

From: [Sean Gallegos](#)
To: [Dennis Hawkins](#)
Subject: FW: 5150 El Camino Real Los Altos Development - October 22nd 2019 City Council meeting - Comments
Date: Tuesday, October 15, 2019 1:28:01 PM

FYI



Sean K. Gallegos, Associate Planner

Planning Division

City of Los Altos

1 North San Antonio Road

Los Altos, California 94022

Phone: (650) 947-2641/Fax: 650-947-2733

E-Mail: sgallegos@losaltosca.gov

From: Arturo T [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 4:43 PM
To: Jon Biggs <jbiggs@losaltosca.gov>; Sean Gallegos <sgallegos@losaltosca.gov>
Subject: Fwd: 5150 El Camino Real Los Altos Development - October 22nd 2019 City Council meeting - Comments

Mr. Gallegos and Biggs, Please add my comments to the Oct 22nd City Council agenda.

Regards
Arturo

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Arturo T [REDACTED]
Date: Mon, Oct 14, 2019 at 1:00 PM
Subject: 5150 El Camino Real Los Altos Development - October 22nd 2019 City Council meeting - Comments
To: <leeeng@losaltosca.gov>, <jpepper@losaltosca.gov>, <jbruins@losaltosca.gov>, <aenander@losaltosca.gov>, <nfligor@losaltosca.gov>

Honorable Mayor Lee Eng, Vice Mayor Pepper, Honorable Councilmembers Enander, Bruins and Fligor,

The review of this project was posted tentatively for October 22nd I and would like to get all my concerns to you.

1. **Full EIR:** It is vitally important that the City of Los Altos city Council require that a full EIR be done on the largest site to ever be developed in the city of Los Altos to make sure that

there are no negative impacts. If anything is discovered, it must be properly mitigated under city council jurisdiction. It is estimated that this procedure will require a maximum of 3-4 more months by DJ Powers. Why rushing? This neighborhood is been here for many many years and we ask the city council to take all precautions and demand a full EIR. It is important to ensure all items have been properly addressed, before any consideration for approval.

2. **Selling tentative condominium map.** The applicant/developer team, met with David Yocke, a representative for Summer Hill homes in September, 2019 in an effort to solicit and sell the development "approved by city council" before it has even been approved, when the applicant clearly knows that this project has not as yet been reviewed or approved by City Council. A slap in the face for the neighborhood and city council. City council should demand an answer from the applicant on this topic since if developer met with one major buyer, he certainly must have met with others. This clearly shows that the applicant has no intent to built this development and the neighbors will be stuck with his incomplete-poorly designed development that is why we need 100% of all necessary requirements and conditions of approval be included with any approval.

3. **Retail:** This site is a golden opportunity to have a retail mid-block and add to "city's retail inventory" that will help city with sale taxes as commissioner Enander stated. City can deny the project as designed without retail just on this basis because applicant needs an approved use permit for this site and city has no obligation to approve the use permit if it feels that retail is needed. CT zoning is not slated as multi family. For sure we do not need more office space. A study must be done by the city. Currently, there are no proposed development projects to support the vibrancy of the neighborhood, i.e., coffee shops, retail, or even a library extension for young adults, and children, which are needed. Because there is plenty of frontage on the site, and plenty of opportunity for underground parking, city council should demand that the project have retail on the first floor of the two El Camino buildings and residential above. Half of the parking stalls on the first level underground can easily accommodate commercial parking use, guests parking for condominium owners and ADA.

