

## PUBLIC CORRESPONDENCE

The following is public correspondence received by the City Clerk's Office after the posting of the original agenda. Individual contact information has been redacted for privacy. This may *not* be a comprehensive collection of the public correspondence, but staff makes its best effort to include all correspondence received to date.

To send correspondence to the City Council, on matters listed on the agenda please email <a href="mailto:PublicComment@losaltosca.gov">PublicComment@losaltosca.gov</a>

The Parklet Program in downtown has been enjoyed by some people. There are however several issues that need to be addressed.

I would be in favor of extending the program for a year but not longer. We are still in the middle of the Covid pandemic and the future is uncertain. Making the program permanent is unwise at this time

The parklet program may have helped some restaurants, but it hurt the other small businesses whose storefronts and signs are blocked. Places like the Discovery Shop (which is a non-profit) has been told they cannot use the sidewalks or parking spots in front of their shop.

The Restaurant owners are getting thousands of square feet of free space, yet other businesses are being discriminated against and suffering.

The sidewalks and streets need to be cleaned. Either the restaurants should pay for cleaning or there should be a monthly fee for the city to clean the streets and sidewalks. Food on the ground is unsanitary and unsightly and a health hazard.

The original Parklet program limited the number of restaurants that could participate, but now it is a free for all. A restaurant was not allowed to have the parking spots in front of their business unless it was not across from another parklet. Now the staff report recommends that it is O.K. to have Parklets across from each other. No one is monitoring the situation. People can't walk on the sidewalk and all ADA rules are being ignored. Tables and chairs are not 6-10 feet apart. The current set up is dangerous as drivers can't see beyond the barrels for oncoming traffic. The streets are very narrow making it difficult for fire or emergency vehicles to drive down the street.

It was very surprising to see that there was no financial data in the staff report. The Economic Development Coordinator should have provided the numbers to show if the parklet program is indeed a success and has added tax revenue to the city or not. There should be a cost recovery analysis prior to making a final decision.

The \$500-dollar annual fee suggested in the report is extremely low. Rental space in Downtown Los Altos is \$3.50 a square foot each month. The Parklet Program should be one which benefits restaurant owners, retail stores, other business owners as well as the city finances. We need a fairer and more balanced program where everyone wins.

Sincerely

Roberta Phillips

From: Pat Marriot
To: Public Comment

**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT Item 9 November 30, 2021 parklets

**Date:** Sunday, November 28, 2021 2:17:46 PM

Attachments: downtown sales tax 5.xlsx

## Council Members:

The parklet staff report was written by our economic development coordinator, but there is no economic information in the report.

One of the goals of the parklet program is to "Stimulate the local economy through increased dining areas."

How much stimulation are we hoping for?

I'm not arguing for or against parklets, but someone at City Hall should be able to quantify the financial advantages (and disadvantages) of the program.

Our quarterly sales tax reports come from the county and do not break out sales tax from downtown. The report does show that most of the "top 25" are not in the downtown

When I was on the Downtown Buildings Committee, several of us wondered how the new construction downtown – e.g., Safeway, condos – impacted sales tax revenue. I checked with LAVA and the Chamber of Commerce. Neither tracked downtown sales tax.

I put together my own spreadsheet (attached).

Regardless of COVID aberrations, someone at City Hall should be tracking and analyzing downtown economics in a way that lets us determine what changes help or hurt city finances.

Council should have economic information specific to downtown before making decisions on parklets, a theater or any other proposals.

