other (Please specify:)	b
	DK/NA	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
Total	446	203	243
America Indian or Alaska Native	1 0.3%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%
Asian - Chinese	26 5.9%	15 7.3%	11 4.7%
Asian - Filipino	5 1.1%	4 1.8%	1 0.6%
Asian - Indian	19 4.3%	4 1.9%	16 6.4%
Asian - Japanese	4 1.0%	4 1.8%	1 0.3%
Asian - Korean	1 0.3%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2 0.5%	1 0.5%	1 0.5%
Asian - Other	5 1.0%	2 0.9%	3 1.1%
Caucasian or White	330 73.9%	147 72.3%	183 75.3%
Latino or Hispanic	11 2.4%	4 2.0%	7 2.7%
Two or more races	16 3.7%	8 4.1%	8 3.4%
Other (Please specify:)	5 1.1%	1 0.4%	4 1.7%
DK/NA	20 4.5%	12 5.9%	8 3.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Zip Code	
	94022	94024
	(A)	(B)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :		
America Indian or Alaska Native		. ^a
Asian - Chinese		
Asian - Filipino		
Asian - Indian		A
Asian - Japanese		
Asian - Korean		. ^a
Asian - Vietnamese		
Asian - Other		
Caucasian or White		
Latino or Hispanic		
Two or more races		
Other (Please specify:)		
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total	446	20	422	3
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	1	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	26	12	14	0
	5.9%	59.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Asian - Filipino	5	0	5	0
	1.1%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	19	0	19	0
	4.3%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%
Asian - Japanese	4	0	4	0
	1.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	1	0	1	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	2	0
	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Asian - Other	5	0	5	0
	1.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	330	1	329	0
	73.9%	5.1%	77.8%	0.0%
Latino or Hispanic	11	0	8	3
	2.4%	0.0%	1.8%	100.0%
Two or more races	16	5	12	0
	3.7%	23.0%	2.8%	0.0%
Other (Please specify:)	5	0	5	0
	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
DK/NA	20	3	17	0
	4.5%	12.9%	4.1%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a		a
	Asian - Chinese	B		a
	Asian - Filipino	a		a
	Asian - Indian	a		a
	Asian - Japanese	a		a
	Asian - Korean	a		a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a		a
	Asian - Other	a		a
	Caucasian or White		A	a
	Latino or Hispanic	a		a
	Two or more races	B		a
	Other (Please specify:)	a		a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	446	90	64	123	168
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0	0
	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	26	7	2	9	9
	5.9%	7.2%	2.4%	7.3%	5.4%
Asian - Filipino	5	0	1	1	3
	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	0.7%	2.0%
Asian - Indian	19	6	1	2	10
	4.3%	7.2%	1.4%	1.6%	6.0%
Asian - Japanese	4	0	0	4	0
	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	1	0	0	0	1
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	1	0	0	1
	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Asian - Other	5	1	1	1	2
	1.0%	1.3%	1.1%	0.6%	1.2%
Caucasian or White	330	65	59	84	122
	73.9%	71.7%	92.2%	67.8%	72.7%
Latino or Hispanic	11	4	0	3	4
	2.4%	4.6%	0.0%	2.3%	2.3%
Two or more races	16	1	1	9	5
	3.7%	1.1%	1.5%	7.3%	3.2%
Other (Please specify:)	5	2	0	1	2
	1.1%	2.5%	0.0%	0.8%	0.9%
DK/NA	20	2	0	10	8
	4.5%	1.8%	0.3%	8.0%	5.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native		a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese				
	Asian - Filipino	a			
	Asian - Indian				
	Asian - Japanese	a	a		a
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a	
	Asian - Vietnamese		a	a	
	Asian - Other				
	Caucasian or White		A C D		
	Latino or Hispanic		a		
	Two or more races				
	Other (Please specify:)		a		
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Asian - Chinese	26	2	11	2	2	9
	5.9%	4.6%	15.1%	4.4%	2.2%	4.6%
Asian - Filipino	5	0	0	1	0	4
	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	1.8%
Asian - Indian	19	6	3	5	3	2
	4.3%	10.7%	5.0%	9.1%	4.8%	1.2%
Asian - Japanese	4	2	0	1	0	1
	1.0%	4.5%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.7%
Asian - Korean	1	0	1	0	0	0
	0.3%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0	0	1	1
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.5%
Asian - Other	5	0	3	1	0	1
	1.0%	0.0%	4.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.3%
Caucasian or White	330	29	41	38	57	164
	73.9%	57.0%	58.7%	74.2%	82.6%	80.5%
Latino or Hispanic	11	4	4	0	1	2
	2.4%	7.9%	5.5%	0.0%	1.9%	0.8%
Two or more races	16	6	2	1	1	7
	3.7%	10.8%	3.1%	1.0%	1.9%	3.4%
Other (Please specify:)	5	2	0	0	2	1
	1.1%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.5%
DK/NA	20	0	5	3	2	10
	4.5%	0.0%	6.5%	6.0%	2.8%	5.1%

F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a	a	a	
Asian - Chinese		E			
Asian - Filipino	a	a		a	
Asian - Indian	E		E		
Asian - Japanese		a		a	
Asian - Korean	a		a	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a		
Asian - Other	a	E		a	
Caucasian or White				AB	AB
Latino or Hispanic	E		a		
Two or more races					
Other (Please specify:)		a	a		
DK/NA	a				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender					
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53
America Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0	0	0
	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	26	6	6	1	4	4
	5.9%	5.3%	7.4%	1.3%	7.5%	8.4%
Asian - Filipino	5	1	0	4	0	0
	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	19	7	4	0	2	3
	4.4%	5.5%	5.7%	0.0%	3.9%	5.3%
Asian - Japanese	4	1	0	1	0	3
	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	5.9%
Asian - Korean	1	0	0	0	0	0
	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0	1	0	0
	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Other	5	2	1	0	0	0
	1.0%	1.7%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	330	91	57	42	42	32
	74.3%	75.1%	75.5%	77.3%	78.9%	61.1%
Latino or Hispanic	11	4	1	1	0	1
	2.4%	3.2%	1.3%	1.4%	0.0%	1.8%
Two or more races	16	5	3	2	0	7
	3.7%	3.8%	3.5%	3.5%	0.0%	12.5%
Other (Please specify:)	5	1	1	1	0	0
	1.1%	0.6%	1.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	18	3	3	3	5	3
	4.1%	2.2%	3.5%	5.1%	9.6%	5.1%

	Party by Gender		
	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	73	2	10
America Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Chinese	5	0	0
	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Filipino	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Indian	4	0	0
	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Japanese	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Korean	1	0	0
	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	1	0	0
	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian - Other	1	0	0
	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian or White	53	2	10
	72.2%	100.0%	94.1%
Latino or Hispanic	4	0	0
	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or more races	0	0	1
	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Other (Please specify:)	2	0	0
	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
DK/NA	2	0	0
	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native		a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese					
	Asian - Filipino		a	A	a	a
	Asian - Indian			a		
	Asian - Japanese		a		a	
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a		a	a
	Asian - Other			a	a	a
	Caucasian or White					
	Latino or Hispanic				a	
	Two or more races				a	
	Other (Please specify:)				a	a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
F. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? :	America Indian or Alaska Native	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Chinese		a,b	a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Indian		a,b	a
	Asian - Japanese	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Korean		a,b	a
	Asian - Vietnamese		a,b	a
	Asian - Other		a,b	a
	Caucasian or White		a,b	
	Latino or Hispanic		a,b	a
	Two or more races	a	a,b	
	Other (Please specify:)		a,b	a
	DK/NA		a,b	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	446	446
18-29	59 13.3%	59 13.3%
30-39	35 7.8%	35 7.8%
G. Age 40-49	81 18.1%	81 18.1%
50-64	140 31.4%	140 31.4%
65+	124 27.9%	124 27.9%
Not coded	7 1.6%	7 1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
18-29	.
30-39	.
G. Age 40-49	.
50-64	.
65+	.
Not coded	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	446	213	231	2
18-29	59 13.3%	29 13.4%	31 13.3%	0 0.0%
30-39	35 7.8%	16 7.5%	19 8.1%	0 0.0%
G. Age 40-49	81 18.1%	38 17.6%	43 18.7%	0 0.0%
50-64	140 31.4%	66 30.8%	72 31.3%	2 100.0%
65+	124 27.9%	63 29.7%	61 26.4%	0 0.0%
Not coded	7 1.6%	2 1.0%	5 2.2%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
18-29			.a
30-39			.a
G. Age 40-49			.a
50-64			.a
65+			.a
Not coded			.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
18-29	59 13.3%	59 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
30-39	35 7.8%	0 0.0%	35 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
G. Age 40-49	81 18.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	81 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
50-64	140 31.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	140 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
65+	124 27.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	124 100.0%	0 0.0%
Not coded	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18-29	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
30-39	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
G. Age 40-49	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
50-64	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
65+	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
Not coded	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	446	357	80	9
18-29	59 13.3%	39 11.0%	15 19.1%	5 55.6%
30-39	35 7.8%	20 5.6%	15 18.4%	0 0.0%
G. Age 40-49	81 18.1%	70 19.7%	9 11.3%	1 13.5%
50-64	140 31.4%	112 31.3%	26 32.7%	2 21.7%
65+	124 27.9%	109 30.4%	15 18.6%	1 9.2%
Not coded	7 1.6%	7 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
18-29			A B
30-39		A	. ^a
G. Age 40-49			
50-64			
65+			
Not coded		. ^a	. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	446	197	110	12	127
18-29	59	26	5	5	23
	13.3%	13.3%	4.5%	43.7%	18.0%
30-39	35	17	4	2	11
	7.8%	8.9%	3.7%	13.7%	9.0%
G. Age 40-49	81	34	10	2	35
	18.1%	17.1%	9.4%	17.4%	27.1%
50-64	140	59	39	2	40
	31.4%	30.2%	35.5%	13.3%	31.3%
65+	124	56	48	1	18
	27.9%	28.6%	43.7%	11.8%	14.5%
Not coded	7	4	3	0	0
	1.6%	1.9%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18-29			A B	B
30-39				
G. Age 40-49				B
50-64				
65+	D	A D		
Not coded			. ^a	. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
18-29	59	3	10	0	0	32	14
	13.3%	4.1%	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%	22.8%	15.5%
30-39	35	9	5	0	2	13	6
	7.8%	11.3%	6.6%	0.0%	5.8%	9.1%	7.1%
G. Age 40-49	81	16	11	5	1	20	27
	18.1%	21.2%	15.8%	16.1%	3.3%	14.5%	30.0%
50-64	140	24	17	11	17	44	28
	31.4%	32.0%	24.6%	33.6%	41.8%	31.1%	31.0%
65+	124	22	25	14	18	31	15
	27.9%	29.5%	36.0%	45.1%	44.4%	21.8%	16.5%
Not coded	7	1	1	2	2	1	0
	1.6%	1.9%	1.9%	5.2%	4.6%	0.6%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18-29			a	a	A	
30-39			a			
40-49						D
50-64						
65+			F	F		
Not coded						a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date							
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992
Total	446	126	51	62	50	36	36	46
18-29	59	47	7	5	0	0	0	0
	13.3%	37.5%	13.7%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
30-39	35	12	7	6	6	1	0	0
	7.8%	9.9%	13.7%	8.9%	12.5%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
40-49	81	23	18	21	9	5	3	0
	18.1%	18.4%	35.4%	33.2%	17.5%	12.7%	7.7%	0.0%
50-64	140	29	13	18	24	18	17	19
	31.4%	22.8%	26.1%	29.2%	48.3%	50.5%	48.7%	40.7%
65+	124	14	6	13	11	12	16	27
	27.9%	11.4%	11.1%	20.6%	21.7%	34.3%	43.6%	59.3%
Not coded	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Registration ...
	1980 or before
Total	38
18-29	0
	0.0%
30-39	2
	6.5%
40-49	3
	6.7%
50-64	1
	2.1%
65+	25
	65.9%
Not coded	7
	18.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date						
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18-29	BC			a	a	a	a
30-39						a	a
40-49		H	H				a
50-64			H	AH	AH	H	H
65+					A	AB	ABCD
Not coded	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration ...
	1980 or before
	(H)
18-29	a
30-39	
40-49	
50-64	
65+	ABCD
Not coded	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
18-29	59	10	2	20	4	0	1	22	0
	13.3%	12.4%	9.0%	10.8%	10.0%	0.0%	9.0%	33.4%	0.0%
30-39	35	4	0	17	2	1	0	8	2
	7.8%	5.2%	0.0%	9.1%	6.1%	5.9%	0.0%	12.6%	8.7%
40-49	81	9	2	44	7	0	6	6	7
	18.1%	10.9%	10.3%	23.1%	20.2%	0.0%	37.9%	8.5%	28.9%
50-64	140	17	8	67	14	5	3	22	3
	31.4%	21.6%	36.6%	35.3%	37.9%	41.3%	22.0%	33.3%	14.1%
65+	124	37	10	38	9	7	5	8	11
	27.9%	45.9%	44.2%	20.2%	25.8%	52.8%	31.2%	12.2%	44.6%
Not coded	7	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	1
	1.6%	4.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date							
	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18-29					. ^a			. ^a
30-39		. ^a				. ^a		
40-49					. ^a			
50-64							A C	
65+	C G	G		. ^a	G	. ^a	. ^a	G
Not coded				. ^a	. ^a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	349	97
18-29	59 13.3%	44 12.7%	15 15.4%
30-39	35 7.8%	21 6.1%	13 13.7%
G. Age 40-49	81 18.1%	63 18.2%	17 17.7%
50-64	140 31.4%	107 30.8%	33 33.4%
65+	124 27.9%	106 30.4%	18 18.9%
Not coded	7 1.6%	6 1.8%	1 0.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
18-29		
30-39		A
40-49		
50-64		
65+	B	
Not coded		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	282	164
18-29	59 13.3%	9 3.1%	51 31.0%
30-39	35 7.8%	16 5.6%	19 11.5%
G. Age 40-49	81 18.1%	45 16.0%	36 21.7%
50-64	140 31.4%	95 33.7%	45 27.4%
65+	124 27.9%	112 39.5%	13 7.8%
Not coded	7 1.6%	6 2.2%	1 0.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
18-29		A
30-39		A
40-49		
50-64		
65+	B	
Not coded		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Years Lived in Los Altos							
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	167	4
18-29	59 13.3%	1 40.4%	6 22.7%	7 9.9%	11 19.1%	17 15.1%	16 9.8%	0 0.0%
30-39	35 7.8%	2 55.9%	8 28.4%	11 15.3%	2 4.2%	1 0.9%	10 5.9%	0 0.0%
40-49	81 18.1%	0 0.0%	10 36.3%	35 46.8%	18 31.0%	11 9.6%	5 3.3%	2 44.7%
50-64	140 31.4%	0 0.0%	3 9.4%	15 20.8%	19 32.3%	59 53.0%	43 25.4%	2 46.6%
65+	124 27.9%	0 3.7%	1 3.3%	5 7.3%	8 13.4%	24 21.4%	86 51.4%	0 8.7%
Not coded	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 4.3%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos						
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18-29							. ^a
30-39	DEF	DEF	E				. ^a
40-49	. ^a	EF	EF	EF			F
50-64	. ^a				BCF		
65+						BCDE	
Not coded	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a		. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Employment Status							
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14	16
18-29	59 13.3%	37 18.1%	0 0.0%	4 9.0%	17 87.4%	2 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
30-39	35 7.8%	27 13.2%	3 14.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 1.5%	3 20.7%	0 0.0%
40-49	81 18.1%	51 25.2%	7 33.0%	8 19.6%	0 0.0%	2 1.8%	4 30.6%	5 30.2%
50-64	140 31.4%	73 35.9%	6 27.8%	24 58.3%	2 8.8%	17 13.8%	6 45.4%	10 61.6%
65+	124 27.9%	15 7.5%	6 25.2%	5 11.0%	1 3.8%	96 76.4%	0 3.3%	1 8.2%
Not coded	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.1%	0 0.0%	6 5.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Employ.. DK / NA
Total	4
18-29	0 0.0%
30-39	0 0.0%
40-49	3 63.4%
50-64	2 36.6%
65+	0 0.0%
Not coded	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status						
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18-29	E	a		A C E		a	a
30-39	E	E	a	a		E	a
40-49	E	E	E	a		E	E
50-64	E		DE				DE
65+					ABCDFG		
Not coded	a	a		a		a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employ.
	DK / NA
	(H)
18-29	a
30-39	a
40-49	E
50-64	
65+	a
Not coded	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work									
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207	2	0	5
18-29	41	0	0	0	0	7	33	0	0	0
30-39	15.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.5%	16.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
40-49	30	0	0	0	2	4	24	0	0	0
50-64	11.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%	14.8%	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
65+	67	1	1	1	0	4	56	0	0	1
Not coded	25.0%	26.6%	56.7%	100.0%	0.0%	12.2%	26.9%	0.0%	0.0%	25.7%
18-29	103	3	1	0	9	14	72	2	0	3
30-39	38.7%	61.0%	43.3%	0.0%	72.4%	45.9%	34.7%	100.0%	100.0%	57.9%
40-49	25	1	0	0	2	1	22	0	0	1
50-64	9.6%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	13.7%	2.6%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	16.4%
65+	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Not coded	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	County
	DK/NA
Total	2
18-29	0
30-39	0.0%
40-49	0
50-64	0.0%
65+	2
Not coded	100.0%
18-29	0
30-39	0.0%
40-49	0
50-64	0.0%
65+	0
Not coded	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work									
	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
18-29	a	a	a,b	a			a	a,b	a	a
30-39	a	a	a,b				a	a,b	a	a
40-49			a,b	a			a	a,b		a
50-64			a,b				a	a,b		a
65+	a	a	a,b				a	a,b	a	a
Not coded	a	a	a,b	a	a		a	a,b	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group						
	Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
Total	446	1	26	5	19	4	1
18-29	59	0	3	0	3	2	0
30-39	13.3%	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%	15.1%	51.6%	0.0%
40-49	35	0	2	0	2	0	0
50-64	7.8%	0.0%	8.7%	0.0%	11.6%	0.0%	0.0%
65+	81	1	8	1	8	0	1
Not coded	18.1%	100.0%	30.8%	27.3%	43.4%	0.0%	100.0%
18-29	140	0	6	3	4	1	0
30-39	31.4%	0.0%	24.7%	58.5%	22.5%	14.7%	0.0%
40-49	124	0	6	1	1	2	0
50-64	27.9%	0.0%	22.5%	14.2%	7.4%	33.7%	0.0%
65+	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not coded	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Ethnic Group						
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	2	5	330	11	16	5	20
18-29	0 0.0%	2 44.5%	40 12.0%	5 45.8%	0 0.0%	2 47.0%	2 9.5%
30-39	1 47.9%	0 0.0%	24 7.4%	0 0.0%	2 12.9%	1 15.2%	2 9.7%
G. Age 40-49	0 0.0%	1 25.7%	48 14.6%	2 21.1%	4 22.6%	1 20.4%	4 19.9%
50-64	1 52.1%	1 29.8%	104 31.6%	1 10.0%	7 45.2%	1 17.5%	10 47.6%
65+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	106 32.3%	2 23.1%	3 19.3%	0 0.0%	3 13.2%
Not coded	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 2.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group					
	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18-29	a,b		b			a,b
30-39	a,b		b		b	a,b
G. Age 40-49	a,b			l	b	a,b
50-64	a,b				b	a,b
65+	a,b					a,b
Not coded	a,b	b	b	b	b	a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group						
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
18-29	b			l	b		
30-39		b		b			
G. Age 40-49	b						
50-64							
65+	b	b				b	
Not coded	b	b		b	b	b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
Total	446	203	243
18-29	59 13.3%	21 10.2%	39 15.9%
30-39	35 7.8%	19 9.4%	16 6.4%
G. Age 40-49	81 18.1%	32 15.9%	48 19.9%
50-64	140 31.4%	65 32.2%	75 30.7%
65+	124 27.9%	63 31.2%	61 25.1%
Not coded	7 1.6%	2 1.1%	5 2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Zip Code	
	94022 (A)	94024 (B)
18-29		
30-39		
G. Age 40-49		
50-64		
65+		
Not coded		

Results are based on two-sided tests.

For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total	446	20	422	3
18-29	59 13.3%	0 0.0%	56 13.3%	3 100.0%
30-39	35 7.8%	1 5.1%	34 7.9%	0 0.0%
G. Age 40-49	81 18.1%	10 48.5%	71 16.7%	0 0.0%
50-64	140 31.4%	6 27.7%	134 31.8%	0 0.0%
65+	124 27.9%	4 18.7%	120 28.5%	0 0.0%
Not coded	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	7 1.7%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
18-29	a		a
30-39			a
G. Age 40-49	B		a
50-64			a
65+			a
Not coded	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	446	90	64	123	168
18-29	59 13.3%	25 27.9%	4 6.6%	8 6.2%	22 13.2%
30-39	35 7.8%	8 9.1%	4 6.4%	6 5.0%	16 9.6%
G. Age 40-49	81 18.1%	20 21.8%	2 3.6%	26 21.4%	32 19.2%
50-64	140 31.4%	27 29.7%	12 18.6%	42 34.4%	59 35.0%
65+	124 27.9%	10 11.6%	38 58.7%	39 31.8%	37 22.0%
Not coded	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	4 6.2%	1 1.1%	2 1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18-29	B C D			
30-39				
G. Age 40-49	B		B	B
50-64				
65+		A C D	A	
Not coded	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
18-29	59 13.3%	30 58.5%	18 24.9%	5 9.8%	5 7.2%	2 0.8%
30-39	35 7.8%	8 14.7%	8 11.0%	7 14.0%	7 10.5%	5 2.4%
G. Age 40-49	81 18.1%	6 12.2%	25 35.1%	23 45.8%	11 16.4%	15 7.3%
50-64	140 31.4%	5 9.4%	15 21.9%	11 21.7%	34 49.6%	74 36.5%
65+	124 27.9%	3 5.2%	5 7.2%	4 8.6%	11 16.3%	101 49.5%
Not coded	7 1.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 3.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18-29	B C D E	D E	E	E	
30-39	E	E	E	E	
G. Age 40-49		A E	A D E		
50-64				A B C	A
65+					A B C D
Not coded	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
18-29	59 13.4%	20 17.0%	6 7.5%	2 3.5%	3 5.7%	8 15.7%	15 19.9%	0 0.0%	5 51.0%
30-39	35 7.8%	11 8.9%	7 8.9%	1 1.9%	3 5.6%	6 11.7%	5 7.1%	1 41.6%	1 9.1%
G. Age 40-49	81 18.2%	21 17.1%	13 17.2%	5 9.6%	5 9.4%	16 30.4%	18 25.0%	1 58.4%	1 10.6%
50-64	138 31.1%	37 30.2%	23 30.2%	19 34.4%	19 35.1%	17 31.5%	22 30.4%	0 0.0%	2 15.5%
65+	124 28.0%	31 25.6%	25 33.5%	24 44.2%	24 44.2%	6 10.6%	13 17.5%	0 0.0%	1 13.8%
Not coded	7 1.6%	2 1.3%	2 2.8%	3 6.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18-29							a,b	B C D
30-39							a	
G. Age 40-49							a	
50-64							a,b	
65+			E F	E F	b	b	a,b	b
Not coded				b				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	446	446
Democrat	197 44.1%	197 44.1%
H. Party Republican	110 24.7%	110 24.7%
Other	12 2.7%	12 2.7%
DTS	127 28.5%	127 28.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
H. Party	Democrat	.
	Republican	.
	Other	.
	DTS	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
H. Party	Total	446	213	231	2
	Democrat	197	76	121	0
	Republican	110	54	55	1
	Other	12	10	2	0
	DTS	127	73	53	1
		28.5%	34.3%	23.0%	41.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
H. Party	Democrat		A	. ^a
	Republican			
	Other	B		. ^a
	DTS	B		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
H. Party	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Democrat	197	26	17	34	59	56	4
	Republican	110	5	4	10	39	48	3
	Other	12	8.3%	11.7%	12.8%	27.9%	38.7%	48.9%
	DTS	127	23	11	35	40	18	0
		28.5%	38.6%	33.0%	42.8%	28.5%	14.9%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
H. Party				A	A B C	A
Democrat						.a
Republican						.a
Other						.a
DTS	E		E			.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	446	357	80	9
H. Party				
Democrat	197	147	46	4
Republican	110	97	13	0
Other	12	12	0	0
DTS	127	101	21	5
	28.5%	28.3%	26.7%	54.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
H. Party			
Democrat	A		
Republican			
Other	.a		.a
DTS			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	446	197	110	12	127
H. Party					
Democrat	197	197	0	0	0
Republican	110	0	110	0	0
Other	12	0	0	12	0
DTS	127	0	0	0	127
	44.1%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	24.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
	28.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	
H. Party					
Democrat	.a	.a	.a	.a	
Republican	.a	.a	.a	.a	
Other	.a	.a	.a	.a	
DTS	.a	.a	.a	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
H. Party							
Democrat	197	75	68	0	0	53	0
Republican	110	0	0	32	40	38	0
Other	12	0	0	0	0	9	3
DTS	127	0	0	0	0	40	87
	44.1%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	37.7%	0.0%
	24.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	27.2%	0.0%
	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	2.9%
	28.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.3%	97.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
H. Party Democrat	a	a	a	a		a
H. Party Republican	a	a	a	a		a
H. Party Other	a	a	a	a		a
H. Party DTS	a	a	a	a		E

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
Total	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
H. Party Democrat	197	57	19	28	27	15	17
H. Party Republican	110	14	10	6	9	13	13
H. Party Other	12	8	0	2	0	0	1
H. Party DTS	127	48	22	26	13	7	4
	28.5%	37.7%	43.0%	42.1%	26.5%	20.1%	12.0%

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	46	38
H. Party Democrat	21	12
H. Party Republican	23	21
H. Party Other	1	1
H. Party DTS	2	5
	3.9%	12.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date						
	2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)	1993 to 1996 (F)	1981 to 1992 (G)
H. Party Democrat					A C	A C	A B C D
H. Party Republican					a		
H. Party Other		a					
H. Party DTS	G	G	G				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration ...
	1980 or before (H)
H. Party Democrat	A B C D
H. Party Republican	
H. Party Other	
H. Party DTS	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Date								
	Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
H. Party Democrat	197	39	11	79	14	5	10	27	12
H. Party Republican	110	26	5	49	9	3	2	12	5
H. Party Other	12	1	1	7	0	0	0	3	0
H. Party DTS	127	14	6	55	14	5	4	23	7
	28.5%	18.1%	25.3%	28.8%	36.8%	39.5%	23.7%	35.5%	30.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
H. Party	Democrat								
	Republican				a	a	a		a
	Other								
	DTS								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
H. Party	Total	446	349	97
	Democrat	197	156	40
	Republican	110	87	23
	Other	12	8	4
	DTS	127	97	30

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
H. Party	Democrat		
	Republican		
	Other		
	DTS		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^a

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
H. Party	Total	446	282	164
	Democrat	197	138	59
	Republican	110	78	32
	Other	12	5	7
	DTS	127	61	66

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
H. Party	Democrat	B	
	Republican	B	
	Other		
	DTS		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
H. Party	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	167
	Democrat	197	1	21	34	19	51	70
	Republican	110	1	0	10	12	31	57
	Other	12	0	1	1	3	3	4
	DTS	127	1	6	29	24	26	36

		Years Lived in ..
		Not sure/ DK /NA
Total		4
Democrat		0 0.0%
H. Party	Republican	0 8.7%
Other		0 0.0%
DTS		3 91.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos						
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
H. Party	Democrat		D F					a
	Republican		a				C	
	Other	a						a
	DTS							F

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status						
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
Total		446	203	22	41	19	126	14
Democrat		197 44.1%	93 45.9%	15 66.3%	13 32.4%	5 28.0%	62 49.0%	5 35.9%
H. Party	Republican	110 24.7%	40 19.8%	4 20.1%	12 30.1%	1 4.4%	45 35.8%	4 29.3%
	Other	12 2.7%	9 4.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 8.7%	2 1.5%	0 0.0%
	DTS	127 28.5%	61 30.1%	3 13.6%	15 37.5%	11 59.0%	17 13.7%	5 34.7%

		Employment Status	
		Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total		16	4
Democrat		3 15.9%	1 17.1%
H. Party	Republican	3 18.3%	0 0.0%
Other		0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DTS		11 65.8%	4 82.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status						
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
H. Party	Democrat					A		
	Republican		a	a			a	a
	Other							
	DTS	E		E	E			B E

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employ.
		DK / NA
		(H)
H. Party	Democrat	a
	Republican	a
	Other	a
	DTS	E

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work								
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
Total		266	5	2	1	12	30	207	2	0
Democrat		121 45.5%	3 61.0%	1 56.7%	1 100.0%	6 49.6%	10 32.6%	94 45.5%	2 100.0%	0 100.0%
H. Party	Republican	57 21.4%	1 26.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 30.1%	7 23.2%	44 21.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Other	9 3.2%	1 12.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%	1 2.0%	7 3.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	DTS	80 29.9%	0 0.0%	1 43.3%	0 0.0%	2 14.4%	13 42.2%	62 30.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

		County Where ...	
		Other	DK/NA
Total		5	2
Democrat		1	2
H. Party Republican		31.0%	100.0%
Other		1	0
DTS		25.1%	0.0%
Other		0	0
DTS		0.0%	0.0%
DTS		2	0
DTS		43.9%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work									
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
Democrat			b	a,b				b	a,b		b
H. Party Republican			b	a,b				b	a,b		b
Other			b	a,b				b	a,b	b	b
DTS			b	a,b				b	a,b		b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 ^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
Total		446	1	26	5	19	4	1
Democrat		197	1	12	1	11	1	0
H. Party Republican		110	0	5	4	2	1	0
Other		12	0	0	0	0	0	0
DTS		127	0	9	0	6	3	1
DTS		28.5%	0.0%	35.8%	0.0%	32.7%	69.6%	100.0%

		Ethnic Group						
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		2	5	330	11	16	5	20
Democrat		0	3	148	5	7	2	5
H. Party Republican		0.0%	74.3%	44.9%	44.6%	44.1%	35.6%	26.4%
Other		1	0	85	1	2	1	9
DTS		52.1%	0.0%	25.8%	7.4%	11.8%	17.5%	45.7%
Other		0	0	11	0	1	0	0
DTS		0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
DTS		1	1	85	5	7	2	6
DTS		47.9%	25.7%	25.9%	48.0%	40.4%	47.0%	27.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group					
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Democrat		a,b					a,b
H. Party Republican		a,b					a,b
Other		a,b	b	b	b	b	a,b
DTS		a,b		b			a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group						
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Democrat		b						
H. Party Republican		b	b					
Other		b	b		b		b	
DTS		b	b		b		b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 ^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
Total		446	203	243
Democrat		197	95	102
H. Party Republican		44.1%	46.8%	41.9%
Other		110	52	58
DTS		24.7%	25.6%	23.8%
Other		12	4	8
DTS		2.7%	2.1%	3.2%
DTS		127	52	75
DTS		28.5%	25.5%	31.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
Democrat			
H. Party Republican			
Other			
DTS			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total		446	20	422	3
Democrat		197	4	193	0
H. Party Republican		44.1%	18.4%	45.7%	0.0%
Other		110	1	109	0
DTS		24.7%	3.7%	25.9%	0.0%
Other		12	0	12	0
DTS		2.7%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%
DTS		127	16	108	3
DTS		28.5%	77.9%	25.6%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
Democrat			A	. ^a
H. Party Republican			A	. ^a
Other		. ^a		. ^a
DTS		B		. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total		446	90	64	123	168
Democrat		197	40	27	58	73
H. Party Republican		44.1%	43.8%	41.4%	47.0%	43.2%
Other		110	15	24	30	42
DTS		24.7%	16.6%	36.7%	24.1%	24.8%
Other		12	3	3	1	6
DTS		2.7%	3.0%	3.9%	0.8%	3.5%
DTS		127	33	12	35	48
DTS		28.5%	36.7%	18.0%	28.2%	28.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
H. Party	Democrat				
	Republican		A		
	Other				
	DTS				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
H. Party	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Democrat	197	18	33	15	33	98
	Republican	110	4	8	10	17	71
	Other	12	6	0	2	2	2
	DTS	127	23	29	24	17	34
		28.5%	45.5%	40.9%	46.4%	25.3%	16.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
H. Party	Democrat					
	Republican					A B
	Other	E	. ^a			
	DTS	E	E	E		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender								
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	
H. Party	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	Democrat	197	121	76	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Republican	109	0	0	55	54	0	0	0	0
	Other	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	10
	DTS	126	0	0	0	0	53	73	0	0
		44.3%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		24.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		28.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
H. Party	Democrat	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^{a,b}	. ^a
	Republican	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^{a,b}	. ^a
	Other	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^{a,b}	. ^a
	DTS	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^{a,b}	. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
I. Household Party Type	Total	446	446
	Dem 1	75	75
	Dem 2+	68	68
	Rep 1	32	32
	Rep 2+	40	40
	Other 1	62	62
	Other 2+	28	28
	Dem & Rep	33	33
	Dem & Other	68	68
	Rep & Other	31	31
	Dem, Rep & Other	8	8
		1.7%	1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
I. Household Party Type	
Dem 1	.
Dem 2+	.
Rep 1	.
Rep 2+	.
Other 1	.
Other 2+	.
Dem & Rep	.
Dem & Other	.
Rep & Other	.
Dem, Rep & Other	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

^aSignificance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^a.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	446	213	231	2
Dem 1	75	28	47	0
Dem 2+	68	30	39	0
Rep 1	32	16	16	0
Rep 2+	40	17	22	1
Other 1	62	30	32	1
Other 2+	28	22	5	0
Dem & Rep	33	17	17	0
Dem & Other	68	35	33	0
Rep & Other	31	13	18	0
Dem, Rep & Other	8	6	2	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
I. Household Party Type			
Dem 1		A	. ^a
Dem 2+			. ^a
Rep 1			. ^a
Rep 2+			A
Other 1			
Other 2+	B		. ^a
Dem & Rep			. ^a
Dem & Other			. ^a
Rep & Other			. ^a
Dem, Rep & Other			. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
Dem 1	75	3	9	16	24	22	1
Dem 2+	68	10	5	11	17	25	1
Rep 1	32	0	0	5	11	14	2
Rep 2+	40	0	2	1	17	18	2
Other 1	62	7	2	22	22	9	0
Other 2+	28	7	4	5	6	6	0
Dem & Rep	33	6	1	4	10	12	1
Dem & Other	68	18	7	15	20	9	0
Rep & Other	31	4	5	1	12	9	0
Dem, Rep & Other	8	4	0	0	2	1	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
I. Household Party Type						
Dem 1						
Dem 2+						
Rep 1	a	a				
Rep 2+	a				C	C
Other 1			E			a
Other 2+						a
Dem & Rep						
Dem & Other	E					a
Rep & Other						a
Dem, Rep & Other		a	a			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	446	357	80	9
Dem 1	75	50	24	2
Dem 2+	68	55	13	0
Rep 1	32	28	4	0
Rep 2+	40	38	2	0
I. Household Party Type				
Other 1	62	46	12	4
Other 2+	28	25	2	1
Dem & Rep	33	25	8	0
Dem & Other	68	57	10	2
Rep & Other	31	25	6	0
Dem, Rep & Other	8	8	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
I. Household Party Type			
Dem 1		A	
Dem 2+			
Rep 1			a
Rep 2+	B		a
Other 1			A
Other 2+			
Dem & Rep			
Dem & Other			a
Rep & Other			a
Dem, Rep & Other		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	446	197	110	12	127
Dem 1	75	75	0	0	0
Dem 2+	68	68	0	0	0
Rep 1	32	0	32	0	0
Rep 2+	40	0	40	0	0
I. Household Party Type					
Other 1	62	0	0	2	60
Other 2+	28	0	0	1	27
Dem & Rep	33	19	15	0	0
Dem & Other	68	34	0	6	29
Rep & Other	31	0	21	2	8
Dem, Rep & Other	8	0	2	2	4

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
I. Household Party Type				
Dem 1		a	a	a
Dem 2+		a	a	a
Rep 1	a		a	a
Rep 2+	a		a	a
Other 1	a	a		C
Other 2+	a	a		
Dem & Rep			a	a
Dem & Other		a	A	
Rep & Other	a	D		
Dem, Rep & Other	a		B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Dem 1	75 16.9%	75 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Dem 2+	68 15.3%	0 0.0%	68 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Rep 1	32 7.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	32 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Rep 2+	40 8.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	40 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
I. Household Party Type							
Other 1	62 14.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	62 69.2%
Other 2+	28 6.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	28 30.8%
Dem & Rep	33 7.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	33 23.8%	0 0.0%
Dem & Other	68 15.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	68 48.7%	0 0.0%
Rep & Other	31 6.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	31 22.1%	0 0.0%
Dem, Rep & Other	8 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 5.4%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
I. Household Party Type						
Dem 1	a	a	a	a	a	a
Dem 2+	a	a	a	a	a	a
Rep 1	a	a	a	a	a	a
Rep 2+	a	a	a	a	a	a
Other 1	a	a	a	a	a	
Other 2+	a	a	a	a	a	
Dem & Rep	a	a	a	a		a
Dem & Other	a	a	a	a		a
Rep & Other	a	a	a	a		a
Dem, Rep & Other	a	a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
Dem 1	75 16.9%	17 13.2%	8 16.4%	10 16.7%	16 31.3%	6 16.5%
Dem 2+	68 15.3%	18 14.4%	5 10.5%	10 16.6%	7 13.2%	7 20.6%
Rep 1	32 7.2%	5 3.6%	4 7.4%	2 2.9%	7 14.6%	1 1.9%
Rep 2+	40 8.9%	3 2.0%	5 10.0%	3 5.1%	0 0.0%	5 14.3%
I. Household Party Type						
Other 1	62 14.0%	27 21.6%	5 9.4%	16 26.4%	7 14.4%	3 8.8%
Other 2+	28 6.2%	10 8.0%	4 7.9%	7 11.2%	1 1.5%	2 4.4%
Dem & Rep	33 7.5%	11 8.5%	2 4.3%	4 6.7%	2 3.4%	2 5.7%
Dem & Other	68 15.3%	27 21.1%	15 30.3%	7 12.0%	7 12.9%	4 10.4%
Rep & Other	31 6.9%	6 4.5%	1 2.1%	1 2.4%	4 7.2%	6 17.5%
Dem, Rep & Other	8 1.7%	4 3.0%	1 1.7%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	0 0.0%

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	36	46	38
Dem 1	6 17.2%	6 12.8%	6 16.0%
Dem 2+	10 26.7%	7 16.1%	3 8.8%
Rep 1	4 10.3%	3 6.9%	7 18.2%
Rep 2+	4 10.9%	12 25.1%	8 21.9%
I. Household Party Type			
Other 1	1 2.8%	1 2.2%	2 4.1%
Other 2+	1 3.6%	1 1.7%	2 5.7%
Dem & Rep	1 1.9%	6 13.6%	6 14.8%
Dem & Other	5 13.0%	2 4.9%	2 4.3%
Rep & Other	3 9.5%	7 15.2%	2 6.2%
Dem, Rep & Other	1 4.0%	1 1.4%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date				
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Dem 1					
Dem 2+					
Rep 1					
Rep 2+				a	A
I. Household Party Type					
Other 1			G		
Other 2+					
Dem & Rep					
Dem & Other		G			
Rep & Other					
Dem, Rep & Other			a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(F)	(G)	(H)
Dem 1			
Dem 2+			
Rep 1			
Rep 2+		A	A
I. Household Party Type			
Other 1			
Other 2+			
Dem & Rep			
Dem & Other			
Rep & Other			
Dem, Rep & Other			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Date								
	Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
Dem 1	75 16.9%	14 17.5%	4 17.4%	31 16.4%	5 13.1%	1 11.3%	6 41.5%	9 13.9%	5 19.9%
Dem 2+	68 15.3%	15 19.0%	3 13.5%	24 12.7%	6 16.0%	3 21.5%	3 17.2%	12 17.6%	3 14.1%
Rep 1	32 7.2%	7 8.3%	2 6.7%	18 9.4%	3 7.1%	1 5.7%	0 0.0%	1 1.5%	2 6.7%
Rep 2+	40 8.9%	10 12.4%	2 6.9%	13 7.0%	4 11.7%	2 16.1%	1 5.2%	5 7.8%	3 11.7%
I. Household Party Type									
Other 1	62 14.0%	6 7.2%	4 16.4%	32 17.1%	6 15.4%	1 7.9%	1 8.8%	10 14.6%	3 12.4%
Other 2+	28 6.2%	5 6.7%	1 3.6%	7 3.9%	4 9.7%	1 6.2%	1 5.3%	8 11.8%	1 5.5%
Dem & Rep	33 7.5%	7 8.3%	3 12.0%	17 8.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 8.9%	2 6.6%
Dem & Other	68 15.3%	11 13.9%	5 23.4%	28 15.0%	6 16.7%	2 19.5%	2 15.8%	10 15.3%	3 11.0%
Rep & Other	31 6.9%	4 5.1%	0 0.0%	17 8.7%	1 3.0%	1 11.8%	1 6.1%	5 7.3%	2 8.7%
Dem, Rep & Other	8 1.7%	1 1.6%	0 0.0%	2 1.0%	3 7.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.3%	1 3.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date							
	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Dem 1								
Dem 2+								
Rep 1						a		
Rep 2+								
I. Household Party Type								
Other 1								
Other 2+								
Dem & Rep				a	a	a		
Dem & Other								
Rep & Other		a						
Dem, Rep & Other		a			a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	349	97
Dem 1	75 16.9%	53 15.3%	22 22.8%
Dem 2+	68 15.3%	56 16.2%	12 12.3%
Rep 1	32 7.2%	24 7.0%	8 7.9%
Rep 2+	40 8.9%	32 9.1%	8 8.4%
I. Household Party Type Other 1	62 14.0%	44 12.5%	19 19.2%
Other 2+	28 6.2%	22 6.4%	5 5.5%
Dem & Rep	33 7.5%	30 8.7%	3 3.4%
Dem & Other	68 15.3%	61 17.5%	7 7.6%
Rep & Other	31 6.9%	20 5.8%	11 11.1%
Dem, Rep & Other	8 1.7%	6 1.6%	2 2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
Dem 1		
Dem 2+		
Rep 1		
Rep 2+		
I. Household Party Type Other 1		
Other 2+		
Dem & Rep		
Dem & Other	B	
Rep & Other		
Dem, Rep & Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	282	164
Dem 1	75 16.9%	55 19.3%	21 12.7%
Dem 2+	68 15.3%	48 17.0%	20 12.5%
Rep 1	32 7.2%	22 7.9%	10 5.8%
Rep 2+	40 8.9%	31 10.8%	9 5.6%
I. Household Party Type Other 1	62 14.0%	30 10.8%	32 19.6%
Other 2+	28 6.2%	14 4.8%	14 8.6%
Dem & Rep	33 7.5%	25 8.9%	8 5.1%
Dem & Other	68 15.3%	40 14.0%	29 17.6%
Rep & Other	31 6.9%	15 5.3%	16 9.7%
Dem, Rep & Other	8 1.7%	3 1.0%	5 2.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
Dem 1		
Dem 2+		
Rep 1		
Rep 2+		
I. Household Party Type Other 1		A
Other 2+		
Dem & Rep		
Dem & Other		
Rep & Other		
Dem, Rep & Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Years Lived in Los Altos					
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
Dem 1	75	1	10	21	9	16
	16.9%	44.1%	35.3%	27.8%	15.2%	14.4%
Dem 2+	68	0	7	11	4	17
	15.3%	0.0%	23.9%	14.3%	7.5%	15.2%
Rep 1	32	0	0	6	2	9
	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	3.5%	7.8%
Rep 2+	40	0	0	1	2	11
	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	3.6%	9.8%
I. Household Party Type Other 1	62	1	5	16	13	9
	14.0%	30.0%	18.8%	21.7%	23.1%	8.2%
Other 2+	28	0	1	9	5	2
	6.2%	0.0%	3.7%	12.4%	7.8%	2.1%
Dem & Rep	33	0	1	1	4	6
	7.5%	0.0%	4.8%	1.6%	7.3%	5.8%
Dem & Other	68	0	3	8	9	29
	15.3%	0.0%	10.1%	10.7%	14.7%	26.3%
Rep & Other	31	1	1	2	5	10
	6.9%	25.9%	3.3%	2.6%	9.2%	9.2%
Dem, Rep & Other	8	0	0	0	5	1
	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%	1.3%