4. **Parking:** Because the site is not within a mile of a transit hub, it does not qualify for parking reduction, and am in agreement with Roberta that we need additional parking spaces for this development. The proposed development only provides 6 guest parking spaces, thus allowing for guest to crowd and flood the neighborhood with more unneeded parking. Currently the development only provides for 6 parking spaces, which is clearly not enough, for guests will flood our neighborhood with parking. The developer can easily build half of a 2nd level garage, or do a hybrid part of parking on stackers, as 4880 El Camino Real did and part regular parking stalls. Too many tandem parking stalls too. The development has the space, and needs to have the required 314 parking stalls for the units, plus Guest and ADA parking stalls, which adds up to 441 parking stalls required by the development size, that can clearly be accommodated over two levels of underground garage. There should be no Parking burden placed on our neighborhood, when clearly all the developer needs to do accommodate necessary parking and to comply with the required parking restrictions. 80 one-bedroom units (equals 80 parking spaces), 94 two-bedroom units (equals 188 parking spaces), 18 three-bedroom units (equals 36 parking spaces), 4 four-bedroom units (equals 10 parking spaces.) that adds up to 314 parking stalls not including ADA and guests parking. Applicant can built a second level underground garage to accommodate residential parking while first level will be split half to accommodate commercial, guests and ADA and the other half to for the residential owners and the second level underground could be slatted as 100% residential parking. Mountain View El Camino corridor will become a bike lane in 2020 and Los Altos is

to follow so it will be no available parking on El Camino Real and all guests of 5150 building will flood our neighborhood.

5. **Incentives:** The applicant is “Double dipping on Height”, something that is no longer allowed. The site has two building and the developer is asking for one height incentive, instead of two height incentives on two different addresses. How is the height incentive applied? Applicant is "double dipping on asking parking to be reduced from 9 ft to 8.5 ft" there are two buildings and two addresses. This is too much of an ask from the applicant. More BMR's should be provided by the applicant.

6. **Trees roots:** In regards to the tree roots from the neighborhood, the applicant must be required to do a complete root scan through a neutral party hired by the city to prove that our trees on the side and rear of the property will not in any way be impacted or damaged, based on current design/set back. This procedure is usually ordered by DJ Powers, consultant controlled by the city staff and city arborist.

7. **Privacy and tree planting:** In regards to the tree planting, all tress/buffer to the rear and side/5100 El Camino Real, must be planted and be at least 30 ft. in height and dense as planted to meet city of Los Altos approval. Applicant suggests planting 24” box sized trees is simply not acceptable, for it will take 10 years for the trees to grow to a size that will cover the needed screening. A minimum of 60-72” box size tress with a full curtain of green wall, need to be planted in order to meet the requirement of any approval and preserve our privacy. Landscape plans have to be redone.

8. **Trash and storages:** There are currently no storage units for tenants and no trash shoots on each of the floors. The elevator must be required to go all the way to garage level, not stop at the 1st floor. City council must demand that the applicant provide trash shoots on each floor. The current floor plan is flawed and a poor design because the applicant did not want to take out more parking spaces. Trash enclosures for each building will take out 3-4 parking stalls (9 X 19’) per each building. Current trash area/operations is not been approved by city trash services/Mission Trail, (size of bins, necessary spares, cart, etc.)

9. **Architectural projections:** Architectural projections beyond 1 ft. are was too much to ask and they should become incentives not FREE. Applicant will have to provide additional BMR's and he is trying to avoid that. They are like an incentive that the applicant needs to ask for, and provide city with more BMR’s, or pull the building within the required setbacks.

10. **Construction :** The applicant proposes to have this development built in three separate phases. The city council must not allow this to happen, simply because it will take at least 6 years for the applicant to complete this development process, which means for that entire time, all neighbors will be constantly exposed to ongoing noise, dust, pollution, construction traffic, etc. Please put yourself in the neighbor’s shoes; would you want this kind of timeline for a development in your own neighborhood? We don’t think so. A requirement of any approval should include that the applicant be severely financially penalized (\$1Million per year beyond necessary construction time which should not exceed 3 years and should be pro rated) if they decide to proceed in this manner. This affects the health and safety of the neighborhood, and the project should/could be denied based on the present demand form applicant to complete it in 3 phases.

11. **Loading and unloading area:** There needs to be a proper loading/unloading are

without backing into El Camino Real as a CT zoning requirement. Loading area does not exist in the current design.

12. **Town homes proposal:** Applicant has a full town homes proposal (no condominiums) that he never shared with neighborhood or city and that is something that city council should demand. Having a full community of town homes would be much more appropriate than current proposal.

