

## City of Los Altos Sales Tax Update

## Los Altos In Brief

Los Altos' receipts from April through June were $2.3 \%$ above the second sales period in 2015. However, the receipt of a retroactive audit payment from a general merchandise retailer, skewed the results. Excluding reporting aberrations, actual sales were down $0.4 \%$.
For the seventh straight quarter, weak demand for crude oil and a stable supply of retail fuel locally, pushed gas prices down dramatically impacting revenue from service stations. Business and industrial returns were hampered by sluggish sales activity and a temporary reporting deviation compared to the prior year.
Helping offset some of the adjusted losses were: solid growth from both quick-service and fast casual dining establishments, the addition of food-drug vendors and increased allocations from the countywide use tax pool.
Net of aberrations, taxable sales for all of Santa Clara County only grew $0.3 \%$ over the comparable time period; while the Bay Area was up 2.2\%.

Sales Tax by Major Business Group


Top 25 Producers
In Alphabetical Order

Amber India Restaurant Anchorage Place Properties \& Design
Andronicos Market
Armadillo Willys BBQ \& Cafe

BevMo
Cetrella
Chef Chus
Chevron
Draegers Super Market
El Camino 76
Footwear Etc Grand Petroleum

Los Altos Chevron
Los Altos Grill
Lucky Supermarket
OPA Authenic Greek Cuisine
Pho Vi Hoa
Rite Aid
Safeway
Shell
Steinway
Trader Joes
True Value Hardware
Walgreens
Whole Foods Market

## Revenue Comparison

| One Quarter - Fiscal Year To Date |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ |
| Point-of-Sale | $\$ 570,345$ | $\$ 570,413$ |
| County Pool | 105,292 | 121,142 |
| State Pool | 503 | 211 |
| Gross Receipts | $\$ 676,140$ | $\$ 691,766$ |
| Less Triple Flip* | $\$(169,035)$ | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  |
| *Reimbursed from county compensation fund |  |  |

## California Overall

Statewide local sales and use tax receipts were up $1.9 \%$ over last year's spring quarter after adjusting for payment aberrations.

The largest gains were for building supplies, restaurants, utility/energy projects and countywide use tax pool allocations. Tax revenues from general consumer goods and business investment categories rose slightly while auto sales leveled off.

## Interest In Tax Reform Grows

With modest growth in sales and use taxes, agencies are increasingly reliant on local transaction tax initiatives to cover growing infrastructure and employee retirement costs. As of October 1, there are 210 active add-on tax districts with dozens more proposed for the upcoming November and April ballots.
The Bradley-Burns $1 \%$ local sales tax structure has not kept pace with social and economic changes occurring since the tax was first implemented in 1933. Technology and globalization are reducing the cost of goods while spending is shifting away from taxable merchandise to non-taxed experiences, social networking and services. Growing outlays for housing and health care are also cutting family resources available for discretionary spending. Tax-exempt digital downloads and a growing list of legislative exemptions have compounded the problem.
California has the nation's highest sales tax rate, reaching $10 \%$ in some jurisdictions. This rate, however, is applied to the smallest basket of taxable goods. A basic principle of sound tax policy is to have the lowest rate applied to the broadest possible basket of goods. California's opposite approach leads to revenue volatility and causes the state and local governments to be more vulnerable to economic downturns.

The State Controller, several legislators and some newspaper editorials have suggested a fresh look at the state's tax structure and a few ideas for reform have been proposed, including:

## Expand the Base / Lower the Rate:

Eliminate much of the $\$ 11.5$ billion in exemptions adopted since the tax was first implemented and expand the base to include the digital goods and services commonly taxed in other states. This would allow a lower, less regressive tax that is more competitive nationally and would expand local options for economic development.

## Allocate to Place of Consumption:

Converting to destination sourcing, already in use in the state's transactions and use tax districts, would maintain the allocation of local sales tax to the jurisdiction where stores, restaurants and other carryout businesses are located, but return the tax for online and cata$\log$ sales to the jurisdiction of the buyer that paid the tax. One outcome of this proposal would be the redirection of tax revenues to local agencies that are currently being shared with business owners and corporations as an inducement to move order desks to their jurisdictions.
Tax reform will not be easy. However, failing to reach agreement on a simpler, less regressive tax structure that adapts this century's economy could make California a long-term "loser" in competing with states with lower overall tax rates.

SALES PER CAPITA


Revenue By Business Group Los Altos This Quarter


## Los Altos Top 15 Business TYpes

| Business Type | Los Altos |  | County | HdL State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q2 '16 | Change | Change | Change |
| Casual Dining | 100,581 | -6.6\% | 3.4\% | 4.3\% |
| Drug Stores | - CONF | ENTIAL - | -3.7\% | 0.5\% |
| Family Apparel | 10,030 | -19.8\% | 1.2\% | 4.4\% |
| Fast-Casual Restaurants | 13,241 | 31.4\% | -5.3\% | 4.4\% |
| Fine Dining | - CONF | ENTIAL - | 17.4\% | 11.8\% |
| Grocery Stores Beer/Wine | - CONF | ENTIAL - | 0.8\% | -0.8\% |
| Grocery Stores Liquor | 45,830 | 3.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.2\% |
| Home Furnishings | 27,178 | 0.8\% | 17.5\% | 1.3\% |
| Liquor Stores | - CONF | ENTIAL - | -1.0\% | 4.3\% |
| Music Stores | - CONF | ENTIAL - | 6.5\% | 2.2\% |
| Quick-Service Restaurants | 18,072 | 24.7\% | 3.5\% | 6.6\% |
| Service Stations | 96,041 | -14.6\% | -18.7\% | -19.2\% |
| Specialty Stores | 18,052 | -14.3\% | 10.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Sporting Goods/Bike Stores | 11,036 | -0.6\% | 9.7\% | 9.4\% |
| Women's Apparel | 15,039 | -10.5\% | -2.3\% | 1.8\% |
| Total All Accounts | 570,413 | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | -0.6\% |
| County \& State Pool Allocation | 121,353 | 14.7\% | 17.7\% | 15.2\% |
| Gross Receipts | 691,766 | 2.3\% | 5.0\% | 1.4\% |