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	167	4
Dem 1	18	0
	11.0%	0.0%
Dem 2+	30	0
	17.8%	0.0%
Rep 1	15	0
	9.2%	0.0%
Rep 2+	26	0
	15.6%	0.0%
I. Household Party Type Other 1	15	2
	8.9%	67.8%
Other 2+	10	1
	5.9%	23.5%
Dem & Rep	20	0
	11.8%	8.7%
Dem & Other	20	0
	11.9%	0.0%
Rep & Other	12	0
	7.0%	0.0%
Dem, Rep & Other	1	0
	0.9%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos					
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
I. Household Party Type						
Dem 1	.	F	F			
Dem 2+	.a					
Rep 1	.	.a				
Rep 2+	.	.a				
Other 1	.					C
Other 2+	.					
Dem & Rep	.					
Dem & Other	.				F	
Rep & Other	.					
Dem, Rep & Other	.	.a	.a	F		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in ...
	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(G)
I. Household Party Type	
Dem 1	.
Dem 2+	.
Rep 1	.
Rep 2+	.
Other 1	E F
Other 2+	.
Dem & Rep	.
Dem & Other	.a
Rep & Other	.a
Dem, Rep & Other	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Employment Status					
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126
Dem 1	75	43	1	8	0	21
	16.9%	21.1%	5.8%	19.5%	0.0%	16.4%
Dem 2+	68	21	8	3	5	27
	15.3%	10.6%	37.8%	7.8%	24.2%	21.5%
Rep 1	32	12	1	3	0	16
	7.2%	6.1%	3.0%	6.3%	0.0%	13.0%
Rep 2+	40	10	2	6	0	18
	8.9%	5.1%	10.5%	15.0%	0.0%	14.0%
Other 1	62	36	0	4	2	7
	14.0%	17.6%	0.0%	10.4%	10.1%	5.8%
Other 2+	28	11	1	3	5	7
	6.2%	5.6%	4.4%	8.2%	23.5%	5.2%
Dem & Rep	33	14	1	3	0	13
	7.5%	6.9%	4.4%	6.8%	0.0%	10.7%
Dem & Other	68	38	6	7	2	10
	15.3%	18.7%	27.6%	16.8%	12.5%	8.0%
Rep & Other	31	14	1	2	3	7
	6.9%	7.0%	6.6%	5.6%	15.6%	5.5%
Dem, Rep & Other	8	3	0	1	3	0
	1.7%	1.4%	0.0%	3.5%	14.2%	0.0%

	Employment Status		
	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	14	16	4
Dem 1	2	1	0
	13.5%	4.0%	0.0%
Dem 2+	2	1	1
	12.5%	6.4%	17.1%
Rep 1	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rep 2+	2	1	0
	14.8%	7.5%	0.0%
Other 1	2	8	4
	11.8%	49.2%	82.9%
Other 2+	1	0	0
	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Dem & Rep	2	0	0
	16.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Dem & Other	2	3	0
	15.2%	17.1%	0.0%
Rep & Other	1	2	0
	8.1%	11.7%	0.0%
Dem, Rep & Other	0	1	0
	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status					
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Dem 1				a		
Dem 2+		A				
Rep 1				a		a
Rep 2+				a		
Other 1		a				
Other 2+						
Dem & Rep				a		
Dem & Other						
Rep & Other						
Dem, Rep & Other		a		A	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status	
	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(G)	(H)
Dem 1		a
Dem 2+		a
Rep 1	a	a
Rep 2+		a
Other 1	A C E	A C D E
Other 2+	a	a
Dem & Rep	a	a
Dem & Other		a
Rep & Other		a
Dem, Rep & Other		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work						
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207
Dem 1	52	0	1	0	5	4	38
Dem 2+	33	1	0	0	0	1	31
Rep 1	16	0	0	0	1	2	13
Rep 2+	19	0	0	0	3	1	14
I. Household Party Type Other 1	40	0	1	0	2	8	28
Other 2+	16	1	0	0	0	3	12
Dem & Rep	18	1	0	0	0	3	13
Dem & Other	51	2	0	1	2	7	38
Rep & Other	18	0	0	0	0	2	16
Dem, Rep & Other	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%

	County Where Work			
	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	2	0	5	2
Dem 1	2	0	0	2
Dem 2+	0	0	1	0
Rep 1	0	0	0	0
Rep 2+	0	0	1	0
I. Household Party Type Other 1	0	0	1	0
Other 2+	0	0	0	0
Dem & Rep	0	0	1	0
Dem & Other	0	0	1	0
Rep & Other	0	0	0	0
Dem, Rep & Other	0	0	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work						
	Alameda (A)	Marin (B)	Napa (C)	San Francisco (D)	San Mateo (E)	Santa Clara (F)	Santa Cruz (G)
I. Household Party Type Dem 1	a		a,b				a
Dem 2+		a	a,b				a
Rep 1	a	a	a,b				a
Rep 2+	a	a	a,b				a
Other 1	a		a,b				a
Other 2+		a	a,b	a			a
Dem & Rep		a	a,b	a			a
Dem & Other		a	a,b				a
Rep & Other	a	a	a,b	a			a
Dem, Rep & Other	a	a	a,b	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work		
	Sonoma (H)	Other (I)	DK/NA (J)
I. Household Party Type Dem 1	a,b	a	a
Dem 2+	a,b		a
Rep 1	a,b	a	a
Rep 2+	a,b		a
Other 1	a,b		a
Other 2+	a,b	a	a
Dem & Rep	a,b		a
Dem & Other	a,b		a
Rep & Other	a,b	a	a
Dem, Rep & Other	a,b	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group				
	Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
Total	446	1	26	5	19
Dem 1	75 16.9%	1 100.0%	6 22.9%	0 0.0%	1 3.4%
Dem 2+	68 15.3%	0 0.0%	6 23.0%	0 0.0%	3 15.1%
Rep 1	32 7.2%	0 0.0%	2 6.9%	4 72.7%	2 11.0%
Rep 2+	40 8.9%	0 0.0%	3 11.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
I. Household Party Type Other 1	62 14.0%	0 0.0%	5 20.0%	0 0.0%	4 19.7%
Other 2+	28 6.2%	0 0.0%	2 7.1%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%
Dem & Rep	33 7.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 27.3%	0 0.0%
Dem & Other	68 15.3%	0 0.0%	2 8.7%	0 0.0%	9 44.1%
Rep & Other	31 6.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Dem, Rep & Other	8 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group				
	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
Total	4	1	2	5	330
Dem 1	1 14.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	58 17.6%
Dem 2+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 74.3%	48 14.7%
Rep 1	1 15.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	21 6.3%
Rep 2+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	34 10.3%
I. Household Party Type Other 1	2 51.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	36 10.8%
Other 2+	1 18.0%	0 0.0%	1 47.9%	0 0.0%	20 6.2%
Dem & Rep	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	28 8.4%
Dem & Other	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%	53 16.1%
Rep & Other	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 52.1%	0 0.0%	24 7.3%
Dem, Rep & Other	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 2.3%

	Ethnic Group			
	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	11	16	5	20
Dem 1	3 28.0%	3 20.2%	2 35.6%	1 3.3%
Dem 2+	2 16.6%	1 7.5%	0 0.0%	5 23.1%
Rep 1	0 0.0%	2 11.8%	0 0.0%	1 5.5%
Rep 2+	1 7.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 10.5%
I. Household Party Type Other 1	3 29.2%	7 40.4%	0 0.0%	6 27.9%
Other 2+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 47.0%	0 0.0%
Dem & Rep	0 0.0%	3 16.4%	0 0.0%	2 7.7%
Dem & Other	2 18.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Rep & Other	0 0.0%	1 3.7%	1 17.5%	4 21.9%
Dem, Rep & Other	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group				
	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Dem 1	a,b		b		
Dem 2+	a,b		b		b
Rep 1	a,b		B I M		
Rep 2+	a,b		b	b	b
I. Household Party Type Other 1	a,b		b		
Other 2+	a,b		b		
Dem & Rep	a,b	b		b	b
Dem & Other	a,b		b	I	b
Rep & Other	a,b	b	b	b	b
Dem, Rep & Other	a,b	b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group				
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
I. Household Party Type					
Dem 1	a,b	b	b		
Dem 2+	a,b	b	I K		
Rep 1	a,b	b	b		b
Rep 2+	a,b	b	b		
Other 1	a,b	b	b		
Other 2+	a,b	b	b		b
Dem & Rep	a,b	b	b		b
Dem & Other	a,b	b			
Rep & Other	a,b		b		b
Dem, Rep & Other	a,b	b	b		b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(K)	(L)	(M)
I. Household Party Type			
Dem 1		b	
Dem 2+		b	
Rep 1		b	
Rep 2+	b	b	
Other 1	I	b	
Other 2+	b	I	b
Dem & Rep		b	
Dem & Other	b	b	b
Rep & Other			
Dem, Rep & Other	b	b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
I. Household Party Type			
Total	446	203	243
Dem 1	75 16.9%	42 20.9%	33 13.6%
Dem 2+	68 15.3%	33 16.4%	35 14.5%
Rep 1	32 7.2%	17 8.3%	15 6.2%
Rep 2+	40 8.9%	20 10.1%	19 8.0%
Other 1	62 14.0%	26 13.0%	36 14.8%
Other 2+	28 6.2%	13 6.2%	15 6.2%
Dem & Rep	33 7.5%	10 5.0%	23 9.6%
Dem & Other	68 15.3%	29 14.2%	40 16.3%
Rep & Other	31 6.9%	12 6.0%	19 7.7%
Dem, Rep & Other	8 1.7%	0 0.0%	8 3.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Zip Code	
	94022	94024
	(A)	(B)
I. Household Party Type		
Dem 1	B	
Dem 2+		
Rep 1		
Rep 2+		
Other 1		
Other 2+		
Dem & Rep		
Dem & Other		
Rep & Other		
Dem, Rep & Other	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total	446	20	422	3
Dem 1	75 16.9%	1 5.0%	74 17.6%	0 0.0%
Dem 2+	68 15.3%	3 13.4%	66 15.5%	0 0.0%
Rep 1	32 7.2%	0 0.0%	32 7.6%	0 0.0%
Rep 2+	40 8.9%	1 3.7%	39 9.2%	0 0.0%
I. Household Party Type Other 1	62 14.0%	12 57.5%	47 11.2%	3 100.0%
Other 2+	28 6.2%	2 9.3%	26 6.1%	0 0.0%
Dem & Rep	33 7.5%	0 0.0%	33 7.9%	0 0.0%
Dem & Other	68 15.3%	2 11.1%	66 15.7%	0 0.0%
Rep & Other	31 6.9%	0 0.0%	31 7.3%	0 0.0%
Dem, Rep & Other	8 1.7%	0 0.0%	8 1.8%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
Dem 1			a
Dem 2+			a
Rep 1	a		a
Rep 2+			a
I. Household Party Type Other 1	B		a
Other 2+			a
Dem & Rep	a		a
Dem & Other			a
Rep & Other	a		a
Dem, Rep & Other	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	446	90	64	123	168
Dem 1	75 16.9%	15 16.5%	12 19.0%	25 19.9%	24 14.1%
Dem 2+	68 15.3%	15 17.1%	9 13.6%	19 15.6%	25 14.9%
Rep 1	32 7.2%	2 2.6%	5 8.0%	9 7.0%	16 9.4%
Rep 2+	40 8.9%	5 5.8%	12 18.0%	12 9.7%	11 6.6%
I. Household Party Type Other 1	62 14.0%	13 14.9%	5 7.2%	19 15.8%	25 14.7%
Other 2+	28 6.2%	10 10.9%	4 5.6%	7 6.0%	7 4.1%
Dem & Rep	33 7.5%	6 6.5%	7 10.3%	5 3.8%	16 9.7%
Dem & Other	68 15.3%	15 16.2%	6 9.6%	19 15.4%	29 17.0%
Rep & Other	31 6.9%	6 6.5%	4 6.3%	8 6.3%	13 7.9%
Dem, Rep & Other	8 1.7%	3 3.0%	1 2.3%	1 0.5%	3 1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Dem 1				
Dem 2+				
Rep 1				
Rep 2+				
I. Household Party Type Other 1				
Other 2+				
Dem & Rep				
Dem & Other				
Rep & Other				
Dem, Rep & Other				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
Dem 1	75 16.9%	7 12.7%	8 12.0%	5 9.6%	13 18.2%	43 21.0%
Dem 2+	68 15.3%	7 13.7%	12 16.7%	6 11.9%	8 11.8%	35 17.3%
Rep 1	32 7.2%	0 0.0%	4 5.2%	4 7.4%	7 9.6%	18 8.8%
Rep 2+	40 8.9%	0 0.0%	2 2.9%	3 6.8%	5 7.1%	29 14.4%
I. Household Party Type Other 1	62 14.0%	12 23.7%	12 17.4%	12 22.6%	9 12.4%	18 8.7%
Other 2+	28 6.2%	6 11.3%	7 10.5%	5 9.7%	3 4.8%	6 3.0%
Dem & Rep	33 7.5%	4 7.9%	4 5.5%	3 5.0%	3 4.6%	20 9.7%
Dem & Other	68 15.3%	10 19.7%	17 23.9%	10 19.9%	14 19.7%	18 8.6%
Rep & Other	31 6.9%	2 3.5%	4 5.8%	4 6.9%	8 11.7%	13 6.6%
Dem, Rep & Other	8 1.7%	4 7.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Dem 1					
Dem 2+					
Rep 1	.a				
Rep 2+	.a				
I. Household Party Type Other 1	E				
Other 2+					
Dem & Rep					
Dem & Other		E			
Rep & Other					
Dem, Rep & Other	E	.a	.a	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender						
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73
Dem 1	75 17.0%	47 39.3%	28 36.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Dem 2+	68 15.4%	39 32.2%	30 38.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Rep 1	32 7.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	16 28.9%	16 29.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Rep 2+	39 8.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	22 39.8%	17 31.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
I. Household Party Type Other 1	62 13.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	32 60.1%	28 37.6%
Other 2+	28 6.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 10.3%	22 29.6%
Dem & Rep	33 7.5%	13 11.1%	5 7.0%	3 5.9%	11 21.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Dem & Other	68 15.4%	21 17.5%	13 17.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	11 20.0%	18 24.6%
Rep & Other	31 7.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 24.2%	8 15.0%	4 8.0%	3 4.6%
Dem, Rep & Other	8 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.2%	1 2.7%	1 1.6%	3 3.7%

	Party by Gender	
	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	2	10
Dem 1	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Dem 2+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Rep 1	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Rep 2+	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
I. Household Party Type Other 1	0 0.0%	2 20.2%
Other 2+	0 0.0%	1 5.4%
Dem & Rep	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Dem & Other	2 100.0%	4 36.5%
Rep & Other	0 0.0%	2 19.2%
Dem, Rep & Other	0 0.0%	2 18.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by Gender						
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
I. Household Party Type							
Dem 1			a	a	a	a	a,b
Dem 2+			a	a	a	a	a,b
Rep 1	a	a			a	a	a,b
Rep 2+	a	a			a	a	a,b
Other 1	a	a	a	a	F		a,b
Other 2+	a	a	a	a		E	a,b
Dem & Rep					a		a,b
Dem & Other			a	a			a,b
Rep & Other	a	a	F				a,b
Dem, Rep & Other	a	a					a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by
	Male Oth
	(H)
I. Household Party Type	
Dem 1	a
Dem 2+	a
Rep 1	a
Rep 2+	a
Other 1	
Other 2+	
Dem & Rep	a
Dem & Other	
Rep & Other	
Dem, Rep & Other	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	446	446
2013 to 2017	126	126
	28.3%	28.3%
2009 to 2012	51	51
	11.5%	11.5%
2005 to 2008	62	62
	13.9%	13.9%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	50	50
	11.3%	11.3%
1997 to 2000	36	36
	8.1%	8.1%
1993 to 1996	36	36
	8.0%	8.0%
1981 to 1992	46	46
	10.4%	10.4%
1980 or before	38	38
	8.6%	8.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
J. Registration Date	
2013 to 2017	.
2009 to 2012	.
2005 to 2008	.
2001 to 2004	.
1997 to 2000	.
1993 to 1996	.
1981 to 1992	.
1980 or before	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	446	213	231	2
2013 to 2017	126 28.3%	61 28.6%	65 28.3%	0 0.0%
2009 to 2012	51 11.5%	32 14.9%	19 8.3%	0 0.0%
2005 to 2008	62 13.9%	29 13.5%	33 14.3%	0 0.0%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	50 11.3%	18 8.3%	32 13.8%	1 41.3%
1997 to 2000	36 8.1%	18 8.4%	17 7.4%	1 58.7%
1993 to 1996	36 8.0%	16 7.7%	19 8.4%	0 0.0%
1981 to 1992	46 10.4%	21 9.8%	25 11.0%	0 0.0%
1980 or before	38 8.6%	18 8.7%	20 8.5%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
2013 to 2017			.a
2009 to 2012	B		.a
2005 to 2008			.a
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004			.a
1997 to 2000			A B
1993 to 1996			.a
1981 to 1992			.a
1980 or before			.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
2013 to 2017	126 28.3%	47 79.8%	12 36.0%	23 28.9%	29 20.6%	14 11.5%	0 0.0%
2009 to 2012	51 11.5%	7 11.8%	7 20.1%	18 22.4%	13 9.5%	6 4.6%	0 0.0%
2005 to 2008	62 13.9%	5 8.4%	6 15.9%	21 25.5%	18 12.9%	13 10.2%	0 0.0%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	50 11.3%	0 0.0%	6 18.2%	9 10.9%	24 17.4%	11 8.8%	0 0.0%
1997 to 2000	36 8.1%	0 0.0%	1 2.5%	5 5.7%	18 13.1%	12 10.0%	0 0.0%
1993 to 1996	36 8.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 3.4%	17 12.5%	16 12.6%	0 0.0%
1981 to 1992	46 10.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	19 13.4%	27 22.0%	0 0.0%
1980 or before	38 8.6%	0 0.0%	2 7.2%	3 3.2%	1 0.6%	25 20.2%	7 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
2013 to 2017	B C D E	E	E			.a
2009 to 2012		E	E			.a
2005 to 2008			E			.a
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	.a					.a
1997 to 2000	.a					.a
1993 to 1996	.a	.a				.a
1981 to 1992	.a	.a	.a			.a
1980 or before	.a			C D		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	446	357	80	9
2013 to 2017	126 28.3%	89 24.9%	31 39.0%	6 69.1%
2009 to 2012	51 11.5%	38 10.7%	13 15.9%	0 0.0%
2005 to 2008	62 13.9%	48 13.5%	14 16.9%	0 0.0%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	50 11.3%	38 10.5%	12 14.9%	1 9.3%
1997 to 2000	36 8.1%	30 8.4%	5 6.8%	1 9.5%
1993 to 1996	36 8.0%	32 9.0%	3 4.3%	0 2.9%
1981 to 1992	46 10.4%	44 12.4%	2 2.2%	0 2.1%
1980 or before	38 8.6%	38 10.5%	0 0.0%	1 7.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
2013 to 2017		A	A
2009 to 2012			a
2005 to 2008			a
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004			a
1997 to 2000			
1993 to 1996			
1981 to 1992	B		
1980 or before		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	446	197	110	12	127
2013 to 2017	126 28.3%	57 29.2%	14 12.3%	8 63.5%	48 37.4%
2009 to 2012	51 11.5%	19 9.7%	10 9.2%	0 0.0%	22 17.3%
2005 to 2008	62 13.9%	28 14.0%	6 5.8%	2 15.0%	26 20.5%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	50 11.3%	27 13.9%	9 8.5%	0 3.6%	13 10.5%
1997 to 2000	36 8.1%	15 7.9%	13 12.2%	0 0.0%	7 5.7%
1993 to 1996	36 8.0%	17 8.8%	13 11.9%	1 8.2%	4 3.4%
1981 to 1992	46 10.4%	21 10.5%	23 21.1%	1 5.0%	2 1.4%
1980 or before	38 8.6%	12 6.0%	21 18.9%	1 4.6%	5 3.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2013 to 2017	B		B	B
2009 to 2012			a	
2005 to 2008				B
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004			a	
1997 to 2000				
1993 to 1996				
1981 to 1992	D	D		
1980 or before		A D		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
2013 to 2017	126	17	18	5	3	47	37
	28.3%	22.2%	26.6%	14.3%	6.3%	33.4%	41.5%
2009 to 2012	51	8	5	4	5	20	9
	11.5%	11.1%	7.9%	11.8%	12.9%	13.9%	9.8%
2005 to 2008	62	10	10	2	3	13	23
	13.9%	13.7%	15.0%	5.5%	7.9%	9.3%	25.8%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	50	16	7	7	0	13	8
	11.3%	20.9%	9.8%	22.9%	0.0%	9.0%	8.9%
1997 to 2000	36	6	7	1	5	12	5
	8.1%	7.9%	10.9%	2.2%	13.0%	8.6%	5.3%
1993 to 1996	36	6	10	4	4	10	2
	8.0%	8.2%	14.0%	11.6%	9.8%	7.3%	2.6%
1981 to 1992	46	6	7	3	12	16	2
	10.4%	7.9%	10.9%	9.9%	29.2%	11.6%	2.0%
1980 or before	38	6	3	7	8	10	4
	8.6%	8.1%	4.9%	21.7%	21.0%	6.9%	4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
2013 to 2017					D	D
2009 to 2012						
2005 to 2008						E
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004				a		
1997 to 2000						
1993 to 1996						
1981 to 1992				A F		
1980 or before			F	F		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date					
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
2013 to 2017	126	126	0	0	0	0
	28.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2009 to 2012	51	0	51	0	0	0
	11.5%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2005 to 2008	62	0	0	62	0	0
	13.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	50	0	0	0	50	0
	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
1997 to 2000	36	0	0	0	0	36
	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
1993 to 1996	36	0	0	0	0	0
	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1981 to 1992	46	0	0	0	0	0
	10.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1980 or before	38	0	0	0	0	0
	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	36	46	38
2013 to 2017	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2009 to 2012	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2005 to 2008	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1997 to 2000	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1993 to 1996	36	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1981 to 1992	0	46	0
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
1980 or before	0	0	38
	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	a	a	a	a	a	a
	2009 to 2012	a	a	a	a	a	a
	2005 to 2008	a	a	a	a	a	a
	2001 to 2004	a	a	a	a	a	a
	1997 to 2000	a	a	a	a	a	a
	1993 to 1996	a	a	a	a	a	a
	1981 to 1992	a	a	a	a	a	a
	1980 or before	a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	a	a
	2009 to 2012	a	a
	2005 to 2008	a	a
	2001 to 2004	a	a
	1997 to 2000	a	a
	1993 to 1996	a	a
	1981 to 1992	a	a
	1980 or before	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
Total		446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	126 28.3%	19 23.9%	5 24.5%	50 26.5%	11 28.8%	1 11.1%	5 30.1%	29 44.5%	6 23.3%
	2009 to 2012	51 11.5%	11 13.9%	3 11.5%	25 13.3%	2 6.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 14.9%	0 0.0%
	2005 to 2008	62 13.9%	9 11.7%	2 10.5%	29 15.5%	10 26.8%	1 7.8%	3 18.2%	4 6.3%	3 12.2%
	2001 to 2004	50 11.3%	11 13.5%	4 19.6%	22 11.6%	3 6.8%	1 7.9%	2 13.2%	4 5.6%	4 16.8%
	1997 to 2000	36 8.1%	4 4.7%	1 4.4%	15 7.7%	2 5.6%	2 19.8%	1 9.5%	8 12.4%	3 11.4%
	1993 to 1996	36 8.0%	3 3.4%	3 15.1%	14 7.4%	3 7.7%	3 23.3%	3 16.8%	7 10.4%	1 3.0%
	1981 to 1992	46 10.4%	10 12.4%	1 6.1%	21 11.1%	4 10.2%	4 29.9%	1 3.4%	1 1.8%	5 19.4%
	1980 or before	38 8.6%	13 16.5%	2 8.4%	13 6.8%	3 7.7%	0 0.0%	1 8.9%	3 4.0%	3 13.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017								
	2009 to 2012					a	a		a
	2005 to 2008								
	2001 to 2004								
	1997 to 2000								
	1993 to 1996								
	1981 to 1992					G			
	1980 or before					a			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	349	97
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	126 28.3%	99 28.3%	28 28.4%
	2009 to 2012	51 11.5%	41 11.8%	10 10.1%
	2005 to 2008	62 13.9%	52 14.8%	10 10.4%
	2001 to 2004	50 11.3%	36 10.4%	14 14.5%
	1997 to 2000	36 8.1%	28 8.0%	8 8.5%
	1993 to 1996	36 8.0%	29 8.3%	7 7.2%
	1981 to 1992	46 10.4%	33 9.4%	13 13.7%
	1980 or before	38 8.6%	31 8.9%	7 7.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		
	2009 to 2012		
	2005 to 2008		
	2001 to 2004		
	1997 to 2000		
	1993 to 1996		
	1981 to 1992		
	1980 or before		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	282	164
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	126 28.3%	42 14.9%	84 51.5%
	2009 to 2012	51 11.5%	31 10.9%	20 12.4%
	2005 to 2008	62 13.9%	45 16.0%	17 10.2%
	2001 to 2004	50 11.3%	36 12.6%	15 9.0%
	1997 to 2000	36 8.1%	30 10.6%	6 3.9%
	1993 to 1996	36 8.0%	32 11.2%	4 2.5%
	1981 to 1992	46 10.4%	35 12.3%	12 7.1%
	1980 or before	38 8.6%	32 11.4%	6 3.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		A
	2009 to 2012		
	2005 to 2008		
	2001 to 2004		
	1997 to 2000	B	
	1993 to 1996	B	
	1981 to 1992		
	1980 or before	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
Total		446	3	28	74	58	111	167
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	126 28.3%	1 40.4%	21 75.5%	26 35.6%	17 29.9%	24 21.4%	36 21.5%
	2009 to 2012	51 11.5%	0 0.0%	3 11.2%	19 25.6%	8 13.5%	9 8.3%	12 7.1%
	2005 to 2008	62 13.9%	1 30.0%	1 2.6%	11 14.5%	18 30.9%	12 10.6%	18 10.8%
	2001 to 2004	50 11.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	11 14.6%	7 12.2%	18 16.6%	13 7.9%
	1997 to 2000	36 8.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 4.6%	3 5.7%	20 18.4%	8 4.9%
	1993 to 1996	36 8.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.9%	2 3.1%	3 4.4%	21 18.7%	10 5.9%
	1981 to 1992	46 10.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 3.4%	5 4.9%	39 23.2%
	1980 or before	38 8.6%	1 29.7%	3 9.7%	1 2.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.1%	31 18.8%

	Years Lived in ..
	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	4
2013 to 2017	0 0.0%
2009 to 2012	0 0.0%
2005 to 2008	2 44.7%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	1 23.1%
1997 to 2000	1 23.5%
1993 to 1996	0 0.0%
1981 to 1992	0 0.0%
1980 or before	0 8.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos					
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
2013 to 2017	.a	C D E F	E F	E F		
2009 to 2012	.a					
2005 to 2008	.a	.a				
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	.a	.a			F	
1997 to 2000	.a	.a			C F	
1993 to 1996	.a					
1981 to 1992	.a	.a	.a			D E
1980 or before	E			.a		C E

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in ..
	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(G)
2013 to 2017	.a
2009 to 2012	.a
2005 to 2008	
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	
1997 to 2000	
1993 to 1996	.a
1981 to 1992	.a
1980 or before	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Employment Status					
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
2013 to 2017	126 28.3%	70 34.3%	3 13.2%	12 30.4%	17 87.4%	17 13.8%	4 28.3%
2009 to 2012	51 11.5%	33 16.0%	3 13.6%	5 11.4%	1 4.4%	6 5.1%	1 7.6%
2005 to 2008	62 13.9%	28 14.0%	5 23.6%	7 17.8%	0 0.0%	11 8.6%	4 27.8%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	50 11.3%	25 12.5%	4 15.8%	3 6.9%	0 0.0%	11 8.6%	4 26.6%
1997 to 2000	36 8.1%	14 7.0%	1 3.2%	5 12.3%	1 4.4%	13 10.7%	0 0.0%
1993 to 1996	36 8.0%	12 5.7%	3 12.6%	5 12.1%	0 0.0%	14 10.7%	1 6.3%
1981 to 1992	46 10.4%	14 6.9%	2 8.9%	3 7.1%	0 0.0%	27 21.1%	0 0.0%
1980 or before	38 8.6%	7 3.5%	2 9.0%	1 2.1%	1 3.8%	27 21.3%	0 3.3%

	Employment Status	
	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	16	4
2013 to 2017	3 18.6%	0 0.0%
2009 to 2012	1 7.4%	1 31.7%
2005 to 2008	5 29.9%	1 31.7%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	3 20.1%	1 19.6%
1997 to 2000	2 11.7%	0 0.0%
1993 to 1996	1 8.2%	1 17.1%
1981 to 1992	1 4.1%	0 0.0%
1980 or before	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status					
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
J. Registration Date				A B C E F G		
2013 to 2017	E					
2009 to 2012						
2005 to 2008				a		
2001 to 2004				a		
1997 to 2000						a
1993 to 1996				a		
1981 to 1992				a	A	a
1980 or before					A	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status	
	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(G)	(H)
J. Registration Date		
2013 to 2017		a
2009 to 2012		
2005 to 2008		
2001 to 2004		
1997 to 2000		a
1993 to 1996		
1981 to 1992		a
1980 or before	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	County Where Work						
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz
J. Registration Date								
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207	2
2013 to 2017	85	0	1	1	3	17	59	0
2009 to 2012	32.0%	0.0%	43.3%	100.0%	26.5%	55.8%	28.7%	0.0%
2005 to 2008	40	1	0	0	3	1	35	0
2001 to 2004	15.1%	26.6%	0.0%	0.0%	24.7%	3.3%	17.0%	0.0%
1997 to 2000	41	0	1	0	2	3	34	0
1993 to 1996	15.4%	0.0%	56.7%	0.0%	19.4%	9.5%	16.6%	0.0%
1981 to 1992	32	0	0	0	0	5	24	2
1980 or before	11.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.1%	11.8%	100.0%
2013 to 2017	20	2	0	0	0	1	15	0
2009 to 2012	7.5%	45.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	7.3%	0.0%
2005 to 2008	19	1	0	0	2	3	13	0
2001 to 2004	7.3%	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%	14.8%	11.6%	6.4%	0.0%
1997 to 2000	19	0	0	0	1	1	17	0
1993 to 1996	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%	2.0%	8.3%	0.0%
1981 to 1992	10	1	0	0	1	0	8	0
1980 or before	3.8%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%

	Total	County Where Work		
		Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
J. Registration Date				
Total	0	5	2	
2013 to 2017	0	1	2	
2009 to 2012	0.0%	25.7%	100.0%	
2005 to 2008	0	0	0	
2001 to 2004	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
1997 to 2000	0	1	0	
1993 to 1996	0.0%	14.6%	0.0%	
1981 to 1992	0	2	0	
1980 or before	0.0%	43.3%	0.0%	
2013 to 2017	0	0	0	
2009 to 2012	0	0	0	
2005 to 2008	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
2001 to 2004	0	0	0	
1997 to 2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
1993 to 1996	0	0	0	
1981 to 1992	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
1980 or before	0	1	0	
	0.0%	16.4%	0.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work							
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	a		a,b		F		a	a,b
	2009 to 2012		a	a,b				a	a,b
	2005 to 2008	a		a,b				a	a,b
	2001 to 2004	a	a	a,b	a			a	a,b
	1997 to 2000	E F	a	a,b	a			a	a,b
	1993 to 1996		a	a,b				a	a,b
	1981 to 1992	a	a	a,b				a	a,b
	1980 or before		a	a,b		a		a	a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where ..	
		Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	a	a
	2009 to 2012	a	a
	2005 to 2008	a	a
	2001 to 2004	a	a
	1997 to 2000	E F	a
	1993 to 1996	a	a
	1981 to 1992	a	a
	1980 or before		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
J. Registration Date	Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
	2013 to 2017	126	0	11	1	8	2
	2009 to 2012	28.3%	0.0%	42.8%	27.3%	40.0%	51.6%
	2005 to 2008	51	0	4	0	4	0
	2001 to 2004	11.5%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	21.7%	0.0%
	1997 to 2000	62	1	2	0	4	1
	1993 to 1996	13.9%	100.0%	8.1%	0.0%	22.8%	14.7%
	1981 to 1992	50	0	3	2	1	0
	1980 or before	11.3%	0.0%	12.7%	40.8%	4.7%	0.0%
		36	0	3	0	1	0
		8.1%	0.0%	10.3%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
	36	0	1	0	1	0	
	8.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	
	46	0	2	1	1	0	
	10.4%	0.0%	7.3%	17.7%	4.0%	0.0%	
	38	0	0	1	0	2	
	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	14.2%	0.0%	33.7%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
J. Registration Date	Total	1	2	5	330	11
	2013 to 2017	0	0	4	76	6
	2009 to 2012	0.0%	0.0%	85.1%	22.9%	54.6%
	2005 to 2008	0	0	0	38	1
	2001 to 2004	1	1	0	46	1
	1997 to 2000	100.0%	47.9%	0.0%	13.8%	6.9%
	1993 to 1996	0	0	0	40	1
	1981 to 1992	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.0%	11.9%
	1980 or before	0	0	0	29	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%	0.0%
		0	1	1	30	1
	0.0%	52.1%	14.9%	9.1%	7.4%	
	0	0	0	38	0	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.4%	0.0%	
	0	0	0	35	1	
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.5%	9.1%	

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	16	5	20
2013 to 2017	9 56.3%	4 82.5%	5 25.4%
2009 to 2012	1 5.9%	0 0.0%	2 10.5%
2005 to 2008	1 3.1%	1 17.5%	3 17.5%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	1 5.9%	0 0.0%	2 11.8%
1997 to 2000	1 7.5%	0 0.0%	3 15.0%
1993 to 1996	1 8.8%	0 0.0%	1 3.6%
1981 to 1992	2 12.4%	0 0.0%	3 15.2%
1980 or before	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 1.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group				
	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2013 to 2017	a,b				
2009 to 2012	a,b		b		b
2005 to 2008	a,b		b		
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	a,b				b
1997 to 2000	a,b		b		b
1993 to 1996	a,b		b		b
1981 to 1992	a,b				b
1980 or before	a,b	b		b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group				
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
2013 to 2017	a,b	b			
2009 to 2012	a,b	b	b		
2005 to 2008	a,b		b		
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	a,b	b	b		
1997 to 2000	a,b	b	b		b
1993 to 1996	a,b				
1981 to 1992	a,b	b	b		b
1980 or before	a,b	b	b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(K)	(L)	(M)
2013 to 2017			
2009 to 2012		b	
2005 to 2008			
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004		b	
1997 to 2000		b	
1993 to 1996		b	
1981 to 1992		b	
1980 or before	b	b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
Total	446	203	243
2013 to 2017	126 28.3%	54 26.4%	73 29.9%
2009 to 2012	51 11.5%	19 9.2%	32 13.3%
2005 to 2008	62 13.9%	38 18.6%	24 9.9%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	50 11.3%	25 12.2%	26 10.6%
1997 to 2000	36 8.1%	17 8.2%	20 8.1%
1993 to 1996	36 8.0%	15 7.5%	21 8.5%
1981 to 1992	46 10.4%	21 10.3%	25 10.4%
1980 or before	38 8.6%	15 7.6%	23 9.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	B	
	2009 to 2012		
	2005 to 2008		
	2001 to 2004		
	1997 to 2000		
	1993 to 1996		
	1981 to 1992		
	1980 or before		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Language of Interview			
		Chinese	English	Other	
Total	446	20	422	3	
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	126 28.3%	9 46.4%	114 26.9%	3 100.0%
	2009 to 2012	51 11.5%	0 0.0%	51 12.1%	0 0.0%
	2005 to 2008	62 13.9%	3 16.9%	58 13.8%	0 0.0%
	2001 to 2004	50 11.3%	4 17.6%	47 11.1%	0 0.0%
	1997 to 2000	36 8.1%	4 19.2%	32 7.6%	0 0.0%
	1993 to 1996	36 8.0%	0 0.0%	36 8.5%	0 0.0%
	1981 to 1992	46 10.4%	0 0.0%	46 10.9%	0 0.0%
	1980 or before	38 8.6%	0 0.0%	38 9.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017			a
	2009 to 2012	a		a
	2005 to 2008			a
	2001 to 2004			a
	1997 to 2000			a
	1993 to 1996	a		a
	1981 to 1992	a		a
	1980 or before	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Interview Type				
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text	
Total	446	90	64	123	168	
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	126 28.3%	40 44.1%	8 12.0%	42 33.8%	37 22.0%
	2009 to 2012	51 11.5%	9 9.9%	5 8.5%	11 8.6%	26 15.5%
	2005 to 2008	62 13.9%	10 11.4%	4 5.6%	17 13.7%	31 18.4%
	2001 to 2004	50 11.3%	6 7.0%	10 15.1%	18 14.3%	17 10.0%
	1997 to 2000	36 8.1%	10 11.4%	5 7.9%	6 4.7%	15 8.9%
	1993 to 1996	36 8.0%	9 10.0%	5 7.9%	10 8.3%	12 6.8%
	1981 to 1992	46 10.4%	4 3.9%	13 19.9%	12 9.7%	18 10.7%
	1980 or before	38 8.6%	2 2.2%	15 23.2%	9 7.0%	13 7.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	B D		B	
	2009 to 2012				
	2005 to 2008				
	2001 to 2004				
	1997 to 2000				
	1993 to 1996				
	1981 to 1992		A		
	1980 or before		A C D		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total		446	52	70	51	69	204
2013 to 2017		126	49	40	9	8	20
		28.3%	95.6%	57.2%	18.1%	10.9%	9.7%
2009 to 2012		51	0	16	14	11	9
		11.5%	0.0%	23.3%	27.4%	16.6%	4.5%
2005 to 2008		62	0	4	14	17	26
		13.9%	0.0%	5.9%	27.2%	25.1%	13.0%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004		50	0	2	6	14	29
		11.3%	0.0%	3.0%	11.7%	19.7%	14.1%
1997 to 2000		36	0	1	3	9	22
		8.1%	0.0%	1.9%	6.1%	13.6%	11.0%
1993 to 1996		36	1	2	1	4	28
		8.0%	1.0%	2.8%	2.9%	5.1%	13.9%
1981 to 1992		46	0	1	2	5	39
		10.4%	0.0%	0.9%	3.8%	6.7%	19.1%
1980 or before		38	2	3	2	2	30
		8.6%	3.4%	4.9%	2.9%	2.3%	14.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
J. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	B C D E	C D E			
	2009 to 2012	. ^a	E	E	E	
	2005 to 2008	. ^a		B	B	
	2001 to 2004	. ^a			B	
	1997 to 2000	. ^a				
	1993 to 1996					
	1981 to 1992					B C
	1980 or before	. ^a				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
Total		444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2
2013 to 2017		126	35	22	6	8	24	24	1
		28.4%	29.0%	29.5%	10.2%	14.8%	44.4%	32.8%	58.4%
2009 to 2012		51	10	9	1	9	8	13	0
		11.5%	8.0%	12.3%	1.9%	16.8%	16.0%	18.4%	0.0%
2005 to 2008		62	21	7	3	3	9	18	1
		13.9%	17.1%	9.2%	5.5%	6.2%	16.0%	23.9%	41.6%
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004		50	18	10	9	1	5	7	0
		11.2%	14.7%	12.6%	15.8%	1.2%	10.1%	9.7%	0.0%
1997 to 2000		35	7	8	6	6	4	3	0
		7.9%	5.9%	11.0%	10.7%	11.9%	7.6%	4.4%	0.0%
1993 to 1996		36	11	6	7	7	2	3	0
		8.1%	9.5%	7.9%	11.8%	12.3%	2.9%	3.8%	0.0%
1981 to 1992		46	13	7	12	11	0	2	0
		10.4%	11.0%	9.7%	21.9%	20.8%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%
1980 or before		38	6	6	12	9	2	3	0
		8.6%	4.9%	7.9%	22.2%	16.1%	3.0%	4.5%	0.0%

	Party by	
	Male	Oth
Total	10	
2013 to 2017	7	
	64.3%	
2009 to 2012	0	
	0.0%	
2005 to 2008	1	
	10.6%	
J. Registration Date 2001 to 2004	0	
	4.2%	
1997 to 2000	0	
	0.0%	
1993 to 1996	1	
	9.6%	
1981 to 1992	1	
	5.9%	
1980 or before	1	
	5.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	J. Registration Date	Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
2013 to 2017					C D		a	C D	
2009 to 2012							a,b	b	
2005 to 2008							a		
2001 to 2004							a,b		
1997 to 2000							a,b	b	
1993 to 1996							a,b		
1981 to 1992			F	F	b		a,b		
1980 or before			A F				a,b		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
June 2006	Total	446	446
	No	276	276
		61.8%	61.8%
	Poll	101	101
		22.7%	22.7%
	Mail	69	69
		15.5%	15.5%
November 2006	Total	446	446
	No	189	189
		42.4%	42.4%
	Poll	157	157
		35.2%	35.2%
	Mail	100	100
		22.4%	22.4%
November 2007	Total	446	446
	No	300	300
		67.2%	67.2%
	Poll	35	35
		7.7%	7.7%
	Mail	112	112
		25.1%	25.1%
February 2008	Total	446	446
	No	172	172
		38.5%	38.5%
	Poll	83	83
		18.7%	18.7%
	Mail	191	191
		42.8%	42.8%
June 2008	Total	446	446
	No	293	293
		65.6%	65.6%
	Poll	20	20
		4.4%	4.4%
	Mail	133	133
		29.9%	29.9%
November 2008	Total	446	446
	No	114	114
		25.6%	25.6%
	Poll	57	57
		12.9%	12.9%
	Mail	274	274
		61.5%	61.5%
May 2009	Total	446	446
	No	255	255
		57.3%	57.3%
	Poll	28	28
		6.3%	6.3%
	Mail	162	162
		36.4%	36.4%

		Total	
		Total	Total
November 2009	Total	446	446
	No	317	317
	Poll	21	21
	Mail	107	107
		71.2%	71.2%
		4.8%	4.8%
		24.0%	24.0%
June 2010	Total	446	446
	No	192	192
	Poll	55	55
	Mail	199	199
		43.1%	43.1%
		12.3%	12.3%
		44.7%	44.7%
November 2010	Total	446	446
	No	149	149
	Poll	67	67
	Mail	231	231
		33.3%	33.3%
		15.0%	15.0%
		51.7%	51.7%
June 2012	Total	446	446
	No	231	231
	Poll	44	44
	Mail	171	171
		51.8%	51.8%
		10.0%	10.0%
		38.3%	38.3%
November 2012	Total	446	446
	No	101	101
	Poll	84	84
	Mail	261	261
		22.6%	22.6%
		18.9%	18.9%
		58.6%	58.6%
June 2014	Total	446	446
	No	250	250
	Poll	36	36
	Mail	161	161
		56.0%	56.0%
		8.0%	8.0%
		36.0%	36.0%
November 2014	Total	446	446
	No	149	149
	Poll	65	65
	Mail	232	232
		33.4%	33.4%
		14.6%	14.6%
		52.0%	52.0%

		Total	
		Total	Total
June 2016	Total	446	446
	No	160	160
	Poll	61	61
	Mail	226	226
		35.8%	35.8%
		13.6%	13.6%
		50.6%	50.6%