13. **Rengstorff- El Camino Real intersection re-metering-improvements:** I have to believe that if this project will get approved and the increased number of trips re-metering of this entire intersection must be done. Is this suppose to be a condition of approval?

We need housing and a mid-block retail to activate our neighborhood but this project in the current proposed state it is not even close to be reviewed by city council.

My personal opinion is that planning commission severely missed so many crucial areas of this proposed development.

Sincerely,

Arturo

(Los Altos resident and Los Altos business owner)



**LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS
of the Los Altos-Mountain View Area**

October 19, 2019

Mayor Lee Eng and Members of the City Council
City of Los Altos
One North San Antonio Road
Los Altos, CA 94022

Re: City Council Meeting Oct. 22nd, Agenda Item # 11 – 5150 El Camino Real Development

Dear Mayor Lee Eng and Members of the City Council:

The League of Women Voters of the Los Altos/Mountain View Area enthusiastically supports the proposed development at 5150 El Camino Real. We are pleased to see the 28 below-market-rate units (BMRs) proposed for this site. These BMRs, along with the market-rate units, will give an enormous boost to the RHNA allocation of Los Altos with regard to all income categories. We think the BMR housing could serve local lower-wage earners as well as teachers and City employees. Almost 90% of the units are one and two-bedrooms, a housing type not typically being built in Los Altos and which are more “affordable by design” than larger units, thereby serving market-rate buyers who can’t afford many of the larger units recently approved.

We are pleased to see that the developer has provided rear and side setbacks that are greater than what is required. The developer has also accommodated the neighbors in the rear by orienting the balconies towards the interior of the project, instead of the rear as in the earlier plans, and by offering to plant trees in the back yards of the single-family homes abutting the development.

We note that the 290 parking spaces provided are far more than the 169 required under State Density Bonus Law and also far more than the 236 spaces which the parking study referenced in the Staff Report indicated should be necessary. The play areas appear to be adequate for families, including a pool, bocce ball court and children’s play area, all in safe locations and not on a roof deck.

We believe that El Camino Real is an appropriate place for five stories; the project will fit in with its El Camino neighbors. Finally, we think that higher density along El Camino is in keeping with the Los Altos General Plan and its vision for El Camino Real. Housing along this transit corridor encourages homeowners to use public transit or bike, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

We do recommend that the BMR mix be slightly adjusted to better reflect the overall bedroom mix by providing 1 two-bedroom townhome and 2 three-bedroom townhomes instead of vice versa.

Sue Russell

Co-Chair, Housing Committee, LWV of the Los Altos-Mountain View Area

Cc: Chris Jordan

Jon Biggs

Sean Gallegos



October 21, 2019

Honorable Mayor Lee Eng, Vice Mayor Pepper & Council Members
City of Los Altos
1 North San Antonio Rd
Los Altos, CA 94022

Executive Committee

2019 BOARD CHAIR
Marc Parkinson
Petrinovich Pugh & Company

1st VICE CHAIR
Michael Bangs
Oracle Corporation

VICE CHAIR ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
Tony Mirenda
Blach Construction Company

VICE CHAIR PUBLIC POLICY &
ADVOCACY
Cosme Fagundo
Wilco Management

VICE CHAIR
COMMUNITY ADVANCEMENT
Janikke Klem
Technology Credit Union

AT-LARGE

Traci Cornaglia
PayPal

Sean Cottle
Hoge Fenton

Claudia Folzman
Iron Construction

Michael Fox Jr.
Goodwill Silicon Valley

Tim Leets
BBSI

Jonathan Noble
Microsoft

Michael Turpin
Bay Area News Group

Rick Beatty
Lehigh Hanson

SVO PAC CHAIR
Anil Babbar
California Apartment Association

LEGAL COUNSEL
Eugene Ashley, Esq.
Hoge Fenton

TREASURER
Emily Ruvalcaba
Bridge Bank

IMMEDIATE PAST CHAIR
Lennies Gutierrez
Comcast

PRESIDENT & CEO
Matthew R. Mahood
The silicon valley organization

Re: Dutchint Development's 5150 El Camino Real housing project – SUPPORT

Dear Honorable Mayor Lee Eng, Vice Mayor Pepper and Council Members:

On behalf of The Silicon Valley Organization (The SVO), I am writing to express our support for the development of 196 multifamily residential units, including 28 affordable units, proposed by Dutchints Development at 5150 El Camino Real in Los Altos. By way of introduction, the SVO is the Silicon Valley's premier business advocacy organization representing nearly 1,200 companies that employ over 300,000 workers. We represent our membership as the region's largest Chamber of Commerce.