Pat Marriott

rom HdL consult	ant 9-9-16 S	ales Tax	Revenues	by Geo	graphi	c Area - 10	201	6									
otal sales tax rev																	
owntown Triang	le sales tax re	venue: 4	156 outle	ts													
ADJUSTED FOR ECONOMIC DATA		'	· ·		'						'		'	•	·		
				rrent Year		Prior Year	40	2016	3Q 2016	2Q 2016	1Q 2016	4Q 201	5 3Q 2015	2Q 2015	1Q 2015		
Geographic Area	-1-		4Q 2016 299,929		% of Ttl. 49.4%	4Q 2015 311,608	-	299,929	274,131		244,794		,608 274,254	276,976	244,059		
0003 - Downtown Trian	gle	333	233,323	7 -3.170	43.470	311,000	<u>'</u>	255,525	2/4,131	260,133	244,134	311	,600 214,234	210,310	244,033		
Totals For Listed Geo A	Areas	559	299,929	-3.7%	49.4%	311,608		299,929	274,131	260,195	244,794	311	,608 274,254	276,976	244,059		
Totals For All Ordints		1,467	607 226	0.00/	100.00/	ccc 027	, ,	207 220	E71 210	568,030	E1E 002	ccc	027 500 420	ECC 417	40E E10		
Totals For All Outlets		1,467	607,329	0.0%	100.0%	666,027	,	607,329	571,218	360,030	515,983	999	,027 569,420	566,417	495,512		
	<del> </del>	+									+						
		1															
	2014	-				2015				0.0	-		2016				
	Q2		Q3	Q4		Q1	240	Q2		Q3	Q4		Q1				
Total Sales Tax	\$556,308		45,827		0,758	\$495,			6,360	\$569,371		5,701	\$517,172				
Downtown	\$258,68	_	247,263	\$28	9,886	\$248,	_	\$28.	2,392	\$279,039		9,316 48%	\$249,457				
% of Total	479	6	45%	50%		50%		6 50%		49%	49% 48		48%				
												$\neg \vdash$					
	\$800,000									_		$\vdash$					
	\$700,000									_		$\vdash$					
												$\vdash$	luna 2010: Saf	oway dom	olition		
	\$600,000										-		June 2010: Safeway demolition  Aug 2010: Main Street sewers				
	\$500,000	000								_			Aug 2010: Main Street sewers  Aug 2010: First Street utility undergrounding				<u> </u>
	\$300,000												Aug 2010: San Antonio streetscape  Aug 2010: State & Main crosswalks, benches,				) 
	\$400,000								_	_ ■Total	Sales Tax						L s. etc.
	\$300,000										Ī		Jan 2011: Water mains				,
	, 3300,000									■ Dow	ntown	OM/D		pr 2011: More utility undergrounding			
	\$200,000									_			July 2011: First Street streetscape				
	¢100 000												June 2013 Safeway demolition				
	\$100,000								_			July 2014: Safeway opened					
	\$0 -												Jan 2015? First Street condos open				
		Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1				April2015: Pha				
		2014			2015			'					July 2015: Cetrella opened				
			2014			2013	<u> </u>		2016	<u> </u>	1						

rom Marcia Som	ers: Given = Do	wntown Sales T	ax and % of To	tal through 201	.4	Downtown out	lets = 389				From
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	
otal Sales Tax	\$2,363,108	\$2,436,586	\$2,544,559	\$2,436,661 \$1,988,864		\$2,292,256	\$2,321,568	\$2,433,376	\$2,560,068		
Downtown	\$921,612	\$877,171	\$941,487	\$877,198	\$775,657	\$825,212	\$858,980	\$924,683	\$972,826		
% of Total	39%	36%	37%	36%	39%	36%	37%	38%	38%	41%	
	\$3,000,000										
	\$2,500,000 -								-		
	\$2,000,000	Н	Н		-H	$\vdash$			-		
	\$1,500,000	$\blacksquare$	$\blacksquare$	+	-	$\square$			_ ■ Total Sa		
	\$1,000,000	₩.	₩.						- Downto		
	\$500,000 -	н	ш		НН	н			-		
	\$0 -	2005 2006	2007 2008	8 2009 20	10 2011 2	012 2013	2014	ı	٦		
	Downtown sale	es tax increase	between 2005	and 2014 =						\$91,365	
				Percent increas					9.91%		
	Consumer Price	e Index (CPI) ro	se	21.40%	between 2005	and 2014. This	would make 20	014 downtown	owntown tax = \$1,118,837		
	Thus, accounting	ng for inflation,	the downtown	sales tax incre	ase would only	be:				-\$105,860	
	This is an increa	ase over 2005 o	of only								