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
June 2006	Total	446	213	231	2
	No	276	140	134	1
	Poll	101	39	62	0
	Mail	69	34	34	1
		61.8%	65.8%	58.2%	41.3%
		22.7%	18.3%	27.0%	0.0%
		15.5%	15.9%	14.7%	58.7%
November 2006	Total	446	213	231	2
	No	189	101	88	0
	Poll	157	66	90	1
	Mail	100	46	53	1
		42.4%	47.5%	38.0%	0.0%
		35.2%	31.0%	39.1%	41.3%
		22.4%	21.5%	22.9%	58.7%
November 2007	Total	446	213	231	2
	No	300	150	149	1
	Poll	35	14	20	0
	Mail	112	49	62	1
		67.2%	70.4%	64.5%	41.3%
		7.7%	6.8%	8.7%	0.0%
		25.1%	22.9%	26.8%	58.7%
February 2008	Total	446	213	231	2
	No	172	97	75	0
	Poll	83	36	47	1
	Mail	191	81	109	1
		38.5%	45.4%	32.5%	0.0%
		18.7%	16.7%	20.4%	41.3%
		42.8%	37.9%	47.1%	58.7%
June 2008	Total	446	213	231	2
	No	293	145	148	0
	Poll	20	9	11	0
	Mail	133	60	71	2
		65.6%	67.8%	64.2%	0.0%
		4.4%	4.1%	4.8%	0.0%
		29.9%	28.1%	31.0%	100.0%
November 2008	Total	446	213	231	2
	No	114	64	50	0
	Poll	57	28	29	0
	Mail	275	121	154	2
		25.6%	30.0%	21.8%	0.0%

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
May 2009	12.9%	13.2%	12.6%	0.0%
Mail	274	121	151	2
	61.5%	56.8%	65.6%	100.0%
Total	446	213	231	2
No	255	135	120	0
	57.3%	63.5%	52.0%	0.0%
Poll	28	10	18	0
	6.3%	4.9%	7.7%	0.0%
Mail	162	67	93	2
	36.4%	31.6%	40.3%	100.0%
November 2009	446	213	231	2
No	317	153	162	2
	71.2%	71.7%	70.4%	100.0%
Poll	21	10	12	0
	4.8%	4.6%	5.0%	0.0%
Mail	107	51	57	0
	24.0%	23.7%	24.6%	0.0%
June 2010	446	213	231	2
No	192	96	95	1
	43.1%	45.1%	41.2%	41.3%
Poll	55	24	31	0
	12.3%	11.1%	13.5%	0.0%
Mail	199	93	105	1
	44.7%	43.8%	45.4%	58.7%
November 2010	446	213	231	2
No	149	68	81	0
	33.3%	31.7%	35.2%	0.0%
Poll	67	33	34	0
	15.0%	15.3%	14.8%	0.0%
Mail	231	113	115	2
	51.7%	53.1%	50.0%	100.0%
June 2012	446	213	231	2
No	231	116	115	0
	51.8%	54.4%	49.8%	0.0%
Poll	44	19	26	0
	10.0%	8.8%	11.2%	0.0%
Mail	171	79	90	2
	38.3%	36.9%	39.0%	100.0%
November 2012	446	213	231	2
No	101	52	49	0
	22.6%	24.3%	21.2%	0.0%
Poll	84	41	44	0
	18.9%	19.0%	18.9%	0.0%
Mail	261	121	138	2
	58.6%	56.7%	59.9%	100.0%
June 2014	446	213	231	2
No	250	119	129	1
	56.0%	55.9%	56.1%	58.7%
Poll	36	19	17	0
	8.0%	8.9%	7.2%	0.0%

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
November 2014	161	75	85	1
Mail	36.0%	35.2%	36.7%	41.3%
Total	446	213	231	2
No	149	79	70	0
	33.4%	37.0%	30.4%	0.0%
Poll	65	30	35	0
	14.6%	14.2%	15.1%	0.0%
Mail	232	104	126	2
	52.0%	48.8%	54.6%	100.0%
June 2016	446	213	231	2
No	160	85	73	1
	35.8%	40.0%	31.7%	58.7%
Poll	61	26	34	1
	13.6%	12.4%	14.6%	41.3%
Mail	226	102	124	0
	50.6%	47.6%	53.8%	0.0%

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
June 2006	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
No	276	58	30	69	82	37	1
	61.8%	97.1%	86.2%	85.5%	58.4%	29.5%	9.4%
Poll	101	2	4	7	30	55	4
	22.7%	2.9%	10.8%	8.2%	21.4%	44.5%	57.2%
Mail	69	0	1	5	28	32	2
	15.5%	0.0%	3.0%	6.3%	20.2%	26.0%	33.4%
November 2006	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
No	189	58	24	53	41	13	1
	42.4%	97.0%	69.2%	65.5%	29.3%	10.3%	11.6%
Poll	157	2	9	17	56	69	4
	35.2%	3.0%	25.7%	21.7%	40.0%	55.6%	53.6%
Mail	100	0	2	10	43	42	2
	22.4%	0.0%	5.1%	12.8%	30.7%	34.2%	34.9%
November 2007	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
No	300	59	30	74	84	51	1
	67.2%	100.0%	88.0%	92.1%	60.1%	40.8%	11.6%
Poll	35	0	4	0	8	21	1
	7.7%	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%	5.8%	17.2%	12.2%
Mail	112	0	0	6	48	52	5
	25.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	34.1%	42.1%	76.2%
February 2008	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
No	172	53	23	48	33	16	0
	38.5%	88.7%	65.2%	59.0%	23.8%	12.7%	0.0%
Poll	83	0	6	15	36	26	1
	18.7%	0.0%	18.0%	18.1%	25.6%	20.8%	12.2%
Mail	191	7	6	18	71	83	6
	42.8%	11.3%	16.8%	22.9%	50.5%	66.5%	87.8%
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
No	293	59	34	72	82	45	1

		Age						Not coded
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
June 2008	No	65.6%	100.0%	97.9%	88.8%	58.8%	36.1%	11.6%
	Poll	20	0	0	3	7	8	1
	Mail	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	5.2%	6.7%	12.2%
November 2008	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	No	114	51	13	29	13	9	0
	Poll	25.6%	85.4%	36.4%	35.6%	9.5%	7.2%	0.0%
May 2009	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	No	255	54	29	66	68	38	0
	Poll	57.3%	91.7%	84.7%	82.0%	48.5%	30.3%	0.0%
November 2009	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	No	317	56	33	68	91	66	4
	Poll	71.2%	94.9%	94.0%	84.4%	65.1%	52.7%	54.1%
June 2010	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	No	192	49	25	54	45	19	0
	Poll	43.1%	83.3%	71.7%	66.5%	32.4%	15.1%	0.0%
November 2010	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	No	149	49	19	41	27	11	1
	Poll	33.3%	82.7%	55.5%	50.8%	19.5%	9.1%	11.6%
June 2012	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	No	231	50	23	69	66	22	1
	Poll	51.8%	83.6%	66.9%	85.4%	47.3%	17.9%	9.4%
November 2012	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	No	101	45	13	18	17	8	0
	Poll	22.6%	76.0%	38.0%	22.3%	11.9%	6.3%	0.0%

		Age						Not coded
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
November 2012	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	No	250	56	25	61	79	29	0
	Poll	56.0%	94.1%	72.2%	75.9%	56.3%	23.4%	0.0%
June 2014	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	No	149	47	20	34	32	15	0
	Poll	33.4%	79.9%	58.5%	41.8%	23.2%	12.2%	0.0%
November 2014	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	No	160	41	19	44	40	15	2
	Poll	35.8%	68.9%	54.4%	54.5%	28.3%	11.8%	23.8%
June 2016	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	No	61	8	4	8	26	15	0
	Poll	13.6%	13.1%	12.0%	10.4%	18.3%	12.0%	0.0%
November 2016	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	No	226	11	12	28	75	95	5
	Poll	50.6%	18.0%	33.6%	35.1%	53.4%	76.1%	76.2%

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2006	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	276	207	61	8
	Poll	61.8%	58.0%	75.4%	91.4%
	Mail	101	83	18	0
November 2006	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	189	131	51	7
	Poll	42.4%	36.8%	62.9%	80.0%
	Mail	157	130	26	1
November 2007	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	300	231	60	8
	Poll	67.2%	64.9%	74.6%	94.4%
	Mail	35	27	8	0
February 2008	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	172	126	39	7
	Poll	38.5%	35.2%	48.6%	80.0%
	Mail	83	72	10	1
June 2008	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	293	225	60	7
	Poll	65.6%	63.1%	75.2%	80.0%
	Mail	20	16	4	0
November 2008	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	114	81	28	5
	Poll	25.6%	22.7%	35.1%	58.2%
	Mail	57	42	13	2
May 2009	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	255	192	56	7
	Poll	57.3%	53.7%	70.2%	82.9%
	Mail	28	26	2	0

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
November 2009	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	317	243	66	8
	Poll	71.2%	68.2%	82.1%	92.2%
	Mail	21	19	2	0
June 2010	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	192	140	44	8
	Poll	43.1%	39.1%	55.0%	92.2%
	Mail	55	49	6	0
November 2010	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	149	101	42	6
	Poll	33.3%	28.2%	51.9%	70.6%
	Mail	67	56	10	1
June 2012	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	231	172	52	7
	Poll	51.8%	48.1%	64.8%	80.0%
	Mail	44	37	8	0
November 2012	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	101	63	35	3
	Poll	22.6%	17.7%	43.2%	30.2%
	Mail	84	73	8	3
June 2014	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	250	185	58	7
	Poll	56.0%	51.7%	72.4%	80.0%
	Mail	36	32	4	0
November 2014	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	149	104	40	5
	Poll	33.4%	29.1%	49.9%	58.2%
	Mail	65	56	7	2

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2016	Total	446	357	80	9
	No	160	111	43	5
	Poll	61	52	6	2
	Mail	226	194	31	1
		35.8%	31.2%	53.5%	61.5%
		13.6%	14.6%	8.0%	27.8%
		50.6%	54.3%	38.5%	10.7%

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
June 2006	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	No	276	111	51	9	104
	Poll	101	53	36	2	11
	Mail	69	33	23	1	12
		61.8%	56.6%	46.5%	75.2%	81.8%
		22.7%	26.7%	32.6%	16.5%	8.6%
		15.5%	16.7%	20.9%	8.4%	9.6%
November 2006	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	No	189	81	22	8	78
	Poll	157	71	55	3	29
	Mail	100	45	34	1	21
		42.4%	41.4%	19.6%	70.1%	61.0%
		35.2%	35.9%	49.8%	21.5%	22.8%
		22.4%	22.7%	30.6%	8.4%	16.2%
November 2007	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	No	300	125	62	12	101
	Poll	35	15	14	0	6
	Mail	112	56	35	0	21
		67.2%	63.7%	56.1%	96.4%	79.4%
		7.7%	7.8%	12.4%	0.0%	4.4%
		25.1%	28.6%	31.5%	3.6%	16.1%
February 2008	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	No	172	61	24	9	78
	Poll	83	39	27	2	16
	Mail	191	97	59	1	33
		38.5%	30.9%	21.7%	74.5%	61.4%
		18.7%	19.6%	24.7%	16.5%	12.3%
		42.8%	49.5%	53.6%	9.1%	26.2%
June 2008	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	No	293	118	60	10	104
	Poll	20	14	4	1	1
	Mail	133	65	46	1	21
		65.6%	60.0%	54.9%	82.7%	82.1%
		4.4%	6.9%	3.6%	8.2%	1.0%
		29.9%	33.1%	41.5%	9.1%	16.9%
November 2008	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	No	114	46	14	6	48
		25.6%	23.6%	12.6%	51.5%	37.5%

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
November 2008	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Poll	57	28	13	2	15
	Mail	274	122	83	4	64
		12.9%	14.3%	11.4%	12.9%	11.9%
		61.5%	62.2%	75.9%	35.6%	50.6%
May 2009	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	No	255	111	46	9	89
	Poll	28	12	10	1	4
	Mail	162	73	54	2	33
		57.3%	56.6%	41.8%	72.6%	70.3%
		6.3%	6.2%	9.5%	8.2%	3.5%
		36.4%	37.2%	48.6%	19.2%	26.2%
November 2009	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	No	317	145	63	11	98
	Poll	21	10	8	1	2
	Mail	107	41	38	0	28
		71.2%	73.7%	57.7%	91.8%	76.9%
		4.8%	5.3%	7.6%	8.2%	1.3%
		24.0%	21.0%	34.7%	0.0%	21.9%
June 2010	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	No	192	77	26	9	81
	Poll	55	28	17	2	8
	Mail	199	91	67	1	39
		43.1%	39.1%	23.3%	72.6%	63.4%
		12.3%	14.4%	15.3%	16.5%	5.9%
		44.7%	46.5%	61.4%	11.0%	30.6%
November 2010	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	No	149	63	18	7	60
	Poll	67	35	20	1	10
	Mail	231	98	72	3	57
		33.3%	32.0%	16.2%	59.9%	47.6%
		15.0%	18.0%	18.3%	11.8%	7.7%
		51.7%	50.0%	65.5%	28.3%	44.8%
June 2012	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	No	231	99	45	10	77
	Poll	44	20	13	1	10
	Mail	171	78	52	1	40
		51.8%	50.2%	41.3%	82.2%	60.4%
		10.0%	10.2%	11.5%	11.8%	8.1%
		38.3%	39.6%	47.2%	6.0%	31.5%
November 2012	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	No	101	46	10	7	38
	Poll	84	39	23	2	21
	Mail	261	113	78	3	68
		22.6%	23.1%	8.8%	59.9%	30.1%
		18.9%	19.6%	20.6%	15.4%	16.5%
		58.6%	57.2%	70.6%	24.7%	53.4%
June 2014	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	No	250	103	46	10	91
	Poll	36	21	10	1	4
		56.0%	52.4%	41.4%	83.5%	71.7%

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Non	8.0%	10.9%	8.7%	8.2%	2.9%
Mail	161	72	55	1	32
	36.0%	36.8%	49.9%	8.2%	25.4%
November 2014	446	197	110	12	127
Total	149	62	20	7	60
No	33.4%	31.7%	18.1%	59.9%	46.8%
Poll	65	27	22	2	15
	14.6%	13.7%	19.7%	13.3%	11.6%
Mail	232	107	68	3	53
	52.0%	54.6%	62.2%	26.9%	41.6%
June 2016	446	197	110	12	127
Total	160	51	33	9	66
No	35.8%	25.9%	30.1%	77.5%	52.2%
Poll	61	26	19	1	14
	13.6%	13.4%	17.4%	8.2%	11.2%
Mail	226	119	58	2	47
	50.6%	60.7%	52.5%	14.3%	36.6%

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
June 2006	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Total	276	35	37	16	19	95	74
No	61.8%	46.3%	54.4%	50.7%	47.7%	67.4%	81.7%
Poll	101	26	17	9	11	29	8
	22.7%	35.1%	25.5%	29.5%	28.1%	20.9%	8.4%
Mail	69	14	14	6	10	16	9
	15.5%	18.6%	20.0%	19.8%	24.2%	11.7%	9.9%
November 2006	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Total	189	27	25	7	6	67	57
No	42.4%	36.3%	36.2%	22.8%	13.9%	47.9%	63.1%
Poll	157	31	25	17	19	44	21
	35.2%	41.5%	36.7%	53.1%	47.3%	31.2%	23.5%
Mail	100	17	19	8	15	29	12
	22.4%	22.1%	27.1%	24.2%	38.7%	21.0%	13.4%
November 2007	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Total	300	45	41	19	18	101	76
No	67.2%	59.2%	60.0%	60.1%	45.6%	71.9%	84.1%
Poll	35	9	4	4	5	10	3
	7.7%	11.9%	6.2%	12.8%	11.4%	7.1%	3.0%
Mail	112	22	23	9	17	30	12
	25.1%	28.9%	33.8%	27.1%	43.0%	21.0%	12.8%
February 2008	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Total	172	17	20	9	7	63	56
No	38.5%	23.0%	29.9%	26.8%	16.5%	45.1%	61.8%
Poll	83	17	15	9	9	19	13
	18.7%	23.2%	22.3%	28.3%	22.3%	13.8%	14.9%
Mail	191	41	33	14	24	58	21
	42.8%	53.8%	47.8%	44.8%	61.2%	41.2%	23.3%
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
June 2008	293	38	42	18	21	100	73
No	65.6%	50.3%	61.8%	56.8%	53.3%	71.1%	81.5%
Poll	20	8	4	2	0	3	2
	4.4%	11.2%	5.8%	6.3%	0.0%	2.2%	2.5%
Mail	133	29	22	12	19	37	14
	29.9%	38.5%	32.4%	36.9%	46.7%	26.6%	16.0%
November 2008	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Total	114	14	18	6	2	41	33
No	25.6%	18.5%	26.5%	18.9%	5.1%	29.5%	36.4%
Poll	57	15	7	4	2	15	13
	12.9%	20.5%	10.0%	12.5%	5.6%	11.0%	14.8%
Mail	274	46	43	22	36	84	44
	61.5%	61.0%	63.5%	68.5%	89.3%	59.5%	48.8%
May 2009	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Total	255	43	38	13	17	79	65
No	57.3%	57.1%	54.9%	40.6%	43.4%	56.4%	72.5%
Poll	28	6	4	3	1	10	4
	6.3%	7.6%	5.8%	9.9%	3.1%	6.9%	4.8%
Mail	162	27	27	16	21	51	20
	36.4%	35.3%	39.3%	49.5%	53.5%	36.6%	22.6%
November 2009	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Total	317	57	46	17	22	106	69
No	71.2%	75.8%	67.6%	52.4%	55.9%	75.5%	76.6%
Poll	21	4	5	3	2	4	3
	4.8%	5.9%	6.9%	9.9%	5.6%	3.0%	2.9%
Mail	107	14	17	12	15	30	18
	24.0%	18.2%	25.5%	37.7%	38.5%	21.5%	20.5%
June 2010	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Total	192	29	26	9	7	61	59
No	43.1%	38.3%	38.7%	28.2%	17.7%	43.8%	65.8%
Poll	55	12	10	4	5	18	5
	12.3%	15.5%	14.1%	12.9%	13.5%	13.1%	6.1%
Mail	199	35	32	19	27	61	25
	44.7%	46.2%	47.2%	58.9%	68.8%	43.1%	28.2%
November 2010	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Total	149	20	20	9	1	60	39
No	33.3%	26.0%	29.0%	28.9%	1.9%	42.6%	43.8%
Poll	67	19	10	4	8	16	10
	15.0%	25.8%	13.9%	13.9%	20.3%	11.1%	10.8%
Mail	231	36	39	18	31	65	41
	51.7%	48.2%	57.1%	57.2%	77.8%	46.4%	45.5%
June 2012	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Total	231	34	31	12	13	80	60
No	51.8%	45.8%	45.7%	38.2%	32.7%	57.1%	66.4%
Poll	44	8	6	4	5	12	9
	10.0%	11.1%	9.1%	12.9%	11.7%	8.6%	10.0%
Mail	171	33	31	16	22	48	21
	38.3%	43.1%	45.2%	48.9%	55.6%	34.3%	23.6%
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
No	101	13	16	2	1	43	26

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
November 2012	22.6%	17.4%	22.8%	6.0%	1.9%	30.5%	29.4%
 No	84	19	11	6	7	23	18
 Poll	18.9%	25.6%	15.5%	19.1%	17.3%	16.2%	20.4%
 Mail	261	43	42	24	32	75	45
	58.6%	57.0%	61.7%	75.0%	80.8%	53.2%	50.2%
June 2014	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
 No	250	36	34	11	15	92	62
 Poll	56.0%	47.9%	49.4%	33.5%	37.8%	65.4%	69.3%
 Mail	36	10	8	3	3	8	4
	8.0%	13.0%	11.8%	10.9%	7.7%	5.4%	4.0%
	161	30	26	18	22	41	24
	36.0%	39.2%	38.7%	55.6%	54.5%	29.2%	26.7%
November 2014	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
 No	149	21	21	5	5	54	43
 Poll	33.4%	27.2%	31.1%	15.3%	13.0%	38.3%	48.1%
 Mail	65	14	8	7	9	18	9
	14.6%	18.8%	11.6%	20.9%	22.4%	12.9%	10.2%
	232	41	39	20	26	68	38
	52.0%	53.9%	57.2%	63.7%	64.6%	48.8%	41.7%
June 2016	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
 No	160	19	18	10	11	51	50
 Poll	35.8%	25.8%	26.0%	31.7%	27.7%	36.6%	55.4%
 Mail	61	13	10	5	4	17	12
	13.6%	16.8%	14.1%	16.3%	11.3%	12.1%	13.2%
	226	43	41	17	24	72	28
	50.6%	57.4%	59.9%	51.9%	61.1%	51.4%	31.4%

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
June 2006	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
 No	276	107	43	41	27	19	11
 Poll	61.8%	84.3%	83.6%	66.9%	54.5%	53.5%	29.6%
 Mail	101	11	3	12	13	10	14
	22.7%	8.4%	5.6%	20.1%	26.5%	28.8%	37.8%
	69	9	6	8	10	6	12
	15.5%	7.3%	10.8%	12.9%	19.0%	17.8%	32.6%
November 2006	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
 No	189	99	33	28	10	6	4
 Poll	42.4%	78.6%	63.7%	45.9%	19.7%	15.9%	11.9%
 Mail	157	14	9	21	28	20	19
	35.2%	11.1%	17.6%	34.0%	56.0%	56.2%	53.5%
	100	13	10	12	12	10	12
	22.4%	10.3%	18.7%	20.1%	24.3%	28.0%	34.5%
November 2007	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
 No	300	113	40	48	27	22	15
 Poll	67.2%	89.8%	79.0%	77.0%	53.8%	61.1%	41.4%
 Mail	35	1	3	1	8	2	4
	7.7%	1.0%	5.5%	1.5%	15.2%	6.9%	10.7%
	112	12	8	13	16	12	17
	25.1%	9.2%	15.5%	21.5%	31.0%	32.0%	47.9%
February 2008	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
 No	172	93	36	22	5	5	4
 Poll	38.5%	73.7%	69.6%	35.1%	10.1%	14.5%	11.2%
 Mail	83	10	1	14	17	9	10
	18.7%	7.5%	2.1%	23.0%	33.3%	25.8%	28.1%
	191	24	14	26	29	22	22
	42.8%	18.8%	28.3%	41.9%	56.6%	59.8%	60.7%
June 2008	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
 No	293	113	45	43	28	23	11
 Poll	65.6%	89.1%	88.6%	70.3%	56.3%	62.1%	31.6%
 Mail	20	1	0	5	2	5	3
	4.4%	0.5%	0.0%	7.3%	4.0%	12.4%	7.0%
	133	13	6	14	20	9	22
	29.9%	10.4%	11.4%	22.5%	39.7%	25.4%	61.4%
November 2008	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
 No	114	76	27	1	0	2	1
 Poll	25.6%	60.3%	53.1%	2.2%	0.0%	4.6%	3.3%
 Mail	57	15	2	10	11	6	5
	12.9%	11.5%	4.2%	15.5%	22.8%	17.5%	14.9%
	274	36	22	51	39	28	29
	61.5%	28.2%	42.7%	82.3%	77.2%	77.9%	81.9%
May 2009	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
 No	255	111	45	29	26	12	10
 Poll	57.3%	87.7%	87.5%	46.8%	51.2%	33.5%	27.7%
 Mail	28	1	1	5	2	4	4
	6.3%	1.0%	2.1%	8.8%	4.4%	12.0%	10.1%
	162	14	5	27	22	20	22
	36.4%	11.2%	10.4%	44.4%	44.3%	54.4%	62.2%

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
June 2006	Total	46	38
	No	14 30.2%	14 35.6%
	Poll	22 47.8%	16 42.2%
	Mail	10 22.1%	8 22.2%
November 2006	Total	46	38
	No	2 4.5%	7 17.8%
	Poll	25 55.1%	20 52.0%
	Mail	19 40.4%	12 30.1%
November 2007	Total	46	38
	No	19 41.6%	15 39.4%
	Poll	8 17.4%	8 19.7%
	Mail	19 41.0%	16 40.9%
February 2008	Total	46	38
	No	1 2.8%	6 15.7%
	Poll	14 29.4%	9 23.1%
	Mail	31 67.8%	23 61.3%
June 2008	Total	46	38
	No	15 33.1%	14 36.8%
	Poll	2 4.4%	4 9.4%
	Mail	29 62.4%	21 53.8%
November 2008	Total	46	38
	No	2 3.5%	5 13.6%
	Poll	5 10.3%	3 8.3%
	Mail	40 86.2%	30 78.1%
May 2009	Total	46	38
	No	14 29.7%	9 24.8%
	Poll	6 12.9%	4 10.9%
	Mail	27 57.4%	25 64.3%

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
November 2009	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
	No	317 71.2%	113 89.8%	43 83.7%	44 71.4%	32 62.7%	21 59.1%	17 47.5%
	Poll	21 4.8%	2 1.8%	0 0.0%	2 3.0%	3 6.4%	4 11.4%	3 8.0%
	Mail	107 24.0%	11 8.4%	8 16.3%	16 25.6%	16 30.9%	11 29.5%	16 44.5%
June 2010	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
	No	192 43.1%	99 78.4%	31 60.8%	21 33.3%	19 37.2%	4 10.1%	7 18.6%
	Poll	55 12.3%	7 5.6%	6 11.4%	7 11.1%	8 14.9%	5 12.9%	7 19.4%
	Mail	199 44.7%	20 16.0%	14 27.8%	34 55.6%	24 47.8%	28 77.0%	22 62.0%
November 2010	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
	No	149 33.3%	93 73.4%	22 42.7%	10 15.5%	10 20.3%	2 6.2%	2 6.9%
	Poll	67 15.0%	11 8.5%	8 15.5%	9 15.1%	10 20.4%	6 17.3%	7 19.5%
	Mail	231 51.7%	23 18.0%	21 41.8%	43 69.3%	30 59.3%	28 76.5%	26 73.6%
June 2012	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
	No	231 51.8%	106 83.6%	31 61.2%	30 48.0%	21 41.3%	14 37.7%	11 31.0%
	Poll	44 10.0%	3 2.1%	5 10.0%	10 16.8%	5 10.4%	5 12.7%	3 9.8%
	Mail	171 38.3%	18 14.3%	15 28.8%	22 35.1%	24 48.3%	18 49.6%	21 59.2%
November 2012	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
	No	101 22.6%	84 66.4%	5 9.4%	3 4.6%	3 6.8%	2 4.7%	1 1.4%
	Poll	84 18.9%	16 12.7%	9 18.2%	11 17.8%	12 23.8%	8 22.8%	8 21.3%
	Mail	261 58.6%	26 20.9%	37 72.3%	48 77.6%	35 69.4%	26 72.4%	28 77.2%
June 2014	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
	No	250 56.0%	99 78.3%	37 72.8%	39 63.4%	24 48.1%	19 52.6%	9 24.3%
	Poll	36 8.0%	3 2.6%	6 11.6%	3 4.2%	5 9.9%	3 9.0%	6 17.3%
	Mail	161 36.0%	24 19.2%	8 15.7%	20 32.4%	21 42.0%	14 38.4%	21 58.3%
November 2014	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
	No	149 33.4%	94 74.5%	10 20.1%	12 19.0%	13 25.1%	5 13.3%	5 13.7%
	Poll	65 14.6%	5 4.3%	13 25.5%	9 13.8%	8 16.5%	6 17.9%	6 17.5%
	Mail	232 52.0%	27 21.2%	28 54.4%	42 67.3%	29 58.4%	25 68.8%	25 68.8%

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
November 2009	Total	46	38
	No	26 57.3%	21 54.0%
	Poll	3 7.0%	4 9.8%
	Mail	16 35.7%	14 36.3%
June 2010	Total	46	38
	No	6 12.0%	7 17.8%
	Poll	10 20.8%	6 16.0%
	Mail	31 67.2%	25 66.2%
November 2010	Total	46	38
	No	3 5.8%	7 17.8%
	Poll	10 20.8%	6 14.6%
	Mail	34 73.4%	26 67.6%
June 2012	Total	46	38
	No	8 17.9%	11 27.6%
	Poll	8 18.1%	5 11.9%
	Mail	30 64.0%	23 60.5%
November 2012	Total	46	38
	No	1 1.8%	3 7.1%
	Poll	13 27.3%	7 18.7%
	Mail	33 70.9%	28 74.2%
June 2014	Total	46	38
	No	16 33.9%	7 18.3%
	Poll	5 10.5%	5 12.0%
	Mail	26 55.5%	27 69.7%
November 2014	Total	46	38
	No	3 6.9%	7 19.4%
	Poll	12 26.9%	5 12.0%
	Mail	31 66.3%	26 68.5%

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
June 2016	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
	No	160 35.8%	73 57.9%	22 43.4%	20 32.7%	14 28.7%	10 27.6%	5 14.1%
	Poll	61 13.6%	14 10.9%	4 8.7%	7 11.3%	11 21.3%	7 19.9%	7 19.5%
	Mail	226 50.6%	39 31.3%	24 47.9%	35 56.1%	25 50.0%	19 52.5%	24 66.4%

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
June 2016	Total	46	38
	No	7 14.5%	8 21.1%
	Poll	7 15.3%	4 9.8%
	Mail	32 70.2%	26 69.2%

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
June 2006	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	No	276 61.8%	35 44.1%	11 48.1%	126 66.5%	26 69.7%	5 41.6%	7 48.5%	52 79.8%	13 53.1%
	Poll	101 22.7%	27 33.1%	5 22.3%	37 19.3%	8 21.4%	3 23.4%	6 38.5%	10 15.2%	7 28.3%
	Mail	69 15.5%	18 22.8%	7 29.6%	27 14.2%	3 8.9%	4 34.9%	2 12.9%	3 5.0%	4 18.6%
November 2006	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	No	189 42.4%	23 28.9%	7 32.3%	90 47.6%	13 35.1%	1 7.9%	5 32.9%	43 65.9%	6 25.5%
	Poll	157 35.2%	37 46.0%	9 38.2%	58 30.5%	14 37.5%	6 50.5%	8 50.0%	16 24.6%	10 42.1%
	Mail	100 22.4%	20 25.1%	7 29.6%	41 21.8%	10 27.4%	5 41.6%	3 17.2%	6 9.5%	8 32.4%
November 2007	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	No	300 67.2%	45 55.8%	10 44.0%	136 71.5%	28 75.8%	4 32.1%	10 63.5%	54 82.7%	14 56.8%
	Poll	35 7.7%	8 10.6%	1 5.4%	15 8.0%	1 3.8%	2 12.3%	1 9.7%	2 2.7%	4 15.2%
	Mail	112 25.1%	27 33.6%	11 50.6%	39 20.5%	7 20.4%	7 55.6%	4 26.7%	10 14.6%	7 28.0%
February 2008	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	No	172 38.5%	23 28.2%	4 18.3%	82 43.3%	13 36.5%	2 12.3%	3 21.2%	40 61.1%	5 20.1%
	Poll	83 18.7%	16 20.1%	2 10.4%	37 19.3%	3 7.6%	2 12.8%	5 33.5%	11 16.6%	8 33.5%
	Mail	191 42.8%	41 51.7%	16 71.3%	71 37.4%	21 55.9%	7 74.8%	7 45.3%	15 22.3%	11 46.4%
Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24	

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
June 2008	No	293 65.6%	47 58.2%	9 42.3%	135 71.0%	26 72.2%	5 36.6%	7 47.9%	51 78.2%	12 51.5%
	Poll	20 4.4%	3 3.2%	0 0.0%	7 3.9%	0 0.0%	2 12.8%	3 20.1%	4 6.5%	1 3.9%
	Mail	133 29.9%	31 38.6%	13 57.7%	48 25.1%	10 27.8%	6 50.6%	5 32.0%	10 15.3%	11 44.6%
November 2008	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	No	114 25.6%	14 17.2%	3 12.9%	59 31.3%	5 13.1%	1 6.6%	2 15.9%	28 41.9%	3 11.2%
	Poll	57 12.9%	7 9.0%	1 3.4%	25 13.4%	3 8.7%	3 21.1%	3 20.4%	12 17.6%	4 14.9%
May 2009	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	No	255 57.3%	42 52.6%	11 49.5%	108 57.1%	24 65.1%	3 20.8%	9 56.2%	53 80.6%	6 25.1%
	Poll	28 6.3%	5 6.1%	0 0.0%	15 8.0%	1 3.0%	2 12.8%	1 6.1%	2 3.5%	2 9.4%
November 2009	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	No	317 71.2%	55 68.9%	16 73.5%	134 70.5%	28 75.2%	5 36.5%	11 74.1%	54 82.0%	15 61.8%
	Poll	21 4.8%	3 3.5%	1 3.2%	10 5.4%	1 3.0%	2 12.8%	1 6.1%	2 2.9%	2 8.9%
June 2010	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	No	192 43.1%	25 31.4%	8 34.5%	93 48.8%	20 55.6%	2 14.2%	5 32.9%	33 50.5%	6 26.6%
	Poll	55 12.3%	13 16.6%	2 8.6%	18 9.7%	2 5.5%	2 12.8%	2 16.4%	10 15.5%	5 19.9%
November 2010	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	No	149 33.3%	23 28.5%	5 21.3%	68 35.7%	12 32.1%	0 0.0%	4 24.7%	32 49.5%	5 22.0%
	Poll	67 15.0%	14 17.4%	1 3.2%	28 14.9%	5 13.9%	2 12.8%	3 20.4%	9 13.6%	5 21.2%
June 2012	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	No	231 51.8%	32 39.9%	9 39.6%	101 53.1%	22 59.7%	2 19.7%	9 59.1%	47 70.9%	9 39.7%
	Poll	44 10.0%	11 13.5%	0 0.0%	23 12.0%	0 0.0%	2 12.8%	1 6.1%	6 9.3%	2 8.9%

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
November 2012	No	226 50.6%	15.7%	9.0%	22.3%	18.3%	0.0%	15.9%	48.0%	13.3%
	Poll	84 18.9%	13 16.1%	5 21.3%	38 20.0%	5 13.8%	2 12.8%	3 20.4%	13 20.3%	6 23.2%
	Mail	261 58.6%	55 68.2%	16 69.7%	110 57.8%	25 67.9%	11 87.2%	10 63.8%	21 31.8%	15 63.5%
June 2014	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	No	250 56.0%	31 38.2%	11 47.1%	113 59.3%	17 47.3%	5 41.2%	9 61.6%	52 79.6%	12 50.8%
	Poll	36 8.0%	8 9.9%	1 3.1%	16 8.3%	2 5.5%	3 21.1%	1 6.1%	5 7.4%	1 3.9%
November 2014	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	No	149 33.4%	18 22.6%	3 15.3%	70 36.7%	9 25.4%	2 12.6%	4 29.4%	35 54.0%	7 29.9%
	Poll	65 14.6%	13 15.7%	0 0.0%	32 16.6%	2 5.5%	2 12.8%	2 12.2%	13 20.3%	2 8.9%
June 2016	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	No	160 35.8%	18 22.5%	5 22.3%	67 35.2%	12 33.0%	1 8.3%	6 37.6%	39 59.7%	12 49.3%
	Poll	61 13.6%	9 10.9%	1 3.7%	32 16.7%	5 12.5%	2 12.8%	2 12.2%	11 16.1%	1 3.9%

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2006	Total	446	349	97
	No	276	208	67
		61.8%	59.8%	68.9%
	Poll	101	73	28
		22.7%	21.1%	28.7%
November 2006	Total	446	349	97
	No	189	142	47
		42.4%	40.8%	47.9%
	Poll	157	110	47
		35.2%	31.5%	48.7%
November 2007	Total	446	349	97
	No	300	222	78
		67.2%	63.7%	79.7%
	Poll	35	18	17
		7.7%	5.0%	17.4%
February 2008	Total	446	349	97
	No	172	130	42
		38.5%	37.3%	43.1%
	Poll	83	30	53
		18.7%	8.7%	54.6%
June 2008	Total	446	349	97
	No	293	220	73
		65.6%	63.2%	74.5%
	Poll	20	3	17
		4.4%	0.8%	17.5%
November 2008	Total	446	349	97
	No	114	85	29
		25.6%	24.4%	30.1%
	Poll	57	10	47
		12.9%	2.8%	48.7%
May 2009	Total	446	349	97
	No	255	186	70
		57.3%	53.2%	71.7%
	Poll	28	2	26
		6.3%	0.5%	27.0%

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
November 2009	Total	446	349	97
	No	317	243	74
		71.2%	69.8%	75.9%
	Poll	21	1	21
		4.8%	0.2%	21.2%
June 2010	Total	446	349	97
	No	192	138	54
		43.1%	39.7%	55.0%
	Poll	55	15	40
		12.3%	4.2%	41.2%
November 2010	Total	446	349	97
	No	149	112	37
		33.3%	32.0%	38.1%
	Poll	67	10	56
		15.0%	2.9%	58.0%
June 2012	Total	446	349	97
	No	231	171	59
		51.8%	49.2%	61.1%
	Poll	44	8	36
		10.0%	2.4%	37.1%
November 2012	Total	446	349	97
	No	101	78	23
		22.6%	22.4%	23.4%
	Poll	84	16	68
		18.9%	4.6%	69.7%
June 2014	Total	446	349	97
	No	250	184	66
		56.0%	52.8%	67.6%
	Poll	36	4	32
		8.0%	1.2%	32.4%
November 2014	Total	446	349	97
	No	149	112	37
		33.4%	32.1%	38.3%
	Poll	65	7	58
		14.6%	2.0%	59.7%

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2016	Total	446	349	97
	No	160	115	44
		35.8%	33.1%	45.4%
	Poll	61	13	48
		13.6%	3.6%	49.5%
	Mail	226	221	5
		50.6%	63.3%	5.0%

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2006	Total	446	282	164
	No	276	128	147
		61.8%	45.5%	90.0%
	Poll	101	87	14
		22.7%	30.9%	8.6%
	Mail	69	67	2
		15.5%	23.6%	1.4%
November 2006	Total	446	282	164
	No	189	69	120
		42.4%	24.5%	73.2%
	Poll	157	119	38
		35.2%	42.2%	23.2%
	Mail	100	94	6
		22.4%	33.3%	3.6%
November 2007	Total	446	282	164
	No	300	151	149
		67.2%	53.4%	90.9%
	Poll	35	29	6
		7.7%	10.1%	3.7%
	Mail	112	103	9
		25.1%	36.5%	5.4%
February 2008	Total	446	282	164
	No	172	55	117
		38.5%	19.4%	71.6%
	Poll	83	50	33
		18.7%	17.7%	20.5%
	Mail	191	178	13
		42.8%	62.9%	8.0%
June 2008	Total	446	282	164
	No	293	145	148
		65.6%	51.3%	90.4%
	Poll	20	15	5
		4.4%	5.4%	2.8%
	Mail	133	122	11
		29.9%	43.3%	6.8%
November 2008	Total	446	282	164
	No	114	27	88
		25.6%	9.4%	53.6%
	Poll	57	28	30

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2009	Total	446	282	164
	No	276	128	147
		61.8%	45.5%	90.0%
May 2009	Total	446	282	164
	No	255	118	137
		57.3%	41.8%	84.0%
November 2009	Total	446	282	164
	No	317	167	150
		71.2%	59.3%	91.7%
June 2010	Total	446	282	164
	No	192	71	121
		43.1%	25.1%	74.0%
November 2010	Total	446	282	164
	No	149	45	104
		33.3%	15.8%	63.5%
June 2012	Total	446	282	164
	No	231	94	137
		51.8%	33.2%	83.7%
November 2012	Total	446	282	164
	No	101	20	81
		22.6%	7.0%	49.5%
June 2014	Total	446	282	164
	No	250	92	158
		56.0%	32.4%	96.7%

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Mail		161	161	0
		36.0%	56.8%	0.0%
November 2014	Total	446	282	164
	No	149	29	120
		33.4%	10.4%	73.3%
	Poll	65	27	38
		14.6%	9.7%	23.1%
June 2016	Mail	232	226	6
		52.0%	80.0%	3.7%
	Total	446	282	164
	No	160	34	126
		35.8%	11.9%	77.0%
June 2016	Poll	61	29	31
		13.6%	10.4%	19.2%
	Mail	226	219	6
		50.6%	77.7%	3.7%

		Years Lived in Los Altos						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
June 2006	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	167
	No	276	3	26	62	46	56	79
		61.8%	100.0%	90.3%	83.8%	79.5%	50.1%	47.4%
	Poll	101	0	3	8	8	28	55
		22.7%	0.0%	9.7%	10.1%	14.0%	25.5%	32.6%
November 2006	Mail	69	0	0	5	4	27	33
		15.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	6.5%	24.4%	19.9%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	167
	No	189	3	26	51	29	34	43
		42.4%	100.0%	93.2%	69.0%	49.8%	30.7%	25.5%
November 2007	Poll	157	0	2	18	20	43	74
		35.2%	0.0%	6.8%	23.6%	34.3%	38.8%	44.1%
	Mail	100	0	0	5	9	34	51
			22.4%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	16.0%	30.5%
November 2007	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	167
	No	300	3	28	65	46	68	85
		67.2%	100.0%	100.0%	88.0%	79.7%	61.5%	50.7%
	Poll	35	0	0	3	1	4	27
		7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	1.6%	3.8%	15.8%
February 2008	Mail	112	0	0	6	11	39	56
		25.1%	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%	18.6%	34.7%	33.5%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	167
	No	172	2	23	41	29	34	39
		38.5%	70.0%	82.5%	55.7%	49.8%	30.8%	23.5%
February 2008	Poll	83	1	1	15	15	17	35
		18.7%	30.0%	2.6%	20.0%	25.2%	14.9%	20.8%
	Mail	191	0	4	18	14	60	93
			42.8%	0.0%	14.8%	24.3%	25.0%	54.3%
Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	167	

		Years Lived in ...	
		Not sure/ DK /NA	
June 2006	Total	4	
	No	3	91.3%
	Poll	0	0.0%
	Mail	0	0.0%
		8.7%	
November 2006	Total	4	
	No	2	68.2%
	Poll	1	23.1%
	Mail	0	0.0%
		8.7%	
November 2007	Total	4	
	No	3	91.3%
	Poll	0	0.0%
	Mail	0	0.0%
		8.7%	
February 2008	Total	4	
	No	2	68.2%
	Poll	1	23.1%
	Mail	0	0.0%
		8.7%	
Total	4		

		Years Lived in Los Altos						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
June 2008	No	293 65.6%	3 100.0%	28 98.4%	63 84.2%	43 74.1%	70 62.5%	84 50.3%
	Poll	20 4.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 6.9%	1 2.2%	4 3.4%	10 5.8%
	Mail	133 29.9%	0 0.0%	0 1.6%	7 8.9%	14 23.7%	38 34.1%	74 43.9%
Total		446	3	28	74	58	111	167
November 2008	No	114 25.6%	2 70.0%	20 71.9%	30 40.2%	14 23.6%	20 18.0%	27 16.3%
	Poll	57 12.9%	0 0.0%	2 6.4%	18 23.7%	13 22.5%	10 8.9%	15 9.0%
	Mail	274 61.5%	1 30.0%	6 21.8%	27 36.1%	31 54.0%	81 73.1%	125 74.7%
Total		446	3	28	74	58	111	167
May 2009	No	255 57.3%	3 100.0%	25 86.9%	60 81.1%	36 62.3%	55 49.7%	74 43.9%
	Poll	28 6.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 6.3%	5 8.0%	5 4.3%	14 8.4%
	Mail	162 36.4%	0 0.0%	4 13.1%	9 12.7%	17 29.8%	51 45.9%	80 47.7%
Total		446	3	28	74	58	111	167
November 2009	No	317 71.2%	3 100.0%	26 91.3%	62 84.1%	46 79.1%	69 62.2%	108 64.2%
	Poll	21 4.8%	0 0.0%	1 2.6%	6 8.5%	2 3.9%	2 1.7%	10 6.1%
	Mail	107 24.0%	0 0.0%	2 6.1%	5 7.4%	10 17.1%	40 36.1%	50 29.7%
Total		446	3	28	74	58	111	167
June 2010	No	192 43.1%	2 70.0%	25 88.0%	49 65.5%	30 51.3%	31 28.0%	52 31.1%
	Poll	55 12.3%	0 0.0%	1 2.6%	11 14.4%	10 16.9%	12 11.0%	21 12.7%
	Mail	199 44.7%	1 30.0%	3 9.4%	15 20.2%	18 31.8%	68 61.0%	94 56.2%
Total		446	3	28	74	58	111	167
November 2010	No	149 33.3%	2 70.0%	20 71.2%	40 53.9%	23 39.7%	23 21.0%	40 23.8%
	Poll	67 15.0%	0 0.0%	4 12.6%	15 20.8%	8 13.5%	11 10.1%	26 15.7%
	Mail	231 51.7%	1 30.0%	5 16.2%	19 25.3%	27 46.8%	77 68.9%	101 60.5%
Total		446	3	28	74	58	111	167
June 2012	No	231 51.8%	3 100.0%	25 87.4%	53 70.8%	42 72.6%	48 42.7%	58 34.8%
	Poll	44 10.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.6%	10 13.5%	2 3.8%	9 8.4%	22 13.3%
	Mail	171 38.3%	0 0.0%	3 9.9%	12 15.7%	14 23.5%	54 48.9%	87 52.0%
Total		446	3	28	74	58	111	167