At a time when the Bay Area region is in a dire housing crisis, we need all the housing we can get. The proposed project builds dense and affordable housing within walking distance of the transit-rich El Camino Real and within less than a mile from the San Antonio Caltrain station. There are a plethora of benefits in investing in transit oriented development, such as reducing traffic impacts, and this project serves as an example of this principle.

5150 El Camino Real can be a real cornerstone development that compliments the City's Grand Boulevard initiative and takes advantage of the retail and entertainment rich Village of the San Antonio area. This proposed project is a by-right zoning, which aims to preserve the character of the city while also allowing for planned, smart growth. Likewise, it complies with the State Density Bonus guidelines.

We commend the City and the applicant for working together to provide community enhancements in this proposal, including: street beautification via trees, almost no increased traffic impacts, noise reducing garage doors, green building materials, and working with neighbors to create a project that fits into the surrounding uses.

For the above stated reasons, we strongly urge you to support Dutchints Development's 5150 El Camino Real housing project. If you have any questions about The SVO's position on this issue, please contact Eddie Truong, Director of Government and Community Relations, at (408) 291-5267.

Sincerely,

Matthew R. Mahood
President & CEO

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: 5150 El Camino Real Project - SUPPORT
Date: Monday, October 21, 2019 11:21:46 AM
Attachments: [2019-10-21 Los Altos Project - Support.pdf](#)

Honorable Mayor Lee Eng, Vice Mayor Pepper and City Councilmembers:

On behalf of The Silicon Valley Organization (The SVO), I am submitting this letter in support of the 5150 El Camino Real project. By way of background, The SVO is the region's premier business advocacy organization representing 1,200+ companies that employ over 300,000 employees. We represent our membership as the region's largest Chamber of Commerce.

If you would like any clarification on The SVO's position on this important project, I can be reached at (408) 291-5267.

Regards,
Eddie

EDDIE TRUONG

Director of Government & Community Relations

The Silicon Valley Organization

Leaders in organizing business for 132 years

408-291-5267 | [TheSVO.com](http://thesvo.com)

[Connect with me on LinkedIn](#)

From: [Sean Gallegos](#)
To: [Dennis Hawkins](#)
Subject: Fw: 5150 El Camino Real
Date: Sunday, October 20, 2019 5:41:16 PM

Dennis,

I have provided below an email (correspondence) for the multi-family project at 5150 ECR to forward to Council. The item is scheduled for the City Council on Tuesday, October 22, 2019.

Thanks,
Sean

From: Peter Robertson [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 17, 2019 8:19 PM
To: Sean Gallegos <sgallegos@losaltosca.gov>
Subject: 5150 El Camino Real

Dear Mr. Gallegos,

I want you and the city to know that I and my wife are opposed to the 5150 El Camino Real development. We live next door, [REDACTED] We are long time Los Altos residents. We like Los Altos the way it is. I know that there are no laws to protect us. There are, apparently, many laws that favor the wealthy developers. Nevertheless, we are offering our opinion.

Sincerely,
Peter Robertson
Eren Goknar

[REDACTED]
Los Altos, CA 94022

Jon Biggs

From: Duanni Hurd <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2019 10:13 AM
To: Lynette Lee Eng; Jan Pepper; Jeannie Bruins; Anita Enander; Neysa Fligor; Jon Biggs; Sean Gallegos
Subject: [External Sender]We need a park at 745 Distel

Dear City Council Members and City Staff,

We need a park at 745 Distel. Make the transfer of 745 Distel to the City as a public park as a condition of final approval to Dutchints, the developers of 5150 ECR and 745 Distel.