		Years Lived in ...
		Not sure/ DK /NA
June 2008	No	2 68.2%
	Poll	0 0.0%
	Mail	1 31.8%
Total		4
November 2008	No	1 23.5%
	Poll	0 0.0%
	Mail	3 76.5%
Total		4
May 2009	No	2 68.2%
	Poll	0 0.0%
	Mail	1 31.8%
Total		4
November 2009	No	3 91.3%
	Poll	0 0.0%
	Mail	0 8.7%
Total		4
June 2010	No	3 91.3%
	Poll	0 0.0%
	Mail	0 8.7%
Total		4
November 2010	No	0 0.0%
	Poll	2 68.2%
	Mail	1 31.8%
Total		4
June 2012	No	2 68.2%
	Poll	0 0.0%
	Mail	1 31.8%
Total		4

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

		Years Lived in Los Altos						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
November 2012	No	101 22.6%	2 70.0%	16 57.3%	22 29.1%	16 27.5%	18 16.4%	26 15.8%
	Poll	84 18.9%	0 0.0%	5 18.1%	21 28.4%	12 21.3%	15 13.5%	28 16.8%
	Mail	261 58.6%	1 30.0%	7 24.6%	32 42.5%	30 51.2%	78 70.1%	113 67.5%
June 2014	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	167
	No	250 56.0%	3 100.0%	21 76.0%	50 67.2%	45 78.3%	58 52.5%	69 41.1%
	Poll	36 8.0%	0 0.0%	2 8.1%	9 12.1%	2 3.8%	7 6.2%	15 9.1%
November 2014	Mail	161 36.0%	0 0.0%	5 16.0%	15 20.7%	10 18.0%	46 41.2%	83 49.7%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	167
	No	149 33.4%	2 70.0%	24 84.3%	28 38.4%	25 44.0%	23 20.7%	43 26.0%
November 2014	Poll	65 14.6%	0 0.0%	2 8.1%	13 17.3%	8 13.0%	17 15.1%	26 15.2%
	Mail	232 52.0%	1 30.0%	2 7.7%	33 44.3%	25 43.0%	71 64.2%	98 58.8%
	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	167
June 2016	No	160 35.8%	2 70.0%	21 74.7%	28 37.5%	29 49.7%	38 34.3%	39 23.3%
	Poll	61 13.6%	1 30.0%	2 5.4%	15 20.3%	6 10.3%	15 13.7%	21 12.6%
	Mail	226 50.6%	0 0.0%	6 19.9%	31 42.2%	23 40.0%	58 52.0%	107 64.1%

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Crosstabs 12-18-17

		Years Lived in ...
		Not sure/ DK /NA
November 2012	No	0 0.0%
	Poll	2 68.2%
	Mail	1 31.8%
June 2014	Total	4
	No	2 68.2%
	Poll	0 0.0%
November 2014	Mail	1 31.8%
	Total	4
	No	2 68.2%
November 2014	Poll	0 0.0%
	Mail	1 31.8%
	Total	4
June 2016	No	2 68.2%
	Poll	1 23.1%
	Mail	0 8.7%

		Employment Status						
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	
June 2006	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	276	153	10	28	19	40	8
	Poll	61.8%	75.6%	46.4%	68.4%	100.0%	31.7%	56.3%
	Mail	101	26	8	9	0	55	1
November 2006	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	189	114	4	22	17	18	6
	Poll	42.4%	56.2%	16.6%	52.4%	87.4%	14.6%	43.7%
	Mail	157	56	12	13	1	67	3
November 2007	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	300	164	12	28	18	51	10
	Poll	67.2%	80.6%	51.8%	69.1%	91.8%	40.5%	73.2%
	Mail	35	9	3	3	0	19	1
February 2008	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	172	99	1	19	17	20	6
	Poll	38.5%	48.7%	6.6%	45.5%	87.4%	15.8%	46.0%
	Mail	83	36	10	6	0	26	3
June 2008	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	293	160	15	26	18	48	10
	Poll	65.6%	79.1%	65.2%	64.5%	91.8%	38.1%	71.0%
	Mail	20	11	1	1	0	7	0
November 2008	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	114	74	1	9	15	12	0
	Poll	25.6%	36.3%	6.6%	21.0%	77.4%	9.3%	0.0%
	Mail	57	34	2	2	2	11	2
May 2009	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	255	142	10	26	17	40	8
	Poll	57.3%	70.1%	43.7%	64.3%	87.4%	31.9%	57.3%
	Mail	28	9	1	1	0	13	1

		Employment Status	
		Not currently employed	DK / NA
June 2006	Total	16	4
	No	13	4
	Poll	81.0%	82.9%
	Mail	2	0
November 2006	Total	16	4
	No	7	1
	Poll	43.1%	31.7%
	Mail	5	1
November 2007	Total	16	4
	No	14	4
	Poll	84.6%	82.9%
	Mail	0	0
February 2008	Total	16	4
	No	7	3
	Poll	43.1%	63.4%
	Mail	1	1
June 2008	Total	16	4
	No	13	3
	Poll	80.2%	63.4%
	Mail	0	0
November 2008	Total	16	4
	No	1	3
	Poll	7.4%	63.4%
	Mail	4	0
May 2009	Total	16	4
	No	11	1
	Poll	66.0%	31.7%
	Mail	3	0

		Employment Status						
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
November 2009	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	317	156	18	32	18	70	10
	Poll	71.2%	76.8%	82.7%	77.0%	91.2%	55.6%	71.5%
	Mail	21	12	1	0	0	8	1
June 2010	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	192	103	9	20	18	27	6
	Poll	43.1%	50.5%	38.2%	47.6%	91.8%	21.3%	46.0%
	Mail	55	25	3	5	0	17	3
November 2010	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	149	82	7	16	17	20	2
	Poll	33.3%	40.5%	29.9%	38.0%	87.4%	15.7%	17.1%
	Mail	67	30	6	5	0	18	3
June 2012	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	231	128	10	26	17	32	10
	Poll	51.8%	63.2%	43.2%	63.7%	87.4%	25.2%	69.8%
	Mail	44	21	2	2	0	14	2
November 2012	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	101	56	3	11	15	16	0
	Poll	22.6%	27.7%	12.5%	26.3%	77.4%	12.7%	0.0%
	Mail	84	40	6	4	2	22	5
June 2014	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	250	131	11	27	19	40	10
	Poll	56.0%	64.6%	50.2%	64.7%	96.2%	31.7%	74.3%
	Mail	36	19	2	1	0	13	1
November 2014	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	149	82	7	16	16	22	4
	Poll	33.4%	40.3%	33.4%	39.4%	81.7%	17.2%	29.4%
	Mail	65	30	4	4	2	17	3

		Employment Status	
		Not currently employed	DK / NA
November 2009	Total	16	4
	No	12	2
	Poll	71.7%	51.2%
	Mail	0	0
June 2010	Total	16	4
	No	8	2
	Poll	51.3%	51.2%
	Mail	2	0
November 2010	Total	16	4
	No	4	1
	Poll	23.8%	31.7%
	Mail	5	0
June 2012	Total	16	4
	No	6	3
	Poll	36.2%	63.4%
	Mail	4	0
November 2012	Total	16	4
	No	0	0
	Poll	0.0%	0.0%
	Mail	5	0
June 2014	Total	16	4
	No	10	3
	Poll	58.0%	63.4%
	Mail	0	0
November 2014	Total	16	4
	No	2	0
	Poll	13.9%	0.0%
	Mail	5	0

		Employment Status						Dont work out of the home
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	
June 2016	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	No	160	88	6	22	16	17	4
	Poll	61	29	3	3	2	16	3
	Mail	226	86	13	15	2	93	7
		50.6%	42.4%	57.5%	37.5%	8.2%	73.6%	51.0%

		Employment Status	
		Not currently employed	DK / NA
June 2016	Total	16	4
	No	4	3
	Poll	3	1
	Mail	9	1
		23.8%	63.4%
		21.0%	19.6%
		55.2%	17.1%

Warnings

CATEGORIES: Text *. An invalid subcommand, keyword, or option was specified.

Execution of this command stops.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
June 2006	Total	446	1	26	5	19	4	1
	No	276	1	19	2	18	3	1
	Poll	101	0	4	2	1	1	0
	Mail	69	0	3	1	0	0	0
		61.8%	100.0%	70.8%	41.5%	95.1%	67.3%	100.0%
		22.7%	0.0%	17.1%	40.8%	4.9%	32.7%	0.0%
		15.5%	0.0%	12.1%	17.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
November 2006	Total	446	1	26	5	19	4	1
	No	189	0	17	1	15	2	1
	Poll	157	0	6	3	3	1	0
	Mail	100	1	3	1	1	1	0
		42.4%	0.0%	63.4%	27.3%	78.2%	51.6%	100.0%
		35.2%	0.0%	24.7%	55.0%	17.8%	18.0%	0.0%
		22.4%	100.0%	11.9%	17.7%	4.0%	30.4%	0.0%
November 2007	Total	446	1	26	5	19	4	1
	No	300	1	20	1	18	4	1
	Poll	35	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mail	112	0	6	4	2	1	0
		67.2%	100.0%	77.7%	27.3%	90.5%	85.3%	100.0%
		7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		25.1%	0.0%	22.3%	72.7%	9.5%	14.7%	0.0%
February 2008	Total	446	1	26	5	19	4	1
	No	172	0	13	1	14	2	1
	Poll	83	1	3	0	1	1	0
	Mail	191	0	10	4	5	1	0
		38.5%	0.0%	49.7%	27.3%	71.9%	51.6%	100.0%
		18.7%	100.0%	11.5%	0.0%	4.7%	32.7%	0.0%
		42.8%	0.0%	38.8%	72.7%	23.5%	15.7%	0.0%
June 2008	Total	446	1	26	5	19	4	1
	No	293	0	23	2	18	3	1
	Poll	20	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Mail	133	0	3	3	2	1	0
		65.6%	0.0%	88.3%	45.0%	91.7%	67.3%	100.0%
		4.4%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		29.9%	0.0%	11.7%	55.0%	8.3%	32.7%	0.0%
November 2008	Total	446	1	26	5	19	4	1
	No	114	0	11	0	10	2	0
	Poll	57	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Mail	274	0	14	5	9	2	1
		25.6%	0.0%	40.7%	0.0%	53.7%	51.6%	0.0%
		12.9%	100.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		61.5%	0.0%	54.6%	100.0%	46.3%	48.4%	100.0%
Total		446	1	26	5	19	4	1

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
June 2006	Total	2	5	330	11	16	5
	No	1	3	194	8	11	4
	Poll	47.9%	70.2%	58.8%	73.8%	64.4%	79.6%
	Mail	0	0	52	1	3	1
		0.0%	0.0%	15.9%	7.4%	19.4%	20.4%
November 2006	Total	2	5	330	11	16	5
	No	1	4	123	8	8	3
	Poll	47.9%	85.1%	37.2%	73.8%	51.6%	64.4%
	Mail	0	0	79	1	2	1
		0.0%	0.0%	24.0%	7.4%	11.9%	20.4%
November 2007	Total	2	5	330	11	16	5
	No	2	4	209	10	11	4
	Poll	100.0%	85.1%	63.4%	92.6%	67.5%	79.6%
	Mail	0	0	32	0	2	0
		0.0%	0.0%	9.8%	0.0%	13.2%	0.0%
February 2008	Total	2	5	330	11	16	5
	No	0	3	110	8	9	2
	Poll	0.0%	70.2%	33.4%	73.8%	55.2%	47.0%
	Mail	1	0	66	2	3	1
		52.1%	0.0%	20.1%	18.9%	16.5%	17.5%
June 2008	Total	2	5	330	11	16	5
	No	2	4	203	10	11	4
	Poll	100.0%	85.1%	61.5%	92.6%	64.4%	79.6%
	Mail	0	0	15	0	2	0
		0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%	12.1%	0.0%
November 2008	Total	2	5	330	11	16	5
	No	0	2	67	7	7	2
	Poll	0.0%	44.5%	20.2%	63.7%	39.7%	47.0%
	Mail	1	1	47	2	1	1
		52.1%	25.7%	14.1%	17.0%	7.5%	17.5%
Total	2	5	330	11	16	5	

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
June 2006	Total	20
	No	11
	Poll	53.0%
	Mail	2
		8.4%
November 2006	Total	20
	No	5
	Poll	26.6%
	Mail	5
		25.0%
November 2007	Total	20
	No	14
	Poll	70.7%
	Mail	0
		0.0%
February 2008	Total	20
	No	8
	Poll	37.7%
	Mail	4
		19.6%
June 2008	Total	20
	No	12
	Poll	61.6%
	Mail	1
		5.5%
November 2008	Total	20
	No	7
	Poll	33.3%
	Mail	2
		10.2%
Total	20	

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
May 2009	No	255 57.3%	0 0.0%	18 70.7%	1 27.3%	17 88.0%	3 67.3%	1 100.0%
	Poll	28 6.3%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Mail	162 36.4%	0 0.0%	8 29.3%	4 72.7%	2 12.0%	1 32.7%	0 0.0%
Total		446	1	26	5	19	4	1
November 2009	No	317 71.2%	1 100.0%	23 88.9%	1 27.3%	16 82.6%	4 85.3%	1 100.0%
	Poll	21 4.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Mail	107 24.0%	0 0.0%	3 11.1%	4 72.7%	3 17.4%	1 14.7%	0 0.0%
Total		446	1	26	5	19	4	1
June 2010	No	192 43.1%	0 0.0%	18 70.5%	1 27.3%	16 83.1%	2 51.6%	1 100.0%
	Poll	55 12.3%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Mail	199 44.7%	0 0.0%	8 29.5%	4 72.7%	3 16.9%	2 48.4%	0 0.0%
Total		446	1	26	5	19	4	1
November 2010	No	149 33.3%	0 0.0%	10 39.1%	1 27.3%	9 47.6%	2 51.6%	1 100.0%
	Poll	67 15.0%	1 100.0%	6 22.9%	0 0.0%	6 30.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Mail	231 51.7%	0 0.0%	10 38.0%	4 72.7%	4 22.1%	2 48.4%	0 0.0%
Total		446	1	26	5	19	4	1
June 2012	No	231 51.8%	1 100.0%	17 64.9%	1 27.3%	17 87.8%	3 67.3%	0 0.0%
	Poll	44 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Mail	171 38.3%	0 0.0%	9 35.1%	4 72.7%	2 12.2%	1 32.7%	1 100.0%
Total		446	1	26	5	19	4	1
November 2012	No	101 22.6%	0 0.0%	7 27.4%	0 0.0%	6 28.5%	2 51.6%	0 0.0%
	Poll	84 18.9%	1 100.0%	3 11.8%	0 0.0%	5 27.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Mail	261 58.6%	0 0.0%	16 60.8%	5 100.0%	9 44.0%	2 48.4%	1 100.0%
Total		446	1	26	5	19	4	1
June 2014	No	250 56.0%	1 100.0%	18 70.6%	0 0.0%	14 71.6%	3 67.3%	1 100.0%
	Poll	36 8.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	Mail	161 36.0%	0 0.0%	8 29.4%	5 100.0%	3 12.9%	1 32.7%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
May 2009	No	1 47.9%	4 85.1%	176 53.4%	9 85.7%	11 66.6%	3 67.4%
	Poll	1 52.1%	0 0.0%	23 7.0%	1 6.9%	1 4.5%	0 0.0%
	Mail	0 0.0%	1 14.9%	130 39.5%	1 7.4%	5 28.9%	2 32.6%
Total		2	5	330	11	16	5
November 2009	No	1 47.9%	4 85.1%	227 68.9%	10 92.6%	11 64.5%	5 100.0%
	Poll	1 52.1%	0 0.0%	18 5.6%	0 0.0%	1 4.4%	0 0.0%
	Mail	0 0.0%	1 14.9%	84 25.5%	1 7.4%	5 31.1%	0 0.0%
Total		2	5	330	11	16	5
June 2010	No	0 0.0%	4 85.1%	122 37.0%	9 85.7%	8 50.3%	2 47.0%
	Poll	1 52.1%	0 0.0%	47 14.3%	1 6.9%	1 8.9%	0 0.0%
	Mail	1 47.9%	1 14.9%	161 48.7%	1 7.4%	7 40.8%	3 53.0%
Total		2	5	330	11	16	5
November 2010	No	0 0.0%	3 70.2%	100 30.2%	7 64.1%	8 47.2%	2 47.0%
	Poll	1 52.1%	0 0.0%	47 14.1%	1 11.9%	1 8.9%	0 0.0%
	Mail	1 47.9%	1 29.8%	184 55.7%	3 24.0%	7 43.9%	3 53.0%
Total		2	5	330	11	16	5
June 2012	No	1 52.1%	4 85.1%	154 46.7%	9 85.7%	10 58.4%	5 100.0%
	Poll	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	40 12.0%	1 6.9%	2 12.1%	0 0.0%
	Mail	1 47.9%	1 14.9%	136 41.3%	1 7.4%	5 29.5%	0 0.0%
Total		2	5	330	11	16	5
November 2012	No	0 0.0%	3 70.2%	62 18.9%	7 63.7%	8 47.2%	2 47.0%
	Poll	1 52.1%	0 0.0%	64 19.5%	3 28.9%	3 16.5%	0 0.0%
	Mail	1 47.9%	1 29.8%	203 61.6%	1 7.4%	6 36.3%	3 53.0%
Total		2	5	330	11	16	5
June 2014	No	0 0.0%	4 85.1%	173 52.4%	8 76.5%	11 65.9%	4 79.6%
	Poll	1 52.1%	1 14.9%	28 8.4%	1 6.9%	1 4.5%	0 0.0%
	Mail	1 47.9%	0 0.0%	129 39.2%	2 16.5%	5 29.5%	1 20.4%

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
May 2009	No	10 48.7%
	Poll	1 5.5%
	Mail	9 45.9%
Total		20
November 2009	No	13 63.6%
	Poll	1 5.5%
	Mail	6 30.9%
Total		20
June 2010	No	7 34.0%
	Poll	3 15.5%
	Mail	10 50.5%
Total		20
November 2010	No	5 22.7%
	Poll	3 15.5%
	Mail	12 61.8%
Total		20
June 2012	No	9 42.6%
	Poll	2 10.8%
	Mail	9 46.6%
Total		20
November 2012	No	3 16.0%
	Poll	3 15.5%
	Mail	14 68.5%
Total		20
June 2014	No	12 61.2%
	Poll	2 8.9%
	Mail	6 29.8%
Total		20

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
November 2014	Total	446	1	26	5	19	4	1
	No	149 33.4%	0 0.0%	13 49.9%	1 27.3%	10 52.9%	3 67.3%	1 100.0%
	Poll	65 14.6%	1 100.0%	2 9.0%	0 0.0%	3 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
June 2016	Total	446	1	26	5	19	4	1
	No	160 35.8%	0 0.0%	11 43.8%	2 40.8%	11 59.2%	3 67.3%	1 100.0%
	Poll	61 13.6%	1 100.0%	1 4.2%	0 0.0%	2 7.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
November 2014	Total	226	0	14	3	6	1	0
	No	226 50.6%	0 0.0%	14 52.0%	3 59.2%	6 32.9%	1 32.7%	0 0.0%
	Mail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
November 2014	Total	2	5	330	11	16	5
	No	0 0.0%	4 85.1%	98 29.7%	6 54.6%	6 37.0%	2 47.0%
	Poll	1 52.1%	0 0.0%	49 15.0%	3 28.9%	3 15.8%	0 0.0%
June 2016	Total	1	1	182	2	8	3
	No	1 47.9%	1 14.9%	182 55.3%	2 16.5%	8 47.2%	3 53.0%
	Mail	0	0	0	0	0	0
November 2014	Total	2	5	330	11	16	5
	No	0 0.0%	1 14.9%	102 31.0%	9 85.7%	7 45.2%	4 84.8%
	Poll	1 52.1%	3 70.2%	44 13.3%	1 6.9%	2 12.1%	0 0.0%
June 2016	Total	1	1	184	1	7	1
	No	1 47.9%	1 14.9%	184 55.6%	1 7.4%	7 42.8%	1 15.2%
	Mail	0	0	0	0	0	0

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
	Total	20
November 2014	No	4 20.8%
	Poll	2 10.8%
	Mail	14 68.5%
	Total	20
June 2016	No	7 33.7%
	Poll	6 29.5%
	Mail	7 36.8%

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
	Total	446	203	243
June 2006	No	276 61.8%	110 54.2%	166 68.1%
	Poll	101 22.7%	57 28.3%	44 18.1%
	Mail	69 15.5%	36 17.5%	33 13.8%
	Total	446	203	243
November 2006	No	189 42.4%	81 39.9%	108 44.4%
	Poll	157 35.2%	74 36.4%	83 34.2%
	Mail	100 22.4%	48 23.7%	52 21.3%
	Total	446	203	243
November 2007	No	300 67.2%	141 69.6%	159 65.2%
	Poll	35 7.7%	16 7.9%	19 7.6%
	Mail	112 25.1%	46 22.6%	66 27.2%
	Total	446	203	243
February 2008	No	172 38.5%	75 36.9%	97 39.9%
	Poll	83 18.7%	35 17.4%	48 19.8%
	Mail	191 42.8%	93 45.7%	98 40.3%
	Total	446	203	243
June 2008	Total	446	203	243
	No	293 65.6%	126 62.3%	166 68.5%

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
	Total	446	203	243
June 2008	Poll	20 4.4%	8 3.7%	12 5.1%
	Mail	133 29.9%	69 34.0%	64 26.5%
	Total	446	203	243
November 2008	No	114 25.6%	46 22.9%	68 27.9%
	Poll	57 12.9%	19 9.6%	38 15.6%
	Mail	274 61.5%	137 67.5%	137 56.5%
	Total	446	203	243
May 2009	No	255 57.3%	114 56.4%	141 58.0%
	Poll	28 6.3%	12 6.0%	16 6.6%
	Mail	162 36.4%	76 37.6%	86 35.4%
	Total	446	203	243
November 2009	No	317 71.2%	150 74.1%	167 68.7%
	Poll	21 4.8%	4 2.2%	17 7.0%
	Mail	107 24.0%	48 23.7%	59 24.3%
	Total	446	203	243
June 2010	No	192 43.1%	85 42.1%	107 43.9%
	Poll	55 12.3%	24 11.7%	31 12.8%
	Mail	199 44.7%	94 46.2%	105 43.4%
	Total	446	203	243
November 2010	No	149 33.3%	69 34.2%	79 32.6%
	Poll	67 15.0%	21 10.5%	45 18.7%
	Mail	231 51.7%	112 55.3%	118 48.7%
	Total	446	203	243
June 2012	No	231 51.8%	105 52.0%	125 51.6%
	Poll	44 10.0%	15 7.3%	30 12.2%
	Mail	171 38.3%	83 40.7%	88 36.2%
	Total	446	203	243
November 2012	No	101 22.6%	42 20.8%	59 24.1%
	Poll	84 18.8%	34 16.7%	50 20.6%
	Mail	261 58.6%	127 62.5%	134 55.3%
	Total	446	203	243

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
Non	18.9%	16.6%	20.8%
Mail	261	127	134
	58.6%	62.7%	55.2%
June 2014			
Total	446	203	243
No	250	114	135
	56.0%	56.4%	55.7%
Poll	36	11	24
	8.0%	5.5%	10.0%
Mail	161	77	83
	36.0%	38.1%	34.3%
November 2014			
Total	446	203	243
No	149	67	82
	33.4%	33.1%	33.7%
Poll	65	25	40
	14.6%	12.5%	16.3%
Mail	232	110	122
	52.0%	54.4%	50.0%
June 2016			
Total	446	203	243
No	160	75	85
	35.8%	36.9%	34.9%
Poll	61	22	38
	13.6%	11.0%	15.8%
Mail	226	106	120
	50.6%	52.1%	49.3%

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
June 2006				
Total	446	20	422	3
No	276	14	259	3
	61.8%	67.8%	61.2%	100.0%
Poll	101	3	99	0
	22.7%	13.4%	23.4%	0.0%
Mail	69	4	65	0
	15.5%	18.8%	15.4%	0.0%
November 2006				
Total	446	20	422	3
No	189	13	173	3
	42.4%	61.9%	41.0%	100.0%
Poll	157	5	152	0
	35.2%	25.4%	36.0%	0.0%
Mail	100	3	97	0
	22.4%	12.8%	23.0%	0.0%
November 2007				
Total	446	20	422	3
No	300	15	282	3
	67.2%	73.4%	66.6%	100.0%
Poll	35	0	35	0
	7.7%	0.0%	8.2%	0.0%
Mail	112	5	106	0
	25.1%	26.6%	25.2%	0.0%
February 2008				
Total	446	20	422	3
No	172	13	156	3
	38.5%	63.6%	36.9%	100.0%
Poll	83	2	81	0
	18.7%	9.8%	19.3%	0.0%
Mail	191	5	185	0
	42.8%	26.6%	43.9%	0.0%
June 2008				
Total	446	20	422	3
No	293	17	273	3
	65.6%	81.4%	64.6%	100.0%
Poll	20	1	19	0
	4.4%	6.1%	4.4%	0.0%
Mail	133	3	131	0
	29.9%	12.5%	31.0%	0.0%
November 2008				
Total	446	20	422	3
No	114	10	102	3
	25.6%	46.9%	24.0%	100.0%
Poll	57	2	55	0
	12.9%	11.2%	13.0%	0.0%
Mail	274	9	266	0
	61.5%	41.9%	62.9%	0.0%
May 2009				
Total	446	20	422	3
No	255	12	240	3
	57.3%	60.4%	56.8%	100.0%
Poll	28	0	28	0
	6.3%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
Mail	162	8	154	0
	36.4%	39.6%	36.5%	0.0%

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
November 2009	Total	446	20	422	3
	No	317	16	298	3
	Poll	21	0	21	0
	Mail	107	5	103	0
		71.2%	77.8%	70.6%	100.0%
		4.8%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%
		24.0%	22.2%	24.3%	0.0%
June 2010	Total	446	20	422	3
	No	192	12	176	3
	Poll	55	0	55	0
	Mail	199	8	191	0
		43.1%	60.8%	41.8%	100.0%
		12.3%	0.0%	13.0%	0.0%
		44.7%	39.2%	45.3%	0.0%
November 2010	Total	446	20	422	3
	No	149	8	137	3
	Poll	67	2	64	0
	Mail	231	10	221	0
		33.3%	39.8%	32.5%	100.0%
		15.0%	12.2%	15.2%	0.0%
		51.7%	48.1%	52.3%	0.0%
June 2012	Total	446	20	422	3
	No	231	14	214	3
	Poll	44	1	43	0
	Mail	171	5	165	0
		51.8%	67.4%	50.6%	100.0%
		10.0%	6.1%	10.2%	0.0%
		38.3%	26.6%	39.1%	0.0%
November 2012	Total	446	20	422	3
	No	101	8	89	3
	Poll	84	2	82	0
	Mail	261	10	251	0
		22.6%	40.0%	21.2%	100.0%
		18.9%	10.2%	19.4%	0.0%
		58.6%	49.8%	59.4%	0.0%
June 2014	Total	446	20	422	3
	No	250	17	230	3
	Poll	36	0	36	0
	Mail	161	4	157	0
		56.0%	82.5%	54.4%	100.0%
		8.0%	0.0%	8.4%	0.0%
		36.0%	17.5%	37.2%	0.0%
November 2014	Total	446	20	422	3
	No	149	10	136	3
	Poll	65	1	64	0
	Mail	232	9	223	0
		33.4%	50.5%	32.1%	100.0%
		14.6%	6.1%	15.1%	0.0%
		52.0%	43.4%	52.8%	0.0%

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
June 2016	Total	446	20	422	3
	No	160	10	146	3
	Poll	61	3	58	0
	Mail	226	8	218	0
		35.8%	49.2%	34.7%	100.0%
		13.6%	12.4%	13.8%	0.0%
		50.6%	38.4%	51.5%	0.0%

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
June 2006	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	No	276	71	23	62	120
	Poll	101	14	28	33	27
	Mail	69	5	14	29	21
		61.8%	78.8%	35.2%	50.1%	71.3%
		22.7%	15.4%	43.3%	26.7%	15.9%
		15.5%	5.8%	21.5%	23.2%	12.8%
November 2006	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	No	189	56	12	43	79
	Poll	157	24	37	42	53
	Mail	100	10	15	38	37
		42.4%	61.9%	17.9%	34.9%	46.7%
		35.2%	27.1%	58.1%	34.4%	31.5%
		22.4%	11.0%	24.0%	30.7%	21.8%
November 2007	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	No	300	73	30	71	125
	Poll	35	2	14	8	10
	Mail	112	15	20	44	33
		67.2%	81.2%	46.9%	57.9%	74.2%
		7.7%	2.7%	22.1%	6.1%	6.2%
		25.1%	16.1%	31.0%	36.0%	19.6%
February 2008	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	No	172	51	10	41	70
	Poll	83	17	19	19	30
	Mail	191	23	36	63	69
		38.5%	56.5%	15.3%	33.6%	41.4%
		18.7%	18.2%	28.9%	15.1%	17.7%
		42.8%	25.3%	55.8%	51.3%	41.0%
June 2008	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	No	293	73	28	71	121
	Poll	20	4	3	2	11
	Mail	133	13	34	50	37
		65.6%	80.9%	43.1%	57.9%	71.7%
		4.4%	4.8%	4.2%	1.8%	6.3%
		29.9%	14.4%	52.7%	40.3%	22.0%
November 2008	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	No	114	34	6	26	48
	Poll	57	18	6	9	25
	Mail	275	34	52	88	95
		25.6%	38.0%	9.5%	21.2%	28.4%

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total		446	90	64	123	168
	No	255	70	22	66	98
	Poll	28	5	7	4	13
May 2009		6.3%	5.2%	10.3%	3.3%	7.7%
	No	162	16	36	53	57
	Poll	36.4%	17.5%	55.9%	43.2%	34.2%
November 2009		446	90	64	123	168
	No	317	73	40	82	122
	Poll	21	3	4	5	9
June 2010		446	90	64	123	168
	No	192	47	17	44	84
	Poll	55	15	11	14	15
November 2010		446	90	64	123	168
	No	149	42	15	35	56
	Poll	67	17	10	15	24
June 2012		446	90	64	123	168
	No	231	65	20	55	91
	Poll	44	6	9	10	19
November 2012		446	90	64	123	168
	No	101	39	6	21	35
	Poll	84	20	15	17	31
June 2014		446	90	64	123	168
	No	250	71	22	57	99
	Poll	36	7	6	8	15

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total		446	90	64	123	168
	No	149	48	11	34	56
	Poll	65	15	11	12	27
November 2014		14.6%	17.0%	17.5%	9.4%	16.0%
	No	232	27	42	77	85
	Poll	52.0%	29.9%	65.7%	62.7%	50.8%
June 2016		446	90	64	123	168
	No	160	53	15	32	58
	Poll	61	14	8	13	26
Total		446	90	64	123	168
	No	226	23	41	78	84
	Poll	50.6%	25.7%	63.3%	63.0%	50.0%

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total		446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	276	52	69	47	58	50
	Poll	101	0	2	1	10	89
June 2006		22.7%	0.0%	2.3%	1.3%	14.5%	43.7%
	No	69	0	0	3	1	65
	Poll	15.5%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	1.5%	31.9%
November 2006		446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	189	52	67	36	26	8
	Poll	157	0	2	10	35	109
November 2007		35.2%	0.0%	3.2%	20.1%	51.3%	53.5%
	No	100	0	1	5	8	86
	Poll	22.4%	0.0%	1.9%	9.0%	11.0%	42.4%
November 2007		446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	300	52	69	48	58	73
	Poll	35	0	0	0	2	33
February 2008		7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	16.1%
	No	112	0	2	3	9	98
	Poll	25.1%	0.0%	2.6%	6.1%	13.6%	47.8%
Total		446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	172	52	68	30	16	6
	Poll	83	0	0	12	22	49
Total		446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	191	0	3	9	31	149
	Poll	42.8%	0.0%	3.9%	17.3%	44.4%	72.9%
Total		446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	293	52	70	46	59	66
	Poll						

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
June 2008	No	65.6%	100.0%	98.8%	89.9%	86.1%	32.5%
	Poll	20	0	0	0	3	17
	Mail	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	8.4%
November 2008	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	114	52	46	13	4	0
	Poll	25.6%	100.0%	64.9%	26.3%	5.1%	0.0%
May 2009	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	255	52	70	43	42	48
	Poll	57.3%	100.0%	100.0%	84.6%	61.0%	23.6%
November 2009	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	317	52	70	46	62	87
	Poll	71.2%	100.0%	100.0%	90.2%	89.4%	42.9%
June 2010	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	192	52	68	33	28	11
	Poll	43.1%	100.0%	96.3%	65.3%	40.5%	5.6%
November 2010	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	149	52	62	18	12	6
	Poll	33.3%	100.0%	87.4%	35.0%	16.7%	3.0%
June 2012	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	231	52	69	43	41	26
	Poll	51.8%	100.0%	98.3%	83.3%	59.4%	12.9%
November 2012	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	101	52	37	8	1	3
	Poll	22.6%	100.0%	52.9%	15.2%	1.9%	1.4%

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
November 2012	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	250	52	65	48	45	40
	Poll	56.0%	100.0%	93.0%	92.9%	65.7%	19.5%
June 2014	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	149	52	50	26	12	9
	Poll	33.4%	100.0%	71.4%	50.6%	18.1%	4.4%
November 2014	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	160	52	37	35	21	15
	Poll	35.8%	100.0%	53.2%	68.2%	30.7%	7.1%
June 2016	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	61	0	12	3	12	33
	Poll	13.6%	0.0%	17.4%	6.3%	18.0%	16.1%
November 2016	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	No	226	0	21	13	35	156
	Poll	50.6%	0.0%	29.4%	25.5%	51.3%	76.7%

		Party by Gender								
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
June 2006	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	275	68	43	22	30	44	59	1	8
	Poll	101	35	17	22	14	5	6	0	2
	Mail	68	17	15	12	10	4	8	1	0
November 2006	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	189	49	33	8	13	30	48	1	8
	Poll	156	47	24	29	26	14	14	0	3
	Mail	99	25	19	17	15	9	12	1	0
November 2007	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	299	74	51	28	33	44	56	2	10
	Poll	35	11	4	7	7	2	4	0	0
	Mail	111	35	21	20	14	7	13	0	0
February 2008	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	172	34	27	8	16	31	47	2	7
	Poll	83	26	12	15	12	6	9	0	2
	Mail	190	61	36	31	26	16	17	0	1
June 2008	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	293	73	45	26	34	47	58	2	8
	Poll	20	8	6	2	2	1	0	0	1
	Mail	131	40	25	27	18	5	16	0	1
November 2008	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	114	27	19	3	11	20	28	0	6
	Poll	57	17	11	7	6	6	9	0	2
	Mail	272	77	46	45	37	27	36	2	3
May 2009	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	255	63	48	17	29	39	50	1	8
	Poll	28	10	2	8	3	0	4	0	1
	Mail	160	48	26	31	22	14	19	1	2

		Party by Gender								
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
November 2009	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	315	92	53	28	35	41	56	2	9
	Poll	21	6	5	4	4	2	0	0	1
	Mail	107	22	19	23	15	11	17	0	0
June 2010	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	191	47	29	9	16	37	43	1	8
	Poll	55	20	8	9	8	2	5	0	2
	Mail	198	53	38	37	29	14	25	1	1
November 2010	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	149	44	19	6	12	30	30	1	6
	Poll	67	20	16	11	9	3	7	0	1
	Mail	229	57	41	38	33	20	36	1	3
June 2012	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	231	60	39	18	27	35	41	1	9
	Poll	44	14	6	7	5	4	6	0	1
	Mail	169	46	32	29	22	14	25	1	0
November 2012	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	101	29	16	3	7	15	23	1	6
	Poll	84	24	15	12	10	7	14	0	2
	Mail	259	67	45	40	37	30	37	1	2
June 2014	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	249	67	36	21	24	40	51	2	8
	Poll	36	11	10	4	6	2	2	0	1
	Mail	160	42	30	30	25	12	20	0	1
November 2014	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	149	38	25	9	11	22	37	1	6
	Poll	65	19	8	11	11	5	10	0	2
	Mail	230	64	44	35	32	26	26	1	3

		Party by Gender								
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
June 2016	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
	No	158	32	19	12	20	29	37	0	9
		35.7%	26.4%	25.0%	21.7%	37.0%	55.0%	50.7%	0.0%	90.4%
	Poll	60	16	11	13	6	5	8	0	1
	Mail	226	73	46	30	28	19	28	2	0
		50.8%	60.7%	60.8%	54.7%	51.4%	35.6%	37.8%	100.0%	0.0%

		Total	
		Total	Total
L. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	446	446
	0	52	52
		11.6%	11.6%
	1	28	28
		6.3%	6.3%
	2	16	16
		3.6%	3.6%
	3	26	26
		5.9%	5.9%
	4	21	21
		4.7%	4.7%
	5	18	18
		4.1%	4.1%
	6	12	12
		2.6%	2.6%
	7	22	22
	4.9%	4.9%	
8	27	27	
	6.0%	6.0%	
9	21	21	
	4.6%	4.6%	
10	32	32	
	7.1%	7.1%	
11	26	26	
	5.7%	5.7%	
12	27	27	
	6.0%	6.0%	
13	33	33	
	7.4%	7.4%	
14	39	39	
	8.8%	8.8%	
15	48	48	
	10.7%	10.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	(A)
0	.
1	.
2	.
3	.
4	.
5	.
6	.
L. Times Voted in Last Elections	.
7	.
8	.
9	.
10	.
11	.
12	.
13	.
14	.
15	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	446	213	231	2
0	52 11.6%	30 14.2%	21 9.3%	0 0.0%
1	28 6.3%	9 4.3%	19 8.1%	0 0.0%
2	16 3.6%	9 4.4%	7 2.9%	0 0.0%
3	26 5.9%	14 6.6%	12 5.3%	0 0.0%
4	21 4.7%	10 4.5%	11 4.9%	0 0.0%
5	18 4.1%	10 4.9%	8 3.4%	0 0.0%
6	12 2.6%	6 3.0%	5 2.3%	0 0.0%
7	22 4.9%	17 8.0%	5 2.0%	0 0.0%
8	27 6.0%	8 3.6%	19 8.3%	0 0.0%
9	21 4.6%	10 4.7%	11 4.6%	0 0.0%
10	32 7.1%	18 8.7%	13 5.8%	0 0.0%
11	26 5.7%	11 5.0%	14 6.1%	1 41.3%
12	27 6.0%	8 3.7%	18 7.7%	1 58.7%
13	33 7.4%	16 7.3%	17 7.5%	0 0.0%
14	39 8.8%	15 6.9%	24 10.5%	0 0.0%
15	48 10.7%	22 10.1%	26 11.3%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0			a
1			a
2			a
3			a
4			a
5			a
6			a
L. Times Voted in Last Elections			a
7	B		a
8		A	a
9			a
10			a
11			a
12			A B
13			a
14			a
15			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
0	52 11.6%	30 50.8%	8 21.9%	6 7.8%	5 3.5%	3 2.1%	0 0.0%
1	28 6.3%	14 23.4%	5 13.1%	6 7.8%	2 1.1%	2 1.3%	0 0.0%
2	16 3.6%	2 2.8%	0 0.0%	7 8.9%	6 4.0%	2 1.5%	0 0.0%
3	26 5.9%	2 3.3%	3 9.1%	11 14.0%	8 5.9%	2 1.3%	0 0.0%
4	21 4.7%	2 3.3%	3 9.8%	12 15.1%	3 2.0%	1 0.5%	0 0.0%
5	18 4.1%	3 5.1%	4 11.0%	6 7.6%	5 3.3%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%
6	12 2.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 6.3%	4 2.6%	3 2.4%	0 0.0%
7	22 4.9%	0 0.0%	1 2.9%	5 6.2%	11 7.5%	5 4.2%	0 0.0%
8	27 6.0%	3 5.4%	3 7.4%	5 6.2%	12 8.5%	4 3.2%	0 0.0%
9	21 4.6%	2 3.0%	4 10.6%	1 1.6%	12 8.4%	2 1.6%	0 0.0%
10	32 7.1%	0 0.0%	2 6.0%	9 11.2%	11 8.1%	9 7.5%	0 0.0%
11	26 5.7%	2 2.9%	2 6.0%	3 3.2%	11 7.5%	8 6.3%	1 11.6%
12	27 6.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.1%	1 1.3%	18 12.7%	7 5.3%	1 9.4%
13	33 7.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.3%	9 6.7%	21 16.6%	2 23.8%
14	39 8.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 10.4%	23 18.5%	1 20.9%
15	48 10.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.3%	11 7.6%	34 27.0%	2 34.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29 (A)	30-39 (B)	40-49 (C)	50-64 (D)	65+ (E)	Not coded (F)
0	C D E	D E				. ^a
1	D E	D E				. ^a
2		. ^a				. ^a
3			E			. ^a
4		E	D E			. ^a
5		E				. ^a
6	. ^a	. ^a				. ^a
7	. ^a					. ^a
8						. ^a
9						. ^a
10	. ^a					. ^a
11						. ^a
12	. ^a					. ^a
13	. ^a	. ^a		C		C
14	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a			C
15	. ^a	. ^a			C D	C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	446	357	80	9
0	52 11.6%	29 8.2%	19 24.3%	3 30.2%
1	28 6.3%	23 6.5%	5 5.7%	0 0.0%
2	16 3.6%	12 3.2%	2 2.6%	2 27.9%
3	26 5.9%	19 5.2%	6 7.1%	2 21.8%
4	21 4.7%	19 5.3%	2 2.8%	0 0.0%
5	18 4.1%	11 3.1%	7 8.9%	0 0.0%
6	12 2.6%	12 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
7	22 4.9%	14 3.8%	8 10.1%	0 0.0%
8	27 6.0%	18 5.1%	9 10.8%	0 0.0%
9	21 4.6%	19 5.2%	2 2.5%	0 0.0%
10	32 7.1%	28 7.7%	4 5.2%	0 0.0%
11	26 5.7%	20 5.7%	4 5.2%	1 12.2%
12	27 6.0%	27 7.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
13	33 7.4%	29 8.3%	3 3.9%	0 2.1%
14	39 8.8%	34 9.5%	5 6.6%	0 0.0%
15	48 10.7%	44 12.3%	3 4.3%	1 5.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0		A	.
1			a
2			A B
3			.
4			a
5		A	a
6		a	a
7		A	a
8			a
9			a
10			a
11			.
12		a	a
13		.	.
14			a
15			.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	446	197	110	12	127
0	52 11.6%	18 9.1%	4 3.6%	6 51.5%	23 18.4%
1	28 6.3%	15 7.7%	3 2.4%	0 0.0%	10 8.0%
2	16 3.6%	9 4.5%	3 2.3%	0 0.0%	5 3.8%
3	26 5.9%	9 4.7%	3 2.9%	0 0.0%	14 10.9%
4	21 4.7%	9 4.5%	3 3.0%	1 8.4%	8 6.2%
5	18 4.1%	5 2.6%	3 2.3%	0 0.0%	11 8.4%
6	12 2.6%	1 0.7%	4 3.7%	1 9.1%	5 4.0%
L. Times Voted in Last Elections	22 4.9%	6 3.3%	9 8.5%	1 8.6%	5 3.9%
8	27 6.0%	16 8.1%	4 3.5%	1 6.0%	6 4.9%
9	21 4.6%	10 5.2%	4 3.3%	0 3.6%	6 4.9%
10	32 7.1%	15 7.5%	11 9.8%	1 4.6%	6 4.5%
11	26 5.7%	10 5.1%	7 6.2%	0 0.0%	9 6.9%
12	27 6.0%	12 6.3%	12 10.8%	0 0.0%	3 2.0%
13	33 7.4%	17 8.7%	9 8.2%	0 0.0%	7 5.3%
14	39 8.8%	19 9.4%	14 13.2%	1 8.2%	5 4.0%
15	48 10.7%	25 12.6%	18 16.3%	0 0.0%	5 3.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
0			A B D	B
1			a	
2			a	
3			a	
4				
5			a	
6				
L. Times Voted in Last Elections				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11			a	
12		D	a	
13			a	
14				
15	D	D	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
L. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	0	52	7	7	0	0	20	18
	1	28	4	5	0	1	10	8
	2	16	2	1	3	0	8	2
	3	26	3	6	1	1	6	9
	4	21	2	3	2	0	6	8
	5	18	3	1	0	3	7	4
	6	12	0	1	2	1	3	4
	7	22	3	1	4	3	7	4
	8	27	5	3	2	1	12	4
	9	21	4	5	1	1	6	4
	10	32	7	5	2	5	10	3
	11	26	7	1	1	3	6	8
	12	27	4	5	1	7	8	2
	13	33	9	3	4	3	10	4
	14	39	6	10	7	4	9	4
15	48	10	11	4	7	11	3	
		10.7%	13.6%	16.6%	12.3%	18.1%	8.2%	3.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0			a	a		
1			a			
2				a		
3					a	
4					a	
5			a			
6	a					
L. Times Voted in Last Elections						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12				F		
13						
14						
15						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date					
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
0	52 11.6%	49 39.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
1	28 6.3%	21 16.8%	3 5.9%	0 0.0%	2 4.2%	0 0.0%
2	16 3.6%	10 7.7%	1 2.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.7%
3	26 5.9%	9 7.4%	12 23.4%	4 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
4	21 4.7%	8 6.0%	7 13.1%	5 7.3%	1 2.7%	0 0.0%
5	18 4.1%	2 1.4%	5 10.1%	6 8.9%	5 9.2%	1 3.7%
6	12 2.6%	0 0.0%	2 4.2%	4 6.3%	0 0.0%	2 4.9%
7	22 4.9%	2 1.6%	5 9.4%	4 6.8%	5 10.0%	3 7.6%
8	27 6.0%	1 0.7%	5 8.9%	9 14.3%	4 8.3%	5 13.1%
9	21 4.6%	5 3.7%	2 4.1%	4 6.9%	4 8.7%	2 5.1%
10	32 7.1%	0 0.0%	4 7.5%	10 15.9%	5 10.6%	5 13.1%
11	26 5.7%	7 5.5%	3 5.3%	2 3.2%	5 9.3%	2 4.4%
12	27 6.0%	1 0.9%	1 1.1%	5 7.8%	6 11.3%	2 5.1%
13	33 7.4%	5 3.8%	2 4.1%	2 3.8%	2 4.3%	6 16.0%
14	39 8.8%	4 2.8%	0 0.0%	3 5.4%	6 11.8%	5 13.7%
15	48 10.7%	3 2.6%	0 0.0%	4 6.7%	5 9.6%	4 9.7%

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	36	46	38
0	1 1.4%	0 0.0%	2 4.5%
1	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 4.1%
2	2 5.5%	1 1.4%	1 2.6%
3	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.3%
4	0 0.0%	1 2.1%	0 0.0%
5	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
6	1 4.1%	1 2.1%	2 4.0%
7	1 2.3%	1 2.9%	1 2.1%
8	2 5.0%	2 3.8%	0 0.0%
9	1 2.6%	1 3.2%	1 2.1%
10	3 7.1%	2 5.2%	3 8.1%
11	2 4.5%	5 11.4%	1 2.2%
12	3 9.6%	7 15.4%	2 5.5%
13	2 4.9%	7 14.5%	7 18.7%
14	8 23.5%	8 18.4%	4 11.4%
15	11 29.5%	9 19.5%	12 32.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	F H	a	a	a	a	
1			a		a	a
2			a			
3		A H		a	a	a
4				a	a	a
5						a
6	a			a		
7						
8			A		A	
9						
10	a					
11						
12				A		
13						
14		a				A
15		a				A C

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(G)	(H)
0	a	
1	a	
2		
3	a	
4		a
5	a	a
6		
7		
8		a
9		
10		
11		
12	A	
13		
14	A	
15	A	A C

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date								
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9	
0	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24	
1	52	5	2	22	2	0	1	18	1	
2	11.6%	6.5%	9.0%	11.7%	5.1%	0.0%	9.0%	26.9%	5.5%	
3	28	3	0	17	0	0	1	5	1	
4	6.3%	3.7%	0.0%	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	8.2%	5.6%	
5	16	3	0	5	4	0	0	4	1	
6	3.6%	3.2%	0.0%	2.5%	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	2.2%	
7	26	5	1	13	5	0	0	2	2	
8	5.9%	6.0%	3.9%	6.6%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	6.7%	
9	21	1	1	12	2	0	3	2	0	
10	4.7%	0.8%	5.4%	6.5%	6.0%	0.0%	17.0%	3.2%	0.0%	
11	18	2	0	6	2	0	0	6	2	
12	4.1%	2.8%	0.0%	3.0%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.3%	8.7%	
13	12	2	1	6	1	1	0	1	0	
14	2.6%	2.7%	4.9%	3.1%	2.2%	6.6%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	
15	22	1	1	12	2	0	1	6	0	
L. Times Voted in Last Elections	4.9%	1.5%	3.0%	6.1%	4.6%	0.0%	5.4%	8.8%	0.0%	
0	27	7	1	10	1	2	1	4	1	
1	6.0%	9.3%	4.4%	5.1%	2.7%	14.2%	6.0%	5.7%	5.5%	
2	21	4	2	6	3	1	0	4	1	
3	4.6%	4.4%	6.9%	3.3%	9.2%	5.9%	0.0%	5.8%	5.5%	
4	32	8	1	13	3	0	2	3	2	
5	7.1%	10.1%	5.6%	6.8%	7.2%	0.0%	13.9%	4.8%	6.8%	
6	26	6	2	13	1	1	0	2	1	
7	5.7%	7.5%	9.1%	6.7%	1.4%	7.9%	0.0%	3.3%	5.0%	
8	27	3	1	15	4	1	1	2	1	
9	6.0%	3.6%	2.5%	8.0%	9.5%	7.8%	6.2%	3.3%	2.2%	
10	33	7	5	10	2	1	1	2	5	
11	7.4%	8.5%	21.2%	5.5%	6.2%	5.3%	8.7%	2.4%	20.9%	
12	39	11	3	12	3	4	2	3	1	
13	8.8%	14.0%	14.4%	6.5%	7.6%	30.0%	12.2%	4.1%	5.7%	
14	48	12	2	18	3	3	2	2	5	
15	10.7%	15.4%	9.8%	9.6%	8.9%	22.2%	14.7%	3.1%	19.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date							
	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
0					a		A	
1		a		a	a			
2		a			a	a		
3					a	a		
4					a	A		a
5		a			a	a		
6						a		a
7					a			a
8								
9						a		
10					a			
11						a		
12								
13								
14								
15								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
Total	446	349	97
0	52 11.6%	38 10.8%	14 14.4%
1	28 6.3%	18 5.0%	10 10.6%
2	16 3.6%	14 4.0%	2 2.3%
3	26 5.9%	18 5.3%	8 8.1%
4	21 4.7%	16 4.6%	5 5.1%
5	18 4.1%	16 4.5%	3 2.9%
6	12 2.6%	8 2.4%	3 3.5%
7	22 4.9%	15 4.3%	7 7.1%
8	27 6.0%	23 6.6%	4 4.0%
9	21 4.6%	17 4.7%	4 4.1%
10	32 7.1%	26 7.4%	6 6.1%
11	26 5.7%	16 4.5%	10 10.2%
12	27 6.0%	22 6.4%	5 4.7%
13	33 7.4%	28 7.9%	5 5.3%
14	39 8.8%	34 9.7%	5 5.6%
15	48 10.7%	42 12.0%	6 6.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
0		
1		A
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		A
12		
13		
14		
15		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	446	282	164
	0	52	0	52
		11.6%	0.0%	31.5%
	1	28	5	23
		6.3%	1.7%	14.2%
	2	16	5	11
		3.6%	1.9%	6.6%
	3	26	12	14
		5.9%	4.3%	8.6%
	4	21	9	12
		4.7%	3.3%	7.2%
	5	18	6	12
		4.1%	2.1%	7.6%
	6	12	6	5
		2.6%	2.2%	3.3%
	7	22	11	10
		4.9%	4.1%	6.3%
	8	27	21	6
		6.0%	7.4%	3.7%
	9	21	19	2
		4.6%	6.6%	1.2%
	10	32	28	4
		7.1%	9.9%	2.4%
	11	26	21	4
		5.7%	7.5%	2.7%
	12	27	23	3
		6.0%	8.3%	2.1%
	13	33	29	4
		7.4%	10.1%	2.6%
	14	39	39	0
		8.8%	13.8%	0.0%
	15	48	48	0
		10.7%	16.9%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
0	a	
1		A
2		A
3		
4		
5		A
6		
7		
8		
9	B	
10	B	
11	B	
12	B	
13	B	
14		a
15		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Years Lived in Los Altos				
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
0	52 11.6%	2 70.0%	15 53.8%	7 10.0%	10 17.4%	7 6.3%
1	28 6.3%	0 0.0%	3 9.2%	8 11.4%	2 4.2%	4 3.8%
2	16 3.6%	0 0.0%	1 3.5%	5 6.3%	2 3.3%	6 5.1%
3	26 5.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	11 14.3%	6 9.5%	2 1.7%
4	21 4.7%	0 0.0%	4 13.0%	8 11.2%	2 3.9%	4 3.7%
5	18 4.1%	0 0.0%	1 2.2%	4 4.7%	3 4.8%	6 5.0%
6	12 2.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 7.6%	2 1.6%
7	22 4.9%	1 30.0%	2 5.4%	7 8.8%	4 6.7%	6 5.5%
8	27 6.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 4.2%	6 10.4%	9 7.7%
9	21 4.6%	0 0.0%	1 2.6%	4 5.5%	3 4.4%	6 5.3%
10	32 7.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 7.1%	4 6.2%	10 8.8%
11	26 5.7%	0 0.0%	2 7.0%	6 7.6%	0 0.0%	4 4.0%
12	27 6.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.0%	5 8.0%	9 8.4%
13	33 7.4%	0 0.0%	1 3.3%	4 5.3%	2 4.0%	8 6.8%
14	39 8.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 2.7%	5 7.8%	15 13.4%
15	48 10.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.7%	14 12.9%

	Years Lived in Los Altos	
	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	167	4
0	10 5.7%	0 0.0%
1	10 6.1%	0 0.0%
2	2 1.3%	1 23.5%
3	7 4.0%	2 44.7%
4	3 1.6%	0 0.0%
5	6 3.5%	0 0.0%
6	6 3.3%	0 0.0%
7	3 1.6%	0 0.0%
8	9 5.4%	0 0.0%
9	7 4.4%	0 0.0%
10	13 7.9%	0 0.0%
11	13 7.5%	1 23.1%
12	12 7.3%	0 0.0%
13	18 10.8%	0 0.0%
14	18 10.6%	0 0.0%
15	32 19.1%	0 8.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos					
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	C E F	C D E F				
1	.a					
2	.a					
3	.a		E F			
4	.a	F	F			
5	.a					
6	.a	.a	.a			
7	F					
8	.a	.a				
9	.a					
10	.a	.a				
11	.a			a		
12	.a	.a				
13	.a					
14	.a	.a				
15	.a	.a	.a			D

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in .
	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(G)
0	.a
1	.a
2	F
3	E F
4	.a
5	.a
6	.a
7	.a
8	.a
9	.a
10	.a
11	
12	.a
13	.a
14	.a
15	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Employment Status						Dont work out of the home
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired		
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14	
0	52 11.6%	32 15.9%	0 0.0%	4 9.4%	13 66.1%	3 2.2%	0 0.0%	
1	28 6.3%	19 9.5%	1 3.4%	4 9.2%	2 11.3%	2 1.6%	0 0.0%	
2	16 3.6%	7 3.2%	1 3.2%	4 10.8%	0 0.0%	4 3.5%	0 0.0%	
3	26 5.9%	11 5.6%	0 0.0%	3 7.7%	2 10.1%	4 3.5%	2 11.8%	
4	21 4.7%	10 5.0%	2 10.0%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	3 2.1%	2 17.6%	
5	18 4.1%	13 6.4%	0 0.0%	2 5.1%	0 0.0%	1 0.6%	1 9.0%	
6	12 2.6%	4 1.9%	1 6.6%	1 2.9%	0 0.0%	4 3.1%	0 0.0%	
7	22 4.9%	12 5.9%	1 5.8%	1 2.4%	0 0.0%	4 3.4%	1 7.2%	
8	27 6.0%	18 9.0%	4 19.0%	1 2.2%	1 4.4%	2 1.9%	0 0.0%	
9	21 4.6%	14 6.7%	0 0.0%	2 5.8%	0 0.0%	2 1.6%	2 18.3%	
10	32 7.1%	14 6.7%	3 14.1%	3 8.2%	0 0.0%	10 7.8%	1 6.5%	
11	26 5.7%	11 5.5%	0 0.0%	3 6.8%	0 0.0%	7 5.6%	0 0.0%	
12	27 6.0%	12 6.0%	1 4.2%	3 6.5%	0 0.0%	8 6.3%	2 15.2%	
13	33 7.4%	8 3.9%	2 9.5%	4 9.3%	2 8.2%	17 13.2%	0 0.0%	
14	39 8.8%	8 4.0%	4 16.1%	2 5.1%	0 0.0%	23 18.1%	2 11.1%	
15	48 10.7%	10 4.8%	2 8.1%	2 5.3%	0 0.0%	32 25.5%	0 3.3%	

	Total	Employment Status	
		Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	16	4	
0	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
1	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
2	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
3	3 15.6%	1 31.7%	
4	2 13.9%	0 0.0%	
5	1 7.5%	0 0.0%	
6	0 0.0%	1 31.7%	
7	2 14.2%	0 0.0%	
8	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
9	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	
10	1 5.5%	0 0.0%	
11	4 23.8%	1 19.6%	
12	1 6.4%	0 0.0%	
13	1 4.1%	0 0.0%	
14	1 5.0%	0 0.0%	
15	1 4.0%	1 17.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status					
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	E	a		A C E		a
1	E					a
2				a		a
3		a				
4				a		
5		a		a		
6				a		a
7				a		
8		E				a
9		a		a		E
10						
11		a		a		a
12				a		
13					A	a
14				a	A	
15				a	A	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status	
	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(G)	(H)
0	a	a
1	a	a
2	a	a
3		
4		a
5		a
6	a	A E
7		a
8	a	a
9	a	a
10		a
11		
12		a
13		a
14		a
15		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	County Where Work						
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207	2
0	36	0	1	0	2	10	22	0
1	13.5%	0.0%	43.3%	0.0%	14.4%	32.7%	10.8%	0.0%
2	24	0	0	0	1	4	19	0
3	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	13.4%	9.2%	0.0%
4	12	0	0	0	0	1	10	0
5	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	4.6%	0.0%
6	14	0	0	0	0	2	13	0
7	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	6.2%	0.0%
8	14	1	0	0	0	0	11	0
9	5.2%	26.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	0.0%
10	15	0	0	0	1	0	14	0
11	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%
12	6	0	0	0	1	1	5	0
13	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	2.7%	2.3%	0.0%
14	14	0	0	0	2	1	12	0
15	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.0%	2.0%	5.6%	0.0%
0	23	2	0	0	3	1	17	0
1	8.8%	45.9%	0.0%	0.0%	20.7%	3.0%	8.3%	0.0%
2	16	0	0	1	1	2	9	2
3	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.4%	6.4%	4.5%	100.0%
4	20	1	1	0	0	1	17	0
5	7.6%	12.3%	56.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	8.4%	0.0%
6	14	0	0	0	0	1	13	0
7	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	6.1%	0.0%
8	16	0	0	0	1	2	11	0
9	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%	6.8%	5.2%	0.0%
10	14	0	0	0	1	1	13	0
11	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	2.2%	6.1%	0.0%
12	14	0	0	0	0	4	10	0
13	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.6%	4.9%	0.0%
14	14	1	0	0	1	1	12	0
15	5.2%	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%	2.2%	5.6%	0.0%

	County Where Work		
	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	0	5	2
0	0 0.0%	1 25.7%	0 0.0%
1	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
2	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 45.1%
3	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
4	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 54.9%
5	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
6	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
7	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
8	0 0.0%	1 18.2%	0 0.0%
9	0 0.0%	1 14.6%	0 0.0%
10	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
11	0 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
12	0 0.0%	2 41.5%	0 0.0%
13	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
14	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
15	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work							
	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
0	a		a,b		F		a	a,b
1	a	a	a,b				a	a,b
2	a	a	a,b	a			a	a,b
3	a	a	a,b	a			a	a,b
4	a	a	a,b	a	a		a	a,b
5	a	a	a,b		a		a	a,b
6	a	a	a,b				a	a,b
7	a	a	a,b				a	a,b
8	E F	a	a,b				a	a,b
9	a	a	a,b				a	a,b
10		E	a,b	a			a	a,b
11	a	a	a,b	a			a	a,b
12	a	a	a,b				a	a,b
13	a	a	a,b				a	a,b
14	a	a	a,b	a			a	a,b
15	a	a	a,b				a	a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where ..	
	Other	DK/NA
	(I)	(J)
0		a
1	a	a
2	a	F
3	a	a
4	a	F
5	a	a
6	a	a
7	a	a
8		a
9		a
10	a	a
11	a	a
12	F	a
13	a	a
14	a	a
15	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Group				
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
0	52 11.6%	0 0.0%	2 9.2%	0 0.0%	6 28.5%	2 51.6%
1	28 6.3%	0 0.0%	2 9.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
2	16 3.6%	0 0.0%	2 7.1%	0 0.0%	1 3.4%	0 0.0%
3	26 5.9%	0 0.0%	6 24.4%	0 0.0%	3 14.5%	0 0.0%
4	21 4.7%	0 0.0%	2 8.5%	1 27.3%	4 19.0%	0 0.0%
5	18 4.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.0%	0 0.0%
6	12 2.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 15.7%
7	22 4.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 12.6%	0 0.0%
8	27 6.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.7%	0 0.0%	1 4.7%	0 0.0%
9	21 4.6%	0 0.0%	1 3.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
10	32 7.1%	1 100.0%	3 9.6%	0 0.0%	1 4.0%	0 0.0%
11	26 5.7%	0 0.0%	4 13.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
12	27 6.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.1%	0 0.0%	1 3.4%	0 0.0%
13	33 7.4%	0 0.0%	2 7.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 18.0%
14	39 8.8%	0 0.0%	1 2.1%	4 72.7%	1 4.9%	0 0.0%
15	48 10.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.7%

	Total	Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
Total	1	2	5	330	11	
0	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	29 8.9%	4 38.0%	
1	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 44.5%	18 5.6%	2 16.6%	
2	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.7%	9 2.8%	1 9.1%	
3	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 4.1%	1 10.0%	
4	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 4.2%	0 0.0%	
5	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%	16 4.8%	0 0.0%	
6	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 2.5%	0 0.0%	
7	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	17 5.0%	1 11.9%	
8	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	24 7.3%	0 0.0%	
9	0 0.0%	1 47.9%	0 0.0%	16 5.0%	0 0.0%	
10	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	26 7.8%	0 0.0%	
11	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	18 5.6%	1 6.9%	
12	0 0.0%	1 52.1%	0 0.0%	21 6.5%	0 0.0%	
13	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	28 8.5%	0 0.0%	
14	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	28 8.5%	0 0.0%	
15	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%	42 12.8%	1 7.4%	

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	16	5	20
0	6 33.9%	2 47.0%	0 0.0%
1	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 16.0%
2	2 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
3	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%
4	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.8%
6	1 3.1%	0 0.0%	2 11.4%
7	1 3.7%	1 17.5%	0 0.0%
8	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.3%
9	1 4.4%	1 15.2%	1 4.4%
10	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 7.7%
11	1 5.9%	1 20.4%	1 4.1%
12	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 13.9%
13	1 7.5%	0 0.0%	1 3.5%
14	3 20.7%	0 0.0%	3 12.9%
15	1 7.4%	0 0.0%	2 10.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group				
	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0	a,b		b		
1	a,b		b	b	b
2	a,b		b		b
3	a,b		b		b
4	a,b				b
5	a,b	b	b		b
6	a,b	b	b	b	
7	a,b	b	b		b
8	a,b		b		b
9	a,b		b	b	b
10	a,b		b		b
11	a,b		b	b	b
12	a,b		b	b	b
13	a,b		b	b	b
14	a,b		B D		b
15	a,b	b	b	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group				
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
0	a,b	b	b		
1	a,b	b			
2	a,b	b			
3	a,b	b	b		
4	a,b	b	b		b
5	a,b	b			b
6	a,b	b	b		b
7	a,b	b	b		
8	a,b	b	b		b
9	a,b		b		b
10	a,b	b	b		b
11	a,b	b	b		
12	a,b		b		b
13	a,b	b	b		b
14	a,b	b	b		b
15	a,b	b			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(K)	(L)	(M)
0	.	.	b
1	b	b	.
2	.	b	.
3	b	b	.
4	b	b	b
5	b	b	.
6	.	b	.
L. Times Voted in Last Elections	.	b	b
8	b	b	.
9	.	b	.
10	b	b	.
11	.	b	.
12	b	b	.
13	.	b	.
14	.	b	.
15	.	b	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Zip Code	
		94022	94024
Total	446	203	243
0	52 11.6%	22 10.8%	30 12.2%
1	28 6.3%	13 6.2%	15 6.3%
2	16 3.6%	9 4.5%	7 2.9%
3	26 5.9%	14 6.8%	13 5.1%
4	21 4.7%	4 2.2%	17 6.8%
5	18 4.1%	6 3.2%	12 4.9%
6	12 2.6%	6 3.1%	5 2.2%
L. Times Voted in Last Elections	22 4.9%	10 5.0%	12 4.8%
8	27 6.0%	15 7.3%	12 4.9%
9	21 4.6%	7 3.3%	14 5.7%
10	32 7.1%	16 7.8%	16 6.6%
11	26 5.7%	11 5.4%	15 6.0%
12	27 6.0%	15 7.5%	12 4.8%
13	33 7.4%	14 7.0%	19 7.7%
14	39 8.8%	18 9.0%	21 8.6%
15	48 10.7%	22 10.9%	25 10.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Zip Code	
	94022	94024
	(A)	(B)
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		A
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
L. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	446	20	422	3
	0	52	3	45	3
	1	28	3	25	0
	2	16	2	14	0
	3	26	3	23	0
	4	21	1	20	0
	5	18	0	18	0
	6	12	1	10	0
	7	22	0	22	0
	8	27	0	27	0
	9	21	0	21	0
	10	32	2	30	0
	11	26	1	25	0
	12	27	1	26	0
	13	33	3	30	0
	14	39	1	38	0
15	48	0	48	0	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0			a
1			a
2			a
3			a
4			a
5	a		a
6			a
7	a		a
8	a		a
9	a		a
10			a
11			a
12			a
13			a
14			a
15	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	446	90	64	123	168
0	52	21	3	11	16
	11.6%	23.5%	5.1%	9.0%	9.5%
1	28	8	2	5	13
	6.3%	8.6%	3.1%	3.8%	8.0%
2	16	6	1	4	5
	3.6%	7.1%	0.8%	3.4%	3.0%
3	26	5	1	9	11
	5.9%	5.4%	1.4%	7.6%	6.7%
4	21	5	1	3	13
	4.7%	5.2%	1.9%	2.1%	7.5%
5	18	6	2	5	5
	4.1%	6.8%	3.2%	4.3%	2.9%
6	12	1	1	5	5
	2.6%	1.0%	1.7%	4.0%	2.8%
7	22	7	3	1	11
	4.9%	7.3%	4.3%	1.0%	6.6%
8	27	5	2	7	13
	6.0%	5.6%	2.5%	5.5%	7.9%
9	21	5	1	5	9
	4.6%	5.8%	1.9%	4.3%	5.2%
10	32	5	9	4	13
	7.1%	5.6%	14.1%	3.6%	7.9%
11	26	2	4	10	10
	5.7%	2.4%	5.6%	7.9%	6.0%
12	27	3	4	10	10
	6.0%	3.6%	6.1%	7.7%	6.0%
13	33	3	10	10	9
	7.4%	3.7%	15.3%	8.4%	5.5%
14	39	5	7	16	11
	8.8%	5.4%	11.1%	13.1%	6.5%
15	48	3	14	17	14
	10.7%	3.0%	21.9%	14.1%	8.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
0	B C D			
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10		C		
11				
12				
13				
14				
15		A D	A	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
0	52	52	0	0	0	0
	11.6%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1	28	0	28	0	0	0
	6.3%	0.0%	39.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2	16	0	16	0	0	0
	3.6%	0.0%	23.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
3	26	0	26	0	0	0
	5.9%	0.0%	37.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
4	21	0	0	21	0	0
	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	41.2%	0.0%	0.0%
5	18	0	0	18	0	0
	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	35.9%	0.0%	0.0%
6	12	0	0	12	0	0
	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	22.9%	0.0%	0.0%
7	22	0	0	0	22	0
	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	31.5%	0.0%
8	27	0	0	0	27	0
	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	38.8%	0.0%
9	21	0	0	0	21	0
	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	29.8%	0.0%
10	32	0	0	0	0	32
	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.6%
11	26	0	0	0	0	26
	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.6%
12	27	0	0	0	0	27
	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.1%
13	33	0	0	0	0	33
	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.1%
14	39	0	0	0	0	39
	8.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.2%
15	48	0	0	0	0	48
	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0	a	a	a	a	a
1	a	.	a	a	a
2	a	.	a	a	a
3	a	.	a	a	a
4	a	a	.	a	a
5	a	.	a	a	a
6	a	.	a	a	a
7	a	a	a	.	a
8	a	a	a	.	a
9	a	a	a	.	a
10	a	a	a	a	.
11	a	a	a	a	.
12	a	a	a	a	.
13	a	a	a	a	.
14	a	a	a	a	.
15	a	a	a	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2
0	52 11.6%	11 8.8%	7 9.7%	0 0.0%	4 7.3%	11 20.2%	13 17.4%	0 0.0%
1	28 6.3%	11 9.5%	4 4.8%	2 3.5%	1 1.4%	5 10.0%	5 6.7%	0 0.0%
2	16 3.6%	6 4.6%	3 4.4%	1 2.3%	1 2.5%	0 0.0%	5 6.5%	0 0.0%
3	26 5.9%	5 3.9%	4 5.9%	0 0.0%	3 6.0%	7 14.0%	6 8.7%	0 0.0%
4	21 4.7%	6 4.6%	3 4.2%	0 0.0%	3 6.2%	5 9.0%	3 4.3%	1 58.4%
5	18 4.1%	2 1.7%	3 4.0%	3 4.7%	0 0.0%	3 6.2%	7 10.1%	0 0.0%
6	12 2.6%	0 0.0%	1 1.9%	3 5.7%	1 1.7%	2 4.0%	3 4.0%	0 0.0%
7	22 4.9%	3 2.5%	3 4.5%	2 3.0%	8 14.3%	0 0.0%	5 6.7%	0 0.0%
8	27 6.0%	13 10.6%	3 4.2%	3 5.1%	1 1.9%	3 5.3%	3 4.7%	1 41.6%
9	21 4.6%	7 5.7%	3 4.5%	2 3.5%	2 3.1%	2 3.4%	4 6.1%	0 0.0%
10	32 7.2%	7 5.7%	8 10.2%	4 7.9%	7 12.1%	2 3.9%	4 5.0%	0 0.0%
11	25 5.6%	6 4.8%	4 5.7%	2 3.5%	5 9.1%	6 12.0%	2 2.1%	0 0.0%
12	26 5.8%	8 6.5%	4 5.9%	10 17.8%	1 1.7%	0 0.0%	3 3.4%	0 0.0%
13	33 7.4%	9 7.5%	8 10.6%	5 8.3%	4 8.3%	4 7.0%	3 4.2%	0 0.0%
14	39 8.8%	13 10.5%	6 7.6%	10 17.8%	5 8.7%	2 3.5%	3 4.4%	0 0.0%
15	48 10.7%	16 13.1%	9 11.8%	9 17.1%	9 15.8%	1 1.6%	4 5.5%	0 0.0%

	Party by	
	Male	Oth
Total	10	
0	6	60.1%
1	0	0.0%
2	0	0.0%
3	0	0.0%
4	0	0.0%
5	0	0.0%
6	1	10.6%
7	1	10.1%
8	0	0.0%
9	0	0.0%
10	1	5.4%
11	0	0.0%
12	0	0.0%
13	0	0.0%
14	1	9.6%
15	0	0.0%

L. Times Voted in Last Elections

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
0			a				a,b	A B D F
1			.				a,b	a
2			.		a		a,b	a
3			a				a,b	a
4			a				b	a
5			.	a			a,b	a
6	a		.				a,b	.
7			.	A	a		a,b	.
8			.				b	a
9			.				a,b	.
10			.				a,b	.
11			.				a,b	a
12			D		a		a,b	a
13			.				a,b	a
14			.				a,b	.
15			.				a,b	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	446	446
0	99 22.3%	99 22.3%
1	46 10.3%	46 10.3%
2	28 6.4%	28 6.4%
3	22 5.0%	22 5.0%
4	17 3.8%	17 3.8%
5	21 4.8%	21 4.8%
6	15 3.4%	15 3.4%
M. Absentee Voter 7	20 4.5%	20 4.5%
8	21 4.8%	21 4.8%
9	11 2.4%	11 2.4%
10	23 5.2%	23 5.2%
11	23 5.2%	23 5.2%
12	33 7.4%	33 7.4%
13	29 6.4%	29 6.4%
14	19 4.2%	19 4.2%
15	18 4.1%	18 4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	(A)
0	.
1	.
2	.
3	.
4	.
5	.
6	.
M. Absentee Voter 7	.
8	.
9	.
10	.
11	.
12	.
13	.
14	.
15	.

Results are based on two-sided tests.
 For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	446	213	231	2
0	99 22.3%	54 25.2%	45 19.7%	0 0.0%
1	46 10.3%	18 8.5%	28 12.0%	0 0.0%
2	28 6.4%	16 7.3%	13 5.5%	0 0.0%
3	22 5.0%	9 4.2%	13 5.8%	0 0.0%
4	17 3.8%	7 3.5%	10 4.2%	0 0.0%
5	21 4.8%	14 6.5%	7 3.2%	0 0.0%
6	15 3.4%	12 5.7%	3 1.2%	0 0.0%
M. Absentee Voter 7	20 4.5%	10 4.7%	10 4.3%	0 0.0%
8	21 4.8%	3 1.5%	17 7.5%	1 41.3%
9	11 2.4%	9 4.0%	2 0.9%	0 0.0%
10	23 5.2%	8 3.7%	15 6.7%	0 0.0%
11	23 5.2%	11 5.2%	12 5.1%	0 0.0%
12	33 7.4%	10 4.7%	22 9.5%	1 58.7%
13	29 6.4%	14 6.5%	15 6.4%	0 0.0%
14	19 4.2%	9 4.1%	10 4.3%	0 0.0%
15	18 4.1%	10 4.7%	8 3.5%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0			a
1			a
2			a
3			a
4			a
5			a
6	B		a
7			a
M. Absentee Voter 8		A	A
9	B		a
10			a
11			a
12			A
13			a
14			a
15			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
0	99 22.3%	38 64.4%	14 41.1%	16 20.0%	22 15.7%	8 6.3%	1 12.2%
1	46 10.3%	9 15.9%	2 6.7%	12 15.1%	12 8.6%	10 7.9%	0 0.0%
2	28 6.4%	0 0.0%	2 6.7%	10 12.1%	11 7.6%	6 4.7%	0 0.0%
3	22 5.0%	0 0.0%	5 14.5%	9 11.5%	6 4.4%	2 1.5%	0 0.0%
4	17 3.8%	5 8.4%	1 3.0%	7 9.1%	3 2.0%	1 0.6%	0 0.0%
5	21 4.8%	0 0.0%	4 10.2%	6 7.3%	7 5.1%	5 3.9%	0 0.0%
6	15 3.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 6.0%	5 3.4%	5 4.4%	0 0.0%
M. Absentee Voter 7	20 4.5%	3 5.4%	3 8.5%	6 6.9%	5 3.8%	3 2.4%	0 0.0%
8	21 4.8%	2 3.0%	1 4.2%	1 1.3%	15 10.6%	2 1.8%	0 0.0%
9	11 2.4%	0 0.0%	1 2.9%	1 1.2%	4 2.6%	5 4.0%	0 0.0%
10	23 5.2%	2 2.9%	0 0.0%	4 4.4%	10 7.2%	8 6.3%	0 0.0%
11	23 5.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 3.9%	5 3.8%	12 9.9%	2 31.2%
12	33 7.4%	0 0.0%	1 2.1%	0 0.0%	14 9.7%	18 14.7%	1 9.4%
13	29 6.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 1.3%	7 5.3%	19 15.4%	1 12.9%
14	19 4.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 5.7%	8 6.6%	2 34.3%
15	18 4.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 4.5%	12 9.6%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29 (A)	30-39 (B)	40-49 (C)	50-64 (D)	65+ (E)	Not coded (F)
0	C D E	D E	E			a
1	a					a
2	a					a
3	a	E	E			a
4	E		E			a
5	a					a
6	a	a				a
M. Absentee Voter 7						a
8				E		a
9	a					a
10		a				a
11	a	a				C D
12	a		a			
13	a	a			C D	
14	a	a	a			D E
15	a	a	a			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	446	357	80	9
0	99	63	30	5
1	22.3%	17.7%	37.9%	61.5%
2	46	40	4	2
3	10.3%	11.3%	4.7%	18.5%
4	28	28	0	0
5	6.4%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%
6	22	12	10	0
7	5.0%	3.4%	12.8%	0.0%
8	17	14	3	0
9	3.8%	3.9%	3.8%	0.0%
10	21	18	4	0
11	4.8%	5.0%	4.6%	0.0%
12	15	11	4	0
13	3.4%	3.1%	4.8%	0.0%
14	20	13	7	0
15	4.5%	3.5%	9.2%	0.0%
M. Absentee Voter	21	17	4	1
0	4.8%	4.7%	4.8%	9.3%
1	11	10	0	0
2	2.4%	2.9%	0.0%	2.9%
3	23	23	0	0
4	5.2%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%
5	23	19	4	0
6	5.2%	5.4%	4.6%	0.0%
7	33	24	9	0
8	7.4%	6.8%	10.9%	2.1%
9	29	27	2	0
10	6.4%	7.5%	2.0%	2.1%
11	19	19	0	0
12	4.2%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%
13	18	18	0	0
14	4.1%	5.0%	0.0%	3.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0		A	A
1			
2		.a	.a
3		A	.a
4			.a
5			.a
6			.a
7		A	.a
M. Absentee Voter			
8			
9		.a	.a
10		.a	.a
11			.a
12			
13			
14		.a	.a
15		.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	446	197	110	12	127
0	99 22.3%	40 20.1%	12 11.3%	7 59.7%	40 31.5%
1	46 10.3%	28 14.5%	9 8.1%	0 0.0%	8 6.5%
2	28 6.4%	9 4.7%	11 10.0%	0 0.0%	8 6.5%
3	22 5.0%	10 4.8%	3 2.4%	0 3.6%	10 7.6%
4	17 3.8%	6 3.2%	2 2.1%	1 8.4%	7 5.8%
5	21 4.8%	8 4.2%	3 2.9%	2 13.3%	8 6.6%
6	15 3.4%	1 0.4%	7 6.3%	1 9.1%	6 4.9%
M. Absentee Voter 7	20 4.5%	8 4.0%	6 5.1%	0 0.0%	6 5.1%
8	21 4.8%	11 5.7%	4 3.3%	1 6.0%	6 4.5%
9	11 2.4%	2 0.9%	4 3.4%	0 0.0%	5 4.0%
10	23 5.2%	13 6.5%	7 6.7%	0 0.0%	3 2.4%
11	23 5.2%	10 5.2%	8 7.5%	0 0.0%	5 3.5%
12	33 7.4%	18 8.9%	13 12.1%	0 0.0%	2 1.8%
13	29 6.4%	16 8.0%	8 7.3%	0 0.0%	5 3.8%
14	19 4.2%	10 5.0%	5 4.9%	0 0.0%	3 2.7%
15	18 4.1%	8 3.8%	7 6.6%	0 0.0%	3 2.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
0			A B	B
1			.a	
2			.a	
3				
4				
5				
6		A	A	A
M. Absentee Voter 7			.a	
8				
9			.a	
10			.a	
11			.a	
12	D	D	.a	
13			.a	
14			.a	
15			.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
0	99 22.3%	18 24.3%	14 19.9%	1 2.9%	1 3.1%	36 26.0%	29 31.8%
1	46 10.3%	9 12.0%	9 13.3%	4 11.5%	3 8.1%	12 8.8%	8 9.2%
2	28 6.4%	4 4.7%	1 1.8%	6 17.6%	2 5.6%	8 5.4%	8 9.2%
3	22 5.0%	2 2.4%	8 11.3%	3 8.3%	0 0.0%	6 4.1%	4 4.8%
4	17 3.8%	1 1.4%	1 1.0%	0 0.0%	2 5.7%	9 6.2%	4 4.9%
5	21 4.8%	4 5.6%	2 3.0%	0 0.0%	2 5.9%	6 4.1%	7 7.7%
6	15 3.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 4.3%	4 9.4%	6 4.0%	4 4.6%
M. Absentee Voter	20 4.5%	3 4.1%	1 1.0%	3 9.8%	1 2.0%	8 5.6%	4 4.9%
7	21 4.8%	3 3.4%	6 8.4%	1 2.7%	2 4.8%	7 4.9%	3 3.8%
8	11 2.4%	2 2.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 5.8%	3 2.2%	3 3.9%
9	23 5.2%	5 6.8%	2 3.4%	1 4.2%	2 4.4%	10 6.9%	3 3.4%
10	23 5.2%	6 7.5%	4 5.7%	3 9.4%	2 6.2%	4 2.9%	4 4.3%
11	33 7.4%	6 7.7%	6 8.6%	3 8.9%	7 18.5%	11 7.5%	1 0.9%
12	29 6.4%	8 10.7%	6 8.9%	3 10.9%	2 6.2%	8 5.4%	1 0.9%
13	19 4.2%	3 3.6%	5 7.7%	2 4.8%	2 4.3%	6 4.1%	2 1.9%
14	18 4.1%	3 3.6%	4 6.0%	2 4.7%	4 10.0%	3 1.8%	3 3.8%
15							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
0					D	C D
1						
2						
3				a		
4			a			
5			a			
6	a	a				
M. Absentee Voter						
7						
8						
9		a	a			
10						
11						
12				F		
13						
14						
15						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
Total	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
0	99 22.3%	67 53.1%	4 7.0%	4 7.0%	7 14.1%	4 12.2%	5 14.1%
1	46 10.3%	17 13.3%	8 16.4%	6 9.4%	6 11.6%	1 2.1%	2 6.8%
2	28 6.4%	11 8.8%	3 6.1%	1 2.2%	1 2.3%	2 4.5%	2 5.5%
3	22 5.0%	7 5.2%	8 14.9%	4 7.0%	2 4.1%	1 2.1%	0 0.0%
4	17 3.8%	4 3.2%	8 15.3%	1 2.2%	3 5.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5	21 4.8%	1 0.8%	4 7.4%	9 14.3%	2 4.4%	3 9.4%	0 0.0%
6	15 3.4%	0 0.0%	5 10.5%	3 5.5%	1 1.3%	2 4.9%	2 4.4%
M. Absentee Voter 7	20 4.5%	0 0.0%	4 7.9%	6 10.1%	4 8.5%	4 10.5%	0 0.0%
8	21 4.8%	2 2.0%	1 1.9%	6 9.4%	2 4.8%	6 15.7%	2 6.9%
9	11 2.4%	0 0.0%	1 1.9%	4 6.7%	2 4.2%	1 2.1%	1 3.1%
10	23 5.2%	3 2.1%	2 3.8%	4 6.4%	3 6.2%	2 4.7%	2 6.7%
11	23 5.2%	4 3.4%	1 2.6%	2 2.8%	2 4.0%	3 9.2%	3 9.3%
12	33 7.4%	2 1.5%	0 0.0%	6 9.2%	7 14.7%	1 3.2%	4 12.3%
13	29 6.4%	6 4.6%	2 4.1%	4 6.3%	3 5.3%	4 11.4%	1 1.9%
14	19 4.2%	1 0.5%	0 0.0%	1 0.9%	3 5.4%	3 8.1%	4 11.7%
15	18 4.1%	2 1.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.8%	2 3.7%	0 0.0%	6 17.2%

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	46	38
0	2 4.0%	6 15.5%
1	5 10.4%	1 2.5%
2	5 11.0%	3 7.8%
3	0 0.0%	1 2.3%
4	1 2.7%	0 0.0%
5	2 3.5%	1 1.5%
6	1 1.6%	2 4.0%
M. Absentee Voter 7	1 1.7%	1 2.1%
8	1 1.5%	1 2.1%
9	0 0.0%	2 4.1%
10	5 11.7%	2 5.3%
11	3 5.9%	4 11.2%
12	9 19.0%	4 9.9%
13	5 10.8%	4 11.2%
14	4 7.7%	4 10.6%
15	4 8.6%	4 10.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date						
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
0	BCDEFGH						
1							
2							
3						a	a
4		A			a	a	a
5			A			a	
6	a						
7	a					a	
M. Absentee Voter					A		
8							a
9							
10							
11		a		A			A
12							
13		a					
14						A	
15		a				AC	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration ...
	1980 or before
	(H)
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	a
5	
6	
M. Absentee Voter	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	A
15	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date								
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9	
Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24	
0	99	10	2	45	6	1	4	29	2	
1	22.3%	12.3%	9.0%	23.7%	16.1%	6.6%	29.3%	44.3%	9.4%	
2	46	10	0	24	1	1	1	5	4	
3	10.3%	12.7%	0.0%	12.4%	2.5%	6.3%	6.9%	7.9%	17.4%	
4	28	3	2	11	6	0	0	5	2	
5	6.4%	3.5%	9.3%	5.7%	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.4%	7.7%	
6	22	5	1	12	1	0	0	1	2	
7	5.0%	6.3%	3.2%	6.3%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	8.7%	
8	17	1	0	9	1	0	1	4	0	
9	3.8%	1.6%	0.0%	4.9%	2.6%	0.0%	8.8%	6.2%	0.0%	
M. Absentee Voter	21	4	0	8	2	2	0	4	1	
10	4.8%	5.1%	0.0%	4.3%	6.0%	14.9%	0.0%	5.9%	5.5%	
11	15	3	2	4	1	1	1	4	0	
12	3.4%	3.5%	7.9%	2.2%	2.2%	5.9%	5.4%	5.8%	0.0%	
13	20	5	2	8	2	0	2	1	0	
14	4.5%	6.3%	7.8%	4.4%	4.6%	0.0%	13.9%	1.5%	0.0%	
15	21	5	1	6	5	1	0	3	0	
16	4.8%	6.4%	3.7%	3.4%	14.5%	5.9%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	
17	11	2	2	4	0	0	0	2	2	
18	2.4%	2.2%	9.2%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	6.8%	
19	23	4	1	12	2	0	2	2	1	
20	5.2%	4.5%	3.6%	6.3%	6.5%	0.0%	15.1%	2.5%	2.8%	
21	23	5	1	10	1	2	0	2	2	
22	5.2%	6.7%	4.9%	5.3%	2.7%	13.6%	0.0%	3.3%	6.7%	
23	33	3	3	16	5	1	1	2	2	
24	7.4%	3.8%	14.9%	8.5%	13.5%	7.8%	4.2%	2.6%	10.5%	
25	29	9	4	9	1	1	1	1	2	
26	6.4%	11.1%	18.3%	4.7%	3.2%	10.6%	6.7%	1.3%	9.3%	
27	19	7	1	5	1	2	1	0	2	
28	4.2%	8.2%	4.5%	2.7%	2.9%	18.0%	4.3%	0.0%	8.1%	
29	18	5	1	6	1	1	1	1	2	
30	4.1%	5.9%	3.7%	3.2%	4.0%	10.4%	5.2%	1.8%	7.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date							
	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
0							A C	
1		a						
2					a	a		
3					a	a		
4		a			a			a
5		a				a		
6								a
7					a	a		a
M. Absentee Voter								a
8						a		a
9				a	a	a		
10					a			
11						a		
12								
13								
14							a	
15								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
Total	446	349	97
0	99 22.3%	43 12.3%	56 57.9%
1	46 10.3%	20 5.7%	26 26.7%
2	28 6.4%	18 5.1%	11 11.1%
3	22 5.0%	22 6.2%	1 0.8%
4	17 3.8%	16 4.5%	1 1.3%
5	21 4.8%	19 5.5%	2 2.3%
6	15 3.4%	15 4.3%	0 0.0%
M. Absentee Voter	20 4.5%	20 5.7%	0 0.0%
7	21 4.8%	21 6.1%	0 0.0%
8	11 2.4%	11 3.1%	0 0.0%
9	23 5.2%	23 6.7%	0 0.0%
10	23 5.2%	23 6.6%	0 0.0%
11	33 7.4%	33 9.5%	0 0.0%
12	29 6.4%	29 8.2%	0 0.0%
13	19 4.2%	19 5.4%	0 0.0%
14	18 4.1%	18 5.2%	0 0.0%
15			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
0		A
1		A
2		A
3	B	
4		
5		
6		a
7		a
M. Absentee Voter		a
8		a
9		a
10		a
11		a
12		a
13		a
14		a
15		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	282	164
0	99 22.3%	15 5.3%	84 51.6%
1	46 10.3%	16 5.6%	30 18.3%
2	28 6.4%	13 4.6%	15 9.4%
3	22 5.0%	13 4.6%	9 5.6%
4	17 3.8%	9 3.3%	8 4.7%
5	21 4.8%	15 5.2%	7 4.1%
6	15 3.4%	9 3.3%	6 3.4%
M. Absentee Voter	20 4.5%	18 6.4%	2 1.2%
8	21 4.8%	20 6.9%	2 1.1%
9	11 2.4%	10 3.5%	1 0.5%
10	23 5.2%	23 8.2%	0 0.0%
11	23 5.2%	23 8.1%	0 0.0%
12	33 7.4%	33 11.8%	0 0.0%
13	29 6.4%	29 10.1%	0 0.0%
14	19 4.2%	19 6.6%	0 0.0%
15	18 4.1%	18 6.4%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
0		A
1		A
2		A
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	B	
8	B	
9	B	
10		.a
11		.a
12		.a
13		.a
14		.a
15		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^b