The 745 Distel parcel is ideally suited as a neighborhood, pocket park. This is important to Los Altos because

- Dutchints staff has expressed willingness to turn 745 Distel into an open space, and it has the overwhelming support of residents on the Distel, Marich and Casita Way neighborhoods.
- There is no Los Altos park within reasonable walking distance. North Los Altos is an underserved recreation area.

- A park would serve as a buffer to the CT zone and increasingly high-density construction along the El Camino Corridor.
- A park in north Los Altos has been the number one priority of the Parks and Recreation Commission since a master plan was drawn up citing this deficiency in 2013/2014,
- Los Altos is the ONLY city in the Peninsula with less park land per 1000 residents than any of the other nine cities in this region.

Thank you for your consideration. I appreciate you keeping north Los Altos' residents' best interest in mind. Happy Thanksgiving.

Duanni Hurd

[REDACTED]

Jon Biggs

From: Ngan Huang Liu <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2019 10:31 AM
To: Ngan Huang
Subject: [External Sender]park for 745 Distel

Dear City Council Members and City Staff,

We need a park at 745 Distel. Make the transfer of 745 Distel to the City as a public park as a condition of final approval to Dutchints, the developers of 5150 ECR and 745 Distel.

The 745 Distel parcel is ideally suited as a neighborhood, pocket park. This is important to Los Altos because

- Dutchints staff has expressed willingness to turn 745 Distel into an open space, and it has the overwhelming support of residents on the Distel, Marich and Casita Way neighborhoods.
- There is no Los Altos park within reasonable walking distance. North Los Altos is an underserved recreation area.

- A park would serve as a buffer to the CT zone and increasingly high-density construction along the El Camino Corridor.
- A park in north Los Altos has been the number one priority of the Parks and Recreation Commission since a master plan was drawn up citing this deficiency in 2013/2014,
- Los Altos is the ONLY city in the Peninsula with less park land per 1000 residents than any of the other nine cities in this region.

Thanks for your assistance in advance,

Ngan Huang
Los Altos Resident

Jon Biggs

From: Arturo T <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2019 10:49 AM
To: Lynette Lee Eng; Jan Pepper; Jeannie Bruins; Anita Enander; Neysa Fligor
Cc: Sean Gallegos; Jon Biggs
Subject: 5150 El Camino Real Los Altos Development - October 22nd 2019 City Council meeting - Comments for December 10th 2019 CC meeting

Honorable Mayor Lee Eng, Vice Mayor Pepper, Honorable Councilmembers Enander, Bruins and Fligor,

Thank you for such a comprehensive review of the project and requesting applicant to review several issues identified with the plans:

1. I would like to applaud Vice Mayor Pepper for standing ground on getting to the bottom of the BMR calculation and interpretation which could provide city with several more BMR's and in town homes not condominiums.
2. I would like to applaud council member Enander for giving staff time to verify if the site is within 500 ft of a transit hub which from what I heard it "must be an unobstructed path" and in this case it is obstructed by having to cross El Camino Real. Looking forward for the legal interpretation.
3. I would like to applaud the entire city council members for standing ground on the applicant to plant 35 ft trees at this time not in 2 years. I heard the statement from applicant's arborist that those trees are hard to find. The applicant wants us to wait for 10 years to get to 35 ft which should not be allowed. A curtain of trees, 35 ft high should surround all sides of the development.

To help the applicant, here is a link where trees can be purchased some are 42 ft tall and available for purchase.

<http://jacintofarmstrees.com/sycamore-trees/sycamore-tree-box-size-120-inch/sycamore-tree-1046-2/>

4. **Elevators:** How come each building only has one elevator for 86 Families/Building? What is the city code or requirements on this? Do we expect families on floors 3/4/5 to wait for one elevator at 7 AM?