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	167
0	99	2	17	26	18	14	21
1	22.3%	70.0%	59.3%	35.6%	31.2%	12.8%	12.2%
2	46	0	3	6	4	10	21
3	10.3%	0.0%	11.7%	7.6%	6.6%	9.2%	12.6%
4	28	0	2	8	5	4	9
5	6.4%	0.0%	8.0%	10.6%	9.1%	3.3%	5.6%
6	22	0	1	12	1	4	4
7	5.0%	0.0%	3.7%	16.5%	2.3%	3.3%	2.4%
8	17	0	1	3	4	6	3
9	3.8%	0.0%	4.8%	4.2%	6.2%	5.4%	1.7%
10	21	1	1	4	6	5	5
11	4.8%	30.0%	2.2%	4.8%	11.0%	4.8%	2.7%
12	15	0	0	0	5	5	5
13	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%	4.9%	3.0%
14	20	0	0	6	2	3	10
15	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7.5%	2.8%	2.4%	6.1%
M. Absentee Voter	21	0	1	0	1	10	8
0	4.8%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	1.9%	9.4%	4.9%
1	11	0	0	1	2	2	6
2	2.4%	0.0%	0.9%	1.3%	3.0%	1.4%	3.6%
3	23	0	2	2	1	7	11
4	5.2%	0.0%	6.1%	3.1%	2.1%	6.6%	6.4%
5	23	0	0	3	1	5	15
6	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	1.1%	4.4%	8.9%
7	33	0	0	1	3	16	13
8	7.4%	0.0%	0.7%	2.0%	5.1%	14.2%	7.7%
9	29	0	0	2	3	8	16
10	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	5.7%	6.9%	9.5%
11	19	0	0	1	2	6	9
12	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	4.1%	5.6%	5.5%
13	18	0	0	0	0	6	12
14	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	7.1%
15	18	0	0	0	0	6	12

	Years Lived in Los Altos
	Not sure/ DK /NA
Total	4
0	1 23.5%
1	2 44.7%
2	0 0.0%
3	0 0.0%
4	0 0.0%
5	0 0.0%
6	0 0.0%
M. Absentee Voter 7	0 0.0%
8	1 23.1%
9	0 0.0%
10	0 0.0%
11	0 0.0%
12	0 0.0%
13	0 0.0%
14	0 0.0%
15	0 8.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos						
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
0		E F	E F	F			
1	a						
2	a						a
3	a		E F				a
4	a						a
5							a
6	a	a	a				a
7	a	a					a
M. Absentee Voter 8	a		a				a
9	a						a
10	a						a
11	a	a					a
12	a						a
13	a	a					a
14	a	a					a
15	a	a	a	a			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Employment Status						
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
0	99	61	1	6	17	11	0
	22.3%	30.1%	6.6%	13.7%	87.4%	8.4%	0.0%
1	46	21	4	7	0	10	4
	10.3%	10.6%	17.5%	17.1%	0.0%	7.7%	27.1%
2	28	12	1	4	0	9	0
	6.4%	6.1%	3.2%	10.7%	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%
3	22	11	2	1	0	4	2
	5.0%	5.4%	10.0%	2.9%	0.0%	2.9%	13.0%
4	17	7	1	1	0	3	2
	3.8%	3.3%	5.9%	3.3%	0.0%	2.4%	17.5%
5	21	15	1	2	0	3	1
	4.8%	7.1%	4.1%	5.1%	0.0%	2.2%	9.0%
6	15	8	0	1	0	4	0
	3.4%	3.9%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%
M. Absentee Voter 7	20	12	2	3	0	3	0
	4.5%	6.0%	9.2%	6.5%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%
8	21	11	3	3	1	1	1
	4.8%	5.5%	13.5%	6.4%	4.4%	1.2%	5.4%
9	11	4	0	2	0	4	0
	2.4%	2.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
10	23	11	1	2	0	7	1
	5.2%	5.3%	5.4%	5.6%	0.0%	5.7%	6.5%
11	23	4	0	1	0	14	0
	5.2%	2.2%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	11.0%	0.0%
12	33	12	1	3	1	16	1
	7.4%	5.7%	4.2%	6.5%	3.8%	12.9%	7.1%
13	29	5	1	4	1	17	0
	6.4%	2.6%	6.4%	8.8%	4.4%	13.7%	0.0%
14	19	4	2	1	0	10	2
	4.2%	1.9%	10.4%	1.5%	0.0%	7.5%	11.1%
15	18	5	1	0	0	10	0
	4.1%	2.3%	3.6%	1.2%	0.0%	8.2%	3.3%

	Employment Status	
	Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total	16	4
0	4	0
	21.7%	0.0%
1	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
2	2	0
	14.9%	0.0%
3	1	1
	7.4%	31.7%
4	2	0
	13.9%	0.0%
5	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
6	0	1
	0.0%	31.7%
M. Absentee Voter 7	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
8	1	1
	4.2%	19.6%
9	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
10	1	0
	5.5%	0.0%
11	4	0
	23.4%	0.0%
12	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
13	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%
14	1	0
	5.0%	0.0%
15	1	1
	4.0%	17.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employment Status						
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Don't work out of the home	Not currently employed
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
0	E			A B C E G		a	
1				a			a
2				a		a	
3				a			
4				a			
5				a			a
6		a		a		a	a
7				a		a	a
8				a			a
9		a		a		a	a
10				a			
11		a		a	A	a	A
12							a
13					A	a	a
14				a			
15				a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Employ.
	DK / NA
	(H)
0	a
1	a
2	a
3	a
4	a
5	a
6	A E
7	a
8	
9	a
10	a
11	a
12	a
13	a
14	a
15	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	County Where Work							
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207	2	0
0	68	0	1	0	2	15	46	2	0
1	32	0	1	0	1	3	28	0	0
2	17	1	0	1	1	0	13	0	0
3	14	0	0	0	0	2	13	0	0
4	9	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0
5	17	1	0	0	1	2	14	0	0
6	9	0	0	0	3	0	6	0	0
7	17	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0
8	17	2	0	0	3	0	11	0	0
9	6	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0
10	14	0	0	0	1	1	13	0	0
11	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
12	15	1	0	0	1	3	9	0	0
13	10	0	0	0	1	1	9	0	0
14	7	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0
15	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0

	County Where	
	Other	DK/NA
Total	5	2
0	1 25.7%	1 54.9%
1	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
2	0 0.0%	1 45.1%
3	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
4	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
6	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
M. Absentee Voter	1 14.6%	0 0.0%
8	1 18.2%	0 0.0%
9	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
10	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
11	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
12	2 41.5%	0 0.0%
13	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
14	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
15	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work								
	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
0	a		a,b		F		a	a,b	
1	a		a,b				a	a,b	a
2		a	a,b		a		a	a,b	a
3	a	a	a,b	a			a	a,b	a
4	a	a	a,b	a	a		a	a,b	a
5		a	a,b				a	a,b	a
6	a	a	a,b	F	a		a	a,b	a
7	a	a	a,b	a	a		a	a,b	
M. Absentee Voter	8	F	a	a,b	a		a	a,b	
9	a	a	a,b	a			a	a,b	a
10	a	a	a,b				a	a,b	a
11	a	a	a,b	a	a		a	a,b	a
12		a	a,b				a	a,b	F
13	a	a	a,b				a	a,b	a
14	a	a	a,b	a			a	a,b	a
15	a	a	a,b	a	a		a	a,b	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County
	DK/NA
	(J)
0	
1	a
2	
3	a
4	a
5	a
6	a
7	a
M. Absentee Voter	8
9	a
10	a
11	a
12	a
13	a
14	a
15	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group					
	Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
0	99 22.3%	0 0.0%	4 17.1%	0 0.0%	6 28.5%	2 51.6%
1	46 10.3%	1 100.0%	7 28.2%	0 0.0%	5 23.7%	0 0.0%
2	28 6.4%	0 0.0%	2 9.0%	0 0.0%	2 10.0%	0 0.0%
3	22 5.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.9%	0 0.0%	2 11.1%	0 0.0%
4	17 3.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 27.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5	21 4.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 9.7%	0 0.0%
6	15 3.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 15.7%
M. Absentee Voter 7	20 4.5%	0 0.0%	1 2.7%	0 0.0%	1 4.7%	0 0.0%
8	21 4.8%	0 0.0%	2 6.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
9	11 2.4%	0 0.0%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
10	23 5.2%	0 0.0%	2 6.6%	0 0.0%	1 4.0%	1 18.0%
11	23 5.2%	0 0.0%	3 11.5%	0 0.0%	1 3.4%	0 0.0%
12	33 7.4%	0 0.0%	1 3.1%	2 40.8%	1 4.9%	0 0.0%
13	29 6.4%	0 0.0%	1 3.1%	1 14.2%	0 0.0%	1 14.7%
14	19 4.2%	0 0.0%	1 2.1%	1 17.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
15	18 4.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	1	2	5	330	11	16
0	0 0.0%	1 52.1%	3 70.2%	65 19.6%	6 54.9%	6 33.9%
1	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	27 8.0%	3 28.5%	1 4.5%
2	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	21 6.4%	1 9.1%	2 13.3%
3	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	16 4.8%	0 0.0%	1 4.4%
4	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%	14 4.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
5	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	16 5.0%	0 0.0%	2 14.3%
6	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 3.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
M. Absentee Voter 7	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	18 5.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
8	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	18 5.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
9	0 0.0%	1 47.9%	0 0.0%	8 2.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
10	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	17 5.3%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%
11	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	17 5.1%	0 0.0%	1 4.3%
12	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%	26 8.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
13	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	24 7.2%	0 0.0%	1 7.4%
14	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 4.4%	0 0.0%	2 11.9%
15	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 4.7%	1 7.4%	0 0.0%

	Ethnic Group	
	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	5	20
0	2 47.0%	4 20.8%
1	0 0.0%	2 10.8%
2	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
3	0 0.0%	1 6.7%
4	0 0.0%	1 3.8%
5	1 17.5%	0 0.0%
6	0 0.0%	1 6.7%
M. Absentee Voter 7	0 0.0%	1 4.4%
8	1 15.2%	1 4.1%
9	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
10	0 0.0%	2 7.7%
11	1 20.4%	1 3.4%
12	0 0.0%	2 11.5%
13	0 0.0%	1 6.6%
14	0 0.0%	1 3.3%
15	0 0.0%	2 10.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group					
	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	a,b		b			a,b
1	a,b		b		b	a,b
2	a,b		b		b	a,b
3	a,b		b		b	a,b
4	a,b	b		b	b	a,b
5	a,b	b			b	a,b
6	a,b	b	b	b		a,b
M. Absentee Voter 7	a,b		b		b	a,b
8	a,b		b	b	b	a,b
9	a,b		b	b	b	a,b
10	a,b		b		b	a,b
11	a,b		b		b	a,b
12	a,b				b	a,b
13	a,b			b	b	a,b
14	a,b			b	b	a,b
15	a,b	b	b	b	b	a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
0						
1	b	b				b
2	b	b				b
3	b	b				b
4	b			b	b	b
5	b			b		b
6	b	b		b	b	b
7	b	b		b	b	b
M. Absentee Voter 8	b	b		b	b	b
9		b		b	b	b
10	b	b		b		b
11	b	b		b		b
12	b			b	b	b
13	b	b		b		b
14	b	b		b		b
15	b	b			b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group	
	Not sure/DK/NA	
	(M)	
0		
1		
2	b	
3		
4		
5	b	
6		
7		
M. Absentee Voter		
8		
9	b	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
Total	446	203	243
0	99 22.3%	38 18.6%	61 25.3%
1	46 10.3%	22 10.8%	24 9.8%
2	28 6.4%	13 6.4%	15 6.4%
3	22 5.0%	9 4.6%	13 5.4%
4	17 3.8%	5 2.3%	12 5.1%
5	21 4.8%	9 4.4%	12 5.1%
6	15 3.4%	10 4.8%	5 2.2%
M. Absentee Voter	20 4.5%	10 4.9%	10 4.1%
7	21 4.8%	16 7.7%	6 2.3%
8	11 2.4%	4 2.0%	7 2.7%
9	23 5.2%	10 5.1%	13 5.3%
10	23 5.2%	9 4.6%	14 5.6%
11	33 7.4%	17 8.4%	16 6.7%
12	29 6.4%	18 8.9%	11 4.3%
13	19 4.2%	7 3.2%	12 5.0%
14	18 4.1%	7 3.2%	12 4.8%
15			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Zip Code	
	94022	94024
	(A)	(B)
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
M. Absentee Voter	B	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
Total	446	20	422	3
0	99 22.3%	5 25.8%	91 21.5%	3 100.0%
1	46 10.3%	3 14.6%	43 10.1%	0 0.0%
2	28 6.4%	2 11.6%	26 6.2%	0 0.0%
3	22 5.0%	1 5.1%	21 5.0%	0 0.0%
4	17 3.8%	0 0.0%	17 4.0%	0 0.0%
5	21 4.8%	1 6.1%	20 4.8%	0 0.0%
6	15 3.4%	1 6.6%	14 3.2%	0 0.0%
M. Absentee Voter	20 4.5%	0 0.0%	20 4.7%	0 0.0%
7	21 4.8%	1 3.8%	21 4.9%	0 0.0%
8	11 2.4%	1 3.7%	10 2.3%	0 0.0%
9	23 5.2%	0 0.0%	23 5.5%	0 0.0%
10	23 5.2%	2 10.1%	21 5.0%	0 0.0%
11	33 7.4%	1 4.0%	32 7.7%	0 0.0%
12	29 6.4%	1 4.0%	28 6.6%	0 0.0%
13	19 4.2%	1 4.8%	18 4.2%	0 0.0%
14	18 4.1%	0 0.0%	18 4.3%	0 0.0%
15				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0			a
1			a
2			a
3			a
4	a		a
5	.		a
6			a
7	a		a
8	.		a
9			a
10	a		a
11	.		a
12			a
13			a
14			a
15	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	446	90	64	123	168
0	99	37	7	20	35
	22.3%	40.9%	10.6%	16.4%	21.0%
1	46	10	7	8	21
	10.3%	11.2%	10.7%	6.6%	12.3%
2	28	8	4	8	8
	6.4%	9.0%	5.7%	6.9%	4.8%
3	22	1	2	7	12
	5.0%	1.2%	3.9%	5.4%	7.2%
4	17	5	1	4	6
	3.8%	6.0%	1.9%	3.2%	3.8%
5	21	5	1	5	10
	4.8%	5.8%	1.5%	4.4%	5.8%
6	15	5	2	4	4
	3.4%	5.1%	2.7%	3.4%	2.6%
M. Absentee Voter 7	20	1	4	3	11
	4.5%	1.1%	6.9%	2.6%	6.7%
8	21	4	2	4	11
	4.8%	4.4%	3.0%	3.3%	6.7%
9	11	2	3	4	3
	2.4%	1.8%	4.4%	2.9%	1.6%
10	23	3	3	7	11
	5.2%	2.9%	4.3%	5.8%	6.4%
11	23	1	9	8	5
	5.2%	0.7%	13.9%	6.6%	3.2%
12	33	4	6	11	11
	7.4%	5.0%	10.0%	8.8%	6.8%
13	29	2	6	12	9
	6.4%	2.0%	9.1%	9.9%	5.2%
14	19	1	4	9	5
	4.2%	0.6%	6.5%	7.5%	2.8%
15	18	2	3	8	5
	4.1%	2.3%	4.8%	6.4%	3.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
0	B C D			
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
M. Absentee Voter				
8				
9				
10				
11		A D		
12				
13				
14				
15				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
0	99	52	21	5	6	15
	22.3%	100.0%	29.8%	9.6%	9.2%	7.6%
1	46	0	24	4	7	11
	10.3%	0.0%	33.7%	7.8%	10.8%	5.2%
2	28	0	14	4	2	8
	6.4%	0.0%	20.3%	8.8%	2.8%	3.8%
3	22	0	11	7	3	1
	5.0%	0.0%	16.2%	13.6%	4.5%	0.4%
4	17	0	0	13	2	1
	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	26.3%	3.4%	0.6%
5	21	0	0	10	9	2
	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	20.3%	13.4%	0.9%
6	15	0	0	7	7	1
	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	13.7%	10.5%	0.4%
M. Absentee Voter	20	0	0	0	14	6
	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.7%	2.8%
8	21	0	0	0	14	7
	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.9%	3.4%
9	11	0	0	0	3	8
	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	3.9%
10	23	0	0	0	0	23
	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.4%
11	23	0	0	0	0	23
	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.3%
12	33	0	0	0	0	33
	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.3%
13	29	0	0	0	0	29
	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%
14	19	0	0	0	0	19
	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%
15	18	0	0	0	0	18
	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0	a	CDE			
1	a	CDE			
2	a	DE			
3	a	E	E		
4	a	a	DE		
5	a	a	E	E	
6	a	a	E	E	
7	a	a	a	E	
8	a	a	a	E	
9	a	a	a		
10	a	a	a	a	
11	a	a	a	a	
12	a	a	a	a	
13	a	a	a	a	
14	a	a	a	a	
15	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
0	99 22.4%	22 18.5%	17 22.8%	7 12.1%	6 10.7%	16 31.0%	24 32.2%	0 0.0%	7 69.7%
1	46 10.3%	21 17.3%	8 10.0%	2 3.8%	7 12.8%	5 8.9%	4 4.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
2	28 6.4%	5 4.1%	4 5.6%	5 9.8%	6 10.4%	2 4.5%	6 8.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
3	22 5.0%	8 6.4%	2 2.4%	0 0.0%	3 4.9%	6 10.7%	4 5.5%	0 0.0%	0 4.2%
4	17 3.8%	4 3.0%	3 3.5%	2 4.1%	0 0.0%	3 5.1%	5 6.5%	1 58.4%	0 0.0%
5	21 4.8%	3 2.4%	5 7.1%	2 4.0%	1 1.9%	2 4.6%	6 8.2%	0 0.0%	2 15.5%
6	15 3.4%	0 0.0%	1 1.0%	1 1.3%	6 11.5%	2 4.0%	4 5.6%	0 0.0%	1 10.6%
M. Absentee Voter 7	20 4.5%	3 2.6%	5 6.2%	3 5.0%	3 5.4%	4 7.6%	2 3.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
8	21 4.6%	11 8.8%	1 0.9%	3 4.9%	1 1.7%	3 6.2%	2 2.2%	1 41.6%	0 0.0%
9	11 2.4%	0 0.4%	1 1.6%	2 3.0%	2 4.0%	0 0.0%	5 7.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
10	23 5.2%	10 8.5%	3 3.4%	3 6.1%	4 7.4%	2 3.4%	1 1.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
11	23 5.2%	4 2.9%	7 8.9%	5 9.5%	3 5.6%	3 5.8%	1 1.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
12	32 7.2%	13 10.7%	5 6.1%	9 16.3%	3 5.9%	0 0.0%	2 3.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
13	29 6.4%	8 6.4%	8 10.5%	5 8.2%	4 6.5%	3 4.7%	2 3.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
14	19 4.2%	5 4.5%	4 5.8%	3 4.7%	3 5.2%	2 3.5%	2 2.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
15	18 4.1%	4 3.5%	3 4.4%	4 7.2%	3 6.1%	0 0.0%	3 4.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
0							a,b	A B C D
1							a,b	b
2							a,b	b
3			b				a,b	
4				b			a	b
5							a,b	
6	b						a,b	
7							a,b	b
8							a	b
9					b		a,b	b
10							a,b	b
11					b		a,b	b
12							a,b	b
13							a,b	b
14							a,b	b
15					b		a,b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	446	446
N. Permanent Absentee Voter Yes	349	349
	78.2%	78.2%
No	97	97
	21.8%	21.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	.
	No	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Total		446	213	231	2
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	349	167	179	2
		78.2%	78.5%	77.7%	100.0%
	No	97	46	51	0
		21.8%	21.5%	22.3%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes			a
	No			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						Not coded
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total		446	59	35	81	140	124	7
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	349	44	21	63	107	106	6
	No	97	15	13	17	33	18	1
		78.2%	74.8%	61.6%	78.6%	76.7%	85.2%	87.8%
		21.8%	25.2%	38.4%	21.4%	23.3%	14.8%	12.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes					B	
	No		E				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		446	357	80	9
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	349	276	67	5
	No	97	80	13	4
		78.2%	77.5%	83.7%	55.2%
		21.8%	22.5%	16.3%	44.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes			
	No			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total		446	197	110	12	127
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	349	156	87	8	97
	No	97	40	23	4	30
		78.2%	79.5%	78.8%	68.0%	76.6%
		21.8%	20.5%	21.2%	32.0%	23.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes				
	No				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total		446	75	68	32	40	140	90
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	349	53	56	24	32	117	66
	No	97	22	12	8	8	23	24
		78.2%	70.6%	82.5%	76.1%	79.5%	83.3%	73.3%
		21.8%	29.4%	17.5%	23.9%	20.5%	16.7%	26.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes						
	No						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Total		446	126	51	62	50	36
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	349	99	41	52	36	28
		78.2%	78.1%	80.7%	83.6%	72.0%	77.2%
	No	97	28	10	10	14	8
		21.8%	21.9%	19.3%	16.4%	28.0%	22.8%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total		36	46	38
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	29	33	31
		80.5%	71.2%	81.3%
	No	7	13	7
		19.5%	28.8%	18.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes						
	No						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		
	No		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
Total		446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	349	64	21	141	33	10	12	48	20
		78.2%	80.4%	96.1%	74.1%	88.7%	78.9%	79.6%	72.5%	84.3%
	No	97	16	1	49	4	3	3	18	4
		21.8%	19.6%	3.9%	25.9%	11.3%	21.1%	20.4%	27.5%	15.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes								
	No								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	349	97
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	349	349	0
		78.2%	100.0%	0.0%
	No	97	0	97
		21.8%	0.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	. ^a	. ^a
	No	. ^a	. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	282	164
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	349	255	93
		78.2%	90.4%	57.0%
	No	97	27	70
		21.8%	9.6%	43.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	B	
	No		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111
	Yes	349	3	20	55	39	94
	No	97	0	8	19	19	17
		21.8%	0.0%	29.0%	26.1%	32.8%	15.4%

		Years Lived in Los Altos	
		26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	167	4
	Yes	136	1
	No	31	2
		81.3%	31.8%
		18.7%	68.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	a					
	No	a					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in . . .
		Not sure/ DK /NA
		(G)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	
	No	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status						
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	Yes	349	154	18	35	11	105	10
	No	97	49	5	6	9	21	4
		78.2%	75.9%	79.3%	85.6%	55.2%	83.4%	72.9%
		21.8%	24.1%	20.7%	14.4%	44.8%	16.6%	27.1%

		Employment Status	
		Not currently employed	DK / NA
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	16	4
	Yes	12	4
	No	5	0
		71.5%	100.0%
		28.5%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status					
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes						
	No						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status	
		Not currently employed	DK / NA
		(G)	(H)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	a	
	No	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work							
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207	2
N. Permanent Absentee Voter								
Yes	207	5	1	1	12	22	161	0
No	59	0	1	0	0	8	46	2
	77.7%	100.0%	43.3%	100.0%	100.0%	74.6%	77.7%	0.0%
	22.3%	0.0%	56.7%	0.0%	0.0%	25.4%	22.3%	100.0%

	County Where Work		
	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	0	5	2
N. Permanent Absentee Voter			
Yes	0	3	1
No	0	1	1
	100.0%	74.3%	45.1%
	0.0%	25.7%	54.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work							
	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter								
Yes	.a		.a,b	.a			.a	.a,b
No	.a		.a,b	.a			.a	.a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where .	
	Other	DK/NA
	(I)	(J)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter		
Yes		
No		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Group				
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
N. Permanent Absentee Voter						
Yes	349	0	21	5	14	2
No	97	1	5	0	6	2
	78.2%	0.0%	79.2%	100.0%	69.7%	48.4%
	21.8%	100.0%	20.8%	0.0%	30.3%	51.6%

	Ethnic Group				
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
Total	1	2	5	330	11
N. Permanent Absentee Voter					
Yes	1	1	3	264	7
No	0	1	2	66	4
	100.0%	47.9%	55.5%	80.0%	62.4%
	0.0%	52.1%	44.5%	20.0%	37.6%

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	16	5	20
N. Permanent Absentee Voter			
Yes	12	3	17
No	4	2	3
	75.0%	53.0%	84.5%
	25.0%	47.0%	15.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group				
	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter					
Yes	.a,b		.b		
No	.a,b		.b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group				
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter					
Yes	.a,b				
No	.a,b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(K)	(L)	(M)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter			
Yes			
No			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
Total		446	203	243
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	349 78.2%	165 81.2%	184 75.6%
	No	97 21.8%	38 18.8%	59 24.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022 (A)	94024 (B)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		
	No		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total		446	20	422	3
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	349 78.2%	18 89.8%	327 77.4%	3 100.0%
	No	97 21.8%	2 10.2%	95 22.6%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese (A)	English (B)	Other (C)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes			a
	No			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total		446	90	64	123	168
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	349 78.2%	67 73.7%	50 78.4%	106 85.8%	126 74.9%
	No	97 21.8%	24 26.3%	14 21.6%	17 14.2%	42 25.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes				
	No				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total		446	52	70	51	69	204
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	349 78.2%	38 72.9%	50 70.9%	40 78.2%	54 78.5%	167 81.9%
	No	97 21.8%	14 27.1%	21 29.1%	11 21.8%	15 21.5%	37 18.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity				
		0 (A)	1-3 (B)	4-6 (C)	7-9 (D)	10 or more (E)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes					
	No					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender							
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2
N. Permanent Absentee Voter								
Yes	347	93	63	43	43	41	55	2
	78.1%	77.3%	82.9%	77.8%	79.3%	77.7%	75.5%	100.0%
No	97	27	13	12	11	12	18	0
	21.9%	22.7%	17.1%	22.2%	20.7%	22.3%	24.5%	0.0%

	Party by
	Male Oth
Total	10
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	
Yes	6
	62.6%
No	4
	37.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
N. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes							a,b	
	No							a,b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	446	446
O. Likely Absentee Voter		
Yes	282	282
	63.3%	63.3%
No	164	164
	36.7%	36.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	.
	No	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Total		446	213	231	2
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	282	128	152	2
		63.3%	60.1%	66.0%	100.0%
	No	164	85	78	0
		36.7%	39.9%	34.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes			a
	No			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total		446	59	35	81	140	124	7
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	282	9	16	45	95	112	6
	No	164	51	19	36	45	13	1
		63.3%	14.7%	45.6%	56.0%	67.9%	89.7%	87.8%
		36.7%	85.3%	54.4%	44.0%	32.1%	10.3%	12.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		A	A	A	A B C D	A
	No	B C D E F	E	E	E		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		446	357	80	9
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	282	240	40	2
	No	164	116	40	7
		63.3%	67.3%	50.3%	20.0%
		36.7%	32.7%	49.7%	80.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	B C		
	No	A		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total		446	197	110	12	127
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	282	138	78	5	61
	No	164	59	32	7	66
		63.3%	70.1%	71.2%	43.4%	47.9%
		36.7%	29.9%	28.8%	56.6%	52.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	D	D		
	No				A B

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total		446	75	68	32	40	140	90
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	282	55	48	22	31	83	44
	No	164	21	20	10	9	58	46
		63.3%	72.4%	70.1%	70.1%	76.9%	59.0%	48.9%
		36.7%	27.6%	29.9%	29.9%	23.1%	41.0%	51.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	F			F		
	No						A D

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
Total		446	126	51	62	50	36	36
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	282 63.3%	42 33.3%	31 60.4%	45 73.1%	36 70.9%	30 82.4%	32 88.6%
	No	164 36.7%	84 66.7%	20 39.6%	17 26.9%	15 29.1%	6 17.6%	4 11.4%

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total		46	38
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	35 75.0%	32 84.7%
	No	12 25.0%	6 15.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)	1993 to 1996 (F)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		A	A	A	A	A
	No	BCDEFGH					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	A	A
	No		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
Total		446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	282 63.3%	61 76.7%	19 84.1%	115 60.4%	28 77.2%	11 91.7%	10 64.5%	22 33.1%	16 68.5%
	No	164 36.7%	19 23.3%	4 15.9%	75 39.6%	8 22.8%	1 8.3%	5 35.5%	44 66.9%	7 31.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Date							
		Dec. 2 (A)	Dec. 3 (B)	Dec. 4 (C)	Dec. 5 (D)	Dec. 6 (E)	Dec. 7 (F)	Dec. 8 (G)	Dec. 9 (H)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	G	G	G	G	G			
	No							ABCDE	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	349	97
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	282 63.3%	255 73.2%	27 27.8%
	No	164 36.7%	93 26.8%	70 72.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	B	
	No		A

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		446	282	164
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	282 63.3%	282 100.0%	0 0.0%
	No	164 36.7%	0 0.0%	164 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	a	a
	No	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	167
	Yes	282 63.3%	1 30.0%	8 28.8%	43 58.5%	29 50.6%	80 72.0%	119 71.3%
	No	164 36.7%	2 70.0%	20 71.2%	31 41.5%	29 49.4%	31 28.0%	48 28.7%

		Years Lived in ... Not sure/ DK /NA
Total		4
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	1 31.8%
	No	2 68.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Years Lived in Los Altos					
		Less than 1 year (A)	1-3 years (B)	4 to 9 years (C)	10-15 years (D)	16-25 years (E)	26 years or more (F)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes					B	B
	No		E F				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Years Lived in ... Not sure/ DK /NA (G)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	
	No	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status						
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14
	Yes	282 63.3%	116 57.4%	15 68.7%	20 48.5%	2 8.2%	107 84.8%	9 65.2%
	No	164 36.7%	86 42.6%	7 31.3%	21 51.5%	18 91.8%	19 15.2%	5 34.8%

		Employment Status	
		Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total		16	4
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	10 63.4%	3 68.3%
	No	6 36.6%	1 31.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Employment Status					
		Employed full-time (A)	Employed part-time (B)	Self-employed (C)	Student (D)	Retired (E)	Dont work out of the home (F)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	D	D		A B E F G	A C D	D
	No	E		E			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Employment Status	
		Not currently employed (G)	DK / NA (H)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	D	
	No		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	County Where Work							
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207	2
O. Likely Absentee Voter								
Yes	152	5	0	1	8	15	118	2
No	115	0	2	0	5	15	89	0
	57.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	61.9%	50.0%	56.9%	100.0%
	43.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	38.1%	50.0%	43.1%	0.0%

	County Where Work		
	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
Total	0	5	2
O. Likely Absentee Voter			
Yes	0	3	0
No	0	1	2
	100.0%	74.3%	0.0%
	0.0%	25.7%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where Work							
	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
O. Likely Absentee Voter								
Yes	.a	.a	.a,b				.a	.a,b
No	.a	.a	.a,b				.a	.a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County Where	
	Other	DK/NA
	(I)	(J)
O. Likely Absentee Voter		
Yes		.a
No		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Group				
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
O. Likely Absentee Voter						
Yes	282	0	15	5	9	1
No	164	1	11	0	11	3
	63.3%	0.0%	57.1%	100.0%	44.2%	32.7%
	36.7%	100.0%	42.9%	0.0%	55.8%	67.3%

	Ethnic Group				
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
Total	1	2	5	330	11
O. Likely Absentee Voter					
Yes	0	2	1	223	3
No	1	0	4	107	8
	0.0%	100.0%	14.9%	67.6%	23.5%
	100.0%	0.0%	85.1%	32.4%	76.5%

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	16	5	20
O. Likely Absentee Voter			
Yes	9	3	13
No	7	2	7
	54.8%	53.0%	63.4%
	45.2%	47.0%	36.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group					
	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
O. Likely Absentee Voter						
Yes	.a,b		.b			.a,b
No	.a,b		.b			.a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
O. Likely Absentee Voter						
Yes	.b					
No	.b					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Ethnic Group	
	Not sure/DK/NA	(M)
O. Likely Absentee Voter		
Yes		
No		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	446	203	243
	Yes	282	129	154
	No	164	74	89
		63.3%	63.4%	63.3%
		36.7%	36.6%	36.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		
	No		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^a

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	446	20	422	3
	Yes	282	9	273	0
	No	164	11	149	3
		63.3%	44.9%	64.7%	0.0%
		36.7%	55.1%	35.3%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes			a
	No			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Yes	282	34	50	91	107
	No	164	56	14	32	61
		63.3%	37.6%	77.7%	74.2%	63.7%
		36.7%	62.4%	22.3%	25.8%	36.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type			
		Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		A	A	A
	No	B C D			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Yes	282	0	22	21	51	188
	No	164	52	48	30	18	16
		63.3%	0.0%	31.7%	42.0%	73.7%	92.1%
		36.7%	100.0%	68.3%	58.0%	26.3%	7.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	a			B C	B C D
	No	a	D E	D E	E	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2
	Yes	280	81	57	41	36	28	32	2
	No	63.2%	67.5%	74.4%	74.7%	67.0%	52.4%	44.0%	100.0%
		164	39	19	14	18	25	41	0
		36.8%	32.5%	25.6%	25.3%	33.0%	47.6%	56.0%	0.0%

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	10
	Yes	4
	No	7
		34.0%
		66.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
O. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	F	F	F				a,b	
	No						A B C	a,b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
Q. Zip Code	94022	446	446
		203	203
		45.5%	45.5%
	94024	243	243
		54.5%	54.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
Q. Zip Code	94022	.
	94024	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Q. Zip Code	94022	446	213	231	2
		203	88	113	2
		45.5%	41.2%	49.0%	100.0%
	94024	243	125	118	0
		54.5%	58.8%	51.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

Q. Zip Code	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
94022			a
94024			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Q. Zip Code	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
94022	203	21	19	32	65	63	2
	45.5%	34.9%	54.9%	40.0%	46.7%	50.9%	31.8%
94024	243	39	16	48	75	61	5
	54.5%	65.1%	45.1%	60.0%	53.3%	49.1%	68.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

Q. Zip Code	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
94022						
94024						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Q. Zip Code	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	446	357	80	9
94022	203	159	37	7
	45.5%	44.6%	45.8%	80.1%
94024	243	198	44	2
	54.5%	55.4%	54.2%	19.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

Q. Zip Code	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
94022			
94024			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Q. Zip Code	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	446	197	110	12	127
94022	203	95	52	4	52
	45.5%	48.2%	47.3%	34.8%	40.7%
94024	243	102	58	8	75
	54.5%	51.8%	52.7%	65.2%	59.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

Q. Zip Code	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
94022				
94024				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
Q. Zip Code 94022	203	42	33	17	20	51	39
	45.5%	56.2%	48.6%	52.5%	51.3%	36.5%	43.2%
94024	243	33	35	15	19	89	51
	54.5%	43.8%	51.4%	47.5%	48.7%	63.5%	56.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

Q. Zip Code	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
94022						
94024						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
Total	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
Q. Zip Code 94022	203	54	19	38	25	17	15
	45.5%	42.4%	36.6%	60.9%	49.0%	45.7%	42.5%
94024	243	73	32	24	26	20	21
	54.5%	57.6%	63.4%	39.1%	51.0%	54.3%	57.5%

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	46	38
Q. Zip Code 94022	21	15
	45.3%	40.5%
94024	25	23
	54.7%	59.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

Q. Zip Code	Registration Date						
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
94022							
94024							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

Q. Zip Code	Registration ...	
	1980 or before	(H)
	94022	
94024		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
Q. Zip Code 94022	203	41	13	84	16	5	7	28	9
	45.5%	51.4%	57.5%	44.1%	44.0%	36.9%	48.9%	43.0%	37.3%
94024	243	39	10	106	21	8	8	37	15
	54.5%	48.6%	42.5%	55.9%	56.0%	63.1%	51.1%	57.0%	62.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

Q. Zip Code	Date							
	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
94022								
94024								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	349	97
Q. Zip Code 94022	203	165	38
	45.5%	47.3%	39.2%
94024	243	184	59
	54.5%	52.7%	60.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

Q. Zip Code	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
94022		
94024		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Q. Zip Code	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	446	282	164
94022	203	129	74
	45.5%	45.6%	45.4%
94024	243	154	89
	54.5%	54.4%	54.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

Q. Zip Code	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
94022		
94024		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Q. Zip Code	Total	Years Lived in Los Altos						Not sure/ DK /NA
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more	
Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	167	4
94022	203	2	16	32	24	60	68	2
	45.5%	70.0%	55.3%	43.3%	40.9%	53.8%	40.3%	46.6%
94024	243	1	13	42	34	51	100	2
	54.5%	30.0%	44.7%	56.7%	59.1%	46.2%	59.7%	53.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

Q. Zip Code	Total	Years Lived in Los Altos						
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
94022								
94024								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Q. Zip Code	Total	Employment Status						
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed
Total	446	203	22	41	19	126	14	16
94022	203	86	14	24	6	61	7	4
	45.5%	42.5%	62.8%	57.7%	30.9%	48.0%	48.6%	22.7%
94024	243	117	8	17	13	66	7	13
	54.5%	57.5%	37.2%	42.3%	69.1%	52.0%	51.4%	77.3%

Q. Zip Code	Employ. DK / NA
Total	4
94022	2
	51.2%
94024	2
	48.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

Q. Zip Code	Employment Status							
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed	DK / NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
94022								
94024								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Q. Zip Code	County Where Work								
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207	2	0
94022	124	3	2	0	7	15	93	0	0
	46.5%	72.5%	100.0%	0.0%	55.1%	51.0%	45.1%	0.0%	0.0%
94024	142	1	0	1	5	15	114	2	0
	53.5%	27.5%	0.0%	100.0%	44.9%	49.0%	54.9%	100.0%	100.0%

Q. Zip Code	County Where	
	Other	DK/NA
Total	5	2
94022	2	1
	43.3%	45.1%
94024	3	1
	56.7%	54.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

Q. Zip Code	County Where Work									
	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
94022		a	a,b				a	a,b		
94024		a	a,b				a	a,b		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Q. Zip Code	Total	Ethnic Group					
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Total	446	1	26	5	19	4	1
94022	203	1	15	4	4	4	1
	45.5%	100.0%	56.4%	72.7%	19.5%	82.0%	100.0%
94024	243	0	11	1	16	1	0
	54.5%	0.0%	43.6%	27.3%	80.5%	18.0%	0.0%

Q. Zip Code	Total	Ethnic Group						
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Total	2	5	330	11	16	5	20	
94022	1	2	147	4	8	1	12	
	47.9%	40.6%	44.5%	38.0%	50.1%	15.2%	60.1%	
94024	1	3	183	7	8	4	8	
	52.1%	59.4%	55.5%	62.0%	49.9%	84.8%	39.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

Q. Zip Code	Total	Ethnic Group					
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
94022		a,b				a,b	
94024		a,b				a,b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

Q. Zip Code	Total	Ethnic Group						
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
94022								
94024								

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
Total	446	203	243
Q. Zip Code 94022	203 45.5%	203 100.0%	0 0.0%
94024	243 54.5%	0 0.0%	243 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Zip Code	
	94022 (A)	94024 (B)
Q. Zip Code 94022	.a	.a
94024	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
Total	446	20	422	3
Q. Zip Code 94022	203 45.5%	11 52.5%	192 45.5%	0 0.0%
94024	243 54.5%	10 47.5%	230 54.5%	3 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese (A)	English (B)	Other (C)
Q. Zip Code 94022			.a
94024			.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
Total	446	90	64	123	168
Q. Zip Code 94022	203 45.5%	37 40.9%	36 56.6%	56 45.6%	73 43.6%
94024	243 54.5%	53 59.1%	28 43.4%	67 54.4%	95 56.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone (A)	Landline (B)	Online (C)	Text (D)
Q. Zip Code 94022				
94024				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
Q. Zip Code 94022	203	22	36	17	32	96
	45.5%	42.6%	50.5%	33.6%	45.9%	47.3%
94024	243	30	35	34	37	107
	54.5%	57.4%	49.5%	66.4%	54.1%	52.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

Q. Zip Code	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
94022					
94024					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
Q. Zip Code 94022	201	62	33	26	25	23	28	2	2
	45.2%	51.6%	42.8%	47.7%	45.8%	42.5%	38.7%	100.0%	23.9%
94024	243	58	43	29	29	31	45	0	8
	54.8%	48.4%	57.2%	52.3%	54.2%	57.5%	61.3%	0.0%	76.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

Q. Zip Code	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
94022							a,b	
94024							a,b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	446	446
S. Language of Interview		
Chinese	20	20
	4.6%	4.6%
English	422	422
	94.7%	94.7%
Other	3	3
	0.7%	0.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

S. Language of Interview	Total
	Total
	(A)
Chinese	.
English	.
Other	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	446	213	231	2
S. Language of Interview				
Chinese	20	8	13	0
	4.6%	3.6%	5.6%	0.0%
English	422	203	218	2
	94.7%	95.0%	94.4%	100.0%
Other	3	3	0	0
	0.7%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
S. Language of Interview			
Chinese			a
English			a
Other		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
S. Language of Interview	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Chinese	20	0	1	10	6	4	0
	English	422	56	34	71	134	120	7
	Other	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
		4.6%	0.0%	3.0%	12.3%	4.1%	3.1%	0.0%
		94.7%	94.7%	97.0%	87.7%	95.9%	96.9%	100.0%
		0.7%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese	a					a
	English						a
	Other		a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
S. Language of Interview	Total	446	357	80	9
	Chinese	20	15	4	1
	English	422	341	73	8
	Other	3	0	3	0
		4.6%	4.3%	5.1%	9.5%
		94.7%	95.7%	90.9%	90.5%
		0.7%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese			
	English			
	Other	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
S. Language of Interview	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Chinese	20	4	1	0	16
	English	422	193	109	12	108
	Other	3	0	0	0	3
		4.6%	1.9%	0.7%	0.0%	12.5%
		94.7%	98.1%	99.3%	100.0%	85.0%
		0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
S. Language of Interview				
Chinese			a	A B
English	D	D	a	
Other	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
S. Language of Interview	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Chinese	20	1	3	0	1	2	14
	English	422	74	66	32	39	138	73
	Other	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
		4.6%	1.4%	4.0%	0.0%	1.9%	1.6%	15.2%
		94.7%	98.6%	96.0%	100.0%	98.1%	98.4%	81.3%
		0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese			a			A E
	English	F	a	a		F	
	Other	a	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
S. Language of Interview	Total	446	126	51	62	50	36
	Chinese	20	9	0	3	4	4
	English	422	114	51	58	47	32
	Other	3	3	0	0	0	0
		4.6%	7.5%	0.0%	5.6%	7.1%	10.8%
		94.7%	90.0%	100.0%	94.4%	92.9%	89.2%
		0.7%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
S. Language of Interview	Total	36	46	38
	Chinese	0	0	0
	English	36	46	38
	Other	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese		a				a
	English		a				a
	Other		a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese	a	a
	English	a	a
	Other	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
S. Language of Interview	Total	446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
	Chinese	20 4.6%	2 2.0%	1 3.7%	12 6.2%	3 8.0%	1 7.9%	0 0.0%	1 1.6%	1 5.6%
	English	422 94.7%	79 98.0%	22 96.3%	178 93.8%	34 92.0%	11 92.1%	15 100.0%	61 93.6%	22 94.4%
	Other	3 0.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 4.8%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese						a		
	English						a		
	Other	a	a	a	a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
S. Language of Interview	Total	446	349	97
	Chinese	20 4.6%	18 5.3%	2 2.1%
	English	422 94.7%	327 93.8%	95 97.9%
	Other	3 0.7%	3 0.9%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese		
	English		
	Other		a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
S. Language of Interview	Total	446	282	164
	Chinese	20 4.6%	9 3.3%	11 6.9%
	English	422 94.7%	273 96.7%	149 91.2%
	Other	3 0.7%	0 0.0%	3 1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese		
	English	B	
	Other	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work							
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz
S. Language of Interview	Total	266	5	2	1	12	30	207	2
	Chinese	12	0	0	0	0	3	8	0
	English	251	5	2	1	12	27	196	2
	Other	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
		4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%	3.7%	0.0%

		County Where Work		
		Sonoma	Other	DK/NA
S. Language of Interview	Total	0	5	2
	Chinese	0	0	1
	English	0	5	1
	Other	0	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work							
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese	a	a	a,b	a			a	a,b
	English	a	a	a,b	a		J	a	a,b
	Other	a	a	a,b	a	a		a	a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where	
		Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese	a	F
	English	a	
	Other	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
S. Language of Interview	Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
	Chinese	20	0	12	0	0	0
	English	422	1	14	5	19	4
	Other	3	0	0	0	0	0
		4.6%	0.0%	46.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
S. Language of Interview	Total	1	2	5	330	11
	Chinese	0	0	0	1	0
	English	1	2	5	329	8
	Other	0	0	0	0	3
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
S. Language of Interview	Total	16	5	20
	Chinese	5	0	3
	English	12	5	17
	Other	0	0	0
		28.5%	0.0%	13.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese	a,b	I	b	b	b
	English	a,b		b	b	b
	Other	a,b	b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese	a,b	b	b		b
	English	a,b	b	b	B J K M	
	Other	a,b	b	b	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese		. ^b	
	English	.	. ^b	.
	Other	. ^b	.	. ^b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
S. Language of Interview	Total	446	203	243
	Chinese	20 4.6%	11 5.3%	10 4.0%
	English	422 94.7%	192 94.7%	230 94.7%
	Other	3 0.7%	0 0.0%	3 1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese	.	.
	English	.	.
	Other	. ^a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
S. Language of Interview	Total	446	20	422	3
	Chinese	20 4.6%	20 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
	English	422 94.7%	0 0.0%	422 100.0%	0 0.0%
	Other	3 0.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Language of Interview		
		Chinese	English	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a
	English	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a
	Other	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type				
		Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
S. Language of Interview	Total	446	90	64	123	168
	Chinese	20 4.6%	5 5.1%	1 1.3%	10 8.0%	5 3.1%
	English	422 94.7%	83 91.5%	63 98.7%	113 92.0%	163 96.9%
	Other	3 0.7%	3 3.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
S. Language of Interview				
Chinese				
English				
Other		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
S. Language of Interview	Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
	Chinese	20	3	8	2	0	7
	English	422	45	63	49	69	196
	Other	3	3	0	0	0	0
		4.6%	6.1%	10.7%	4.7%	0.0%	3.7%
		94.7%	87.9%	89.3%	95.3%	100.0%	96.3%
		0.7%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese				a	
	English				a	
	Other		a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
S. Language of Interview	Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2
	Chinese	20	2	2	0	1	11	5	0
	English	422	119	74	55	53	42	65	2
	Other	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
		4.6%	1.7%	2.2%	0.0%	1.4%	20.2%	7.1%	0.0%
		94.7%	98.3%	97.8%	100.0%	98.6%	79.8%	88.6%	100.0%
		0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%

		Party by
		Male Oth
S. Language of Interview	Total	10
	Chinese	0
	English	10
	Other	0
		0.0%
		100.0%
		0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
S. Language of Interview	Chinese			a		A B D		a,b	a
	English	E F	E	a	E			a,b	a
	Other	a	a	a	a	a		a,b	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
T. Interview Type	Total	446	446
	Land	64	64
	Cell	90	90
	Online	123	123
	Text	168	168
		14.4%	14.4%
		20.3%	20.3%
		27.6%	27.6%
		37.7%	37.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
T. Interview Type	Land	.
	Cell	.
	Online	.
	Text	.

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
T. Interview Type	Total	446	213	231	2
	Land	64	34	30	0
	Cell	90	44	46	0
	Online	123	45	77	1
	Text	168	90	77	1
			37.7%	42.3%	33.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
T. Interview Type	Land			.a
	Cell			.a
	Online		A	
	Text			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^b

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
T. Interview Type	Total	446	59	35	81	140	124	7
	Land	64	4	4	2	12	38	4
	Cell	90	25	8	20	27	10	0
	Online	123	8	6	26	42	39	1
	Text	168	22	16	32	59	37	2
			37.7%	37.5%	46.6%	40.0%	42.0%	29.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
T. Interview Type	Land					A C D	A C D
	Cell	D E		E			^a
	Online						
	Text						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
T. Interview Type	Total	446	357	80	9
	Land	64	55	9	1
	Cell	14.4%	15.4%	11.1%	5.6%
	Online	90	54	32	4
	Text	20.3%	15.2%	39.7%	46.6%
		123	110	10	3
	27.6%	30.9%	12.7%	29.2%	
	168	137	29	2	
	37.7%	38.5%	36.5%	18.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status		
		Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)
T. Interview Type	Land			
	Cell	B	A	A
	Online			
	Text			

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
T. Interview Type	Total	446	197	110	12	127
	Land	64	27	24	3	12
	Cell	14.4%	13.5%	21.4%	20.9%	9.1%
	Online	90	40	15	3	33
	Text	20.3%	20.1%	13.7%	22.1%	26.1%
		123	58	30	1	35
	27.6%	29.4%	26.9%	7.8%	27.3%	
	168	73	42	6	48	
	37.7%	37.0%	38.0%	49.2%	37.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
T. Interview Type	Land		D		
	Cell				
	Online				
	Text				

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
T. Interview Type	Total	446	75	68	32	40	140	90
	Land	64	12	9	5	12	18	8
	Cell	14.4%	16.2%	12.7%	16.1%	29.0%	13.1%	9.1%
	Online	90	15	15	2	5	29	23
	Text	20.3%	19.8%	22.6%	7.3%	13.2%	20.7%	26.0%
		123	25	19	9	12	32	27
		27.6%	32.6%	28.1%	27.1%	29.9%	22.8%	29.8%
		168	24	25	16	11	61	32
	37.7%	31.4%	36.6%	49.5%	27.9%	43.4%	35.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
T. Interview Type	Land					
	Cell					
	Online					
	Text					

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
Total	446	126	51	62	50	36	36
Land	64	8	5	4	10	5	5
	14.4%	6.1%	10.7%	5.8%	19.2%	14.0%	14.1%
T. Interview Type	Cell	90	40	9	10	6	10
	20.3%	31.6%	17.5%	16.7%	12.6%	28.5%	25.3%
	Online	123	42	11	17	18	10
	27.6%	32.9%	20.8%	27.3%	34.9%	16.0%	28.5%
	Text	168	37	26	31	17	12
	37.7%	29.4%	51.0%	50.2%	33.3%	41.5%	32.1%

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	46	38
Land	13	15
	27.6%	39.0%
T. Interview Type	Cell	4
	7.7%	5.1%
	Online	12
	25.8%	22.5%
	Text	18
	38.9%	33.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Registration Date						
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
T. Interview Type	Land						
	Cell	G H					A C
	Online						
	Text						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Registration ...	
	1980 or before	(H)
	T. Interview Type	Land
	Cell	
	Online	
	Text	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
Total		446	80	22	190	37	12	15	66	24
Land		64	28	10	7	2	0	0	4	13
		14.4%	35.0%	45.2%	3.7%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	54.7%
T. Interview Type	Cell	90	0	0	0	12	0	6	61	11
		20.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.6%	0.0%	41.6%	92.9%	45.3%
	Online	123	52	12	37	10	7	4	0	0
		27.6%	65.0%	54.8%	19.6%	27.9%	60.8%	25.3%	0.0%	0.0%
	Text	168	0	0	146	12	5	5	1	0
		37.7%	0.0%	0.0%	76.7%	32.6%	39.2%	33.1%	1.3%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
T. Interview Type	Land	C D G	C D G	.	.	. ^a	. ^a		C D G
	Cell	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a		. ^a		D F H	
	Online	C D	C			C		. ^a	. ^a
	Text	. ^a	. ^a	D E F G	G	G	G		. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
T. Interview Type	Total	446	349	97
	Land	64	50	14
	Cell	14.4%	14.4%	14.3%
	Online	90	67	24
	Text	20.3%	19.1%	24.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
T. Interview Type	Land		
	Cell		
	Online	B	
	Text		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
T. Interview Type	Total	446	282	164
	Land	64	50	14
	Cell	14.4%	17.7%	8.8%
	Online	90	34	56
	Text	20.3%	12.0%	34.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
T. Interview Type	Land	B	
	Cell		A
	Online	B	
	Text		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Years Lived in Los Altos						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
T. Interview Type	Total	446	3	28	74	58	111	167
	Land	64	0	0	4	6	9	44
	Cell	14.4%	0.0%	0.7%	5.9%	10.1%	8.4%	26.4%
	Online	90	1	10	21	21	22	13
	Text	20.3%	40.4%	35.1%	27.8%	36.9%	19.9%	7.5%
	Text	123	0	8	17	17	38	42

		Years Lived in ..
		Not sure/ DK /NA
Total		4
Land		0
		8.7%
T. Interview Type Cell		2
		68.2%
Online		1
		23.1%
Text		0
		0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Years Lived in Los Altos						
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more	Not sure/ DK /NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
T. Interview Type Land		.a					B C E	
Cell			F	F	F	F		F
Online								
Text								.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Employment Status						
		Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
Total		446	203	22	41	19	126	14
Land		64	13	4	4	2	40	0
		14.4%	6.6%	15.8%	9.2%	11.7%	31.8%	3.3%
T. Interview Type Cell		90	45	1	11	15	11	5
		20.3%	22.0%	4.9%	26.7%	75.7%	8.8%	34.4%
Online		123	56	9	8	0	39	4
		27.6%	27.7%	39.5%	20.4%	0.0%	31.0%	30.0%
Text		168	89	9	18	2	36	4
		37.7%	43.7%	39.8%	43.7%	12.6%	28.4%	32.3%

		Employment Status	
		Not currently employed	DK / NA
Total		16	4
Land		1	0
		4.1%	0.0%
T. Interview Type Cell		3	1
		16.4%	17.1%
Online		3	4
		18.2%	82.9%
Text		10	0
		61.4%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employment Status						
		Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
T. Interview Type Land						A		
Cell					A B C E G			
Online					.a			
Text								

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Employ..
		DK / NA
		(H)
T. Interview Type Land		.a
Cell		
Online		
Text		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		County Where Work								
		Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
Total		266	5	2	1	12	30	207	2	0
Land		21	2	1	0	1	1	15	0	0
		7.8%	54.1%	43.3%	0.0%	5.5%	4.8%	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%
T. Interview Type Cell		57	2	1	1	3	10	35	2	0
		21.3%	45.9%	56.7%	100.0%	23.6%	32.1%	17.1%	100.0%	0.0%
Online		73	0	0	0	2	12	57	0	0
		27.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.9%	40.1%	27.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Text		115	0	0	0	6	7	99	0	0
		43.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	52.1%	23.0%	47.8%	0.0%	100.0%

	County Where ..	
	Other	DK/NA
Total	5	2
Land	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
T. Interview Type Cell	1 18.2%	1 54.9%
Online	1 14.6%	1 45.1%
Text	3 67.2%	0 0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		County Where Work								
		Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
T. Interview Type	Land	E F		a,b				b	a,b	b
	Cell			a,b				b	a,b	
	Online	b	b	a,b				b	a,b	
	Text	b	b	a,b				b	a,b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	County	
	DK/NA (J)	
T. Interview Type	Land	b
	Cell	
	Online	
	Text	b

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
T. Interview Type	Total	446	1	26	5	19	4
	Land	64 14.4%	0 0.0%	2 5.8%	1 14.2%	1 4.7%	0 0.0%
	Cell	90 20.3%	1 100.0%	7 25.0%	0 0.0%	6 33.5%	0 0.0%
	Online	123 27.6%	0 0.0%	9 34.5%	1 17.7%	2 9.9%	4 100.0%
	Text	168 37.7%	0 0.0%	9 34.7%	3 68.0%	10 51.9%	0 0.0%

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
T. Interview Type	Total	1	2	5	330	11	16
	Land	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%	59 18.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%
	Cell	0 0.0%	1 52.1%	1 25.7%	65 19.6%	4 38.4%	1 5.9%
	Online	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.9%	84 25.3%	3 26.2%	9 54.9%
	Text	1 100.0%	1 47.9%	2 44.5%	122 37.1%	4 35.4%	5 33.2%

		Ethnic Group	
		Other	Not sure/DK/NA
T. Interview Type	Total	5	20
	Land	0 0.0%	0 1.0%
	Cell	2 47.0%	2 8.3%
	Online	1 20.4%	10 48.9%
	Text	2 32.6%	8 41.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group					
		America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
T. Interview Type	Land	a,b				b	a,b
	Cell	a,b		b		b	a,b
	Online	a,b				b	a,b
	Text	a,b				b	a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
T. Interview Type	Land	b			b		b
	Cell						
	Online	b					
	Text						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Ethnic Group	
		Not sure/DK/NA	
		(M)	
T. Interview Type	Land		
	Cell		
	Online		
	Text		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Zip Code		
		Total	94022	94024
T. Interview Type	Total	446	203	243
	Land	64	36	28
	Cell	90	37	53
	Online	123	56	67
	Text	168	73	95
		37.7%	36.2%	39.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Zip Code	
		94022	94024
		(A)	(B)
T. Interview Type	Land		
	Cell		
	Online		
	Text		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Language of Interview			
		Total	Chinese	English	Other
T. Interview Type	Total	446	20	422	3
	Land	64	1	63	0
	Cell	90	5	83	3
	Online	123	10	113	0
	Text	168	5	163	0
		20.3%	22.4%	19.6%	100.0%
		14.4%	4.0%	15.0%	0.0%
		27.6%	48.1%	26.8%	0.0%
		37.7%	25.6%	38.6%	0.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
T. Interview Type			
Land			a
Cell			a
Online	B		a
Text			a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
T. Interview Type					
Total	446	90	64	123	168
Land	64	0	64	0	0
Cell	14.4%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Online	90	90	0	0	0
Text	20.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cell	123	0	0	123	0
Online	27.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Text	168	0	0	0	168
Text	37.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
T. Interview Type				
Land	a	a	a	a
Cell	a	a	a	a
Online	a	a	a	a
Text	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
T. Interview Type						
Total	446	52	70	51	69	204
Land	64	3	3	4	6	48
Cell	14.4%	6.3%	4.8%	8.5%	8.1%	23.4%
Online	90	21	19	12	17	21
Text	20.3%	41.2%	27.2%	23.0%	24.5%	10.5%
Cell	123	11	18	13	13	68
Online	27.6%	21.5%	25.9%	25.1%	19.4%	33.2%
Text	168	16	30	22	33	67
Text	37.7%	31.0%	42.2%	43.4%	48.0%	33.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Interview Type	Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
T. Interview Type						
Land					B	
Cell	E	E		E		
Online						
Text						

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
T. Interview Type									
Total	444	121	76	55	54	53	73	2	10
Land	64	12	14	13	10	5	6	0	3
Cell	14.5%	10.0%	19.0%	23.9%	19.4%	9.6%	8.8%	0.0%	24.4%
Online	90	26	13	6	9	15	19	0	3
Text	20.4%	21.7%	17.6%	10.2%	17.5%	27.3%	25.5%	0.0%	25.8%
Cell	122	42	15	18	11	16	18	0	1
Online	27.6%	35.2%	20.2%	33.3%	21.0%	30.7%	24.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Text	167	40	33	18	23	17	31	2	4
Text	37.6%	33.1%	43.1%	32.5%	42.2%	32.4%	41.7%	100.0%	40.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
T. Interview Type	Land							a,b	
	Cell							a,b	
	Online							a,b	
	Text							a,b	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{c,d}.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

SET Printback=On.

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

	Total	
	Total	Total
5A. Police services	2.40	2.40
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.29
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.79
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	1.97
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.55
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.33
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.64
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.53
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.13
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.45
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.86
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.49
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.47
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.62
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.69

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
5A. Police services	.
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	.
5C. Street tree maintenance	.
5D. Street pavement maintenance	.
5E. Street sweeping services	.
5F. Traffic safety	.
5G. Economic development efforts	.
5H. Sports fields	.
5I. Managing land use	.
5J. Fire protection services	.
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	.
5L. Senior facilities	.
5M. Senior programs	.
5N. Youth facilities	.
5O. Youth programs	.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
5A. Police services	2.40	2.32	2.47	3.00
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.20	2.37	2.00
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.70	1.86	2.59
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	2.03	1.93	1.00
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.53	1.56	1.41
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.24	2.40	3.00
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.55	1.75	.41
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.51	1.55	.83
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.03	2.21	2.59
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.40	2.50	3.00
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.70	2.02	.41
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.28	1.69	1.00
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.32	1.62	1.00
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.51	1.72	.41
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.59	1.78	.83

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
5A. Police services			
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program		A	
5C. Street tree maintenance			
5D. Street pavement maintenance			
5E. Street sweeping services			
5F. Traffic safety			
5G. Economic development efforts			
5H. Sports fields			
5I. Managing land use			
5J. Fire protection services			
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs		A C	
5L. Senior facilities		A	
5M. Senior programs		A	
5N. Youth facilities		A	
5O. Youth programs			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.^{a,b}
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
5A. Police services	2.40	2.07	2.23	2.56	2.36	2.52	2.88
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.36	2.24	2.23	2.27	2.31	2.56
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.67	1.76	1.69	1.83	1.86	1.85
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	1.80	1.87	1.93	2.03	2.04	2.18
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.67	1.48	1.53	1.43	1.64	1.62
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.10	2.12	2.50	2.35	2.35	2.37
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.79	1.83	1.64	1.59	1.58	1.70
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.40	1.43	1.83	1.60	1.35	1.39
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.08	1.81	2.18	2.14	2.18	2.35
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.27	2.27	2.43	2.51	2.52	2.80
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	2.40	1.95	1.70	1.74	1.82	1.92
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.69	1.13	1.18	1.47	1.69	2.01
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.73	1.32	1.04	1.47	1.64	1.97
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.62	1.61	1.78	1.64	1.47	1.78
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.79	1.78	1.74	1.66	1.59	2.02

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5A. Police services			A		A	
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program						
5C. Street tree maintenance						
5D. Street pavement maintenance						
5E. Street sweeping services						
5F. Traffic safety			A			
5G. Economic development efforts						
5H. Sports fields			E			
5I. Managing land use						
5J. Fire protection services						
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	C D E					
5L. Senior facilities	C				B C	
5M. Senior programs	C			C	C	
5N. Youth facilities						
5O. Youth programs						

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.^{a,b}
 Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
5A. Police services	2.40	2.46	2.09	2.71
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.28	2.28	2.66
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.78	1.80	2.20
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	2.00	1.86	1.86
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.55	1.43	2.32
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.38	2.05	2.78
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.59	1.84	2.10
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.55	1.40	1.84
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.18	1.87	2.19
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.49	2.27	2.81
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.80	2.10	2.12
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.49	1.46	1.92
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.45	1.52	1.82
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.62	1.53	2.42
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.65	1.76	2.55

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
5A. Police services	B		B
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program			
5C. Street tree maintenance			
5D. Street pavement maintenance			
5E. Street sweeping services			A B
5F. Traffic safety	B		B
5G. Economic development efforts			
5H. Sports fields			
5I. Managing land use	B		
5J. Fire protection services	B		B
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs		A	
5L. Senior facilities			
5M. Senior programs			
5N. Youth facilities			A B
5O. Youth programs			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
5A. Police services	2.40	2.40	2.41	2.38	2.40
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.35	2.25	2.10	2.24
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.80	1.86	1.22	1.76
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	1.98	2.09	1.23	1.93
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.52	1.50	1.55	1.62
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.29	2.30	2.18	2.42
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.64	1.69	1.48	1.62
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.56	1.52	1.46	1.50
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.16	2.13	1.47	2.13
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.48	2.53	2.25	2.38
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	2.13	1.39	1.78	1.86
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.59	1.44	1.76	1.35
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.59	1.42	1.70	1.31
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.69	1.48	1.27	1.65
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.79	1.56	1.56	1.64

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
5A. Police services				
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program				
5C. Street tree maintenance		C		
5D. Street pavement maintenance	C	C		C
5E. Street sweeping services				
5F. Traffic safety				
5G. Economic development efforts				
5H. Sports fields				
5I. Managing land use	C	C		C
5J. Fire protection services				
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	B			B
5L. Senior facilities				
5M. Senior programs	D			
5N. Youth facilities				
5O. Youth programs				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
5A. Police services	2.40	2.52	2.39	2.44	2.47	2.34	2.39
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.28	2.32	2.28	2.41	2.31	2.18
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.87	1.64	1.75	1.90	1.78	1.80
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	1.93	1.93	1.96	2.10	2.00	1.95
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.55	1.47	1.51	1.46	1.54	1.66
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.39	2.23	2.30	2.42	2.29	2.37
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.65	1.61	1.78	1.57	1.68	1.59
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.59	1.53	1.29	1.66	1.57	1.45
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.29	1.97	2.04	2.33	2.10	2.09
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.51	2.36	2.52	2.57	2.43	2.45
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	2.25	1.94	1.30	1.50	1.88	1.80
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.61	1.57	1.25	1.64	1.47	1.38
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.57	1.62	1.29	1.57	1.45	1.33
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.72	1.76	1.30	1.54	1.55	1.66
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.81	1.92	1.43	1.52	1.64	1.63

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5A. Police services						
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program						
5C. Street tree maintenance						
5D. Street pavement maintenance						
5E. Street sweeping services						
5F. Traffic safety						
5G. Economic development efforts						
5H. Sports fields						
5I. Managing land use						
5J. Fire protection services						
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	C D F	C			C	
5L. Senior facilities						
5M. Senior programs						
5N. Youth facilities						
5O. Youth programs						

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
5A. Police services	2.40	2.35	2.35	2.21	2.36	2.60	2.46
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.35	2.26	2.14	2.13	2.32	2.22
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.74	1.77	1.65	1.94	1.92	1.72
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	1.90	1.99	2.01	1.75	2.16	1.85
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.60	1.47	1.38	1.54	1.75	1.32
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.30	2.41	2.07	2.52	2.39	2.45
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.68	1.59	1.64	1.65	1.77	1.50
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.43	1.53	1.66	1.70	1.46	1.55
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.06	2.27	2.06	2.09	2.12	2.04
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.46	2.40	2.28	2.24	2.66	2.38
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.96	1.92	1.95	1.84	1.73	1.72
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.61	1.15	1.31	1.63	1.30	1.59
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.62	1.26	1.38	1.41	1.36	1.54
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.67	1.64	1.55	1.67	1.55	1.74
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.90	1.63	1.52	1.61	1.56	1.64

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
5A. Police services	2.49	2.69
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.41	2.45
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.82	1.90
5D. Street pavement maintenance	2.15	2.17
5E. Street sweeping services	1.60	1.72
5F. Traffic safety	2.26	2.38
5G. Economic development efforts	1.59	1.68
5H. Sports fields	1.50	1.52
5I. Managing land use	2.30	2.20
5J. Fire protection services	2.67	2.69
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.66	1.86
5L. Senior facilities	1.67	1.53
5M. Senior programs	1.65	1.37
5N. Youth facilities	1.57	1.46
5O. Youth programs	1.51	1.80

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5A. Police services						
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program						
5C. Street tree maintenance						
5D. Street pavement maintenance						
5E. Street sweeping services						
5F. Traffic safety						
5G. Economic development efforts						
5H. Sports fields						
5I. Managing land use						
5J. Fire protection services						
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs						
5L. Senior facilities						
5M. Senior programs						
5N. Youth facilities						
5O. Youth programs						

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(G)	(H)
5A. Police services		C
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program		
5C. Street tree maintenance		
5D. Street pavement maintenance		
5E. Street sweeping services		
5F. Traffic safety		
5G. Economic development efforts		
5H. Sports fields		
5I. Managing land use		
5J. Fire protection services	C D	D
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs		
5L. Senior facilities		
5M. Senior programs		
5N. Youth facilities		
5O. Youth programs		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Date								
	Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
5A. Police services	2.40	2.46	2.27	2.47	2.40	2.86	2.55	2.09	2.34
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.33	2.01	2.27	2.35	2.13	2.53	2.34	2.20
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.72	1.54	1.89	1.72	2.07	1.72	1.68	1.78
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	2.12	1.75	2.02	1.99	1.98	2.01	1.76	1.85
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.39	1.26	1.64	1.65	1.67	1.64	1.48	1.50
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.32	2.21	2.44	2.44	2.34	2.45	1.98	2.30
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.51	1.29	1.67	1.71	1.43	1.80	1.82	1.61
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.42	1.27	1.61	1.51	1.70	1.95	1.40	1.52
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.24	2.01	2.26	2.18	2.15	2.58	1.62	1.71
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.54	2.28	2.50	2.44	2.80	2.38	2.38	2.09
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.78	1.67	1.83	1.77	1.77	2.20	2.08	1.96
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.51	1.50	1.47	1.37	1.55	1.80	1.51	1.49
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.49	1.52	1.41	1.34	1.49	1.82	1.54	1.68
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.67	1.26	1.63	1.42	1.67	1.71	1.83	1.32
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.71	1.39	1.63	1.47	1.49	1.70	2.02	1.76

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Date							
	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
5A. Police services	G		G		G			
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program								
5C. Street tree maintenance								
5D. Street pavement maintenance								
5E. Street sweeping services								
5F. Traffic safety			G					
5G. Economic development efforts								
5H. Sports fields								
5I. Managing land use	G		G	G		GH		
5J. Fire protection services					H			
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs								
5L. Senior facilities								
5M. Senior programs								
5N. Youth facilities								
5O. Youth programs							C	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
5A. Police services	2.40	2.39	2.45
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.24	2.46
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.76	1.89
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	1.97	1.99
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.55	1.52
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.30	2.44
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.60	1.80
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.52	1.58
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.14	2.07
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.45	2.47
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.85	1.92
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.47	1.56
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.46	1.52
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.55	1.86
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.62	1.92

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
5A. Police services		
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program		A
5C. Street tree maintenance		
5D. Street pavement maintenance		
5E. Street sweeping services		
5F. Traffic safety		
5G. Economic development efforts		
5H. Sports fields		
5I. Managing land use		
5J. Fire protection services		
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs		
5L. Senior facilities		
5M. Senior programs		
5N. Youth facilities		A
5O. Youth programs		A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
5A. Police services	2.40	2.44	2.33
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.24	2.37
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.78	1.79
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	2.00	1.92
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.48	1.66
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.34	2.30
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.56	1.78
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.51	1.56
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.19	2.02
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.50	2.38
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.81	1.95
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.48	1.52
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.44	1.53
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.51	1.79
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.58	1.87

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes (A)	No (B)
5A. Police services		
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program		
5C. Street tree maintenance		
5D. Street pavement maintenance		
5E. Street sweeping services		A
5F. Traffic safety		
5G. Economic development efforts		A
5H. Sports fields		
5I. Managing land use	B	
5J. Fire protection services		
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs		
5L. Senior facilities		
5M. Senior programs		
5N. Youth facilities		A
5O. Youth programs		A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Years Lived in Los Altos						
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
5A. Police services	2.40	2.14	2.46	2.44	2.43	2.39	2.37
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	1.44	2.41	2.13	2.27	2.40	2.27
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.44	1.91	1.78	1.51	1.78	1.86
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	1.44	1.79	2.00	1.89	1.90	2.07
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.40	1.45	1.52	1.59	1.49	1.58
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.00	2.25	2.34	2.33	2.38	2.29
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.44	1.80	1.52	1.51	1.75	1.63
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.70	1.44	1.54	1.46	1.63	1.47
5I. Managing land use	2.13	1.44	2.01	1.95	1.91	2.21	2.25
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	1.44	2.47	2.35	2.44	2.46	2.51
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.48	2.20	1.92	1.80	1.78	1.85
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.18	1.51	1.12	1.51	1.57	1.59
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.14	1.59	1.14	1.41	1.48	1.61
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.70	1.99	1.63	1.50	1.65	1.55
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.74	2.10	1.70	1.68	1.58	1.66

	Years Lived in ... Not sure/ DK /NA
5A. Police services	2.91
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.68
5C. Street tree maintenance	2.68
5D. Street pavement maintenance	2.45
5E. Street sweeping services	2.60
5F. Traffic safety	2.91
5G. Economic development efforts	2.45
5H. Sports fields	2.45
5I. Managing land use	2.68
5J. Fire protection services	3.00
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	2.45
5L. Senior facilities	1.89
5M. Senior programs	1.98
5N. Youth facilities	2.22
5O. Youth programs	2.45

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Years Lived in Los Altos					
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5A. Police services						
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program						D
5C. Street tree maintenance						
5D. Street pavement maintenance						
5E. Street sweeping services						
5F. Traffic safety						
5G. Economic development efforts						
5H. Sports fields						
5I. Managing land use						
5J. Fire protection services						
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs						
5L. Senior facilities					C	C
5M. Senior programs						C
5N. Youth facilities						
5O. Youth programs						

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Years Lived in ...
	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(G)
5A. Police services	
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	
5C. Street tree maintenance	
5D. Street pavement maintenance	
5E. Street sweeping services	
5F. Traffic safety	
5G. Economic development efforts	
5H. Sports fields	
5I. Managing land use	
5J. Fire protection services	A
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	
5L. Senior facilities	
5M. Senior programs	
5N. Youth facilities	
5O. Youth programs	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Employment Status						
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
5A. Police services	2.40	2.33	2.17	2.46	1.96	2.54	2.64
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.17	2.31	2.41	2.39	2.39	2.43
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.77	1.63	1.80	1.30	1.83	2.15
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	1.94	2.01	2.07	1.35	2.05	1.93
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.51	1.27	1.57	1.53	1.66	1.49
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.27	2.19	2.41	1.92	2.39	2.55
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.71	1.51	1.76	1.72	1.50	2.02
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.63	1.69	1.64	.95	1.31	1.92
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.09	2.09	2.31	1.41	2.19	2.34
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.36	2.45	2.60	2.23	2.57	2.70
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.82	2.11	1.85	2.08	1.79	1.99
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.31	1.29	1.29	2.15	1.77	1.49
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.34	1.13	1.37	1.83	1.75	1.49
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.65	1.77	1.77	1.97	1.40	1.74
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.71	1.73	1.78	2.11	1.49	1.79

	Employment Status	
	Not currently employed	DK / NA
5A. Police services	2.69	2.83
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.55	1.68
5C. Street tree maintenance	2.21	1.37
5D. Street pavement maintenance	2.33	1.49
5E. Street sweeping services	1.59	1.51
5F. Traffic safety	2.65	2.83
5G. Economic development efforts	1.49	1.17
5H. Sports fields	1.77	1.54
5I. Managing land use	2.21	2.32
5J. Fire protection services	2.46	2.20
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	2.42	1.00
5L. Senior facilities	1.67	1.17
5M. Senior programs	1.40	1.00
5N. Youth facilities	1.91	1.17
5O. Youth programs	1.99	1.54

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Employment Status						
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Don't work out of the home	Not currently employed
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
5A. Police services					D		
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program							
5C. Street tree maintenance						D	D
5D. Street pavement maintenance	D		D		D		D
5E. Street sweeping services							
5F. Traffic safety							
5G. Economic development efforts							
5H. Sports fields	D					D	
5I. Managing land use	D		D		D	D	
5J. Fire protection services							
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs				A C	A		
5L. Senior facilities					A		
5M. Senior programs							
5N. Youth facilities							
5O. Youth programs							

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Employ.
	DK / NA
	(H)
5A. Police services	
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	
5C. Street tree maintenance	
5D. Street pavement maintenance	
5E. Street sweeping services	
5F. Traffic safety	
5G. Economic development efforts	
5H. Sports fields	
5I. Managing land use	
5J. Fire protection services	
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	
5L. Senior facilities	
5M. Senior programs	
5N. Youth facilities	
5O. Youth programs	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	County Where Work								
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
5A. Police services	2.33	2.27	1.87	2.00	2.40	2.34	2.34	2.00	3.00
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.22	2.32	2.43	3.00	2.02	2.09	2.24	2.00	3.00
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.76	2.04	.43	2.00	1.79	1.56	1.78	3.00	3.00
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	1.54	1.43	3.00	1.88	1.89	1.99	3.00	3.00
5E. Street sweeping services	1.50	1.25	1.43	2.00	1.51	1.39	1.51	2.00	3.00
5F. Traffic safety	2.29	2.27	1.87	2.00	2.35	2.03	2.33	3.00	3.00
5G. Economic development efforts	1.70	1.24	.87	2.00	1.63	1.67	1.72	2.00	1.00
5H. Sports fields	1.64	1.35	1.43	2.00	1.59	1.30	1.70	2.00	1.00
5I. Managing land use	2.13	1.73	1.30	2.00	2.35	1.85	2.17	2.00	3.00
5J. Fire protection services	2.41	1.97	1.87	2.00	2.40	2.44	2.41	3.00	3.00
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.85	2.04	1.43	3.00	1.74	1.67	1.89	3.00	2.00
5L. Senior facilities	1.30	1.37	.87	2.00	1.56	1.28	1.28	2.00	1.00
5M. Senior programs	1.33	1.32	.87	2.00	1.41	1.27	1.34	2.00	2.00
5N. Youth facilities	1.67	1.74	1.43	2.00	1.51	1.47	1.70	3.00	2.00
5O. Youth programs	1.72	1.39	1.87	2.00	1.26	1.57	1.76	3.00	2.00

	County Where ..	
	Other	DK/NA
5A. Police services	2.67	2.00
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.09	2.00
5C. Street tree maintenance	2.34	1.45
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.66	1.45
5E. Street sweeping services	1.35	1.45
5F. Traffic safety	2.42	1.00
5G. Economic development efforts	1.76	1.55
5H. Sports fields	1.43	1.55
5I. Managing land use	2.08	2.00
5J. Fire protection services	3.00	2.00
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.06	1.00
5L. Senior facilities	1.42	.90
5M. Senior programs	.98	.45
5N. Youth facilities	1.61	1.45
5O. Youth programs	1.76	1.45

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	County Where Work								
	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
5A. Police services			a					a	
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program			a					a	
5C. Street tree maintenance			a				B	a	
5D. Street pavement maintenance			a					a	
5E. Street sweeping services			a					a	
5F. Traffic safety			a					a	
5G. Economic development efforts			a					a	
5H. Sports fields			a					a	
5I. Managing land use			a					a	
5J. Fire protection services			a					a	
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs			a					a	
5L. Senior facilities			a					a	
5M. Senior programs			a					a	
5N. Youth facilities			a					a	
5O. Youth programs			a					a	

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	County
	DK/NA
	(J)
5A. Police services	
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	
5C. Street tree maintenance	
5D. Street pavement maintenance	
5E. Street sweeping services	
5F. Traffic safety	
5G. Economic development efforts	
5H. Sports fields	
5I. Managing land use	
5J. Fire protection services	
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	
5L. Senior facilities	
5M. Senior programs	
5N. Youth facilities	
5O. Youth programs	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnic Group					
	Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
5A. Police services	2.40	1.00	2.62	2.18	2.18	2.30
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.00	2.37	2.14	2.33	2.16
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	.00	1.92	2.24	1.99	2.18
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	1.00	2.12	2.59	2.10	2.00
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.00	1.69	2.14	1.78	1.66
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	1.00	2.47	2.41	2.17	2.48
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	.00	1.85	1.87	1.92	2.52
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.00	1.81	1.28	1.53	1.30
5I. Managing land use	2.13	.00	2.19	2.24	1.84	3.00
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	1.00	2.58	2.59	2.33	2.30
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.00	2.05	1.46	2.05	2.85
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	.00	1.86	1.64	1.70	1.64
5M. Senior programs	1.47	.00	1.72	1.64	1.72	1.64
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.00	1.83	1.87	1.98	1.30
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.00	1.96	1.46	1.83	1.34

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
5A. Police services	1.00	2.04	1.96	2.39	2.71	2.66
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.00	2.52	2.26	2.30	2.27	2.47
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.00	2.04	1.59	1.75	1.99	1.88
5D. Street pavement maintenance	2.00	1.52	1.30	1.94	2.25	1.94
5E. Street sweeping services	2.00	1.52	1.85	1.50	1.61	1.59
5F. Traffic safety	3.00	2.04	1.96	2.31	2.38	2.53
5G. Economic development efforts	2.00	2.00	1.41	1.62	1.46	1.87
5H. Sports fields	3.00	1.04	1.60	1.51	1.36	1.42
5I. Managing land use	1.00	1.96	1.74	2.13	2.42	2.43
5J. Fire protection services	2.00	1.52	1.81	2.46	2.51	2.71
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.00	2.48	1.45	1.86	2.24	1.99
5L. Senior facilities	3.00	1.00	1.57	1.49	1.31	1.62
5M. Senior programs	3.00	1.48	1.34	1.47	1.54	1.43
5N. Youth facilities	2.00	1.00	1.74	1.59	1.75	1.54
5O. Youth programs	2.00	1.52	1.74	1.67	1.43	1.62

	Ethnic Group	
	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
5A. Police services	2.33	2.51
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.00	1.85
5C. Street tree maintenance	2.06	1.82
5D. Street pavement maintenance	2.23	2.21
5E. Street sweeping services	1.53	1.53
5F. Traffic safety	2.17	2.59
5G. Economic development efforts	1.88	1.16
5H. Sports fields	1.76	1.69
5I. Managing land use	1.58	2.14
5J. Fire protection services	2.33	2.48
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.48	1.23
5L. Senior facilities	1.42	.87
5M. Senior programs	1.15	.94
5N. Youth facilities	2.53	1.30
5O. Youth programs	2.53	1.53

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5A. Police services	a					a
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	a					a
5C. Street tree maintenance	a					a
5D. Street pavement maintenance	a					a
5E. Street sweeping services	a					a
5F. Traffic safety	a					a
5G. Economic development efforts	a					a
5H. Sports fields	a					a
5I. Managing land use	a					a
5J. Fire protection services	a					a
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	a					a
5L. Senior facilities	a	M				a
5M. Senior programs	a					a
5N. Youth facilities	a					a
5O. Youth programs	a					a

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
5A. Police services						
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program						
5C. Street tree maintenance						
5D. Street pavement maintenance						
5E. Street sweeping services						
5F. Traffic safety						
5G. Economic development efforts						
5H. Sports fields						
5I. Managing land use						
5J. Fire protection services						
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs						
5L. Senior facilities						
5M. Senior programs						
5N. Youth facilities						
5O. Youth programs						

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)
5A. Police services	
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	
5C. Street tree maintenance	
5D. Street pavement maintenance	
5E. Street sweeping services	
5F. Traffic safety	
5G. Economic development efforts	
5H. Sports fields	
5I. Managing land use	
5J. Fire protection services	
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	
5L. Senior facilities	
5M. Senior programs	
5N. Youth facilities	
5O. Youth programs	

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
5A. Police services	2.40	2.36	2.43
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.24	2.33
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.79	1.78
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	1.90	2.03
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.54	1.55
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.31	2.34
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.63	1.65
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.48	1.57
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.19	2.08
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.49	2.43
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.80	1.91
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.49	1.49
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.51	1.44
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.68	1.57
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.68	1.69

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Zip Code	
	94022	94024
	(A)	(B)
5A. Police services		
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program		
5C. Street tree maintenance		
5D. Street pavement maintenance		
5E. Street sweeping services		
5F. Traffic safety		
5G. Economic development efforts		
5H. Sports fields		
5I. Managing land use		
5J. Fire protection services		
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs		
5L. Senior facilities		
5M. Senior programs		
5N. Youth facilities		
5O. Youth programs		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
5A. Police services	2.40	2.49	2.40	2.00
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.03	2.30	2.00
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.97	1.78	1.00
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	2.10	1.97	2.00
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.76	1.54	1.00
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.46	2.32	2.00
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.57	1.65	1.00
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.68	1.53	1.00
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.20	2.12	2.00
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.29	2.47	2.00
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.86	1.86	2.00
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.76	1.48	1.00
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.42	1.48	1.00
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.69	1.62	1.00
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.69	1.69	1.00

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
5A. Police services			
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program			
5C. Street tree maintenance			
5D. Street pavement maintenance			
5E. Street sweeping services			
5F. Traffic safety			
5G. Economic development efforts			
5H. Sports fields			
5I. Managing land use			
5J. Fire protection services			
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs			
5L. Senior facilities			
5M. Senior programs			
5N. Youth facilities			
5O. Youth programs			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.^{a,b}
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
5A. Police services	2.40	2.16	2.27	2.59	2.45
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.36	2.18	2.29	2.28
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.70	1.69	1.77	1.89
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	1.79	1.81	2.13	2.02
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.56	1.43	1.47	1.64
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.09	2.23	2.45	2.40
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.77	1.52	1.57	1.67
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.52	1.25	1.58	1.60
5I. Managing land use	2.13	1.71	1.98	2.23	2.31
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.31	2.32	2.55	2.51
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	2.05	1.70	1.73	1.92
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.49	1.59	1.55	1.41
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.51	1.52	1.52	1.40
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.73	1.30	1.75	1.57
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.88	1.50	1.71	1.62

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
5A. Police services			A B	A
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program				
5C. Street tree maintenance				
5D. Street pavement maintenance			A B	
5E. Street sweeping services				
5F. Traffic safety			A	A
5G. Economic development efforts				
5H. Sports fields				
5I. Managing land use			A	A B
5J. Fire protection services			A	
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs				
5L. Senior facilities				
5M. Senior programs				
5N. Youth facilities	B		B	
5O. Youth programs				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
5A. Police services	2.40	2.28	2.50	2.32	2.27	2.46
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.28	2.45	2.21	2.17	2.29
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.79	1.43	1.95	1.87	1.81	1.79
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.97	1.70	2.05	1.96	1.96	2.03
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.53	1.90	1.50	1.41	1.48
5F. Traffic safety	2.33	2.11	2.53	2.24	2.17	2.39
5G. Economic development efforts	1.64	1.82	1.70	1.77	1.55	1.58
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.36	1.61	1.74	1.47	1.51
5I. Managing land use	2.13	1.90	2.23	2.13	2.00	2.19
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.20	2.57	2.49	2.33	2.51
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.86	1.99	2.09	1.76	1.88	1.77
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.62	1.57	1.47	1.21	1.54
5M. Senior programs	1.47	1.51	1.60	1.52	1.24	1.49
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.67	1.85	1.60	1.63	1.52
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.93	1.88	1.55	1.58	1.62