5. **Parking:** Because the site is not within a mile of a transit hub, it does not qualify for parking reduction. The proposed development only provides 6 guest parking spaces, thus allowing for guest to crowd and flood the neighborhood with more unneeded parking. The developer can easily build half of a 2nd level garage, or do a hybrid part of parking on stackers, as 4880 El Camino Real did and part regular parking stalls. Too many tandem parking stalls too. The development has the space, and needs to have the required 314 parking stalls for the units, plus Guest and ADA parking stalls, which adds up to 441 parking stalls required by the development size, that can clearly be accommodated over two levels of underground garage. There should be no Parking burden placed on our neighborhood, when clearly all the developer needs to do accommodate necessary parking and to comply with the required parking restrictions. 80 one-bedroom units (equals 80 parking spaces), 94 two-bedroom units (equals 188 parking spaces), 18 three-bedroom units (equals 36 parking spaces), 4 four-bedroom units (equals 10 parking spaces.) that adds up to 314 parking stalls not including ADA and guests parking. Applicant can built a second level underground garage to accommodate residential parking while first level will be split half to accommodate commercial, guests and ADA and the other half to for the residential owners and the second level underground could be slatted as 100% residential parking. Mountain View El Camino corridor will become a bike lane in 2020 and Los Altos is to follow so it will be no available parking on El Camino Real and all guests of 5150 building will flood our neighborhood.

6. **Storages and trash management:** There are currently no storage units for tenants. The elevator must be required to go all the way to garage level, not stop at the 1st floor. Current trash area/operations is not been approved by city trash services/Mission Trail (size of bins, necessary spares, cart, etc.)

7. **Architectural projections:** Architectural projections beyond 1 ft. are was too much to ask and they should become incentives not FREE. Applicant will have to provide additional BMR's and he is trying to avoid that. They are like an incentive that the applicant needs to ask for, and provide city with more BMR's, or pull the building within the required setbacks.

8. **Construction :** The applicant proposes to have this development built in three separate phases. The city council must not allow this to happen, simply because it will take at least 6 years for the applicant to complete this development process, which means for that entire time, all neighbors will be constantly exposed to ongoing noise, dust, pollution, construction traffic, etc. Please put yourself in the neighbor's shoes; would you want this kind of timeline for a development in your own neighborhood? We don't think so. A requirement of any approval should include that the applicant be severely financially penalized (\$1Million per year beyond necessary construction time which should not exceed 3 years and should be pro rated) if they decide to proceed in this manner. This affects the health and safety of the neighborhood, and the project should/could be denied based on the present demand form applicant to complete it in 3 phases.

9. **Loading and unloading area:** There needs to be a proper loading/unloading are without backing into El Camino Real as a CT zoning requirement. Loading area does not exist in the current design. How is USPS going to deliver? Stop the USPS truck 200 ft away from the front door of the building where the current loading/unloading area is currently located? Did USPS approved this loading unloading area location when all locations per city code must be on El Camino Real?

10. **Rengstorff- El Camino Real intersection re-metering-improvements:** I have to believe that if this project will get approved and the increased number of trips re-metering of this entire intersection must be done. Is this suppose to be a condition of approval?

11. **Mix Use Retail:** We need housing and a mid-block retail to activate our neighborhood.

My personal opinion is that planning commission severely missed so many crucial areas of this proposed development and I know that city council will properly address.

Sincerely,

Arturo

(Los Altos resident and Los Altos business owner)

}

Jon Biggs

From: Serguei Sofinski <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2019 3:21 PM
To: Lynette Lee Eng; Jan Pepper; Jeannie Bruins; Anita Enander; Neysa Fligor; Jon Biggs; Sean Gallegos
Subject: [External Sender]Park at 745 Distel

■

Dear City Council Members and City Staff,

We need a park at 745 Distel. Make the transfer of 745 Distel to the City as a public park as a condition of final approval to Dutchints, the developers of 5150 ECR and 745 Distel.

The 745 Distel parcel is ideally suited as a neighborhood, pocket park. This is important to Los Altos because

- Dutchints staff has expressed willingness to turn 745 Distel into an open space, and it has the overwhelming support of residents on the Distel, Marich and Casita Way neighborhoods.
- There is no Los Altos park within reasonable walking distance. North Los Altos is an underserved recreation area.
- A park would serve as a buffer to the CT zone and increasingly high-density construction along the El Camino Corridor.
- A park in north Los Altos has been the number one priority of the Parks and Recreation Commission since a master plan was drawn up citing this deficiency in 2013/2014,
- Los Altos is the ONLY city in the Peninsula with less park land per 1000 residents than any of the other nine cities in this region.

With best regards,
Serguei Sofinski

[REDACTED]

Jon Biggs

From: Dave Hurd <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2019 4:01 PM
To: Lynette Lee Eng; Jan Pepper; Jeannie Bruins; Anita Enander; Neysa Fligor; Jon Biggs; Sean Gallegos
Subject: [External Sender]745 Distel as a Park

Los Altos City Council and City Manager,

5150 is one of the largest projects undertaken ever by this town and by this council. Lets make it right by making the transfer of 745 Distel to the City as a public park as a condition of final approval to Dutchints, the developers of 5150 ECR and 745 Distel.

Alternatively, they could use the land as a dedicated parking garage with access ONLY from 5150 (Not creating traffic on Distel).

The 745 Distel parcel is ideally suited as a neighborhood park for the following reasons:

- Dutchints staff has expressed willingness to turn 745 Distel into an open space, and it has the overwhelming support of residents on the Distel, Marich and Casita Way neighborhoods.
- There is no Los Altos park within reasonable walking distance. North Los Altos is an underserved recreation area.
- A park would serve as a buffer to the CT zone and increasingly high-density construction along the El Camino Corridor.
- A park in north Los Altos has been the number one priority of the Parks and Recreation Commission since a master plan was drawn up citing this deficiency in 2013/2014,
- Los Altos is the ONLY city in the Peninsula with less park land per 1000 residents than any of the other nine cities in this region.

Thank you. Dave Hurd

Jon Biggs

From: Anusuya Rao <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2019 4:01 PM
To: Lynette Lee Eng; Jan Pepper; Jeannie Bruins; Anita Enander; Neysa Fligor; Jon Biggs; Sean Gallegos
Subject: [External Sender]Park at 745 Distel

Dear City Council Members and City Staff,

We need a park at 745 Distel. Make the transfer of 745 Distel to the City as a public park as a condition of final approval to Dutchints, the developers of 5150 ECR and 745 Distel.

The 745 Distel parcel is ideally suited as a neighborhood, pocket park. This is important to Los Altos because

- Dutchints staff has expressed willingness to turn 745 Distel into an open space, and it has the overwhelming support of residents on the Distel, Marich and Casita Way neighborhoods.
- There is no Los Altos park within reasonable walking distance. North Los Altos is an underserved recreation area.
- A park would serve as a buffer to the CT zone and increasingly high-density construction along the El Camino Corridor.
- A park in north Los Altos has been the number one priority of the Parks and Recreation Commission since a master plan was drawn up citing this deficiency in 2013/2014,
- Los Altos is the ONLY city in the Peninsula with less park land per 1000 residents than any of the other nine cities in this region.

Thank you for taking the time to read his email.

Sincerely,
Anusuya Rao

Jon Biggs

From: Cynthia Arnold [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2019 5:49 PM
To: Lynette Lee Eng; Jan Pepper; Jeannie Bruins; Anita Enander; Neysa Fligor; Jon Biggs; Sean Gallegos
Subject: [External Sender]Park Please at 745 Distel

Dear City Council Members and City Staff,

We need a park at 745 Distel. Please make the transfer of 745 Distel to the city of Los Altos as a public park as a condition of final approval to Dutchints, the developers of 5150 El Camino Real and 745 Distel.

The 745 Distel parcel is ideally suited as a neighborhood pocket park. This is important to Los Altos because:

- Dutchints staff has expressed willingness to turn 745 Distel into an open space, and it has the overwhelming support of residents on the Distel, Marich and Casita Way neighborhoods.
- North Los Altos is an underserved recreation area.
- A park would serve as a buffer to the CT zone and increasingly high-density construction along the El Camino corridor.
- A park in north Los Altos has been the number one priority of the Parks and Recreation Commission since a master plan was drawn up citing this deficiency in 2013/2014,
- Los Altos is the ONLY city in the Peninsula with less park land per 1000 residents than any of the other nine cities in this region.