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5A. Police services					
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program					
5C. Street tree maintenance		A	A		A
5D. Street pavement maintenance					
5E. Street sweeping services		C D E			
5F. Traffic safety		A			
5G. Economic development efforts					
5H. Sports fields					
5I. Managing land use					
5J. Fire protection services		A			A
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs					
5L. Senior facilities					
5M. Senior programs					
5N. Youth facilities					
5O. Youth programs					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
5A. Police services	2.40	2.39	2.42	2.58	2.22	2.54	2.29	2.58	2.34
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.29	2.40	2.26	2.38	2.13	2.30	2.20	2.17	2.09
5C. Street tree maintenance	1.78	1.81	1.78	2.01	1.68	1.83	1.71	1.58	1.16
5D. Street pavement maintenance	1.98	1.93	2.06	2.06	2.13	1.81	2.03	1.58	1.16
5E. Street sweeping services	1.55	1.47	1.61	1.60	1.40	1.72	1.55	1.58	1.54
5F. Traffic safety	2.32	2.29	2.30	2.47	2.11	2.58	2.30	2.58	2.12
5G. Economic development efforts	1.65	1.70	1.55	1.82	1.59	1.79	1.51	1.00	1.56
5H. Sports fields	1.53	1.57	1.53	1.61	1.47	1.43	1.55	1.75	1.41
5I. Managing land use	2.13	2.14	2.19	2.28	1.96	2.33	2.00	1.58	1.45
5J. Fire protection services	2.45	2.48	2.47	2.61	2.45	2.42	2.34	2.58	2.19
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.87	2.14	2.11	1.67	1.15	2.10	1.70	2.58	1.64
5L. Senior facilities	1.49	1.75	1.32	1.70	1.18	1.52	1.24	1.58	1.79
5M. Senior programs	1.48	1.70	1.41	1.68	1.15	1.33	1.29	2.00	1.65
5N. Youth facilities	1.62	1.77	1.57	1.71	1.29	1.63	1.67	1.58	1.22
5O. Youth programs	1.69	1.81	1.77	1.71	1.45	1.78	1.52	1.58	1.55

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
5A. Police services								
5B. Garbage collection and recycling program								
5C. Street tree maintenance			H					
5D. Street pavement maintenance		H	H	H		H		
5E. Street sweeping services								
5F. Traffic safety					D			
5G. Economic development efforts								
5H. Sports fields								
5I. Managing land use					H			
5J. Fire protection services								
5K. Environmental and sustainability programs	C D F	D			D	D		
5L. Senior facilities	B D F							
5M. Senior programs	D							
5N. Youth facilities	D							
5O. Youth programs								

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Total	
	Total	Total
6A. Police services	1.57	1.57
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.64
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	.93
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	.77
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.29
6F. Traffic safety	.70	.70
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.31
6H. Sports fields	1.03	1.03
6I. Managing land use	.21	.21
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.68
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	1.05
6L. Senior facilities	.77	.77
6M. Senior programs	1.02	1.02
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.75
6O. Youth programs	.91	.91

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
6A. Police services	.
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	.
6C. Street tree maintenance	.
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.
6E. Street sweeping services	.
6F. Traffic safety	.
6G. Economic development efforts	.
6H. Sports fields	.
6I. Managing land use	.
6J. Fire protection services	.
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	.
6L. Senior facilities	.
6M. Senior programs	.
6N. Youth facilities	.
6O. Youth programs	.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
6A. Police services	1.57	1.63	1.51	1.00
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.68	1.61	.76
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	.94	.93	-.17
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	.73	.81	1.00
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.37	1.20	1.59
6F. Traffic safety	.70	.80	.61	-2.00
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.51	.12	-1.00
6H. Sports fields	1.03	1.14	.91	2.00
6I. Managing land use	.21	.35	.10	-2.00
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.64	1.72	2.00
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	1.09	1.01	1.00
6L. Senior facilities	.77	.91	.65	.
6M. Senior programs	1.02	1.11	.95	.
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.88	.63	2.00
6O. Youth programs	.91	1.03	.80	2.00

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
6A. Police services			
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program			
6C. Street tree maintenance			
6D. Street pavement maintenance			a
6E. Street sweeping services			.
6F. Traffic safety			a
6G. Economic development efforts	B		a
6H. Sports fields			a
6I. Managing land use	C		.
6J. Fire protection services			
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs			a
6L. Senior facilities			.
6M. Senior programs			.
6N. Youth facilities			a
6O. Youth programs			a

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.^{b,c}
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6A. Police services	1.57	1.65	1.60	1.33	1.57	1.65	1.87
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.37	1.67	1.57	1.68	1.76	1.67
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	1.27	1.14	.88	.84	.82	1.31
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	1.09	.72	.58	.64	.90	.80
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.39	1.27	1.11	1.32	1.33	1.37
6F. Traffic safety	.70	1.09	.96	.21	.45	.96	1.88
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.50	.41	.22	.17	.40	.55
6H. Sports fields	1.03	.94	1.06	.88	1.08	1.12	1.27
6I. Managing land use	.21	.45	.21	.31	-.05	.30	.01
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.79	1.35	1.73	1.63	1.72	2.00
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	1.20	1.01	1.08	.89	1.14	.66
6L. Senior facilities	.77	1.08	1.07	1.25	.41	.70	.92
6M. Senior programs	1.02	1.18	1.08	1.27	.85	1.01	.73
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.67	.69	.67	.79	.87	.17
6O. Youth programs	.91	.84	.94	.86	.87	1.04	1.64

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services					C	
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program				A	A	
6C. Street tree maintenance						
6D. Street pavement maintenance						
6E. Street sweeping services						
6F. Traffic safety	C D	C			C D	C D
6G. Economic development efforts						
6H. Sports fields						
6I. Managing land use						
6J. Fire protection services	B				B	
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs						
6L. Senior facilities	D		D			
6M. Senior programs						
6N. Youth facilities						
6O. Youth programs						

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	1.57	1.55	1.68	1.50
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.64	1.70	1.00
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	.85	1.26	1.13
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	.73	.88	1.43
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.24	1.51	1.56
6F. Traffic safety	.70	.63	.96	.97
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.21	.81	-.65
6H. Sports fields	1.03	.97	1.32	.76
6I. Managing land use	.21	.12	.54	.54
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.71	1.55	1.76
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	1.01	1.23	.78
6L. Senior facilities	.77	.64	1.21	1.42
6M. Senior programs	1.02	.90	1.45	1.40
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.65	1.22	.29
6O. Youth programs	.91	.86	1.16	.18

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
6A. Police services			
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	C	C	
6C. Street tree maintenance		A	
6D. Street pavement maintenance			
6E. Street sweeping services			
6F. Traffic safety			
6G. Economic development efforts		A C	
6H. Sports fields			
6I. Managing land use			
6J. Fire protection services			
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs			
6L. Senior facilities		A	
6M. Senior programs		A	
6N. Youth facilities		A	
6O. Youth programs			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6A. Police services	1.57	1.59	1.65	1.91	1.43
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.72	1.63	1.78	1.51
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	.95	.83	1.41	.95
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	.85	.69	1.16	.69
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.39	1.19	1.75	1.18
6F. Traffic safety	.70	.72	.70	1.00	.62
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.39	.01	.58	.42
6H. Sports fields	1.03	1.04	.94	1.17	1.08
6I. Managing land use	.21	.25	-.10	.56	.37
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.71	1.70	1.96	1.58
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	1.08	.92	1.16	1.08
6L. Senior facilities	.77	.66	.67	1.19	1.03
6M. Senior programs	1.02	.94	.94	.85	1.27
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.56	.80	1.00	.98
6O. Youth programs	.91	.82	1.04	1.00	.93

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6A. Police services				
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	D			
6C. Street tree maintenance				
6D. Street pavement maintenance				
6E. Street sweeping services				
6F. Traffic safety				
6G. Economic development efforts				
6H. Sports fields				
6I. Managing land use				
6J. Fire protection services				
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs				
6L. Senior facilities				
6M. Senior programs				A
6N. Youth facilities				
6O. Youth programs				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6A. Police services	1.57	1.50	1.65	1.74	1.65	1.60	1.42
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.71	1.64	1.78	1.71	1.64	1.49
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	1.16	.87	.90	1.03	.79	.97
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	.99	.71	.98	.55	.70	.76
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.45	1.32	1.37	1.10	1.28	1.20
6F. Traffic safety	.70	.49	.88	.49	.76	.76	.68
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.61	.38	-.28	.08	.26	.40
6H. Sports fields	1.03	1.21	1.06	.82	1.11	.88	1.13
6I. Managing land use	.21	.45	.12	-.09	-.11	.22	.30
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.64	1.67	1.57	1.72	1.78	1.57
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	1.03	1.05	.68	1.10	1.12	1.02
6L. Senior facilities	.77	.79	.60	.78	.68	.63	1.16
6M. Senior programs	1.02	1.09	.88	.87	1.08	.88	1.34
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.85	.10	.49	1.04	.89	.92
6O. Youth programs	.91	1.10	.60	.98	1.06	.97	.86

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services						
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program						
6C. Street tree maintenance						
6D. Street pavement maintenance						
6E. Street sweeping services						
6F. Traffic safety						
6G. Economic development efforts						
6H. Sports fields						
6I. Managing land use						
6J. Fire protection services						
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs						
6L. Senior facilities						
6M. Senior programs						
6N. Youth facilities	B			B	B	B
6O. Youth programs						

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
6A. Police services	1.57	1.58	1.40	1.36	1.61	1.67	1.53
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.54	1.52	1.72	1.75	1.60	1.64
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	1.05	.94	.93	1.05	.48	.91
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	.84	.66	.89	.71	.54	.67
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.30	1.15	1.29	1.44	1.16	1.22
6F. Traffic safety	.70	.73	.59	.53	.40	.61	.71
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.42	.60	.02	.16	-.05	.38
6H. Sports fields	1.03	.99	.88	.88	.99	.90	1.49
6I. Managing land use	.21	.35	.51	-.13	.15	.13	-.10
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.68	1.56	1.77	1.61	1.57	1.68
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	1.07	1.12	1.10	.93	.74	1.28
6L. Senior facilities	.77	.94	.93	1.13	.54	.56	.20
6M. Senior programs	1.02	1.12	.96	1.32	1.02	.85	.73
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.69	.71	.81	.65	1.02	.77
6O. Youth programs	.91	.81	.94	1.05	.65	.86	1.08

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6A. Police services	1.72	1.75
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.70	1.81
6C. Street tree maintenance	.77	.99
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.91	.73
6E. Street sweeping services	1.41	1.28
6F. Traffic safety	1.13	.93
6G. Economic development efforts	.22	.59
6H. Sports fields	1.18	1.21
6I. Managing land use	.08	.40
6J. Fire protection services	1.73	1.82
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.03	1.05
6L. Senior facilities	.57	.83
6M. Senior programs	.98	.93
6N. Youth facilities	.72	.88
6O. Youth programs	.96	1.23

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)	1993 to 1996 (F)
6A. Police services						
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program						
6C. Street tree maintenance						
6D. Street pavement maintenance						
6E. Street sweeping services						
6F. Traffic safety						
6G. Economic development efforts						
6H. Sports fields						
6I. Managing land use						
6J. Fire protection services						
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs						
6L. Senior facilities						
6M. Senior programs						
6N. Youth facilities						
6O. Youth programs						

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
6A. Police services		
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program		
6C. Street tree maintenance		
6D. Street pavement maintenance		
6E. Street sweeping services		
6F. Traffic safety		
6G. Economic development efforts		
6H. Sports fields		
6I. Managing land use		
6J. Fire protection services		
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs		
6L. Senior facilities		
6M. Senior programs		
6N. Youth facilities		
6O. Youth programs		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

	Date								
	Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
6A. Police services	1.57	1.61	1.49	1.59	1.48	1.51	1.71	1.60	1.33
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.68	1.67	1.65	1.67	1.50	1.62	1.53	1.75
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	.84	.66	.83	.76	.83	1.25	1.19	1.55
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	.68	1.07	.66	.44	.97	1.04	1.05	1.03
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.27	1.40	1.16	1.36	1.59	1.24	1.49	1.46
6F. Traffic safety	.70	.86	.65	.47	.16	.84	.79	1.24	1.16
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.12	-.11	.17	-.09	-.75	.87	.91	.68
6H. Sports fields	1.03	1.16	.69	.84	.89	1.32	1.20	1.44	1.17
6I. Managing land use	.21	.19	.03	.00	-.11	-.61	.78	.81	.67
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.66	1.79	1.64	1.82	1.83	1.76	1.63	1.78
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	.98	.95	.89	1.12	.87	1.01	1.39	1.49
6L. Senior facilities	.77	.67	.44	.72	1.06	.10	.52	1.03	1.05
6M. Senior programs	1.02	1.22	.79	.89	1.21	.33	.97	1.23	1.06
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.47	.34	.75	.88	1.06	.93	1.03	.55
6O. Youth programs	.91	.72	.29	.92	.80	.85	1.11	1.19	1.06

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Date							
	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
6A. Police services								
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program								
6C. Street tree maintenance								
6D. Street pavement maintenance								
6E. Street sweeping services								
6F. Traffic safety							C D	
6G. Economic development efforts							C	
6H. Sports fields							C	
6I. Managing land use							C	
6J. Fire protection services							C	
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs							C	
6L. Senior facilities								
6M. Senior programs								
6N. Youth facilities								
6O. Youth programs								

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
6A. Police services	1.57	1.57	1.56
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.64	1.64
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	.86	1.17
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	.72	.93
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.22	1.52
6F. Traffic safety	.70	.69	.73
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.26	.45
6H. Sports fields	1.03	.97	1.21
6I. Managing land use	.21	.19	.28
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.68	1.67
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	1.01	1.17
6L. Senior facilities	.77	.73	.89
6M. Senior programs	1.02	.98	1.16
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.75	.76
6O. Youth programs	.91	.88	1.03

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
6A. Police services		
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program		
6C. Street tree maintenance		A
6D. Street pavement maintenance		
6E. Street sweeping services		A
6F. Traffic safety		
6G. Economic development efforts		
6H. Sports fields		
6I. Managing land use		
6J. Fire protection services		
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs		
6L. Senior facilities		
6M. Senior programs		
6N. Youth facilities		
6O. Youth programs		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
6A. Police services	1.57	1.58	1.55
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.70	1.53
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	.82	1.12
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	.68	.92
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.28	1.30
6F. Traffic safety	.70	.61	.85
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.19	.51
6H. Sports fields	1.03	1.01	1.06
6I. Managing land use	.21	.09	.40
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.73	1.59
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	.98	1.16
6L. Senior facilities	.77	.60	1.05
6M. Senior programs	1.02	.91	1.22
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.76	.75
6O. Youth programs	.91	.91	.92

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
6A. Police services		
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	B	A
6C. Street tree maintenance		A
6D. Street pavement maintenance		A
6E. Street sweeping services		
6F. Traffic safety		
6G. Economic development efforts		A
6H. Sports fields		
6I. Managing land use		A
6J. Fire protection services	B	
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs		
6L. Senior facilities		A
6M. Senior programs		A
6N. Youth facilities		
6O. Youth programs		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Years Lived in Los Altos						
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
6A. Police services	1.57	1.27	1.73	1.55	1.42	1.49	1.69
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	.43	1.50	1.62	1.58	1.60	1.75
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	1.27	1.30	1.06	1.16	.81	.78
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	1.27	1.20	.70	.75	.76	.72
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.27	1.28	1.42	1.29	1.13	1.33
6F. Traffic safety	.70	.65	1.09	.72	.38	.57	.80
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	-.44	1.13	.64	.27	.01	.26
6H. Sports fields	1.03	2.00	1.22	1.04	.86	1.01	1.05
6I. Managing land use	.21	1.00	.52	.61	.12	-.04	.14
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.27	1.41	1.70	1.71	1.61	1.75
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	-.22	1.03	1.11	1.06	.92	1.10
6L. Senior facilities	.77	1.00	1.30	.99	1.20	.36	.78
6M. Senior programs	1.02	.	1.28	1.16	1.39	.67	1.05
6N. Youth facilities	.75	1.00	.31	.75	.85	.59	.90
6O. Youth programs	.91	1.00	.53	1.07	1.02	.69	1.02

	Years Lived in ..
	Not sure/ DK /NA
6A. Police services	-.02
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.31
6C. Street tree maintenance	1.45
6D. Street pavement maintenance	1.58
6E. Street sweeping services	1.77
6F. Traffic safety	1.89
6G. Economic development efforts	1.69
6H. Sports fields	1.58
6I. Managing land use	.99
6J. Fire protection services	2.00
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.58
6L. Senior facilities	1.00
6M. Senior programs	1.00
6N. Youth facilities	1.00
6O. Youth programs	1.27

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Years Lived in Los Altos					
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services		G	G	G	G	G
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program			A	A	A	A
6C. Street tree maintenance						
6D. Street pavement maintenance						
6E. Street sweeping services						
6F. Traffic safety						
6G. Economic development efforts						
6H. Sports fields	a					
6I. Managing land use	.					
6J. Fire protection services						
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs						
6L. Senior facilities	a			E		
6M. Senior programs	.			E		
6N. Youth facilities						
6O. Youth programs	a					

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Years Lived in ..
	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(G)
6A. Police services	
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	
6C. Street tree maintenance	
6D. Street pavement maintenance	
6E. Street sweeping services	
6F. Traffic safety	
6G. Economic development efforts	
6H. Sports fields	
6I. Managing land use	
6J. Fire protection services	
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	
6L. Senior facilities	a
6M. Senior programs	a
6N. Youth facilities	a
6O. Youth programs	a

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Employment Status						
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
6A. Police services	1.57	1.58	1.70	1.52	1.70	1.67	1.05
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.54	1.85	1.63	1.59	1.78	1.69
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	.91	.95	1.04	1.45	.87	1.15
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	.61	.91	.72	1.53	.91	1.30
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.24	1.52	1.13	1.61	1.37	1.61
6F. Traffic safety	.70	.59	.60	.62	1.45	.88	.90
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.21	.51	.33	.58	.46	.15
6H. Sports fields	1.03	.89	1.11	1.13	1.25	1.15	1.53
6I. Managing land use	.21	.12	.73	.16	.33	.33	.53
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.62	1.70	1.61	1.78	1.76	1.90
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	.96	1.19	1.13	1.23	1.17	1.22
6L. Senior facilities	.77	.83	1.06	.61	1.39	.69	.21
6M. Senior programs	1.02	.96	1.33	.90	1.73	1.02	1.17
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.65	.89	1.09	.66	.87	.91
6O. Youth programs	.91	.88	1.02	.90	1.08	1.03	1.15

	Employment Status	
	Not currently employed	DK / NA
6A. Police services	1.20	.32
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.71	1.10
6C. Street tree maintenance	.44	1.17
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.79	-1.39
6E. Street sweeping services	1.41	-.78
6F. Traffic safety	.21	-1.39
6G. Economic development efforts	.03	-2.00
6H. Sports fields	1.13	.42
6I. Managing land use	-.02	-1.37
6J. Fire protection services	1.58	1.68
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	.34	2.00
6L. Senior facilities	.94	-2.00
6M. Senior programs	1.40	-.05
6N. Youth facilities	.56	-.42
6O. Youth programs	.25	.21

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Employment Status						
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
6A. Police services	H	H		H	F H		
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program					A		
6C. Street tree maintenance							
6D. Street pavement maintenance		H		A H	H	H	
6E. Street sweeping services	H	H	H	H	H	H	H
6F. Traffic safety				H	H		
6G. Economic development efforts							
6H. Sports fields							
6I. Managing land use							
6J. Fire protection services							
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs							
6L. Senior facilities							
6M. Senior programs							
6N. Youth facilities							
6O. Youth programs							

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Employ.
	DK / NA
	(H)
6A. Police services	
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	
6C. Street tree maintenance	
6D. Street pavement maintenance	
6E. Street sweeping services	
6F. Traffic safety	
6G. Economic development efforts	a
6H. Sports fields	
6I. Managing land use	
6J. Fire protection services	
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	a
6L. Senior facilities	a
6M. Senior programs	
6N. Youth facilities	
6O. Youth programs	

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	County Where Work								
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
6A. Police services	1.58	1.69	1.00	1.00	1.38	1.49	1.61	2.00	1.00
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.58	1.46	1.00	2.00	1.43	1.30	1.63	2.00	1.00
6C. Street tree maintenance	.94	-.48	1.00	1.00	.85	1.23	.94	2.00	1.00
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.65	.04	-.13	2.00	1.18	.57	.64	1.00	1.00
6E. Street sweeping services	1.24	1.77	-.13	1.00	1.34	1.14	1.25	2.00	1.00
6F. Traffic safety	.60	1.12	-1.00	1.00	.52	.42	.65	1.00	-1.00
6G. Economic development efforts	.25	.47	-1.00	1.00	.49	.43	.20	1.00	1.00
6H. Sports fields	.94	-.55	1.00	1.00	.07	1.42	.92	2.00	2.00
6I. Managing land use	.17	.55	-1.00	2.00	-.41	-.10	.21	1.00	1.00
6J. Fire protection services	1.62	2.00	1.57	1.00	.89	1.47	1.67	2.00	2.00
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.01	-.36	1.00	2.00	.09	.76	1.09	2.00	1.00
6L. Senior facilities	.81	-1.12	.	1.00	.77	1.01	.87	-1.00	.
6M. Senior programs	.97	-.67	.	2.00	.82	1.13	1.00	1.00	1.00
6N. Youth facilities	.74	-1.00	-.13	1.00	.25	.69	.77	1.00	.
6O. Youth programs	.89	.	-.13	1.00	1.02	.74	.90	1.00	.

	County Where	
	Other	DK/NA
6A. Police services	1.57	1.00
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.82	1.55
6C. Street tree maintenance	-.38	1.55
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.67	1.00
6E. Street sweeping services	1.40	1.55
6F. Traffic safety	-.12	-.10
6G. Economic development efforts	-1.00	1.00
6H. Sports fields	1.61	1.00
6I. Managing land use	-.15	1.00
6J. Fire protection services	1.78	1.55
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.00	1.00
6L. Senior facilities	.	1.00
6M. Senior programs	1.00	1.00
6N. Youth facilities	2.00	1.00
6O. Youth programs	2.00	1.00

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	County Where Work								
	Alameda (A)	Marin (B)	Napa (C)	San Francisco (D)	San Mateo (E)	Santa Clara (F)	Santa Cruz (G)	Sonoma (H)	Other (I)
6A. Police services			a					a	
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program			a					a	
6C. Street tree maintenance			a					a	
6D. Street pavement maintenance			a					a	
6E. Street sweeping services			a					a	
6F. Traffic safety			a					a	
6G. Economic development efforts		a	a					a	a
6H. Sports fields		a	a					a	a
6I. Managing land use		.	a					a	.
6J. Fire protection services			a			D		a	.
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs			a					a	.
6L. Senior facilities			a					a	.
6M. Senior programs			a		A	A		a	a
6N. Youth facilities	a	.	a					.	.
6O. Youth programs	.	.	a					.	.

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	County
	DK/NA (J)
6A. Police services	
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	
6C. Street tree maintenance	
6D. Street pavement maintenance	
6E. Street sweeping services	
6F. Traffic safety	
6G. Economic development efforts	
6H. Sports fields	
6I. Managing land use	
6J. Fire protection services	
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	
6L. Senior facilities	
6M. Senior programs	
6N. Youth facilities	
6O. Youth programs	

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnic Group					
	Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
6A. Police services	1.57	1.00	1.55	1.24	1.10	1.00
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.00	1.59	1.55	1.44	.45
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	1.00	1.32	1.55	.86	.24
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	-1.00	1.03	1.14	1.08	.30
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	-1.00	1.43	1.14	1.12	1.18
6F. Traffic safety	.70	-1.00	.99	-.08	.80	.35
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	-1.00	.54	-.03	-.41	-1.30
6H. Sports fields	1.03	1.00	.99	1.70	.51	.62
6I. Managing land use	.21	-1.00	1.02	1.24	.23	-1.00
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	2.00	1.52	1.70	1.62	1.15
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	1.00	1.19	1.14	1.09	-.53
6L. Senior facilities	.77	.	1.07	.71	1.00	.45
6M. Senior programs	1.02	.	1.17	1.27	.81	.07
6N. Youth facilities	.75	-1.00	.84	.71	-.46	-1.00
6O. Youth programs	.91	1.00	.99	.71	.44	.53

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
6A. Police services	1.00	-1.00	1.70	1.63	1.63	1.58
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.00	.44	1.85	1.70	1.76	1.52
6C. Street tree maintenance	1.00	1.00	1.52	.93	1.33	.63
6D. Street pavement maintenance	1.00	-.56	1.59	.80	.16	.90
6E. Street sweeping services	1.00	.56	1.59	1.36	1.31	.95
6F. Traffic safety	-1.00	-.04	1.00	.73	1.14	.14
6G. Economic development efforts	-1.00	.09	.26	.38	.97	.18
6H. Sports fields	1.00	1.00	1.15	1.07	1.55	1.18
6I. Managing land use	-1.00	-1.00	-.63	.23	1.17	-.47
6J. Fire protection services	.	1.00	1.75	1.71	1.91	1.39
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	.	-.44	1.00	1.08	1.66	.84
6L. Senior facilities	.	.	.60	.77	1.72	.67
6M. Senior programs	.	.	1.20	1.06	1.72	.69
6N. Youth facilities	.	2.00	-.93	.88	1.49	1.02
6O. Youth programs	.	1.00	-.05	.95	1.49	.41

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

	Ethnic Group	
	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	1.67	1.29
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	2.00	1.29
6C. Street tree maintenance	1.06	.43
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.54	-.12
6E. Street sweeping services	1.26	.71
6F. Traffic safety	1.64	.18
6G. Economic development efforts	.66	-.49
6H. Sports fields	1.07	.50
6I. Managing land use	.46	-.53
6J. Fire protection services	1.70	1.73
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.14	.48
6L. Senior facilities	1.57	-.76
6M. Senior programs	1.57	-.09
6N. Youth facilities	1.47	.01
6O. Youth programs	1.55	.92

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services	a					a
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	a	E				a
6C. Street tree maintenance	a					a
6D. Street pavement maintenance	a					a
6E. Street sweeping services	a					a
6F. Traffic safety	a					a
6G. Economic development efforts	a					a
6H. Sports fields	a					a
6I. Managing land use	a					a
6J. Fire protection services	a					.
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	a					.
6L. Senior facilities	.	M				.
6M. Senior programs	.					.
6N. Youth facilities	a	D H				.
6O. Youth programs	a					.

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
6A. Police services	a					
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program		E	E	E	E	E
6C. Street tree maintenance						
6D. Street pavement maintenance						
6E. Street sweeping services						
6F. Traffic safety						
6G. Economic development efforts						
6H. Sports fields	a					
6I. Managing land use	.					
6J. Fire protection services						
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs				E		
6L. Senior facilities	.		M	M		M
6M. Senior programs	a		M	M		
6N. Youth facilities	.		D E H	D E H	H	D E H
6O. Youth programs	a					

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)
6A. Police services	
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	
6C. Street tree maintenance	
6D. Street pavement maintenance	
6E. Street sweeping services	
6F. Traffic safety	
6G. Economic development efforts	
6H. Sports fields	
6I. Managing land use	
6J. Fire protection services	
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	
6L. Senior facilities	
6M. Senior programs	
6N. Youth facilities	
6O. Youth programs	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
6A. Police services	1.57	1.61	1.53
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.63	1.64
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	.97	.90
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	.95	.63
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.37	1.22
6F. Traffic safety	.70	.80	.61
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.21	.39
6H. Sports fields	1.03	1.05	1.01
6I. Managing land use	.21	.13	.28
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.70	1.66
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	.91	1.16
6L. Senior facilities	.77	.54	.96
6M. Senior programs	1.02	.88	1.15
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.62	.87
6O. Youth programs	.91	.84	.98

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Zip Code	
	94022	94024
	(A)	(B)
6A. Police services		
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program		
6C. Street tree maintenance		
6D. Street pavement maintenance		
6E. Street sweeping services	B	
6F. Traffic safety		
6G. Economic development efforts		
6H. Sports fields		
6I. Managing land use		
6J. Fire protection services		
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs		A
6L. Senior facilities		A
6M. Senior programs		A
6N. Youth facilities		
6O. Youth programs		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
6A. Police services	1.57	1.36	1.58	2.00
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.33	1.65	2.00
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	.80	.94	1.00
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	.65	.79	-1.00
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	.72	1.31	2.00
6F. Traffic safety	.70	.73	.68	2.00
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.43	.28	2.00
6H. Sports fields	1.03	.59	1.04	2.00
6I. Managing land use	.21	.62	.17	2.00
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.12	1.70	2.00
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	1.07	1.03	2.00
6L. Senior facilities	.77	1.15	.74	2.00
6M. Senior programs	1.02	1.13	1.00	2.00
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.79	.74	2.00
6O. Youth programs	.91	.48	.92	2.00

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
6A. Police services			
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program		A	
6C. Street tree maintenance			
6D. Street pavement maintenance		C	
6E. Street sweeping services		A	
6F. Traffic safety			
6G. Economic development efforts			
6H. Sports fields			
6I. Managing land use			
6J. Fire protection services		A	A
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs			
6L. Senior facilities			
6M. Senior programs			
6N. Youth facilities			
6O. Youth programs			A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
6A. Police services	1.57	1.48	1.72	1.53	1.59
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.54	1.80	1.57	1.68
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	1.22	1.17	.76	.79
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	1.04	.93	.53	.74
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.48	1.41	1.16	1.23
6F. Traffic safety	.70	1.11	.95	.54	.49
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.89	.50	.08	.03
6H. Sports fields	1.03	1.47	1.15	.89	.83
6I. Managing land use	.21	.71	.35	.08	-.05
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.67	1.68	1.70	1.67
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	1.36	1.08	.94	.92
6L. Senior facilities	.77	1.00	1.07	.48	.66
6M. Senior programs	1.02	1.22	1.46	.84	.78
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.95	.86	.43	.83
6O. Youth programs	.91	1.23	.93	.56	.95

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6A. Police services				
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program		A		
6C. Street tree maintenance	C D			
6D. Street pavement maintenance	C			
6E. Street sweeping services				
6F. Traffic safety	C D			
6G. Economic development efforts	C D			
6H. Sports fields	C D			
6I. Managing land use	C D			
6J. Fire protection services				
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	C D			
6L. Senior facilities		C		
6M. Senior programs		C D		
6N. Youth facilities	C			
6O. Youth programs	C			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6A. Police services	1.57	1.74	1.40	1.41	1.56	1.62
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.48	1.50	1.63	1.71	1.71
6C. Street tree maintenance	.93	1.33	1.18	.82	.92	.76
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	.96	.78	.73	.73	.74
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.30	1.35	1.21	1.38	1.26
6F. Traffic safety	.70	1.03	.74	.57	.73	.62
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.87	.51	.08	-.22	.31
6H. Sports fields	1.03	1.22	1.17	.71	.90	1.05
6I. Managing land use	.21	.51	.52	.31	-.21	-.12
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.55	1.76	1.59	1.65	1.72
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	1.21	1.12	1.26	.88	.98
6L. Senior facilities	.77	1.31	1.00	.80	.65	.60
6M. Senior programs	1.02	1.50	.91	1.11	.94	.95
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.75	.82	.68	.90	.70
6O. Youth programs	.91	.83	1.00	.86	.90	.93

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6A. Police services					
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program					
6C. Street tree maintenance	E				
6D. Street pavement maintenance					
6E. Street sweeping services					
6F. Traffic safety					
6G. Economic development efforts	D				
6H. Sports fields					
6I. Managing land use		D			
6J. Fire protection services					
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs					
6L. Senior facilities	E				
6M. Senior programs					
6N. Youth facilities					
6O. Youth programs					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6A. Police services	1.57	1.56	1.64	1.62	1.70	1.29	1.54	2.00	1.89
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program	1.64	1.69	1.75	1.62	1.63	1.40	1.63	1.58	1.81
6C. Street tree maintenance	.94	.96	.94	.81	.88	.99	.93	1.42	1.41
6D. Street pavement maintenance	.77	.93	.74	.77	.60	.59	.75	1.00	1.18
6E. Street sweeping services	1.29	1.37	1.43	1.08	1.28	.93	1.35	2.00	1.71
6F. Traffic safety	.70	.71	.75	.56	.91	.44	.75	1.00	1.01
6G. Economic development efforts	.31	.16	.73	.06	-.02	.14	.64	-1.00	.95
6H. Sports fields	1.02	1.01	1.08	.80	1.05	.81	1.25	1.00	1.20
6I. Managing land use	.22	.15	.41	-.17	.02	.25	.50	.17	.62
6J. Fire protection services	1.68	1.78	1.61	1.77	1.62	1.47	1.64	2.00	1.95
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.05	1.08	1.08	.86	1.00	1.03	1.12	.17	1.48
6L. Senior facilities	.77	.53	.88	.58	.77	1.06	1.02	1.00	1.28
6M. Senior programs	1.02	.82	1.12	.98	.90	1.23	1.29	1.00	.78
6N. Youth facilities	.75	.51	.63	.75	.82	.71	1.15	1.00	1.00
6O. Youth programs	.91	.76	.91	1.04	1.01	.63	1.15	1.00	1.00

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
6A. Police services								
6B. Garbage collection and recycling program		E						
6C. Street tree maintenance								
6D. Street pavement maintenance								
6E. Street sweeping services								
6F. Traffic safety								
6G. Economic development efforts								
6H. Sports fields							a	
6I. Managing land use								
6J. Fire protection services								
6K. Environmental and sustainability programs								
6L. Senior facilities								
6M. Senior programs						A		
6N. Youth facilities							a	
6O. Youth programs								

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Total	
	Total	Total
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	4.03
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	5.61

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	.
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	4.04	4.03	2.41
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	5.47	5.75	4.41

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?			
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	4.92	4.10	3.53	3.80	4.14	4.45
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	6.06	5.74	5.60	5.56	5.44	4.83

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	C D E					
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	E					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	3.91	4.40	5.32
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	5.50	5.97	6.67

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?		A	A
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?		A	A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	4.07	4.07	3.68	3.97
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	5.61	5.70	5.31	5.54

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?				
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	4.25	3.90	3.69	4.31	4.00	3.98
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	5.58	5.67	5.50	5.68	5.69	5.46

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?						
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?						

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	4.29	3.89	3.45	4.08	3.96	4.15
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	5.60	5.79	5.87	5.37	5.99	5.27

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	3.91	4.30
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.52	5.32

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)	1993 to 1996 (F)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	C					
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?						

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?		
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Date								
	Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	4.17	3.66	3.56	4.48	3.63	4.13	4.98	4.49
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	5.48	5.45	5.42	5.61	5.39	5.89	6.20	5.91

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Date							
	Dec. 2 (A)	Dec. 3 (B)	Dec. 4 (C)	Dec. 5 (D)	Dec. 6 (E)	Dec. 7 (F)	Dec. 8 (G)	Dec. 9 (H)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?				C			A B C	
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?								A C

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	4.00	4.14
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	5.68	5.37

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?		
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	3.91	4.23
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	5.54	5.72

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?		A
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Years Lived in Los Altos						
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	4.74	4.76	3.92	4.34	4.01	3.84
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	6.40	5.74	5.75	5.60	5.61	5.49

	Years Lived in ..
	Not sure/ DK /NA
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.11
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	6.09

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Years Lived in Los Altos					
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?						
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?						

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Years Lived in ...
	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(G)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Employment Status						
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	3.99	4.00	3.54	5.21	4.18	4.12
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	5.71	6.09	5.79	6.01	5.21	6.07

	Employment Status	
	Not currently employed	DK / NA
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	3.36	3.32
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.60	4.85

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Employment Status						
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?				A C G			
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	E	E					

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Employ...
	DK / NA
	(H)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	County Where Work								
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	3.92	4.28	4.87	4.00	4.38	4.43	3.82	5.00	5.00
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.75	6.45	6.00	7.00	5.72	5.92	5.71	7.00	4.00

	County Where ..	
	Other	DK/NA
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	3.28	2.55
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.10	5.90

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	County Where Work									
	Alameda (A)	Marin (B)	Napa (C)	San Francisco (D)	San Mateo (E)	Santa Clara (F)	Santa Cruz (G)	Sonoma (H)	Other (I)	
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?			a					a		
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?			a					a		

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	County ..	
	DK/NA (J)	
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?		
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnic Group					
	Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	4.00	4.31	4.59	3.62	4.33
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	6.00	5.49	6.82	5.67	5.52

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.00	4.56	2.88	4.08	4.29	3.59
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	4.00	6.48	5.70	5.65	5.52	4.99

	Ethnic Group	
	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	3.92	3.45
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.71	5.23

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	a					a
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	a					a

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?						
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?						

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	4.15	3.93
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	5.72	5.51

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Zip Code	
	94022	94024
	(A)	(B)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?		
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	3.28	4.05	6.00
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	5.20	5.62	6.00

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?			A
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	4.86	4.52	3.70	3.65
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	6.02	5.59	5.30	5.61

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	C D	C D		
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	C			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.03	4.78	4.00	3.81	3.70	4.01
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	5.77	5.59	5.78	5.69	5.50

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	C D E				
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?	4.04	4.13	3.97	4.17	4.00	3.73	4.16	2.17	3.94
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?	5.61	5.75	5.40	5.68	5.76	5.81	5.36	5.83	5.23

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
11. Using a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how would you rate the vibrancy of Downtown Los Altos?								
12. Using the same scale of 1 to 7, where 1 is not at all vibrant and 7 extremely vibrant, how vibrant would you like Los Altos to be?								

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Total	
	Total	Total
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.49
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	1.04
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.62

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Total
	(A)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.44	1.55	-1.00
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	.83	1.25	-2.00
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.49	1.75	.

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable			a
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	A		a
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	A		.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.52	1.43	1.47	1.40	1.59	1.50
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	1.20	1.19	1.17	.82	1.10	.80
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.81	1.54	1.74	1.53	1.62	1.38

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable						
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos						
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay						

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Homeownership Status			
	Total	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.44	1.68	1.51
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	.97	1.38	.80
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.59	1.74	2.00

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Homeownership Status		
	Own	Rent	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable			
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos		A	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.55	1.39	1.50	1.49
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	1.32	.57	1.15	1.03
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.78	1.45	1.35	1.56

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable				
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	B			B
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	B D			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.56	1.57	1.47	1.23	1.50	1.49
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	1.42	1.21	.44	.66	1.03	1.00
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.85	1.74	1.39	1.65	1.57	1.51

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable						
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	C D					
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	C					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.47	1.60	1.49	1.46	1.46	1.53
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	1.04	1.25	1.14	1.01	1.12	.97
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.58	1.80	1.71	1.76	1.59	1.50

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.34	1.63
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	.81	.92
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.50	1.55

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable						
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos						
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay						

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(G)	(H)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable		
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos		
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Date								
	Total	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable									
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.49	1.60	1.75	1.32	1.45	1.48	1.94	1.73	1.36
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.04	1.09	.63	.97	1.22	1.08	1.53	1.16	.86
	1.62	1.65	1.64	1.54	1.64	1.41	1.88	1.83	1.55

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Date							
	Dec. 2	Dec. 3	Dec. 4	Dec. 5	Dec. 6	Dec. 7	Dec. 8	Dec. 9
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable								
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos							C	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay								

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.52	1.40
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	1.09	.87
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.64	1.58

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes (A)	No (B)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable		
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos		
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.49	1.49
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	1.01	1.09
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.59	1.68

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable		
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos		
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Years Lived in Los Altos						
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.70	1.69	1.49	1.52	1.48	1.45
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	1.44	1.46	1.05	.90	1.05	.98
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	2.00	1.76	1.58	1.73	1.71	1.52

	Years Lived in ...
	Not sure/ DK /NA
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	2.00
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.89
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	2.00

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Years Lived in Los Altos					
	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4 to 9 years	10-15 years	16-25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable						
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos						
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay						

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Years Lived in ...
	Not sure/ DK /NA
	(G)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b.

	Employment Status						
	Total	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.46	1.49	1.24	1.76	1.56	1.75
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	1.06	1.31	.59	.96	1.04	1.29
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.57	1.72	1.74	1.86	1.62	1.79

	Employment Status	
	Not currently employed	DK / NA
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.38	1.21
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.39	1.00
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.47	1.35

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Employment Status						
	Employed full-time	Employed part-time	Self-employed	Student	Retired	Dont work out of the home	Not currently employed
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable							
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos							
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay							

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Employ.
	DK / NA
	(H)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	County Where Work								
	Total	Alameda	Marin	Napa	San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Clara	Santa Cruz	Sonoma
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.43	2.00	2.00	.	1.33	1.71	1.38	2.00	2.00
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.01	.02	1.43	.	1.35	1.43	.94	2.00	2.00
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.61	2.00	2.00	.	1.76	1.68	1.58	2.00	2.00

	County Where	
	Other	DK/NA
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	.90	1.55
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	.18	2.00
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.34	1.55

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	County Where Work									
	Alameda (A)	Marin (B)	Napa (C)	San Francisco (D)	San Mateo (E)	Santa Clara (F)	Santa Cruz (G)	Sonoma (H)	Other (I)	
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable		a							a	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos									a	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay									a	

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	County
	DK/NA (J)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnic Group					
	Total	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	.	1.66	2.00	1.52	1.34
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	1.00	1.50	1.59	1.65	1.48
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	2.00	1.76	2.00	1.62	1.34

Godbe Research /// Los Altos Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 12-18-17

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.00	2.00	.22	1.52	1.26	1.68
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	.	1.48	-.23	1.01	1.09	1.41
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	2.00	2.00	1.59	1.64	1.50	1.84

	Ethnic Group	
	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.03	.88
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	.77	.04
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.39	.89

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	America Indian or Alaska Native	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	.	H	H			a
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	a	M		M		.
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	a	M				a

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable			H		H	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos						
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay			M		M	

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{b,c}

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Zip Code		
	Total	94022	94024
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.48	1.50
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	.93	1.13
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.66	1.60

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Zip Code	
	94022	94024
	(A)	(B)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable		
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos		
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.
Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Language of Interview			
	Total	Chinese	English	Other
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.60	1.49	1.00
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	1.52	1.02	1.00
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.71	1.63	1.00

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Language of Interview		
	Chinese	English	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable			
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos			
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Interview Type				
	Total	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.68	1.51	1.51	1.37
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	1.18	.79	1.15	.99
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.79	1.64	1.58	1.56

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Interview Type			
	Cell phone	Landline	Online	Text
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	D			
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos				
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b.

	Voting Propensity					
	Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.49	1.65	1.42	1.54	1.59	1.44
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.04	1.40	.89	1.10	1.12	.96
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.64	1.73	1.74	1.71	1.53

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Voting Propensity				
	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable					
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos					
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable	1.50	1.54	1.57	1.57	1.26	1.54	1.45	2.00	1.41
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	1.05	1.44	1.13	.85	.35	1.24	.87	2.00	.90
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	1.62	1.85	1.69	1.66	1.22	1.62	1.51	2.00	1.22

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
17A. The City's storm drainage infrastructure is aging and without proper maintenance and improvements the system will continue to deteriorate and fail. The City should invest the resources to keep this infrastructure safe and reliable								
17B. Significant rain events are becoming more frequent as our climate changes. The City should improve our storm drain system to help minimize the risk of local flooding in Los Altos	D	D			D			
17C. The City should comply with regional clean water requirements and reduce the amount of pollution entering our local creeks. This will ensure safe, clean and healthy water in Los Altos and the San Francisco Bay	D	D	D					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



www.godberesearch.com

California and Corporate Offices
1575 Old Bayshore Highway, Suite 102
Burlingame, CA 94010

Nevada Office

59 Damonte Ranch Parkway, Suite B309
Reno, NV 89521

Pacific Northwest Office

601 108th Avenue NE, Suite 1900
Bellevue, WA 98004