Best regards,

Cynthia and Woody Arnold

[REDACTED]
Los Altos

Jon Biggs

From: Cathy Walz <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2019 6:59 PM
To: Lynette Lee Eng; Jan Pepper; Jeannie Bruins; Anita Enander; Neysa Fligor; Jon Biggs; Sean Gallegos
Subject: [External Sender]park at 745 Distel

Dear City Council Members and City Staff,

We need a park at 745 Distel. Please make the transfer of 745 Distel to the City as a public park as a condition of final approval to Dutchints, the developers of 5150 ECR and 745 Distel.

The 745 Distel parcel is ideally suited as a neighborhood, pocket park. This is important to Los Altos because

- Dutchints staff has expressed willingness to turn 745 Distel into an open space, and it has the overwhelming support of residents on the Distel, Marich and Casita Way neighborhoods.
- There is no Los Altos park within reasonable walking distance. North Los Altos is an underserved recreation area.
- A park would serve as a buffer to the CT zone and increasingly high-density construction along the El Camino Corridor.
- A park in north Los Altos has been the number one priority of the Parks and Recreation Commission since a master plan was drawn up citing this deficiency in 2013/2014,
- Los Altos is the ONLY city in the Peninsula with less park land per 1000 residents than any of the other nine cities in this region.

Cathy Walz
[REDACTED] Los Altos

Jon Biggs

From: Vivien D'Andrea <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2019 7:01 PM
To: Jon Biggs
Subject: [External Sender]Support a North LA park

Hello
I agree with the following letter. Please support a park in our neighborhood.

Vivien D'Andrea, MD
[REDACTED]

Dear City Council Members and City Staff,

We need a park at 745 Distel. Make the transfer of 745 Distel to the City as a public park as a condition of final approval to Dutchints, the developers of 5150 ECR and 745 Distel.

The 745 Distel parcel is ideally suited as a neighborhood, pocket park. This is important to Los Altos because

- Dutchints staff has expressed willingness to turn 745 Distel into an open space, and it has the overwhelming support of residents on the Distel, Marich and Casita Way neighborhoods.

- There is no Los Altos park within reasonable walking distance. North Los Altos is an underserved recreation area.
- A park would serve as a buffer to the CT zone and increasingly high-density construction along the El Camino Corridor.
- A park in north Los Altos has been the number one priority of the Parks and Recreation Commission since a master plan was drawn up citing this deficiency in 2013/2014,
- Los Altos is the ONLY city in the Peninsula with less park land per 1000 residents than any of the other nine cities in this region.

Jon Biggs

From: Yanning Zhang <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2019 7:51 PM
To: Lynette Lee Eng; Jeannie Bruins; Jan Pepper; Anita Enander; Neysa Fligor; Jon Biggs; Sean Gallegos
Subject: [External Sender]We need a park at 745 Distel !!

Dear City Council Members and City Staff,

My wife Catherine Lee and I are strongly support the following messages.

We need a park at 745 Distel. Make the transfer of 745 Distel to the City as a public park as a condition of final approval to Dutchints, the developers of 5150 ECR and 745 Distel.

The 745 Distel parcel is ideally suited as a neighborhood, pocket park. This is important to Los Altos because

- Dutchints staff has expressed willingness to turn 745 Distel into an open space, and it has the overwhelming support of residents on the Distel, Marich and Casita Way neighborhoods.
- There is no Los Altos park within reasonable walking distance. North Los Altos is an underserved recreation area.

- A park would serve as a buffer to the CT zone and increasingly high-density construction along the El Camino Corridor.
- A park in north Los Altos has been the number one priority of the Parks and Recreation Commission since a master plan was drawn up citing this deficiency in 2013/2014,
- Los Altos is the ONLY city in the Peninsula with less park land per 1000 residents than any of the other nine cities in this region.

Best Regards, and Happy Holidays.

Nick and Catherine.

Los Altos.